INTRODUCTION

The neural crest has long attracted the attention of developmental biologists because these cells migrate over extensive distances to reach a variety of stereotyped target sites and subsequently give rise to a plethora of different cell types, including sensory neurons, autonomic neurons, glial cells, pigment cells, endocrine cells and connective and supportive tissue (Le Douarin, 1982; Hall and Hörstadius, 1988). It is well established that some derivatives arise only from restricted positions along the rostrocaudal axis. For instance, sympathetic neurons are derived solely from trunk and upper lumbar neural crest, whereas parasympathetic neurons are generated from hindbrain and sacral neural crest cells. Additionally, only cranial neural crest progenitors will form supportive tissues of the head, such as cartilage and bone (Le Douarin, 1982).

How does the neural crest generate such diverse derivatives that vary according to their rostrocaudal position of origin? One possibility is that the premigratory neural crest may be a heterogeneous population, containing single cells that are committed to a specific lineage or to a subset of neural crest derivatives. In this model, neural crest cells might choose their migratory pathways in accordance with specific environmental cues, as is the case during pigment pattern formation of certain amphibian embryos (Epperlein and Löfberg, 1990). According to this model, rostrocaudal differences in neural crest derivatives would be due to the presence or absence of specific neural crest precursors in a region-specific manner.

An alternative possibility, supported by various data, is that neural crest cells are initially multipotent and become progressively committed to their fates as they migrate to, or after they reach, their destinations. Evidence comes from experiments in the trunk of chick embryos where individual neural crest precursors were shown to contribute to multiple neural crest derivatives (Bronner-Fraser and Fraser, 1988; 1989; Selleck and Bronner-Fraser, 1995). Furthermore, clonal...
analyses in tissue culture support the idea that single cranial neural crest cells can form derivatives as diverse as neurons and cartilage (Baroffio et al., 1991). It appears that the fate of such multipotent precursors can be influenced by a variety of growth factors, including BMPs and neuregulins, which induce adrenergic (Varley et al., 1995; Shah et al., 1996; Reissman et al., 1996) and glial derivatives (Shah et al., 1994), respectively.

Possible explanations for the rostrocaudal difference in cartilage-forming ability of the neural crest include (i) region-specific differences in pathway/target-derived instructive cues, and/or (ii) premigratory differences between cranial and trunk neural crest precursors with respect to their chondrogenic potential. Thus, neural crest cells in the head may differentiate into cartilage because the developing head contains cartilage-inducing signals not present at other levels of the developing embryo. Indeed, the importance of pharyngeal endoderm for the differentiation of visceral cartilage from cranial neural crest cells has been shown in urodele embryos in vivo (Hörstadius and Sellman, 1946) and in vitro (Epperlein and Lehmann, 1975; Graveson and Armstrong, 1987). There appears to be no such cartilage-inducing signal in the trunk.

While these results suggest that pathway- or target-specific cues influence neural crest differentiation into cartilage, there is also evidence for differences in chondrogenic potential between cranial and trunk neural crest. In one classic study on urodele embryos (HörstADIUS and Sellman, 1946), a preliminary fate map of the cranial crest was made using vital dyes to follow the normal patterns of cranial neural crest cell migration (see Fig. 1). HörstADIUS and Sellman’s main finding was that neural crest cells destined for different branchial arches (the mandibular arch, the hyoid arch and four gill arches) arise from specific rostrocaudal levels of the neural folds. Using heterotopic grafting of head and trunk neural fold fragments, they challenged the fate of different neural crest populations and found that trunk neural crest grafted into the head fails to migrate in an orderly fashion and was not observed to form cartilage, confirming the results of Raven (1931). Furthermore, pharyngeal endoderm was not able to induce cartilage formation from neural crest cells when grafted to the trunk (HörstADIUS and Sellman, 1946). Together, these data suggest that cranial neural crest differentiates into cartilage, but trunk crest will not, because of intrinsic differences in chondrogenic potential between the two, in addition to specific pathway-derived cues present only in the head. Because of the wealth of experimental embryology performed on the neural crest in urodeles, this system bears revisiting using improved vital dyes and newly available molecular markers.

The axolotl embryo offers many molecular markers. The axolotl embryo offers many advantages over other vertebrate embryos for examining the potential and commitment of neural crest cells, because at early stages of development the entire cranial neural plate and neural folds are distinct and amenable to extensive microsurgical manipulation. In this study, we have constructed a new fate map of the cranial neural crest in the axolotl using two complementary methods for cell labeling. In some experiments, neural fold cells were labeled with DiI, a lipophilic fluorescent dye that has been used extensively (Honig and Hume, 1989) in a number of species to follow neural crest migration and derivatives (Serbedzija et al., 1989; 1992; Collazo et al., 1993). As a second marker, we have examined cranial neural crest cell migration by the expression of AP-2, a transcription factor expressed by this population (Mitchell et al., 1991; Shen et al., 1997).

In addition to confirming most aspects of the fate map of HörstADIUS and Sellman (1946), we provide evidence for a segmental origin and migration of neural crest cells from the hindbrain. Grafting experiments within the rhombencephalic (posterior cranial) neural folds demonstrate that a small transposition of neural crest leads to cells migrating to a new branchial arch, suggesting that premigratory neural crest are not committed to a specific target site. In other experiments, we rotated entire cranial neural folds and found that when cells are displaced far from their original location, they appear unable to respond appropriately to their new milieu. Most notably, when trunk neural folds are heterotopically grafted into the head, they migrate in a highly disorganized fashion and fail to follow normal cranial crest pathways. Despite the random nature of their migration, we find incorporation of some trunk cells into branchial arch cartilage. These results suggest that, despite inherent differences in migratory ability between head and trunk neural crest cells, trunk neural crest can differentiate into cranial cartilage when given proper instructive cues.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Embryos**
Wild-type (dark, D/—), white mutant (dd), and albino (aa) embryos of the Mexican axolotl (Ambystoma mexicanum) were obtained from the axolotl colony in Bloomington, Indiana, USA. The embryos were kept in tap water at room temperature or at 7–8°C and were staged according to the normal table of Bordzilovskaya et al. (1989).

**DiI injections into neural folds**
CellTracker CM-DiI (C-7000; Molecular Probes) and CellTracker Green CMFDA (C-7025; Molecular Probes) were dissolved in absolute ethanol to a concentration of 1 mg/ml and further diluted in 4 or 9 parts of 10% sucrose in water just before starting the injections. Glass micropipettes were backfilled with the DiI solution and attached to a Parker Hannifin Corporation Picospritzer II assembly. DiI was injected into (A) selected parts of the head neural fold of dark neurulae (stage 15/16) or (B) grafted head or trunk neural fold fragments of dark neurulae (stages 15–17). CellTracker Green was used only in posterior head neural fold reversals (see below).

**A. Cranial neural crest fate mapping experiments**
Embryos (stage 15/16) were dipped briefly in 70% ethanol, washed thoroughly with sterile Steinberg solution (Steinberg, 1957), decapsulated mechanically and placed into the hollow of an agar dish filled with Steinberg saline.

Labeling of the entire cranial neural folds with DiI (6 cases)
Zones 1–7 (Figs 1 and 5) of the left or right head neural fold were labeled with DiI to examine the movement of the entire neural crest population. DiI injections were monitored with a Leica MZFLIII epifluorescence stereomicroscope. 1–2.5 days after injection, living embryos were observed with an epifluorescence microscope. Fluorescent and bright-field images were captured and processed as described below.

Focal injection of DiI into three distinct sites in a single embryo (6 cases)
DiI was focally injected at three separate sites (zones 3, 4 and 6) of the cranial neural fold in order to investigate migration and
pathfinding of small groups of neural crest cells in living embryos. Images of fluorescently labeled cells were obtained and processed as described below.

**Focal injections of Dil into a single site (12 cases)**

Dil was injected into one or three adjacent spots at zones 4-7 of the head neural fold in order to study internal migration and localization of neural crest cells in transverse sections. (1) (stage 25), 2 (stage 30/31) and 3 days (stage 35/36) after the injection, embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) in 0.1 M PBS overnight. After washing in PBS, vibratome sections were made, stained as indicated below (‘histology’) and examined with an epifluorescence microscope.

**B. Injections into grafted tissue**

For grafting experiments, neurulae were sterilized as indicated above. Head or trunk neural fold fragments were removed under sterile conditions using tungsten needles and grafted to the appropriate location. After a short time, to permit healing of the operated region, Dil was expelled onto the surface of the graft while viewed under epifluorescence optics.

**Heterotopic grafting experiments**

**A. Head neural fold reversals**

Three types of head neural fold reversals were carried out at the neurula stage (stage 15) of dark embryos. (a) Anterior head neural fold reversals (5 cases): fragments comprising zones 1-4 were explanted and inserted in the reversed orientation (4→1; 4 anterior, 1 posterior); (b) posterior head neural fold reversals (16 cases): fragments comprising zones 5-7 were excised and inserted in the reversed orientation (7→5; 7 anterior, 5 posterior); (c) unilateral full length cranial fold reversals (8 cases): the right cranial neural fold (zones 1-7) was excised and regrafted in a reversed orientation to the left side of a host embryo and vice versa (7→1; 7 anterior, 1 posterior).

In all experiments, the graft was subsequently labeled with Dil. In most cases, the entire length of the grafted fold was labeled, while in other experiments, two spots of Dil were made into the transplanted fold. In some of the posterior cranial neural fold reversals, Dil injections were made into the anterior end of the graft and Cell-Tracker Green was injected at the new posterior end.

**B. Trunk neural fold grafts to head (about 8 cases)**

Mid-trunk neural fold fragments from donor neurulae (stages 15-18) were grafted heterotopically into zones 5-7 of host embryos from which these cranial areas had been extirpated. The grafts were injected with Dil shortly after the operation. Embryos were grown to desired stages (up to stage 34 or 38) and the distribution of Dil-labeled cells was examined both in wholemounts and transverse vibratome sections. In some cases, sections were also labeled with DAPI (0.1-1 µg/ml in PBS) to mark cell nuclei. To determine whether any mesodermal cells were inadvertently included in trunk neural fold fragments grafted to the head, vibratome sections (100 µm) were stained with the 12/101 monoclonal antibody (a skeletal muscle marker; DSHB, Iowa, USA), followed by an FITC-conjugated goat-anti-rabbit secondary antibody. In some instances, Dil-labeled cells were found within cartilaginous condensations and a number of such sections were immunostained with an anti-6-chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan antibody (anti 6-CSPG; ICN, Eschwege). Vibratome sections were pre-treated with chondroitinase ABC (2 units/ml) for 45 minutes, followed by a 1 hour incubation in anti 6-CSPG antibody. Sections were then incubated in a FITC-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody.

**Image analysis**

Whole embryos and sections were analyzed with an epifluorescence microscope and images were recorded with a Spot camera. Using Adobe Photoshop software, the contrast and brightness of captured images were optimized, and bright-field images of whole embryos were combined with the corresponding fluorescence (DiI) images. Separate images were captured from sectioned material for Dil labeling (neural crest cells), anti-fibronectin staining (tissue borders), anti-6-CSPG staining (cartilaginous condensations), 12/101 staining (trunk mesoderm) and DAPI staining (cell nuclei), before being superimposed to produce a single image.

**RESULTS**

**Migratory pathways of cranial neural crest in the Mexican axolotl**

We performed a series of experiments to confirm the accuracy of the Hörstadius and Sellman (1946) cranial neural crest fate maps (Fig. 1), using modern dye-labeling techniques. Cranial neural fold cells were marked with Dil, either by labeling the entire neural folds, or by making small focal injections of Dil into small groups of cells.

**Whole neural fold labeling with Dil**

In six different dark neurulae (stage 15/16), the entire left head neural fold (corresponding to zones 1-7 in Fig. 1) was labeled with a contiguous line of Dil (Fig. 2A). Approximately 1, 1.5, 2 and 2.5 days after dye injection, the distribution of Dil in the developing embryos was examined and recorded.

At various time points after labeling it was possible to distinguish (i) a relatively wide, longitudinal band of labeled cells on the dorsum of the embryo and (ii) a number of distinct narrow streams of labeled cells emanating from the dorsal neural tube. The dorsal band of labeled cells comprised of derivatives of the labeled neural fold, including epidermal...
cells, neural tube cells and premigratory neural crest precursors. As development proceeded, the rostrocaudal extent of labeled cells in this band appeared to increase, presumably as a consequence of convergence and extension movements.

Neural crest cells appeared as four dorsoventrally oriented streams of cells. Each was distinct and separated from the others, at least along the distal two-thirds of their migratory pathway, on the ventral aspect of the embryo. The streams appeared in a temporal sequence, with anterior-most neural crest cells migrating prior to posterior-most cells.

About 1 day after dye injection, neural crest cells were observed migrating around the anterior and posterior margins of the eye (Fig. 2B). Whereas the anterior-most cells probably contribute to tissues of the eye itself and adjacent anterior head mesenchyme, the posterior stream contains putative first branchial arch (maxillary and mandibular) neural crest cells. 1.5 days after dye injection, first branchial arch neural crest remained as a coherent stream en route to a peri-stomodeal location. A second, putative hyoid neural crest stream could be seen posterior to the first branchial arch stream (Fig. 2C), followed by a third (1st gill arch) stream. 2 days after dye injection (Fig. 2D), the first branchial arch stream appeared to diverge into two tongues in the vicinity of the developing mouth (presumably into separate maxillary and mandibular arch neural crest cells). In addition to the hyoid stream (which now extends to the ventral portion of the embryo), 2-3 streams of neural crest could be seen advancing into the gill bulges. After 2.5 days (stage 35), the maxillary and mandibular stream became still more distinct, but the general pattern remained unchanged (data not shown).

Although our whole neural fold labeling experiments provided evidence that neural crest cells do not mix along the distal parts of their migratory pathway, we were unable to determine whether mixing of neural crest cells occurs immediately after emigration from the neural folds, in the proximal part of the pathway. One reason for this is that labeled neural folds give rise to epidermal cells, which migrate over the dorsal part of the embryo, making it difficult to visualize underlying labeled neural crest cells.

**Focal injection of DiI into the cranial neural folds**
While DiI labeling of the entire cranial neural fold provides a good over-view of neural crest migration in the head, it gives
no indication of the precise origin of neural crest cells destined for different branchial arches with respect to the 7 cranial zones (Fig. 1). For this reason, we performed a series of focal injections of DiI into three neural fold sites (corresponding approximately to zones 3, 4 and 6; Fig. 2E) of early, stage 15/16 neurulae. Embryos were grown for a further 2.5 days (Fig. 2F-H). In these labeling experiments, we tested whether small regions of neural fold give rise to neural crest cells populating single or multiple branchial arches.

Neural crest cells lying within zone 3 became distributed mainly around the eye. In contrast, cells derived from zone 4 migrated into the position of the prospective maxillary/mandibular arch and those from zone 6 into the hyoid arch. These results indicate that the first and second branchial arches each receives neural crest cells from small, distinct regions of the neural folds. Since these experiments employed focal injections of DiI, fewer epidermal cells were labeled, allowing us to observe the underlying neural crest cells more clearly. We observed that neural crest cells close to the neural tube do not undergo extensive mixing.

Transverse sections through the head of focally injected embryos

Neurulae that had received a single or three adjacent injections into zones 4-7 of the head neural fold were fixed after 1, 2 and 3 days of further development. In vibratome sections through the head region, DiI labeled cells were found at various sites between fore- to hindbrain levels (Fig. 3), for example at the eye and ear vesicles, in the prospective pharynx and heart region and in regions of visceral cartilage. One of the most important findings from these experiments was that migrating cranial neural crest streams split into two divisions, one of which migrates into the branchial arches adjacent to the ectoderm (presumably giving rise to pigment cells), the other migrating along the pharyngeal endoderm (Fig. 3D). Since pharyngeal endoderm is known to be important for cartilage formation (Hörstadius and Sellman, 1946; Epperlein and Lehmann, 1975; Graveson and Armstrong, 1987), these deeper neural crest cells most likely form cartilage of the visceral arches.

Migration and differentiation of cranial neural crest cells in whole mounts using the AP-2 transcription factor as a neural crest cell marker

As an independent confirmation of our DiI fate mapping experiments, we analyzed the migration of neural crest cells using expression of AP-2 as a marker. AP-2 is a transcription factor expressed in neural crest cells in mice, frogs and chicks (Mitchell et al., 1991; Shen et al., 1997).

Cloning and sequence analysis of *Ambystoma* AP-2

We cloned an *Ambystoma* AP-2 fragment with highest homology to mouse AP-2 and human AP-2 alpha, indicating...
it is the axolotl AP-2 alpha (GenBank accession number AF209775). The published *Xenopus* AP-2 sequence (Winning et al., 1991) also has high homology with these genes.

In situ hybridizations with AP-2 riboprobe

In stage 18 embryos (late neurulae), we find expression of AP-2 transcripts in dorsoomedial parts of the head and anterior trunk neural folds. Although the neural folds are labeled along the entire rostrocaudal axis, we find higher levels of staining in the cranial versus trunk region (Fig. 4A,B). In addition to the neural fold staining, a second domain of weaker staining is found in a stripe of lateral head ectoderm, possibly coinciding with the region of prospective placodal ectoderm.

At stage 22 (Fig. 4C) and, even more clearly at stage 25 (Fig. 4D), staining of the neural folds is no longer uniform. Along the rostrocaudal extent of the hindbrain, broader regions of AP-2 expression abut regions of more limited AP-2 expression in an alternating pattern that is reminiscent of the even and odd rhombomeres observed in higher vertebrates. In the transverse plane, AP-2 staining in the dorsal cranial neural tube is continuous with a maxillary/mandibular stream, a more caudal hyoid stream and (at stage 25) a gill-arch stream. On the basis of this staining pattern, we propose that AP-2 may be expressed at higher levels in even (r2, r4, r6) than odd-numbered rhombomeres at this stage. Rhombomere 2 (corresponding to zone 4, Fig. 5) gives rise to first branchial arch neural crest, rhombomere 4 (zone 6, Fig. 5) contributes to the hyoid arch and rhombomere 6 (zone 7, Fig. 5) contributes to the gill arches. Although AP-2 expression appears segmental in the hindbrain, we find continuity in staining in the dorsal midline and on the lateral aspect of the embryo, proximal to the individualized neural crest streams.

Between stages 25 to 33, the overall intensity of AP-2 expression in the branchial arches increases, such that distinct maxillary/mandibular, hyoid and three gill arches can be distinguished in later stages (Fig. 4G). By stage 35 (Fig. 4I), AP-2 appears somewhat down-regulated in all the branchial arches. Concomitant with the diminishing AP-2 staining in the neural folds and branchial arches at these stages is the appearance and gradual increase of label in a group of cells in the lateral wall of the head and anterior trunk neural tube, seen most prominently in I (arrows). Transverse sections F,H and J are overlays of bright-field images of AP-2 staining (blue) with fluorescent images of fibronectin staining (green). The white arrow in F points to the core of a gill arch and two black arrows indicate AP-2-labeled crest cells surrounding it. mx, maxillary arch; mn, mandibular arch; e, otic vesicle; white dots in G, maxillary and mandibular arch neural crest stream; green dots in G, hyoid arch neural crest stream.

**Fig. 4.** In situ hybridization illustrating the distribution pattern of the transcription factor, AP-2. (A,B). Whole-mount and vibratome section, respectively, through a stage 18 embryo after AP-2 staining. AP-2 staining is distributed in the dorsal and medial parts of head and anterior trunk neural folds (A, and asterisk in B) and in a lateral area of the prospective head region (A, and arrow in B). (C–J) Whole mounts and sections illustrating AP-2 staining as a function of time. C (stage 22), D (stage 25), E (stage 31), G (stage 33) and I (stage 35) demonstrate that there is a continuous decrease of AP-2 staining in the neural folds. By stage 22 (C), unlabeled areas become visible in front of rhombomere 2 (r2) and between rhombomeres 2 and 4 (r4) and 4 and 6 (r6). Staining from the dorsal neural tube continues into the neural crest stream with r2 being continuous with the maxillary and mandibular arch streams, r4 with the hyoid arch stream and r6 with a migratory stream common for three gill arches. Concomitant with the decrease of AP-2 staining in the neural folds and branchial arches is the appearance and gradual increase of label in a group of cells in the lateral wall of the head and anterior trunk neural tube, seen most prominently in I (arrows). Transverse sections F,H and J are overlays of bright-field images of AP-2 staining (blue) with fluorescent images of fibronectin staining (green). The white arrow in F points to the core of a gill arch and two black arrows indicate AP-2-labeled crest cells surrounding it. mx, maxillary arch; mn, mandibular arch; e, otic vesicle; white dots in G, maxillary and mandibular arch neural crest stream; green dots in G, hyoid arch neural crest stream.

**Heterotopic grafting experiments**

To investigate whether premigratory neural crest cells within the head neural folds are committed to a particular branchial arch cell fate, we have performed a series of heterotopic grafting experiments in which small lengths of cranial neural fold or entire cranial neural folds were reversed anteroposteriorly. In addition, we grafted trunk neural folds...
Anterior head neural fold reversals

Zones 1-4 of the head neural fold of an axolotl neurula were excised, rotated 180° anteroposteriorly and implanted into the same embryo (Fig. 6A) so that zone 1 was transposed to position 4 and vice versa (Fig. 6B). The entire length of neural fold was labeled with DiI prior to rotation. Since zones 1 and 2 are non-skeletogenic and zone 3 gives rise to anterior trabeculae, only zone 4 normally gives rise to neural crest which has extensively migratory ability.

In these operated embryos DiI-labeled neural crest cells from segment 4, which would normally be fated to form mandibular arch, did not migrate towards the developing mandibular arch as would be appropriate for their level of origin. Thus, the normally migratory segment 4 cells appeared to lose their migratory ability in this new environment. Neural fold cells from segment 1, which normally exhibit little migratory ability (see Figs 1, 5), failed to give rise to cells for the mandibular stream (Fig. 6B).
Fig. 7. Heterotopic grafting experiments of trunk neural fold into cranial regions. Midtrunk neural fold fragments labeled with DiI were grafted in place of head neural folds (zones 4-5), which normally contribute to the mandibular/hyoid arches. (A-C) As a function of time after grafting, labeled neural crest cells can be observed emigrating from the graft site; they distribute in a random fashion around the head, including a region above the eye vesicle (arrowheads). Even after 5 days (C) these cells did not align themselves with any of the visceral arch neural crest streams. (D) Transverse sections through head region containing DiI-labeled trunk neural fold graft reveal that some DiI-labeled neural crest cells (red) had migrated in front of and behind the eye vesicle (white arrow), or in areas of developing supportive tissue (bottom of picture). This particular vibratome section was counterstained with DAPI (blue) and immunolabeled with the mesodermal marker 12/101. An absence of 12/101 immunoreactive cells (the green color is background fluorescence) reveals that no trunk mesodermal cells had been inadvertently included in the grafted neural fold fragments, indicating that all DiI-labeled cells were derived from the grafted neural folds. (E) A control section through the trunk of an axolotl embryo at stage 34 stained with a FITC-conjugated secondary antibody against anti-12/101 reveals specific labeling within the somites (arrow). This section was counterstained with DAPI (blue). (F) While it is clear that trunk neural crest cells would not associate with specific cranial neural crest cell streams, they were found within structures derived from the neural crest, such as cartilaginous elements. In this case, labeled cells (arrowhead) were observed within a gill arch (ga) and also within three cartilaginous nodules (arrows). This section was immunolabeled with an antibody recognizing 6-CSPG to reveal the cartilage. nt, neural tube; ga, gill arch.

Posterior head neural fold reversals

Head neural fold areas 5-7 of axolotl neurulae were excised and implanted in a reversed orientation (Fig. 6D), as discussed above, so that zone 5 fold lay at position 7 and zone 7 fold cells at position 5 (Fig. 6C,E,F). DiI was used to mark the entire graft in some cases (Fig. 6C), while in others, the borders of the rotated fragment were labeled with DiI and CellTracker Green.

At the time of analysis, we discovered that the graft had shrunken to varying degrees and had come to lie at slightly different rostrocaudal positions. Nevertheless, we found that displaced neural crest cell progenitors could populate tissues appropriate for their new location, and found no evidence of cells migrating towards their original target tissues. For instance, after rotation, cells within zone 5 migrated into the gill arches (Fig. 6C,E,F) which is a tissue that they do not normally encounter. Similarly, zone 7 cells changed their fate and populated the 1st and 2nd branchial arches after neural fold rotations (Fig. 6E,F). As expected, cells from zone 6 migrated to their normal targets as a result of their minimal displacement. In comparing anterior and posterior neural fold reversals, these results suggest that neural crest cells from regions 4-7 compensate after transplantation to a new environment, whereas anterior non-skeletogenic neural folds (regions 1-2) appear unable to acquire migratory ability after displacement.

Entire head neural fold reversals

Head neural fold fragments comprising regions 1-7 were excised in one neurula and implanted after reversal into the contralateral side of a host neurula (Fig. 6G). As a consequence, cells within zones 1 and 7 come to lie far from their original target site, while neural crest progenitors within zones 3-5 became displaced only minor distances.

Our results indicate that cells at the center of the graft were able to migrate to new targets, consistent with the results above (Fig. 6H,J). In contrast, cells at the rostral and caudal margins of the graft appeared less able to compensate for their displacement and in most cases failed to migrate altogether (Fig. 6L). In one case (Fig. 6K), we found that zone 2/3 cells migrated in close proximity to the eye and, after reaching its dorsal aspect, migrated rostrally towards their original target.

Taken together with the results of small neural fold rotations, our results suggest that premigratory cranial neural crest cells within the neural folds are not committed to a specific branchial arch fate, but can compensate to some extent when displaced short distances from their origin by migrating to a new target tissue. In contrast, when cells are displaced far from their original location, they appear unable to respond appropriately to their new milieu and consequently either fail to migrate or migrate in a disoriented fashion which occasionally brings them to appropriate target sites for their point of origin.

Trunk neural fold fragments

Head neural fold areas 5-7 of wild-type neurulae (stages 15-18) were replaced by midtrunk neural fold fragments in order to study the migratory and differentiative potential of trunk neural crest cells in the head.

In some living embryos, we found that labeled crest cells left the graft caudo-laterally and also became distributed randomly above and behind the eye vesicle (Fig. 7A-C). Even 5 days after
grafting, these cells did not associate with any of the visceral arch neural crest streams, as would the endogenous neural crest cells. Thus, in the axolotl embryo, trunk crest cells can migrate out in the head region but fail to recognize distinct routes into the visceral arches.

Surprisingly, a few trunk neural crest cells did appear to migrate ventrally towards the branchial arches, as seen clearly in sections through grafted embryos (Fig. 7D,F). At stage 34, labeled neural crest cells were observed in front of and behind the eye vesicle and in areas of developing visceral arches (Fig. 7D). Explantation of trunk neural fold material was carried out without obvious contamination by underlying mesoderm, which can be recognized easily by its white color and larger cells. To rule out the possibility that a few mesodermal cells might occasionally have been included in the graft and subsequently migrated in the head, transverse sections through the head region bearing the graft were stained with a monoclonal antibody against mesodermal cells (12/101; Fig. 7E). As demonstrated in Fig. 7D, the mesodermal marker could neither be observed on DiI-labeled cells nor on unlabeled cells. In some experiments (n=4; data not shown), mesoderm was deliberately included in trunk neural fold grafts, but subsequent analysis revealed that such mesoderm fails to migrate away from the cranial grafts site towards the branchial arches. We conclude that the DiI-labeled cells observed adjacent to the eye and in the gill arches are trunk neural crest cells that have reached these sites by random migration.

Strikingly, trunk neural folds grafted into the head demonstrated the ability to form cartilage after heterotopic grafting. By stage 38, cartilage condensations were found within the gill branch cartilaginous condensations (Fig. 7F). DiI-labeled trunk cells were found within the gill branch cartilaginous condensations (Fig. 7F). DiI-labeled cells derived from trunk neural folds became incorporated into these structures and expressed CSPG. Approximately 5-10% of the cells in these cartilage condensations were DiI labeled.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we have revisited, using modern cell markers, the issue of the contribution of the neural crest to the visceral skeleton of urodèles, a topic originally examined more than 60 years ago by Hörstadius. Most of the questions asked by Hörstadius and Sellman (1946) examined environmental versus autonomous control of cartilage determination as well as the effects of heterotopic transplantation of the neural folds on head development. Below, we compare the present results to those of HörstADIUS, to construct a more accurate map of neural crest fate and potential.

**Fate map of the cranial neural crest**

The studies of Hörstadius and Sellman (1946) on the ‘determination of the cartilaginous visceral skeleton of urodèles’ started in 1936/37 in Triturus and Ambystoma. They were based on earlier findings by Landacre (1921), Stone (1922, 1932), Raven (1931), Ichikawa (1933) and later refined by Chibon (1966) in Pleurodeles, another urodele. To establish a preliminary fate map, HörstADIUS and Sellman used vital dyes, as devised by Vogt (1925), to follow the normal patterns of cranial neural crest migration (see Fig. 1). Agar pieces stained with Nile Blue sulfate and Neutral Red were placed directly onto the neural folds of neurulae in order to label presumptive neural crest. The chief findings from these studies are that neural crest cells migrate from the neural folds as distinct streams en route to the branchial arches.

In the present study, focal injections of DiI into the neural folds confirmed these earlier findings by demonstrating a maxillary/mandibular stream, a hyoid stream and 2-3 streams for the gill arches. Furthermore, using focal injections, we show that neural crest cells do not undergo extensive mixing on their initial route of migration (Fig. 2E-H). Furthermore, we show that neural crest cells associate with epithelium as they migrate into the branchial arches. We propose that those neural crest cells associated with the superficial ectoderm develop into pigment cells, while those in contact with the pharyngeal endoderm differentiate into cartilage.

By cloning axolotl AP-2, we have established an excellent molecular marker for the cranial neural crest. In situ hybridization with AP-2 shows the pattern of the premigratory neural crest cells and their early routes of migration more clearly than can be observed with DiI labeling. AP-2 is expressed in the head crest in a number of genera, including amphibian, chick and mouse (Mitchell et al., 1991; Shen et al., 1997). This transcription factor is important for the regulation of facial structures and also for other genes expressed by neural crest cells including Hoxa2 (Maconochie et al., 1999).

In the axolotl, AP-2 is expressed within the premigratory and migrating neural crest cells as well as in postmigratory cells within the branchial arches. In the hindbrain, AP-2 expression in the neural tube is segmental, appearing more prominently in even numbered rhombomeres (r2, r4, r6). There is apparent continuity in the cell streams emanating from the even numbered rhombomeres and giving rise to individual branchial arch crest streams. Interestingly, scanning electron microscope analysis of axolotl embryos has shown that neural crest cells exist as a continuous flattened epithelium along the length of the rhombencephalic neural tube at these stages (Epperlein and Löfberg, 1993), suggesting that AP-2 is not expressed in all premigratory neural crest populations.

The combination of the AP-2 staining pattern and DiI labeling would suggest the following fate map of neural crest cells (Fig. 5).

(i) First branchial arch neural crest arises from a distinct region of the neural folds, designated zone 4 (after HörstADIUS and Sellman, 1946). These neural crest cells express AP-2 as they migrate ventrally, where they split into maxillary and mandibular streams.

(ii) Second branchial arch neural crest arises from about the anterior three quarters of zone 6 and migrates as a distinct AP-2-positive stream towards the hyoid arch.

(iii) Third to sixth branchial arch neural crest cells arise as a single stream from about the posterior three quarters of zone 7 before separating into streams corresponding to each of the gill arches.

(iv) Neural crest cells do not seem to migrate laterally from either zone 5 or a region lying between zones 6 and 7. Interestingly, both regions express AP-2 at very low levels, raising the possibility that these two areas do not contribute to the branchial arches.
Regulatory ability of head neural crest segments

We carried out heterotopic grafting experiments with different head neural fold fragments and labeled them with DiI or, in some cases, both with DiI and CellTracker Green, in order to examine the degree of plasticity versus prepattern in cranial neural crest cells. We found that cranial neural crest cells can compensate for small displacements by migrating appropriately for their new environment (Fig. 6). However, when cells are displaced far from their premigratory location, they do not migrate normally for their new environment, appearing to undergo random movements and only rarely finding their original destinations. These data indicate that cranial neural crest cells have some intrinsic properties regarding their rostrocaudal position, but also have some degree of plasticity in adapting to new surroundings. Our results suggest that the anterior-most neural folds (regions 1-3) do not contribute to the neural crest even after heterotopic transplantation.

Our neural fold transpositions are supported by recent results in other systems. For example, rhombomere rotations in the chick show that the neural crest can partially compensate for small changes by migrating to branchial arches appropriate for their new location (Schchrist et al., 1994; Saldivar et al., 1997). Similarly, Hunt et al. (1998) show that changing the spatial organization of the entire chick rhombencephalic neural tube leads to neural crest cells migrating towards branchial arches closest to their new location. This type of operation is analogous to the posterior cranial neural fold reversals performed in the present study.

In general, the results of tissue grafts and ablations have demonstrated that some aspects of neural crest cell migration and differentiation are plastic, whereas others are somewhat fixed. Neural crest adjacent or contralateral to the operation site, or the neural tube itself might be involved in regulatory events. For example, removal of the neural crest by the ablation of a small portion of the dorsal neural tube at midbrain and trunk levels results in a normal embryo (Yntema and Hammond, 1945, 1947; Mc Kee and Ferguson, 1984). This has been taken as evidence that neighboring neural crest cells, both rostral and caudal to the ablated region, repopulate the extirpated segments. Ablation of midbrain neural folds in chick embryos leads to normal development of neural crest-derived structures (McKee and Ferguson, 1984). Interestingly, the neural crest cells that ‘fill-in’ from ectopic regions appear to readjust their Hox code after such an operation (Hunt et al., 1995). In addition to compensation by neighboring populations, the neural tube itself can regulate to reform neural crest after such ablations, but only for a limited period of time in development (Scherson et al., 1993; Schchrist et al., 1995).

The potential of the trunk crest in the head

We and other authors (Raven, 1931; Hö rstadius and Sellman, 1946) grafted trunk neural crest cells into the head region of axolotl neurulae in order to investigate their migratory and differentiative potential in a new environment. Whereas previous studies observed no indications of migration and cartilage formation, we found evidence for both events. DiI-labeled trunk crest cells migrated out in a random fashion and became distributed above and behind the eye vesicle. They did not, however, associate with any of the neural crest streams as could be demonstrated in whole mounts (Fig. 7A-C). In transverse sections through the head region bearing the graft (Fig. 7D,F), DiI-labeled cells were found in various places under the epidermis. Importantly, they also were observed within cartilaginous elements of the head skeleton (Fig. 7F). Thus, most of the grafted trunk neural crest probably gives rise to pigment cells (subepidermal location) and neurons (not identified) in addition to skeletogenic derivatives. One possible reason why previous studies failed to find incorporation of trunk neural crest into cartilage is that neural crest cells were labeled with vital dyes that rapidly dilute to sub-detectable levels in dividing cells. If chondrogenic neural crest cells reach their targets by migrating deep within the branchial arches, it would be difficult to observe them in whole mounted embryos, or even in relatively thick transverse sections.

Various differences exist between trunk and head neural crest such as their cellular shape (elongated versus polygonal), migratory pathways (between somites and epidermis or somites and neural tube/notochord in the trunk and between epidermis and endoderm in the head), mode of migration (single cells versus cellular tongues) and the derivatives generated. The production of cartilage has classically been thought to be a property of the cranial neural crest only, since trunk neural crest did not produce cartilage when transplanted into the cranial region (Raven, 1931; Hö rstadius and Sellman, 1946; Noden, 1975; Nakamura and Ayer-Le Lièvre, 1982; Graveson et al., 1995). For instance, in the axolotl, previous studies have failed to show that trunk neural crest cells form cartilage when transplanted to the head (Raven, 1931; Graveson et al., 1995). However, trunk neural crest cells in amphibia do appear to contribute to the mesenchyme of the dorsal fin (DuShane, 1935; Collazo et al., 1993), though most mesenchyme is mesodermally derived. The formation of head cartilage depends upon various environmental factors, specifically on an interaction with endodermal and ectodermal epithelia (Tyler and Hall, 1977; Bee and Thorogood, 1980; Hall, 1981, 1987; Hall and Hö rstadius, 1988).

The results of our heterotopic grafting experiments suggest that trunk neural crest cells do not migrate along normal cranial neural crest pathways, but move in a disoriented fashion. As a consequence, the cells appear to distribute randomly. Despite this random migration, they appear to encounter specific cues that allow them to form cartilage and contribute to elements of the visceral skeleton. Our results provide the first evidence that cartilage can develop from trunk neural crest cells in vivo. Thus, it seems that the migratory ability of trunk neural crest cells is uncoupled from their developmental potential.
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