Shock induced polymorphic transition in quartz, carbon, and boron nitride
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A theory describing the polymorphism induced by shock waves in silicates, oxides, sulfides, and many inorganic solids is presented. Shock wave experiments conducted on these and other materials indicate that many transformations to high-pressure phases are triggered via the production of shear bands and, in some cases, formation of high-density amorphous phases. Shock states in the mixed phase regimes, of quartz, carbon, and boron nitride, are quantitatively described in terms of the properties of both their low- and high-pressure phases. Good agreement between the calculated results and measured Hugoniot data in the mixed phase regime is obtained. By fitting the pressures of the onset of the phase transition from graphite to diamond, and associating its triggering with crossing the extension of the metastable melting line of graphite, we obtain a similar shaped curve to the metastable melting line obtained by Bundy [J. Geophys. Res. 85, 6930 (1980)]. Similarly, the transition from quartz to stishovite is associated with the metastable melting line of coesite. The present theory, when fit to the onset of the mixed phase regime of graphitelike boron nitride transforming to cubic boron nitride Hugoniot, predicts the standard entropy for cubic BN to be 0.4–0.5 J/g K.

I. INTRODUCTION

Polymorphic phase transition induced by shock waves have been observed in several condensed materials. In several cases, e.g., porous quartz,1 carbon,2 and boron nitride,3 large fractions of high-pressure phase are retained upon unloading, leaving little doubt that phase transition to a high-pressure crystalline phase occurs. Shock induced polymorphism in crystal and fused quartz was first studied by Wakerle,1 and subsequently McQueen4 pointed out that stishovite is the high-pressure phase induced upon compression of initially α and fused quartz. The Hugoniot curve of pressed graphite demonstrates transformation into a high-pressure phase (diamond) starting at ~20 GPa. Above ~50 GPa, it appears that pressed graphite is totally converted into diamond. The same phenomena are observed in graphite-structural boron nitride (g-BN) which undergoes an increasing amount of phase transformation to a diamond-like boron nitride (c-BN) phase between shock pressures of ~12–~20 GPa. Previously little effort has been expended in describing the thermodynamic behavior where materials appear to be in a mixed-phase regime, that is, where partial polymorphic phase transitions occur.5 Ahrens6 recently proposed a model to explain the mechanisms of the polymorphism in silicates. In the present paper, we are revising this theory and apply it to the quartz/stishovite, graphite/diamond, and g-BN/c-BN phase transformations.

II. THEORY AND METHOD

Shock wave data for oxides, sulfides, and silicates demonstrate similar shaped Hugoniot curves as shown in Fig. 1; the low-pressure phase regime (α quartz) which has the structure of the starting material, the high-pressure phase regime (stishovite) which is an allotrope of the low-pressure phase, and the mixed phase regime where the two phases coexist. Four separate observations suggest that shock-induced phase changes in these materials occur via crystallization within narrow zones of shock-deformation-induced molten material called shear bands, and not the solid state. These observations include:

1. Recent pyrosonometric measurements of shear band temperatures in NaCl, SiO2, and CaAl2Si2O8.7,8 The shear band temperatures are consistent with the concept that narrow zones representing shear-heated material are buffered by the high-temperature melting point of the high-pressure phase which is produced in the mixed phase region.7 These shear bands, which typically represent 10−3–10−2 mass fraction of the material undergoing transformation, are sketched in Fig. 2.

2. In the range of 0–40 GPa, melts and glasses of silicates continuously and reversibly approach, via Si—O—Si

![FIG. 1. Calculated and observed α-quartz to stishovite transformation showing low-pressure, mixed-phase, and high-pressure regime.](image-url)
bond bending, the density and coordination of the close-packed oxides.\textsuperscript{10,12} This process is shown in Fig. 3 for SiO\textsubscript{2}. It is proposed that bond bending occurs in two of the zones of Fig. 2: the compressed, but not shear-heated, material, and within the shear heated material. It probably occurs most efficiently in the shear-heated material.

(3) Transitions from crystals to a dense amorphous state can occur upon driving a solid across the negative sloping metastable extension of a fusion curve, as in the case of H\textsubscript{2}O (Ref. 13) (Fig. 4) and observed more recently in SiO\textsubscript{2} (Refs. 14 and 15) (Fig. 5). It appears likely that the bond bending model of Fig. 3 gives rise to large scale transformation of fourfold to sixfold coordinated silicates upon passing through an amorphous state. Transformation of graphite to diamond requires compression along the c axis of graphite and bond bending within the sheet of C hexagons of the initial graphite structure.\textsuperscript{16}

(4) The sound velocities of silicates shocked to states in the mixed regime immediately unload with a rarefaction velocity corresponding to the bulk sound speed rather than the longitudinal elastic velocity. This demonstrates that shocked silicates lose their strength,\textsuperscript{17} probably as a result of the melted material produced in the ubiquitous shear bands or planar features which we associate with the planar features found in recovered shocked silicates.

A. Mechanism of shock-induced polymorphic phase transformation

A key assumption of the proposed model is that transformation to a high-density amorphous or possibly liquid phase which rapidly crystallized to the high-pressure phase is triggered by the high temperatures in the shear band and upon crossing the metastable extension of a melting curve, which is coesite in the case of SiO\textsubscript{2} and graphite phase in the case of carbon and boron nitride (Fig. 2). A consequence of this assumption and the present shock temperature calculations is that the onset of the transition occurs at a lower shock pressure in fused quartz than crystal quartz. Moreover, the metastable melting curve shown goes through the pressures of the onset of transformation in \textit{\alpha} quartz and fused quartz, as well as the pressure range where Hemley\textsuperscript{14} and Hemley \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{15} observe amorphization of quartz and coesite. Recently, crystal anorthite (CaAl\textsubscript{2}Si\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{6})\textsuperscript{34} has also been observed to transform to a glass at 300 K and high pressure and we infer that this also arose because the metastable extension of the post-stishovite + kyanite + garnet phase fusion is inecepted\textsuperscript{25} (Fig. 5). The present model also predicts lower phase transformation pressures to stishovite in porous quartz than crystal quartz.\textsuperscript{21} We assume that once a shear band forms, transformation from fourfold to sixfold transformation occurs, in part, via the Si—O—Si bond bending model of Stolper and Ahrens\textsuperscript{10} in the solid and amorphous state. The onset of this transition mechanism is suggested to be analogous to the transformation of ice-I\textsubscript{h} to dense amorphous
H$_2$O-ice upon compression at 77 K along a path intersecting the extrapolation of the ice-$I_a$ melting curve into the ice-$IV$ field (as shown by line A-A' of Fig. 4). Upon compressing the low-pressure phase ice, $I_a$, at 77 K through the stability field of ice $IX$ (along the line A-A' of Fig. 4) and intersection with the extrapolation of the $I_a$ melting curve, the high density, 1.31 g/cm$^3$, amorphous phase of ice is formed within the stability of ice-$VI$. Upon release of pressure, the density of the amorphous phase remains anomalously high (1.17 g/cm$^3$). This compares to a density, 0.93 g/cm$^3$, for ice-$I_a$. This appears to be analogous to the formation of both static pressure and shock-induced glass in silicates. These glasses have appreciably higher densities than the normal silicate glasses and we infer that, after formation of the amorphous phase, crystallization to the high-pressure phase in shear bands could occur rapidly.

Another issue that needs to be addressed is the role of the hot shear bands in the energetics of the phase transition. We assume that the shear banding results from the localized release of elastic strain energy reflecting the finite strength of the material. The maximum shear strain energy is assumed to be some fraction of that which may be released upon shock compression to the Hugoniot elastic limit state. The maximum shear stress (associated with one-dimensional compression to the Hugoniot elastic limit stress $\sigma_1$) is

$$\tau_m = (1 - 2\nu)\sigma_1/[(1 - \nu)^2],$$

where $\nu$ is Poisson's ratio. Taking the average value of the $\sigma_1$ to be $\sim$ 6 GPa,$^1$ and the value of $\nu$ as 0.077, the specific energy available for shear bands $E_{sb}$ is

$$E_{sb} = f\tau_m^2/(2\mu),$$

where $\mu$ is the shear modulus. Using a value of $\mu = 7.7$ GPa and an assumed value of $f = 0.5$, we find that $E_{sb} = 0.25$ kJ/g. If this value is compared to $E_{tot}$, the sum of the energy density required to transform to stishovite, 0.8 kJ/g, and to bring stishovite to the melting point $\sim 3000$ K,$^2$ and the latent heat of melting 2.4 kJ/g,$^2$ a total of 6.9 kJ/g is required to obtain molten stishovite. Thus, we might expect that the mass fraction of material involved in shear bands to be $E_{sb}/E_{tot} = 0.046$. This value is comparable to the fraction of material observed to be emitting light in radiative experiments.$^4$

Based on the above observations, we suggest that the triggering mechanism for transformation is assumed to be both shear bands depicted in Fig. 2 and formation of an amorphous phase as sketched in Fig. 4. The mixed-phase regime is described in terms of a transition to a dense high-pressure phase. We infer that on a very short time scale ($\sim 10^{-8}$ s), the molten material in shear bands in turn recrystallizes into the high-pressure phase. We also propose that shock-induced reconstructive transformations also occur via a molten state presented in shear bands.

However, it appears that not all the material that transforms to a dense molten and/or amorphous state transforms to a high-pressure phase. In some cases, the material remains amorphous even upon pressure release. Amorphous phases induced by shock pressures in excess of 35 GPa were first recognized in laboratory experiments on quartz$^{18}$ and in plagioclase.$^{19}$ Planar features which contain glass are observed both in nature and from laboratory shock recovery experiments in which silicates were driven into the mixed phase region.$^{20}$ This glass often has a higher than normal index of refraction.

**B. Basic equations**

The above transition mechanisms suggest that, although the low-pressure and high-pressure phases coexist in the mixed-phase regime, their shock states do not, in general,
lie along the equilibrium phase lines. However, we assume that the two phases are intimately mixed after the shear bands come to thermal equilibrium and thus the high- and low-pressure phases are at the same temperature and pressure. The internal energy of the starting material is assumed to be at zero energy, the shock pressure $P$ and energy $E_u$ are related with each other via Hugoniot energy equation

$$ P = 2E_u/(V_{00} - V),$$

where $V_{00}$ is the initial volume of the material being shocked, $V$ is the total volume:

$$ V = \chi V_1 + (1 - \chi) V_2.$$ (4)

$\chi$ is the mass fraction of the low-pressure phase in the mixed-phase regime; subscripts 1 and 2 denote the low-pressure phase and high-pressure phase, respectively.

For simplicity we assume a Murnaghan isentrope and that the Grüneisen equation of state is valid in the mixed-phase regime. The internal energies, $E_1$ and $E_2$, for each component phase will be

$$ E_1 = E_{S1}(V_1) + [P - P_{S1}(V_1)] V_1/\gamma_1,$$ (5)

$$ E_2 = E_{S2}(V_2) + [P - P_{S2}(V_2)] V_2/\gamma_2 + E_u,$$ (6)

where $E_{S1}$ and $E_{S2}$ are the isentropic energies and $\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$ are the Grüneisen ratios. The Murnaghan isentrope takes a form

$$ P_S = \left( C_S^0 / V_0 n \right) \left( (V_0 / V)^n - 1 \right),$$ (7)

and

$$ E_S = - \int_{V_0}^V P_S dV.$$ (8)

Here $C_S$ is the isentropic sound velocity, $V_0$ is the crystal volume, $E_u$ is the phase transition energy, at standard conditions, and $n$ is a parameter specifying the zero pressure isentropic inner-pressure derivative of the isentropic bulk modulus, $K_S$: $n = (dK_S/dP_S)|_{P=0}$.

The temperature $T_1$ and $T_2$ of each component phase can be determined through the Deybe specific heat, $C_v$ (Ref. 23):

$$ T_1 = T_{S1} + (E_1 - E_{S1})/\overline{C}_v,$$ (9)

$$ T_2 = T_{S2} + (E_2 - E_{S2} - E_u)/\overline{C}_v.$$ (10)

Here $\overline{C}_v$ represents the average value of $C_v$ between temperature $T_S$ and $T$, of each component phase, while $T_{S1}$ and $T_{S2}$ are temperatures along the isentropes:

$$ T_{S1} = T_0 \exp \left[- \int_{V_0}^{V_1} \left( \frac{\gamma_1}{P} \right) dV \right],$$

$$ T_{S2} = T_0 \exp \left[- \int_{V_0}^{V_2} \left( \frac{\gamma_2}{P} \right) dV \right].$$

Here $T_0$ is initial temperature. The entropy for each component phase is

$$ S_1 = S_{01} + \overline{C}_v \ln(T_1/T_S),$$ (11)

$$ S_2 = S_{02} + \overline{C}_v \ln(T_2/T_S).$$ (12)

The total entropy of the system is

$$ S = \chi S_1 + (1 - \chi) S_2.$$ (13)

Here $S_{01}$ and $S_{02}$ are standard entropies for low- and high-pressure phases, respectively.

Equations (3)–(13) express the pressure, volume, temperature, and entropy as functions of $\chi$, $V_1$, $V_2$, and $V$. These three unknowns are related by the energy equation

$$ E_H = \chi E_1 + (1 - \chi) E_2;$$ (14)

the temperature equation,

$$ T_1 = T_2,$$

or

$$ (E_1 - E_{S1})/C_{v1} = T_{S1} + (E_2 - E_{S2} - E_u)/C_{v2},$$ (15)

and finally, the second law

$$ H \int_0^H T dS = E_H + \int_0^H P dV.$$ (16)

In Eq. (16), we define $T = (T_1 + T_2)/2$, and $f_{\text{00}}$ denotes that this integration is calculated from the starting state 00 to the final shock state $H$ along an arbitrary path. Once we define a fixed value for $E_H$, Eqs. (14)–(16) are solved to find the mass fraction $\chi$, the volume $V_1$ and $V_2$, and consequently,

**TABLE I. Parameters for quartz-to-stishovite transformation.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Transition starting pressure (GPa)</th>
<th>Density $\rho_0$ (g/cm$^3$)</th>
<th>Bulk sound velocity $C_0$ (km/s)</th>
<th>Grüneisen ratio $\gamma_0$</th>
<th>Entropy $S_0$ (J/g K)</th>
<th>Enthalpy $H_0$ (kJ/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$ quartz</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>2.648$^*$</td>
<td>3.77$^*$</td>
<td>7.0$^*$</td>
<td>0.69$^*$</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fused quartz</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2.204$^*$</td>
<td>4.3$^*$</td>
<td>4.5$^*$</td>
<td>0.78$^*$</td>
<td>0.13$^*$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stishovite</td>
<td>4.287$^*$</td>
<td>8.45$^*$</td>
<td>3.4$^*$</td>
<td>0.9$^*$</td>
<td>0.462$^*$</td>
<td>0.821$^*$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^*$Robie et al. (see Ref. 29).
$^\dagger$Zamyshlyavev et al. (see Ref. 5).
$^\dag$Fit to shock wave data in low-pressure phase regime.
$^\ddagger$Anderson et al. (see Ref. 30).
$^\S$In reference of $\alpha$-quartz data.
$^\|\$Fit to high-pressure data in high-pressure phase regime.
$^\||\$For $\alpha$ to quartz to stishovite transformation, $\gamma_0 = 1.0$ is used for better fitting.
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TABLE II. Parameters for carbon-to-diamond transformation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Transition starting pressure (GPa)</th>
<th>Density $\rho_0$ (g/cm$^3$)</th>
<th>Bulk sound velocity $C_v$ (km/s)</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>Grüneisen ratio $\gamma_0$</th>
<th>Entropy $S_0$ (J/g K)</th>
<th>Enthalpy $H_0$ (kJ/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pressed</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>4.5$^a$</td>
<td>5.0$^b$</td>
<td>0.724$^a$</td>
<td>0.474$^c$</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Graphite</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>4.43$^a$</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.724</td>
<td>0.474</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATJ graphite</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>4.75$^a$</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.75$^b$</td>
<td>0.474</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrolytic graphite</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>2.21$^b$</td>
<td>4.75$^a$</td>
<td>4.7$^b$</td>
<td>0.75$^b$</td>
<td>0.474</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diamond</td>
<td>3.51$^d$</td>
<td>12.16$^d$</td>
<td>3.0$^d$</td>
<td>0.9$^c$</td>
<td>0.198$^c$</td>
<td>0.158$^c$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Fit to Marsh's (see Ref. 25) shock wave data in low-pressure phase regime.
$^b$R. G. McQueen and S. P. Marsh (see Ref. 2).
$^c$R. A. Robie et al. (see Ref. 29).
$^d$M. N. Pavlovskii (see Ref. 27).
$^e$J. A. Dean (see Ref. 31).

TABLE III. Parameters for $\alpha$-BN to $\beta$-BN transformation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Transition starting pressure (GPa)</th>
<th>Density $\rho_0$ (g/cm$^3$)</th>
<th>Bulk sound velocity $C_v$ (km/s)</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>Grüneisen ratio $\gamma_0$</th>
<th>Entropy $S_0$ (J/g K)</th>
<th>Enthalpy $H_0$ (kJ/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$-BN</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>4.2$^a$</td>
<td>3.8$^a$</td>
<td>0.9$^a$</td>
<td>0.596$^a$</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$-BN</td>
<td>3.487</td>
<td>10.287$^d$</td>
<td>4.0$^d$</td>
<td>0.6$^c$, 0.9$^c$</td>
<td>0.48$^c$</td>
<td>0.0764$^c$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Fit to Marsh's (see Ref. 25) shock wave data in low-pressure phase regime.
$^b$In reference to graphite.
$^c$M. W. Chase et al. (see Ref. 32).
$^d$E. Knittle et al. (see Ref. 28).
$^e$H. Tan and T. J. Ahrens (see Ref. 33).
$^f$See text.

al the thermodynamic quantities in the mixed-phase region, via the Newton–Raphson method.

gy we calculate iteratively a series of states from the starting values of $\chi$, $V$, and $V_2$. If $(i-1)$ and $i$ are two successive states belonging to this series, we may write

$$\int_{0}^{i-1} T \, dS = E_H + \int_{0}^{i-1} P \, dV, \quad (16a)$$

$$\int_{0}^{i} T \, dS = E_H + \int_{0}^{i} P \, dV, \quad (16b)$$

FIG. 6. Fused quartz to stishovite transition. Solid line in the mixed phase region is calculated using models $f = \text{const}$, while the dotted line corresponding to $f = f_0$.

FIG. 7. Calculated results for ceramic quartz. Parameters used in the calculations are the same as used for $\alpha$-quartz except that the Grüneisen $\gamma$ for high-pressure phase is assumed to be 0.65.
or, upon subtracting Eq. (16a) from Eq. (16b)
\[
\int_{i-1}^{i} T \, dS = \int_{i-1}^{i} P \, dV \quad (E_U = \text{fixed value}). \tag{16c}
\]
Equation (16c) defines a constraint to all the possible states corresponding to the fixed energy \(E_U\). Since state \(i\) is calculated iteratively using state \((i - 1)\), which was the previous calculated or guessed value, we may write Eq. (16c) as
\[
T \, \delta S = P \, \delta V
\]
or
\[
T \left[ (S_i - S_2) \delta \chi + \chi (\delta S_i - \delta S_2) \right] = P \left[(V_i - V_2) \delta \chi + \chi (\delta V_i - \delta V_2) \right],
\tag{17}
\]
where
\[
\delta \chi = \chi^{(i)} - \chi^{(i-1)},
\delta V_i = V_i^{(i)} - V_i^{(i-1)},
\delta V_2 = V_2^{(i)} - V_2^{(i-1)},
\delta S_i = S_i^{(i)} - S_i^{(i-1)},
\]
\[
\delta S_2 = S_2^{(i)} - S_2^{(i-1)},
\delta S = S^{(i)} - S^{(i-1)},
\delta V = V^{(i)} - V^{(i-1)},
\]
where \(\chi^{(i)}\) denotes the value of \(\chi\) at state \(i\), and so on. Equation (17) is used in the Newton–Raphson method instead of Eq. (16).

### III. Applications for Quartz, Carbon, and Boron Nitride

The equation of state of \(\alpha\) quartz, fused quartz, and ceramic quartz, pressed graphite and pyrolytic graphite, and graphitelike boron nitride, are modeled using the parameters of Tables I–III and the results compared with the data reported by Marsh\textsuperscript{23} and Wackerle\textsuperscript{1} (Fig. 1, Figs. 6–8, and Fig. 10). In most cases, we assume the Grüneisen parameter, \(\gamma\), takes a form \(\gamma / \gamma_0 = V / V_0\), where the subscript 0 indicates the zero-pressure value. For the case of \(\alpha\) quartz to stishovite and \(\alpha\)-BN to \(c\)-BN transformation we assume \(\gamma = \gamma_0\) to obtain a better fit to the mixed phase data. The starting pressures at which the phase transformations begin to occur are chosen based on the Hugoniot data reported and have been adjusted to achieve an overall fit to the data.

![Graphite to diamond transition](image)

**FIG. 8.** Graphite to diamond transition. (a) Pressed graphite (\(\rho_0 = 1.95 \text{ g/cm}^3\)). Solid line shows the results when \(C_v = 3 R\) is used whereas dotted line corresponding a Debye specific heat. (b) Pressed graphite (\(\rho_0 = 1.88 \text{ g/cm}^3\)). (c) ATJ graphite. (d) Pyrolytic graphite.
The influence of the Grüneisen parameter, $\gamma$, on the calculated results for fused quartz is examined in Fig. 6. The cusp calculated at the onset of the fused quartz to stishovite transition disappears when $\gamma = \gamma_0$ is used instead of $\gamma/V = \text{const}$. The calculated shock temperature is greater than 3000 K when shock pressure is over 40 GPa. Significant shock melting of stishovite which has been transformed from fused-quartz probably occurs and may explain the relatively poor fit for the high-pressure data when $\gamma = \gamma_0$ is used.\textsuperscript{22}

A fit to the ceramic quartz is shown in Fig. 7. We treat this sample as porous $\alpha$ quartz. The poor fit in the low-pressure phase regime may result from the rigidity of the porous $\alpha$-quartz ceramic. Shock melting occurs at lower pressure in porous material than nonporous. At pressures above 30 GPa, shock temperatures are greater than 3000 K. We can fit the data by changing the value of $\gamma_0$ for the high-pressure phase. In Fig. 7, we show the result for $\gamma_0 = 0.65$.

Bundy\textsuperscript{26} conducted a comprehensive study of the graphite-to-diamond phase transition occurring under different conditions. He pointed out that the direct and fast (dynamic or static) graphite to diamond transformation (without additives) might be closely related to the melting line of the metastable graphite. His phase diagram for carbon is presented in Fig. 9. Our calculations for graphite (Fig. 8) also predict the temperature-pressure conditions along the extension of this metastable graphite melting line. States for onset of transition are $\sim 920$ K at 23 GPa (for pressed graphite with initial density 1.95 g/cm$^3$), $\sim 800$ K at 42 GPa (for pyrolytic graphite of density 2.21 g/cm$^3$), and $\sim 1140$ K at 21 GPa (for ATJ graphite of initial density 1.77 g/cm$^3$). These points are shown in Fig. 9, resulting in a metastable melting line similar in shape to that of quartz (Fig. 5), and generally similar to that suggested by Bundy.\textsuperscript{26}

The calculated result for the $g$-BN/c-BN transformation (Fig. 10) is in good agreement with the limited Hugoniot data, taking into account the paucity of actual data for input parameters needed in the calculation (see Table III). The theoretical Hugoniot for c-BN is approximated by two different data sets: one is the Hugoniot data of diamond,\textsuperscript{27} the other is the isothermal data of c-BN recently reported by Knittle \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{28} Figure 10(a) shows the result when assuming $\gamma/V = \text{const}$ with $\gamma_0 = 0.9$,\textsuperscript{27} whereas Fig. 10(b) shows $\gamma = \gamma_0$ with $\gamma_0 = 0.6$.\textsuperscript{27,28} Knittle \textit{et al.} have suggested the $\gamma_0$ value for c-BN to be 1.87, which we find will result in a P-V curve significantly above the observed data in the mixed-phase region at high pressures. Although these two Hugoniots differ, the calculated P-V relationship in the mixed-phase region is almost the same. Since our starting g-BN material only has a density 2.08 g/cm$^3$, about 91% of the crystal density, we calculated the c-BN Hugoniot corresponding to this porous g-BN starting material from

$$E_b(V) + \left[ P - P_b(V) \right] \left( V/V_0 \right)_2 = E_h - E_b. \quad (18)$$

An interesting result from the calculation is the standard entropy value for c-BN which we infer to be between 0.40 and 0.50 J/gK. Figure 10 also shows the results when the entropy value of c-BN is approximated by that of diamond.
Note added in proof: We recently became aware that like \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) and \( \text{SiO}_2 \), the low-pressure phase of \( \text{C} \) and graphite transforms to an amorphous material upon static compression at room temperature at \( \geq 20 \text{ GPa} \) [J. Exp. Theor. Phys. 96, 670 (1989)]. This, as indicated in Fig. 9, would correspond to crossing the metastable extension of the extension of the negative sloping melting line of graphite as depicted in Fig. 9.
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