SIGNALING AND CROSSTALK BY C5a AND UDP IN MACROPHAGES SELECTIVELY USE PLCβ3 TO REGULATE INTRACELLULAR FREE CALCIUM.
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Studies in fibroblasts, neurons, and platelets have demonstrated the integration of signals from different G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs) in raising intracellular free Ca2+. To study signal integration in macrophages, we screened RAW264.7 cells and bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDM) for their Ca2+ response to GPCR ligands. We found a synergistic response to complement component 5a (C5a) in combination with uridine 5’-diphosphate (UDP), platelet activating factor (PAF) or lysophosphatidic acid (LPA). The C5a response was Gαi-dependent, while the UDP, PAF, and LPA responses were Gαq-dependent. Synergy between C5a and UDP, mediated by the C5a and P2Y6 receptors, required dual receptor occupancy, and affected the initial release of Ca2+ from intracellular stores as well as sustained Ca2+ levels. C5a and UDP synergized in generating inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate, suggesting synergy in activating phospholipase C (PLC) β. Macrophages expressed transcripts for three PLCβ isoforms (PLCβ2, PLCβ3, and PLCβ4), but GPCR ligands selectively used these isoforms in Ca2+ signaling. C5a predominantly used PLCβ3, while UDP used PLCβ3 but also PLCβ4. Neither ligand required PLCβ2. Synergy between C5a and UDP likewise depended primarily on PLCβ3. Importantly, the Ca2+ signaling deficiency observed in PLCβ3-deficient BMDM was reversed by reconstitution with PLCβ3. Neither PI-3 kinase nor PKC was required for synergy. In contrast to C5a, PI3-kinase activation by C5a was inhibited by UDP, as was macrocinotaxis, which depends on PI3-kinase. PLCβ3 may thus provide a selective target for inhibiting Ca2+ responses to mediators of inflammation, including C5a, UDP, PAF, and LPA.

Calcium is an important messenger involved in the regulation of multiple cellular processes, and levels of intracellular free calcium ([Ca2+]i) are precisely regulated (1-3). Increases in intracellular [Ca2+]i are initiated by the phospholipase C (PLC) family of enzymes, which hydrolyze membrane-associated phosphatidylinositol-4,5-diphosphate (PIP2) to produce inositol-1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP3) and diacylglycerol (DAG) (4). IP3 triggers the release of Ca2+ from stores in the endoplasmic reticulum, while DAG activates members of the protein kinase C (PKC) family. Following activation of stored Ca2+ by IP3, influx of extracellular Ca2+ across the plasma membrane may further contribute to an increase in [Ca2+]i, which is regulated by several Ca2+ pumps and buffers (1). The net level and duration of these Ca2+ signals regulate cellular responses, including transcription, apoptosis, endocytosis, chemotaxis, and metabolism (3).

Simultaneous stimulation of two GPCRs coupled to different Gα subunits, often Gαi or Gαq in combination with Gαq, has been shown to yield synergistic Ca2+ responses in several model systems (reviewed in (5)). Limited studies have demonstrated this synergy in primary cells, including neurons and platelets, but the mechanisms of synergy vary and are not well defined (6,7). Synergistic Ca2+ responses resulting from heterologous GPCR ligation have been little studied in macrophages, where members of the GPCR superfamily can stimulate an increase in [Ca2+]i by activating members of the PLCβfamily (4). As part of a systematic screen of RAW264.7 macrophage cells, C5a and UDP demonstrated synergy in producing a rise in [Ca2+]i (http://www.signaling-gateway.org/data/cgi-bin/table2.cgi?cellabbr=RW) (8)). C5a is an important inflammatory mediator for macrophages and UDP, which is released following cell damage, is also present at sites of
injury or infection (9,10). Both ligands signal through GPCRs; C5a signals through C5aR (11), and UDP signals through P2Y6 receptors (12). To examine GPCR cross-talk by these ligands in mouse macrophages we studied both RAW264.7 cells and primary bone marrow-derived macrophages (BMDMs).

Our studies show that signals generated by C5a and UDP, acting through Goi- and Goq-coupled pathways respectively, converge at the level of PLCβ, and that these ligands, both individually and in concert, selectively use one PLCβ isoform, PLCβ3, to activate the production of IP3 and the consequent release of Ca2+ from intracellular stores.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Reagents. UDP, UTP, LPA, PAF, human C5a, and FITC-dextran were from Sigma-Aldrich. Mouse IgG2a was from BD Pharmacia. Anti-PLCβ3 was from P. Sternweis, UTSW. Anti-P-Akt and anti-P-ERK were from Cell Signaling Technologies. Fura2 was from Molecular Probes. Ionomycin, thapsigargin, pertussis toxin, LY294002, wortmannin, Calphostin C, staurosporine, U-73122, and U-73343 were from Calbiochem. Additional detailed protocols for reagents, procedures, and solutions are available on the internet (www.afcs.org and www.signaling-gateway.org) and are referenced according to protocol number (eg. PP00000226).

Culture of RAW264.7 cells is described in protocol PP00000226. Following lentiviral infection, positive transductants were selected by antibiotic resistance conferred by the particular viral construct used (PP00000206). These included puromycin (2μg ml), G418 (100-500 μg/ml), hygromycin (50 μg/ml), and zeocin (100 μg/ml). Detailed specifications for each medium are available at http://www.signaling-gateway.org/data/cgi-bin/Protocols.cgi?cat=3.

Mice and culture of BMDM. Mice genetically deficient in Goq, Go11, PLCβ3, PLCβ4 or PLCβ2 were previously described (13-19). All strains were on the C57BL/6 background except PLCβ2-deficient mice, which were on 129SV. Genetically deficient and corresponding wild-type (wt) strains were bred and housed under approved animal protocols. For BMDM culture femurs and tibias were removed from sex- and age-matched mice (4-20 weeks of age, matched +/- 4 weeks) (PP0000017200). Briefly, marrow was flushed from bones, erythrocytes were lysed, and the white cells were seeded in non-tissue culture Petri dishes for selection by growth and adhesion. After 6 days, over 99% of the surviving cells were macrophages, and these cells were maintained for up to 35 days in culture. Cells were cultured overnight in tissue culture (TC) plates prior to use in assays.

Lentivirus-mediated RNAi. Lentivirus was produced with a combination of three plasmids: (i) pCMVΔR8.91 packaging plasmid, (ii) pMD.G envelope plasmid (20,21), and (iii) a lentiviral vector plasmid (http://www.signaling-gateway.org/data/plasmid). The packaging and envelope plasmids were generously provided by D. Trono, Geneva. The lentiviral vector plasmids contained shRNA sequences expressed under RNA polymerase III promoters (U6 or H1) upstream of a Ubi-C promoter driving bicistronic expression of either EGFP or an hCD4 marker, followed by a resistance gene for either puromycin or hygromycin. (22). Transfection of the 3 plasmids into 293T cells utilized lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen) and 20 μg of total DNA in a ratio of 4:3:2 for vector, packaging, and envelope plasmids respectively (PP00000200). Two days post-transfection, lentivirus was concentrated by using Centricon microfiltration tubes (PP00000202). Macrophages were infected at a multiplicity of infection of ~10 in the presence of polybrene at 4 μg/ml (PP00000215) (22). shRNAs targeting murine PLCβ2, PLCβ3 and PLCβ4 employed the sequences GAA CAG AAG TTA CGT TGT C, GCA GCG AGA TGA TTT GAT T, and ACG CGA TTG AGT TTG TAA ATT A, respectively.

Retrovirus-mediated transduction of macrophages with PLCβ. pFB-neo vectors carrying YFP-tagged murine PLCβ3 or YFP-FLAG epitope were transfected into the PlatE packaging line (23) to produce eucropic retroviruses for transduction of day-2 cultures of bone marrow cells, which were differentiated into BMDM as described above.

Population calcium assays. Ca2+ responses were measured by monitoring the fluorescence of Fura-2-loaded cells (PP00000211). Baseline readings were collected for 30-40 sec. Calibration steps included additions of a Ca2+-minimizing solution (PS00000607) and Fura-2 Ca2+-saturating solution (PS00000608) at the end of each recording, to allow calculation of [Ca2+]i values according to the method of Grynkiewicz (24), assuming a cytoplasmic Kd of 250 nM for Fura-2. Ca2+ signals during the response period were quantified by features as indicated, including the peak offset response.
samples were recentrifuged at 14,000xg for 15 min at 4°C.  Samples were centrifuged at 14,000xg for 15 min at 4°C. 120 µl of supernatant was neutralized with 5 N KOH containing 60 mM HEPES, and the samples were recentrifuged at 14,000xg for 15 min at 4°C. The IP₃ content of the final supernatant was assayed with an Amersham IP₃ [³H] Biotrak assay kit. Results were reported as pmoles IP₃ per 10⁶ cells.

SDS-PAGE and Western blot analysis of phosphoproteins (protocols PP00000168 and PP00000181). Cells were stimulated under the same conditions used for the IP₃ assay. Then buffer was aspirated, the cells were scraped into Laemelli sample buffer, and the samples were heated. SDS-PAGE gels were loaded with 20 µg protein per lane, and Western blots were probed with anti-P-Akt, anti-P-ERK, and anti-Rho-GDI. Fluorescent signals, measured for P-Akt and P-ERK by using a phosphoimager, were normalized to Rho-GDI.

Macropinocytosis assay. Macropinocytosis was assessed by measuring the cellular uptake of fluorescently labeled FITC-dextran. In brief, BMDM cells were cultured overnight in non-TC plates. Medium was replaced with Hank’s Balanced Salt Solution with 1 mg/ml BSA, pH 7.4. After 1 hr ligands were added together with FITC-dextran (150 KDa MW, Sigma, 1 mg/ml final concentration in well). Activity was stopped with cold medium. After washing, cells were harvested in PBS with 5 mM EDTA and 5 mg/ml BSA, and analyzed by flow cytometry (FACSCalibur, BD) in 0.4% trypan blue solution (Sigma) to quench extracellular fluorescence. Ligand-stimulated activity was expressed as a ratio (‘fold stimulation’) to baseline activity.

Statistical Analyses. The error bars in graphs depict the standard error of the mean. The statistical significance of each comparison was evaluated by performing Student’s t-tests for one-way analysis of variance, followed by Dunnett or individual t-tests (with Bonferroni correction), or non-linear mixed effects modeling, as appropriate. The effects of RNAi on Ca²⁺ responses in RAW264.7 cells were analyzed by non-linear mixed-effects modeling because of the non-normal distribution of Ca²⁺ response features, and because of variation in responses between cell lines and assays. A p-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

UDP and C5a interact to produce a synergistic calcium response in macrophages. As part of a large-scale screen, we observed a synergistic interaction between UDP and C5a for Ca²⁺ signaling (http://www.signaling-gateway.org/data/cgi-bin/table2.cgi?cellabbr=RW). This response showed a faster rise time and an increased peak-offset (peak response minus baseline) compared to the predicted additive response by the individual ligands (Fig. 1A). For the peak offset, the observed dual-ligand response was increased by 1.5 to 2-fold over the predicted additive response. Integration of the response over the first 20 sec yielded similar values. The ratio of observed/predicted values for such features of the response was referred to as the synergy ratio. BMDM showed a similar synergistic increase in the Ca²⁺ response, but the synergy ratio was much greater than in RAW264.7 cells (Fig. 1B).

For both cell types the optimal synergistic concentrations of each ligand were at or near the threshold for stimulation (Fig. 1C for BMDM, RAW264.7 data not shown). Synergy was nonetheless still observed at higher concentrations of both ligands, which fell within the linear portions of their dose-response curves. For RAW 264.7 cells, optimal concentrations for synergy were 0.25-100 nM C5a and 40-500 nM UDP, while for BMDM they were 0.1-3 nM C5a and 150-500 nM UDP.

Synergy is dependent on signaling through both Gαι- and Gαq- dependent GPCRs. C5a engages C5aR, which signals predominantly through Gαι-coupled heterotrimers (11,25,26). Thus, in BMDM and in RAW264.7, the Ca²⁺ response to C5a was inhibited following
treatment with pertussis toxin (Ptx), whereas that of UDP was not (Fig. 2A and supplemental Fig. S1). Ptx-mediated inhibition of signaling by low concentrations of C5a (<1 nM) was complete, but at high concentrations residual Ptx-insensitive calcium signaling was detected both in WT BMDM and in BMDM from mice lacking either Gαq or Gα11 (data not shown). Saturation of Ptx-intoxication of the C5a Ca2⁺ response was reached using 5 ng/ml for 18 hr, (supplemental Fig. S1) Others have demonstrated a role for Gα15 in C5a signaling in primary macrophages (27). While our data indicate that most C5a signaling is Ptx-sensitive, they are consistent with some signaling through Gα15 when C5a is present in high concentration. The Ca²⁺ response to C5a in Gα(q-deficient mice genetically deficient in Gα11 (data not shown), suggesting that Gαi3 is sufficient to support C5a signaling in these cells, since Gαi1 and G0 are not expressed in macrophages (data not shown). Inhibition by Ptx was similar to that observed for WT cells (data not shown).

UDP binds to purinergic receptors of the P2Y family, which usually signal through members of the Gαq family (12,28,29). In accord with this, we found, that the Ca²⁺ response was completely lost in BMDM from Gαq-deficient mice (Fig. 2B). Surprisingly, although BMDM express other members of the Gαq family, including Gα11 and Gα15 (Fig. 2C), these are evidently unable to substitute for Gαq in the response to UDP. Further, the BMDM from Gα11-deficient mice had no reduction in Ca²⁺ responses for UDP (or for C5a, data not shown) compared with wildtype.

UDP only binds with high affinity to the P2Y6 receptor on macrophages. UTP, which has much lower affinity for P2Y6, binds also to P2Y2 and P2Y4 receptors (12,28). To demonstrate that the responses to UDP did not involve contaminating UTP, we separately tested UTP and UDP before and after treatment with hexokinase (12), which catalyzes conversion of UTP to UDP. Hexokinase treatment of UDP had no effect on its capacity to increase [Ca²⁺], in BMDM (not shown), indicating that contaminating UTP was not responsible for the observed responses in BMDM. The efficacy of hexokinase treatment was confirmed by showing that hexokinase treatment of UTP ablated its capacity to increase [Ca²⁺], in NIH 3T3 cells, which respond to UTP but not UDP.

The removal of either Gαq or Gαi, via genetic deletion or Ptx intoxication, respectively, also eliminated any synergistic Ca²⁺ response to dual ligand stimulation (Fig. 2D). Thus, synergy between C5a and UDP is dependent on the Gi and Gq-linked subunit effectors that are activated by C5a and P2Y6 receptors, respectively.

Lysophosphatidic Acid (LPA) and platelet activating factor (PAF) also synergize with C5a for Ca²⁺ responses. To determine whether synergy for Ca²⁺ signaling occurred with other ligand pairs, we also examined responses to C5a or UDP in combination with PAF or LPA, both of which induce a Ca²⁺ response in macrophages through GPCRs. Pairing of C5a with either PAF or LPA demonstrated a robust synergy in Ca²⁺ signaling. Little or no synergy was seen with UDP/PAF, UDP/LPA or PAF/LPA (data not shown). The levels of synergy observed for C5a paired with PAF or LPA (Fig. 3A and B) were comparable to those for C5a paired with UDP.

PAF and LPA, like UDP, signaled Ca²⁺ primarily through Gαq in BMDM (Fig. 3C), but unlike UDP this was not exclusive; in the Gαq-deficient cells residual Ca²⁺ responses for PAF were abrogated by Ptx, indicating a minor contribution from Gαi-coupled pathways. Ptx did not reduce the Ca²⁺ response to LPA, but instead surprisingly enhanced it in both Gαq-deficient and wt BMDM (Fig. 3D). These data suggest for the first time that Gαi-coupled receptors basally inhibit LPA Ca²⁺ signaling. As with UDP, synergy by either PAF or PAF with C5a was lost in Gαq-deficient BMDM (data not shown). Thus, although these receptors can activate some Ca²⁺ signaling independently of Gαq, synergy with C5a nonetheless requires Gαq activation.

Overall, these results indicate that the simultaneous activation of Gαq and Gαi heterotrimeric receptors results in a synergistic Ca²⁺ response in macrophages. C5aR was the only endogenous Gαi-coupled GPCR on BMDM that we found to be capable of generating a robust Ca²⁺ response independently, and it was also the only receptor that synergized with ligands for Gαq-coupled receptors.

Synergy requires dual receptor occupancy. We next examined the possibility that one ligand might prime cells for subsequent responses, for example by increasing the supply of PIP2, to provide a heightened state of responsiveness to the second stimulus (30). Although synergy was greatest when C5a and UDP were added simultaneously, it was also evident when ligands were added as much as 10 min apart. The sequence of addition was irrelevant. Fig. 4A shows the results for ligands separated by 100 sec. However, removal of the first ligand during the interim eliminated synergy (Fig. 4B). Thus,
if either ligand primes the synergistic response, this effect is rapidly lost. Functionally, synergy requires simultaneous receptor occupancy by both ligands.

Synergy affects the initial release of Ca\(^{2+}\) from intracellular stores and IP\(_3\) production. Synergy between C5a and UDP affected the early rise in \([Ca^{2+}]_i\), suggesting an effect on the release of intracellular calcium stores. To test this, the Ca\(^{2+}\) responses to C5a and UDP, either alone or in combination, were measured after acute addition of EGTA to deplete extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\). Synergy occurred in the presence of EGTA, confirming an effect on the release of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) (Fig. 4C). Without EGTA, however, synergy also extended to the sustained phase response, which is dependent on the influx of extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\). Thus, synergy between C5a and UDP begins with the release of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) stores but extends to the influx of extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\).

The release of Ca\(^{2+}\) from intracellular stores is activated by IP\(_3\) binding to IP\(_3\) receptors on the endoplasmic reticulum to open ER calcium channels (1). Simultaneous stimulation of BMDM with C5a and UDP, in amounts that produced a synergistic Ca\(^{2+}\) response also resulted in synergy in the production of IP\(_3\) (Fig. 4D), suggesting synergistic mechanisms are manifest at the level of PLC\(\beta\) activation. Levels of IP\(_3\) measured at 30 sec and 1 min after ligand additions were increased. Ca\(^{2+}\) levels began to decline while IP\(_3\) was still rising, indicating that levels of \([Ca^{2+}]_i\) are not solely regulated by levels of IP\(_3\).

The synergistic Ca\(^{2+}\) response is independent of feedback pathways involving P13-kinase (PI3K) or PKC. Downstream of GPCR activation, PLC\(\beta\) may be regulated by other signaling components, including those generated following the activation of PI3K (by G\(\beta\gamma\) subunits) or of PKC (by DAG) (5). In our studies, however, inhibition of PI3K by LY294002 or of PKC by Calphostin C or staurosporine did not significantly affect synergy (supplemental Fig. S4). The activity of the inhibitors was confirmed by inhibition of Akt or MARCKS phosphorylation (supplemental Fig. S3). These data are further evidence that an early signaling event is involved in the mechanism of synergy.

C5a and UDP make selective use of PLC\(\beta\) isoforms. To examine the role of PLC\(\beta\) in the signaling response to C5a and UDP, we first determined levels of transcripts for PLC\(\beta\) isoforms in RAW264.7 cells and in BMDMs. By both microarray analysis (data not shown) and by RT-PCR (Fig. 5), we found that both cell types express PLC\(\beta\)2, PLC\(\beta\)3, and PLC\(\beta\)4, with little or no PLC\(\beta\)1. At the transcript level, the proportions of these PLC\(\beta\) isoforms, however, differ between RAW264.7 cells and BMDM; normalized to PLC\(\beta\)3, RAW264.7 cells express similar levels of transcripts for PLC\(\beta\)2, PLC\(\beta\)3 and PLC\(\beta\)4, while BMDM express PLC\(\beta\)2 >PLC\(\beta\)3 >PLC\(\beta\)4.

To determine if C5a and/or UDP made selective use of these PLC\(\beta\) isoforms, we examined the Ca\(^{2+}\) response in BMDM from mice genetically deficient in PLC\(\beta\)2, PLC\(\beta\)3, or PLC\(\beta\)4. BMDM from mice deficient in PLC\(\beta\)3 demonstrated a marked loss of signaling in response to all GPCR ligands, including, C5a, UDP, PAF and LPA (Fig. 6 and Table1). Activation of Ca\(^{2+}\) responses, however, was intact in response to ligation of Fc\(\gamma\)RI by crosslinked IgG2a (Fig. 6), demonstrating that macrophages from PLC\(\beta\)3-deficient mice are not deficient in the generation of [Ca\(^{2+}\)], to a non-GPCR ligand. In BMDM from mice deficient in PLC\(\beta\)4, the Ca\(^{2+}\) response to UDP was also consistently reduced, while the response to C5a was slightly elevated (Table 1, Fig. 6). The response to UDP was also partly dependent on PLC\(\beta\)4.

To examine the role of PLC\(\beta\) in RAW264.7 cells, we used RNAi against the different PLC\(\beta\) isoforms. The loss of PLC\(\beta\) isoforms in response to RNAi was incomplete (supplemental Fig. S4), but this approach allowed the testing of a uniform cell line, and it avoided possible developmental effects on macrophages due to PLC\(\beta\) isoform loss. The depletion of PLC\(\beta\)3 from RAW264.7 cells by RNAi reduced signaling by C5a, though not to the same extent as in BMDM genetically deficient in PLC\(\beta\)2 (Table 2). Cells depleted of PLC\(\beta\)3 by RNAi were not deficient in their response to UDP, but RNAi against PLC\(\beta\)4, caused a loss of signaling in response to UDP, with a slight elevation in C5a signaling (Table 2). Thus, signaling by C5a depends mostly on PLC\(\beta\)3 in both BMDM and RAW264.7 cells. Signaling by UDP is partially dependent on PLC\(\beta\)3 in BMDM, but we could not detect this dependency in RAW264.7 cells by RNAi of PLC\(\beta\)3. Signaling by UDP is also dependent on PLC\(\beta\)4 in both BMDM and RAW264.7 cells, while deficiency of PLC\(\beta\)4 augments C5a signaling in both cells.

Ca\(^{2+}\) responses are restored in PLC\(\beta\)3-deficient BMDM reconstituted with PLC\(\beta\)3. Retroviruses were used to transduce wildtype
and PLCβ3-deficient BMDM with either YFP-tagged murine PLCβ3 or control YFP-tagged FLAG cDNAs. Single-cell calcium assays were performed, which allowed identification of transduced cells by YFP fluorescence and comparison of responses by transduced and non-transduced cells (Fig. 6B, C). Reconstitution of PLCβ3-deficient BMDM with PLCβ3 reconstituted the Ca^{2+} response to both C5a and UDP, alone and in combination, indicating that the loss of Ca^{2+} response in the PLCβ3-deficient cells is not due to an associated developmental defect.

Synergistic Ca^{2+} responses also show isoform dependence. We next tested the role of the PLCβ isoforms in synergy between C5a and UDP. In BMDM lacking PLCβ3 or PLCβ4, only those deficient in PLCβ3 were deficient in synergy (Fig. 7A and Table 3), as reflected by a reduced synergy ratio. Because signaling by individual ligands was lower than wildtype in these cells, the predicted additive responses were also lower, but a residual synergistic response was still detected in PLCβ3 deficient cells (Table 3). Thus synergy in Ca^{2+} signaling, like signaling by individual ligands, is primarily dependent on PLCβ3, but some synergy can be seen without it. Notably, lack of PLCβ4 did not reduce synergy in BMDM but instead enhanced it. We conclude that PLCβ3, but not PLCβ4, plays an important role in synergy between these ligands as well as in their individual responses.

As with Ca^{2+} signaling, BMDM lacking PLCβ3, but not PLCβ4, failed to demonstrate synergy in the production of IP3 (Fig. 7B). Thus, studies of both Ca^{2+} and IP3 indicate that synergy in signaling by C5a and UDP is the result of enhanced activity of PLCβ3.

Dual-ligand effects on PI3-kinase contrast to those on PLC. In order to determine if the synergistic effects of C5a plus UDP dual ligand stimulation were reflected in signaling events other than PLC activation, we examined activation of PI3K. Gβγ subunits directly activate PI3K-p110γ (31) and GPCRs can also activate PI3K-p110α and PI3K-p110β (32). The Gαq subunit does not activate PI3K, but instead can interact with and inhibit PI3K-p110α (33,34). Thus, PI3K activity reflects important proximal GPCR signals. To assess activation of PI3K, we measured the phosphorylation of Akt, which requires anchoring of its PH domain to PI3P produced by PI3K at the cell membrane. In BMDM, C5a rapidly activated PI3K, with peak phosphorylation of Akt at ~3 min (data not shown). In contrast, UDP did not activate PI3K, and it inhibited the phospho-Akt response to C5a (Fig. 8). This inhibition of Akt phosphorylation by UDP was at least partially selective, as ERK phosphorylation showed additivity. UDP did not inhibit PI3K activation in response to the crosslinking of FcγRII (data not shown), demonstrating that signaling by UDP did not globally interfere with all forms of PI3K activation. The observation that UDP inhibits PI3K activation by C5a while promoting Ca^{2+} signaling suggests that these pathways are differentially regulated.

The opposing effects of C5a/UDP signal-interactions on PLC and PI3K are reflected in macropinocytosis. Macropinocytosis, the endocytic process whereby cells internalize substantial volumes of extracellular fluid and solutes, is dependent on both PLC and PI3K (35,36), and this ‘sampling’ of the environment contributes to macrophage antigen presentation (37,38). We found that C5a activates macropinocytosis by BMDM, while UDP does not. Macropinocytosis was inhibited by dual-ligand stimulation (Fig. 9), in contrast to synergy for PLC and Ca^{2+} but in parallel with the inhibition of PI3K.

DISCUSSION

Our studies demonstrate the preferential use of PLCβ isoforms by GPCRs in eliciting a Ca^{2+} response in macrophages. Further, they indicate that synergy in signaling by the Gq-coupled C5aR, together with the Gαq-coupled P2Y6 receptor for UDP, depends on a selective use of PLCβ3. Synergy in the Ca^{2+} response to C5a and UDP correlated with synergy in IP3 production, suggesting signal convergence at the level of PLCβ activation. In contrast to Ca^{2+} activation, synergy between C5a and UDP was not observed in PI3K activation. Instead, the activation of PI3K by C5a was opposed by UDP. A similar effect was seen in the activation of macropinocytosis, which is dependent on both PLC and PI3K. Thus, synergy was selective for IP3 production and the Ca^{2+} response, consistent with a selective effect on PLCβ.

The preferential use of PLC isoforms by GPCRs in macrophages did not simply reflect differential levels of expression of transcripts for the PLCβ isoforms. Four isoforms of PLCβ have been identified (4). We found that both BMDM and RAW264.7 cells expressed transcripts for PLCβ2, β3 and β4 but not PLCβ1, as determined by gene array analyses on Affymetrix chips and by RT-PCR. We have not been able to develop assays that adequately quantify differences in protein expression of these PLCβ isoforms, but our results nonetheless suggest that the selective use of PLCβ3 in
macrophages for Ca$^{2+}$ signaling and synergy is despite the expression of PLC$\beta$2 and PLC$\beta$4. Thus, in contrast to platelets and neutrophils, PLC$\beta$3 appears to be the major functional isoform in macrophages. While this paper was in preparation, Wang et al, also reported reduced Ca$^{2+}$ responsiveness to C5a by macrophages from PLC$\beta$3-deficient mice, and they linked this to increased apoptosis, and diminished atherosclerosis (39). Our studies demonstrate that in macrophages UDP can use PLC$\beta$4 as well as PLC$\beta$3, but C5a synergizes with UDP and other activators of G$q$ through signals that converge at the level of PLC$\beta$3, and responsiveness can be restored by transduction of cells with PLC$\beta$3, showing that the defect in signaling does not reflect developmental changes in other pathways.

Synergy in the macrophage Ca$^{2+}$ response was observed both in the initial, rapid release of Ca$^{2+}$ from intracellular stores and in the sustained elevation of cytoplasmic Ca$^{2+}$ levels. This observation is important, as there are examples of ligand interactions that increase [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$, only via the influx of Ca$^{2+}$ through plasma membrane Ca$^{2+}$ channels (40).

Synergy between G$\text{z}i$- and G$\text{q}$-coupled receptors has previously been observed in other cell types. In several systems, including smooth muscles, astrocytes, and kidney epithelial cells, G$q$-coupled GPCRs may alone not trigger a Ca$^{2+}$ response, but responses may be facilitated in combination with, or after priming by, G$q$-coupled receptors (41-43). This synergy is reflected in the generation of IP$_3$, as in our current studies of macrophages, implicating PLC in the pathway of synergy.

Our findings narrow the possible mechanisms by which synergy in Ca$^{2+}$ signaling by macrophages may occur. All PLC$\beta$ isoforms can bind G$\text{q}$ subunits, albeit with differing affinities (44-48), and under certain conditions PLC$\beta$4 demonstrates the highest specific activity for hydrolyzing PIP$_2$ (49). Consistent with this, the absence of PLC$\beta$4 reduced mobilization of [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$ by all ligands that activate G$\text{q}$, including UDP, LPA, and PAF. The loss of PLC$\beta$4, however, did not impair synergy but instead increased it. Thus, PLC$\beta$4 appears to inhibit rather than promote synergy in macrophages. PLC$\beta$2 and $\beta$3 are both potently activated by G$q$y (25,47,50,51), while PLC$\beta$4 is not (49). Although most Ca$^{2+}$ synergy was lost in mice lacking PLC$\beta$3, we could still detect low levels of synergy. We hypothesize that PLC$\beta$2 may be capable of mediating synergy, but in macrophages the contribution of PLC$\beta$2 is small in relation to that of PLC$\beta$3.

In all, these results suggest that synergy between C5a and UDP in Ca$^{2+}$ signaling in macrophages does not require multiple isoforms of PLC$\beta$ but instead involves the convergence of molecular mechanisms that primarily activate PLC$\beta$3, but which may to a lesser extent activate PLC$\beta$2.

Synergy between the G$\text{z}i$ receptor C5aR and G$\text{q}$ receptors, does not establish that G$q$ itself participates in the synergy. G$\beta$y signaling may differ between C5a and UDP, and synergy could reflect interactions between their unique G$\beta$y pathways. Indeed, loss of G$\beta$2 subunits via RNAi disrupts C5a but not UDP Ca$^{2+}$ responses in RAW264.7 cells (52), and data not shown). .

In our studies, C5a synergized not only with UDP, but also with PAF and LPA in stimulating a rise in [Ca$^{2+}$]. Studies of G$q$-deficient BMDM confirmed that both PAF and LPA utilize G$q$, but they also revealed important and interesting differences between these ligands and UDP. Unlike UDP, neither PAF nor LPA was fully dependent on G$q$. The remaining Ca$^{2+}$ signaling with PAF utilized G$\text{z}i$, as interruption of this pathway with Ptx in the absence of G$q$ removed all Ca$^{2+}$ signaling in response to PAF. In contrast, LPA Ca$^{2+}$ signaling was not reduced by Ptx. Instead it was markedly increased. The G proteins used by LPA to elevate [Ca$^{2+}$], in G$q$-deficient BMDM are unknown, but it appears that they are normally inhibited by G$\text{z}i$.

At high ligand concentrations, C5a also demonstrated some Ptx-insensitive activation of Ca$^{2+}$ signaling. We found that this response was still present in G$q$- or G$\alpha$11-deficient mice, suggesting coupling of C5aR to the more promiscuous G$\alpha$15, as has been observed by others (27). However, no Ca$^{2+}$ synergy was observed with the combination of two G$q$-family linked ligands. Optimal synergy was observed at low concentrations of C5a, where the C5a-stimulated Ca$^{2+}$ response was entirely Ptx sensitive, so we infer that the synergy is attributable to the G$\text{z}i$ activation by C5aR. In BMDM, the C5a receptor was the only Ca$^{2+}$ signaling receptor identified that was primarily dependent on G$\text{z}i$.

GPCR-mediated PLC$\beta$ activation can be regulated by positive or negative feedback loops. The PH-domain of PLC$\beta$ preferentially binds to the phosphatidylinositol-3-phosphate (PI3P) product of PI-3K (4) and thus PI3K has the potential to modulate PLC$\beta$ activity. In our studies, however, inhibition of PI3K by LY294002 did not alter the Ca$^{2+}$ synergy, indicating that PI3K does not measurably contribute to synergy. PKC may interact with
PLC at several levels. It can directly phosphorylate PLCβ, inactivating it (53). It can also phosphorylate and regulate signaling via GPCRs, and can phosphorylate some G protein-coupled receptor kinases (GRKs) (54). In our studies, however, inhibition of PKC with either Calphostin C or staurosporine did not alter Ca²⁺ synergy.

The acute nature of the synergy observed (occurring within seconds of dual ligand addition) and the demonstrated requirement for simultaneous dual receptor occupancy argue against the possibility that one receptor might drive ‘priming’ events affecting responses to the second receptor. Mechanisms for synergy reflecting priming effects have been proposed in a number of other systems (55). The immediate synergy in macrophages precludes changes in receptor or other protein expression levels. Alternatively, a priming event could increase the supply of the PLC substrate PIP₂ to enhance production of IP₃ (56-58), and in some cases this has been shown to persist for hours after first ligand stimulation. This synergy mechanism would not require dual receptor occupation during heterologous ligand stimulations of Ca²⁺ unless increases in the supply of PIP₂ were lost rapidly (we tested 3 minutes after 1st ligand removal by which time synergy was lost).

The consequences of combined signaling by C5a and UDP in macrophages may be particularly important in areas of inflammation, where C5a is produced, and where UDP may be released from dying cells (59). C5a in particular plays a central role in inflammation, and consequences of Ca²⁺ signaling would be augmented by UDP, while consequences of PI3K activation would be inhibited. The recent report describing a reduction of atherosclerosis in PLCβ3-deficient mice, due to macrophage hypersensitivity to apoptotic induction, links inflammatory outcome to Ca²⁺ signaling and survival in macrophages (39).
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Table 1. Single-ligand Ca²⁺ responses in PLCβ isotype-deficient BMDM. BMDMs derived from 4-7 individual PLCβ-deficient (-/-) mice per isoform were subjected to Ca²⁺ assays for near maximal concentrations of several GPCR ligands: C5α (10 nM), UDP (2.5 µM), LPA (2.5 µM), and PAF (12.5 nM). Three to four assays per cell population & ligand were performed, with 3-4 replicate samples per assay. Responses were normalized to the matched WT BMDMs in each assay and the table reports the average response of each isotype -/- as a % of WT response. Values are shown for peak-offset and integration to 60 sec measurements of the Ca²⁺ responses. PLCβ3-deficient BMDM showed reduced responsiveness to four GPCR ligands but not following ligation of FcγRI (FCG). PLCβ4-deficient BMDM showed a reduced Ca²⁺ response phenotype for UDP only. * p<0.05, ** p<0.005.

Table 2. RNAi against PLCβ3 and PLCβ4 in RAW264.7 reduces Ca²⁺ responses to C5α and UDP respectively. RNAi against PLCβ isoforms in RAW264.7 cells was performed by lentiviral-mediated RNAi using shRNA encoding constructs. Control lines lacking only shRNA were prepared and analyzed in parallel with each RNAi line. Ca²⁺ assays were performed with C5α (30nM) and UDP (25 µM) and responses were quantified by peak offset and integration to 1 or 2.5 min after ligand addition. Values were normalized to responses of control lines in each assay, and results of replicate lines were pooled to present the average response as a percent of control. Results represent 2-5 lines per target with 3-4 assays per line and 3-4 samples per ligand per assay. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01.
Table 3. Ca\(^{2+}\) response synergy in PLC\(\beta\) isotype-deficient BMDMs. BMDMs derived from individual PLC\(\beta\) isotype-deficient mice were subjected to Ca\(^{2+}\) assays for synergy between C5a and UDP (0.75 nM + 500 nM). Peak-offset values from Ca\(^{2+}\) responses by Fura-2 loaded cells were normalized to corresponding controls in each assay. Data were pooled to report average values as a percent of control (%WT) for the individual ligands and corresponding predicted additive responses. Synergy observed was reported as a percent of the calculated additive Ca\(^{2+}\) response for each cell type. Data were from 6 or 4 independent lines for PLC\(\beta\)3 or PLC\(\beta\)4-deficient cells respectively, with 2-4 replicate assays per population and 3-4 samples per condition per assay. * p<0.05, ** p<0.005.

Fig 1. UDP and C5a produce a synergistic Ca\(^{2+}\) response in macrophages. Intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) levels were calculated for Fura-2-loaded adherent macrophage populations from kinetic assays in 96-well plates. After 40 sec baseline readings, ligands were added and responses were monitored for 2.5 min. Each line in the graphs represents the average of 3-4 individual wells per assay and the error bars (SEM) are shown for the dual-ligand line in each graph. Synergy was evaluated by comparing the experimentally observed dual ligand responses to the predicted additive responses of the individual ligands. The dual-ligand response was quantified as the ratio of the observed/predicted additive responses and the term ‘synergy ratio’ was applied to the ratio of the peak offsets (PO = peak height – baseline).

A. RAW264.7 cells were stimulated with UDP (2.5 \(\mu\)M), C5a (10 nM) or simultaneous UDP and C5a. Synergy ratio = 1.38. This is a representative experiment of n=12 with similar results.

B. BMDM were stimulated with UDP (500 nM), C5a (0.37 nM) or simultaneous UDP and C5a. Synergy ratio = 2.62. This is a representative experiment of >25 with similar results.

C. Dose-response pattern for simultaneous UDP and C5a-stimulated Ca\(^{2+}\) responses quantified by synergy ratio. Ligand concentrations are expressed as log(nM). The optimal synergy ratio was at ~ 300 nM UDP + 0.3 nM C5a. The surface was interpolated from 84 individual experiments composed of 15 samples each.

Fig 2. Synergy Requires G\(\alpha\)q- and G\(\alpha\)i-heterotrimer subunit effectors. Intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) responses were measured in Fura-2-loaded BMDMs.

A. C5a Ca\(^{2+}\) responses are mostly Ptx sensitive. BMDM cultured overnight with or without Ptx (100 ng/ml) were stimulated with different concentrations of C5a (0.33 to 10 nM), or a single concentration of UDP (2.5 \(\mu\)M), and the peak offset of the Ca\(^{2+}\) responses was determined. Shown is a representative experiment of 7 with similar results. Values are mean +/-SEM of 3-4 replicate samples per condition. * p<0.01.

B. UDP responses are G\(\alpha\)q-dependent. Wildtype (WT), G\(\alpha\)q heterozygote (+/-) and G\(\alpha\)q-deficient (-/-) BMDM were stimulated with either UDP (2.5 \(\mu\)M) or C5a (10 nM). Peak-offsets of responses are shown normalized to those of the wildtype cells from each experiment. Values are mean +/-SEM from 3 experiments. * p<0.001.

C. Quantitative RT-PCR for G\(\alpha\)q family isoforms q, 11, and 15 was performed on RAW264.7 cell and BMDM samples to determine relative prevalence. Transcript levels were normalized to those for G\(\alpha\)q for the same cell type. Data shown are mean +/- SEM from n=3 samples per cell.

D. Synergy following dual ligand stimulation requires both G\(\alpha\)q and G\(\alpha\)i subunits. Wildtype (WT) or G\(\alpha\)q-deficient (-/-) BMDM were stimulated with UDP (500nM), C5a (0.75 nM), or simultaneous UDP and C5a. WT cells were cultured overnight with or without Ptx (100 ng/ml). Data shown are from a representative experiment of 3-4 with similar results. Each line in the graphs represents the average of 3-4 individual wells in the assay.

Fig 3. LPA and PAF also show synergy with C5a in Ca\(^{2+}\) responses, and they couple mainly with G\(\alpha\)q. Intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) responses were measured in Fura-2 loaded BMDMs. Each line in the graphs represents the average of 3-4 individual wells per assay.

A. BMDM were stimulated with C5a (0.25 nM), LPA (0.25 nM) or simultaneous C5a and LPA. Data shown are from a representative experiment of n=18 with similar results.

B. BMDM were stimulated with C5a (0.25 nM), PAF (0.3 nM) or simultaneous C5a and PAF. Data shown are from a representative experiment of n=17 with similar results.

C. WT or G\(\alpha\)q-/- BMDM were stimulated with UDP (10 \(\mu\)M), PAF (12.5 nM) or LPA (2.5 \(\mu\)M). Data shown are from a representative experiment of n=8-14 with similar results.

D. WT or G\(\alpha\)q-/- BMDM were cultured overnight with or without Ptx and then stimulated with PAF (12.5 nM) or LPA (2.5 \(\mu\)M). Data shown are from a representative experiment of 4-5 with similar results.
Fig 4. C5a and UDP produce synergistic Ca^{2+} responses when added serially, but synergy requires dual ligand receptor occupancy. Intracellular Ca^{2+} responses were measured in Fura-2-loaded BMDM. Each line in the graphs represents the average of 3-4 individual wells per assay.

A. Serial addition of stimuli to BMDM provides synergy. C5a (0.75 nM), UDP (500 nM) or HBSS were added at the first time point (arrow 1), and after a 100 sec delay UDP or C5a was added at the second time point (arrow 2). The first stimulus was not removed prior to addition of the second.

B. Serial stimulation of BMDM does not provide synergy if the first ligand is removed prior to addition of the second. Either UDP (500 nM) or HBSS was added to the cells and incubated for 2 min. The buffer was then left in the wells another 3 min or the buffer was removed, the cells washed, and fresh buffer replaced in the wells. Either C5a (0.75 nM), C5a + UDP (0.75 nM + 500 nM), or HBSS was then added to the wells (2nd addition, arrow labeled “2”, 5 min delay from 1st addition, thus 3 minute delay after 1st ligand removal for washed samples), and the results of the second response period are shown. The left panel depicts responses to the 2nd stimulus when the first ligand remains. The right panel depicts responses to the 2nd ligand in the absence of the 1st ligand.

C. Synergy was observed in the release of Ca^{2+} from intracellular stores. Each line in the graphs represents the average of 3-4 individual wells per assay. HBSS or EGTA (2 mM) was added to assay wells 30 sec prior to C5a (0.75 nM), UDP (500 nM) or simultaneous C5a and UDP.

D. IP3 responses of BMDMs. Cells were stimulated with C5a (10 nM), UDP (2.5 µM), or simultaneous C5a and UDP for 0, 30 sec, or 1 min and signaling was stopped by cell lysis in perchloric acid as described for IP3 measurements. IP3 was measured using a competitive binding assay for the IP3 receptor and results are reported as pmol / 10^6 cells. Values shown are mean +/- SEM from n=5-10 samples per condition.

Fig 5. Selective use of the PLCβ3 and β4 isoforms in GPCR signaling in BMDM did not correlate with higher levels of expression. Quantitative RT-PCR for PLCβ isoforms 1, 2, 3, and 4 was performed on RAW264.7 cell and BMDM samples to determine relative prevalence. Transcript levels were normalized to those for PLCβ3 for the same cell type. Data shown are mean +/- SEM from n=3-4 samples per cell. Little to no expression of PLCβ1 mRNA was observed, as shown.

Fig 6. PLCβ isoform-dependence of Ca^{2+} responses.

A. Ca^{2+} responses for C5a, UDP, LPA and PAF are reduced in PLCβ3-deficient BMDM compared to wildtype, but only the UDP response is reduced in PLCβ4-deficient BMDM. Intracellular Ca^{2+} responses were measured in Fura-2-loaded BMDM. Cells were stimulated with near-maximal doses of the 4 ligands tested: C5a (10 nM), UDP (10 µM), LPA (2.5 µM) or PAF (12.5 nM), or by FcγR cross-linking (cells preloaded with 5 µg/ml IgG2a, then treated with 44 µg/ml F(ab’')2 antibody fragments of rabbit anti-mlgG). Each line in the graphs represents the average of 3-4 individual wells per assay. Representative experiments are shown for matched wildtype versus PLCβ3- or PLCβ4-deficient (-/-) cells from n=8-33 assays with similar results. Assays were performed on 12 PLCβ3-deficient and 4 PLCβ4-deficient BMDM cultures which were independently derived.

B. Expression of PLCβ3 in PLCβ3-deficient BMDM restores single-ligand Ca^{2+} responses. Single cell Ca^{2+} assays were performed on wildtype (WT) or PLCβ3-deficient BMDM transduced with retrovirus encoding YFP-FLAG or YFP-PLCβ3. Cells were stimulated with C5a (10 nM) and peak-offset features of the Ca^{2+} traces calculated. Responses by transduced cells were measured in multiple assays of each of 2 independent batches of infected BMDM. Values shown are mean +/- SEM from n=3-9 samples per condition. *p<0.05.

C. Expression of PLCβ3 in PLCβ3-deficient BMDM restores dual-ligand Ca^{2+} responses to levels observed in WT BMDM. Single cell Ca^{2+} assays were performed on wildtype (WT) or PLCβ3-deficient BMDM transduced with retrovirus encoding YFP-FLAG or YFP-PLCβ3. Cells were stimulated with C5a (0.75 nM), UDP (500 nM), or C5a+UDP, and the Ca^{2+} responses were measured by integration over 2.5 min. Responses by transduced cells were measured in multiple assays of each of 3 independent batches of infected BMDM. Values shown are mean +/- SEM from n=11-17 samples per condition. *p<0.05.

Fig 7. The synergistic Ca^{2+} response shows selective use of the PLCβ3 isoform. Matched wildtype (+/+) versus PLCβ3- or PLCβ4-deficient (-/-) BMDMs were assayed for their ability to reflect synergistic responses to C5a plus UDP.

A. Intracellular Ca^{2+} responses were measured in Fura-2-loaded BMDM. Each line in the graphs represents the average of 3-4 individual wells per assay. Cells were stimulated with C5a (0.75 nM), UDP
(500 nM) or both ligands. Data shown are from representative experiments of n=9-19 with similar results.

B. IP3 production in PLCβ isoform-deficient BMDM. Cells were stimulated with C5a (10 nM), UDP (2.5 µM), or simultaneous C5a and UDP as indicated, and signaling was stopped by cell lysis at 1 min after stimulation. IP3 was measured using a competitive binding assay for the IP3 receptor, and results are reported as pmol / 10^6 cells. Data represent pooled results from 2-4 assays with 2 replicate samples per condition per assay. * p<0.005.

Fig 8. UDP and other Gαq-coupled ligands antagonize C5a stimulation of PI3-kinase. Adherent BMDM were stimulated with C5a (10 nM), UDP (10 µM), LPA (2.5 µM), PAF (50 nM), C5a plus UDP, C5a plus LPA or C5a plus PAF. After 1 min of stimulation, the assay was stopped by sample lysis. Western blots were probed with specific antibodies and were quantified by using a phosphor-imager. Phosphoprotein values were normalized to levels of RhoGDI in the samples and then expressed as a fold-increase above the baseline level, represented by the average of control cell samples not stimulated with specific ligands. Data are shown for P-Akt and P-ERK from nine replicate experiments as mean+/-SEM. * p<0.05, ** p<0.01.

Fig 9. UDP inhibits C5a-stimulated macropinocytosis. BMDM were stimulated with or without C5a (0, 0.3, 0.75, 2.5 nM) in the presence or absence of UDP (0, 0.5, 2.5 µM) in assays of macropinocytosis. Uptake of extracellular FITC-dextran was assessed by cytometry and normalized to the positive control (C5a 0.75 nM) in each assay (arbitrary units, AU) for summary purposes. Values show the mean +/- SEM from 8 experiments (n=4-8 per condition).
Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure of Response</th>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>WT</th>
<th>PLCβ2-/-</th>
<th>PLCβ3-/-</th>
<th>PLCβ4-/-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peak offset</td>
<td>C5a</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>118±19</td>
<td>20±4**</td>
<td>104±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UDP</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>150±32</td>
<td>44±3**</td>
<td>81±6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LPA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>109±13</td>
<td>24±4**</td>
<td>86±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAF</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>136±4*</td>
<td>38±4**</td>
<td>94±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FCG</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>114±12</td>
<td>104±10</td>
<td>87±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Integrated 60s</td>
<td>C5a</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>131±28</td>
<td>18±3**</td>
<td>105±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UDP</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>159±40</td>
<td>44±3**</td>
<td>73±6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LPA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98±10</td>
<td>28±5**</td>
<td>89±13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PAF</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>142±9*</td>
<td>34±3**</td>
<td>94±9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RNAi Target</th>
<th># Lines</th>
<th>C5a Peak Offset</th>
<th>Integrated 1m</th>
<th>Integrated 2.5m</th>
<th>UDP Peak Offset</th>
<th>Integrated 1m</th>
<th>Integrated 2.5m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PLCβ2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>82**</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLCβ3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>61*</td>
<td>46*</td>
<td>62*</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLCβ4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>125**</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>88*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BMDM</th>
<th>C5a (%WT)</th>
<th>UDP (%WT)</th>
<th>Calculated Additive (%WT)</th>
<th>Synergy Observed % of Calculated Additive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>264±33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLCβ3/-</td>
<td>25±11**</td>
<td>41±5**</td>
<td>34±5**</td>
<td>158±21*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLCβ4/-</td>
<td>103±5</td>
<td>61±5*</td>
<td>78±6*</td>
<td>315±38*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 5

A bar graph showing the mRNA level (normalized) for different isoforms of PLCβ in RAW264.7 and BMDM cells. The y-axis represents the mRNA level, and the x-axis represents the cell types. The graph includes bars for PLCβ1, PLCβ2, PLCβ3, and PLCβ4, with error bars indicating the variability of the data.
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A

[Graphs showing time course of 
Ca^{2+} ]_i for PLCβ3+/+ and PLCβ3/-/ with C5a (0.75 nM), UDP (500 nM), and C5a+UDP.

B

[Bar graph showing IP3 levels (pmol/10^6 cells) for WT, PLCβ3/-/, and PLCβ4/-/ under baseline, UDP, C5a, UDP+C5a conditions with error bars. * indicates statistical significance.]
Figure 8

The bar chart shows the phosphorylation levels of proteins (AKT and ERK) in response to various ligands (UDP, C5a, U+C). The y-axis represents the phosphorylation level (vs control) with values ranging from 0 to 4. The x-axis lists the ligands. The chart includes error bars indicating the standard error of the mean. Statistical significance is noted with asterisks: ** for AKT and * for ERK, indicating a significant difference compared to control.
Figure 9

![Bar chart showing uptake of UDP at different concentrations of C5a. The x-axis represents [UDP] in μM, with values 0.0, 0.5, 2.5, 0.0, 0.5, 2.5, 0.0, 0.5, 2.5. The y-axis represents Uptake in AU, ranging from 0.0 to 1.0. The chart includes bars for C5a 0 nM, C5a 0.3 nM, C5a 0.75 nM, and C5a 2.5 nM. The error bars indicate the standard deviation.
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