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Subducting Slab Ultra-Slow Velocity Layer Coincident with Silent Earthquakes in Southern Mexico

Teh-Ru Alex Song, Donald V. Helmberger, Michael R. Brudzinski, Robert W. Clayton, Paul Davis, Xyoli Pérez-Campos, Shri K. Singh

Great earthquakes have repeatedly occurred on the plate interface in a few shallow-dipping subduction zones where the subducting and overriding plates are strongly locked. Silent earthquakes (or slow slip events) were recently discovered at the down-dip extension of the locked zone and interact with the earthquake cycle. Here, we show that locally observed converted SP arrivals and teleseismic underside reflections that sample the top of the subducting plate in southern Mexico reveal that the ultra-slow velocity layer (USL) varies spatially (3 to 5 kilometers, with an S-wave velocity of ~2.7 kilometers per second). Most slow slip patches coincide with the presence of the USL, and they are bounded by the absence of the USL. The extent of the USL delineates the zone of transitional frictional behavior.

Silent earthquakes, or episodic slow slip events (SSEs), and nonvolcanic tremor have been observed in a few shallow subduction zones such as Cascadia (1–3), southwest Japan (4–6), and southern Mexico (7–15). In general, most slow slip and tremor activities take place at the transition zone down-dip of the strong coupling section, where great thrust earthquakes occur. In southern Mexico, the Cocos plate is subducting underneath the North American plate, where the slab is almost flat near Guadalupe (16) and is at a low angle near Oaxaca (Fig. 1) (17). SSEs with moment magnitudes $M_w$ of ~7 to 7.5 occur every 1 to 2 years (Fig. 1) (7–11, 18, 19). However, the locations of SSEs vary along-strike, extending about 150 km inland from the Guadalupe coast (7–10, 18) but are limited to within 100 km near Oaxaca (Fig. 1) (11, 15). In addition, nonvolcanic tremor (NVT) concentrates near the down-dip end of the slow-slip zone (12–14). This along-strike variation in the location of SSEs and NVT can be compared with the seismic structure of the subducting plate to investigate if the location variation is structurally controlled. We examined locally converted SP waves from intraslab earthquakes to map out the seismic structure at the top of the subducting slab beneath southern Mexico (20). SP waves start as shear waves radiated from intraslab earthquakes and convert to P waves at the sharp velocity contrast on the plate interface. They are particularly useful for examining a slab structure directly above the source (Fig. 2A) (21). The SP wave typically arrives 2 to 3 s after the direct P wave, depending on the depth of an earthquake (20). In this study, we model the first 7 s of the broadband P waveforms (0.01 to 0.6 Hz) to explain the interference between the direct P wave and the SP wave, which ultimately provides a high-resolution map of the upper slab structure (20). Our data include two moderate intraslab events recorded by the temporary Meso-American Subduction Experiment (MASE) (17) and 40 intraslab events from 1990 to 2008 [body wave magnitude ($M_b$) = 4.5 ~ 6.0] recorded by the permanent GEOSCOPE station UNM (Fig. 1 and fig. S1).

As an example, we display P waveforms recorded at station PTRP and station SAME farther to the north to illustrate how the waveform changes with position (Fig. 2B). The timing of the first negative pulse (pulse A) after the direct P wave is consistent with an SP arrival, but its amplitude is anomalously large at station PTRP. We can model the SP wave that was converted from the bottom of an ultra-slow velocity layer [USL; 3 km and a S-wave velocity ($V_S$) of ~2.7 km/s] directly above the source region. The pulse with a positive polarity (pulse B) immediately following the pulse A is an SP arrival converted from the top of the USL. A series of 502
synthetic tests demonstrate that the amplitude of pulse A and pulse B and their relative timing are primarily determined by the $S$ wave velocity and the thickness of the USL (fig. S2). However, the interpretation of the pulse C, which arrived about 1.5 s after the direct $P$ wave, is less obvious (Fig. 2B). Synthetic tests demonstrate that introducing a low velocity layer [LVL; 22 km and a $P$-wave velocity ($V_P$) of ~7.5 km/s] directly below the USL can reproduce a turning $P$ wave from the bottom of the LVL with correct timing and amplitude (Fig. 2B). The amplitude of the pulse C increases with the velocity contrast between the LVL and the underlying fast slab, whereas its timing is controlled by the separation between the source and the lower boundary of the LVL (fig. S2). In this report, we focus on the USL and its lateral variation.

About 30 events were recorded by station UNM that display waveforms similar to those recorded by station PTPR above (Fig. 1), demonstrating a similar slab structure above the various sources. We can reproduce the characteristics of these waveforms and their variability by moving the source location to match the differential behavior between the $P$ wave and converted $SP$ arrival (fig. S3). Our best-fitting model consists of a thin USL of ~3 to 5 km and shear velocity of about 2.0 to 2.7 km/s. The contrast in $S$ wave velocity across the bottom of the USL is about 26 to 40%. In general, the data are well-explained (Fig. 1 and figs. S4 to S5).

Broadband waveforms from the other 13 events are similar [Fig. 1, black waveforms (far right) and white circles] but have much smaller $SP$ arrivals. These data do not require the prominent USL described above (fig. S6). However, the amplitude of $SP$ arrivals, particularly for pulse A, from events such as 13 and 21 are smaller than that of the 28 events but slightly larger than that of other events near Oaxaca. These data suggest that seismic velocity near the top of the slab varies both parallel and normal to the trench (Fig. 1). Cases without the USL are all farther inland than cases with the USL. Furthermore, the MASE data from an event located beneath west Guerrero display a systematic decrease in $SP$ amplitude toward the north (Fig. 1, top left inset) over distances of <10 km. On the basis of these observations, it is clear that the USL is confined to within 150 km of the coast in Guerrero. Data from events such as 1, 2, 4, 5, and 7 near Oaxaca do not reveal the USL, suggesting that it extends probably no more than 100 km from the coast near Oaxaca.

If the spatial variation in the presence of the USL is reasonably constrained by the local $SP$ arrival, our model should be able to explain other independent data from these same events. In particular, by stacking teleseismic $P$ waves recorded by the Yellowknife array, we can identify the depth phases such as $pP$ and $sP$ (Fig. 3A and fig. S7). The prominence of the depth phase $sP$ is favorable to further search for the underside reflection ($s_{USL}P$) from the USL (Fig. 3B). Indeed, an additional strong phase arrived about 3.5 to 4 s after the first $P$ wave of event 27. Its timing and amplitude are consistent with the $s_{USL}P$ from the USL. Variations

![Fig. 1. Mapping of the USL beneath southern Mexico. The top right inset shows the regional tectonic setting where the Cocos plate (CO) is subducting beneath the North America plate (NA). RA, Rivera plate; PA, Pacific plate. The red line delineates the plate boundary. The enlarged map shows geophysical observables and seismic sampling. Shown is the nearly flat portion of the slab beneath the Guerrero province (16). $P$ wave displacement data (0.01 to 0.6 Hz) recorded on the vertical component of the GEOSCOPE station UNM are displayed on the far right. Data associated with blue circles (blue traces) are characterized by a large converted $SP$ wave arriving about 2 to 3 s after the first $P$ wave, whereas data associated with white circles (black traces) are without such a strong negative pulse. Data associated with light green circles are with a converted $SP$ arrival but are less strong. The spatial extent of the USL (blue circles) coincides with the location of large slow slip patches (green contours) and interseismic coupling (blue contours) (18). NVT occurs along the transition from blue circles to white circles where electric resistivity is relatively high (~200 ohm m) in the overriding plate (indicated by the red segments in the two-dimensional magnetotelluric lines (dark blue lines across Guerrero and Oaxaca) (26). Abrupt decrease in the amplitude of the $SP$ arrival from stations ARBO to PSIQ (top left panel) also indicates the north limit of the USL (solid rectangle).](www.sciencemag.org)
The complexity of the anomalous waveform corresponding to blue circles (Fig. 1) can be explained by introducing a strong USL. (A) Schematic diagram displaying the ray path of the up-going SP arrival and the down-going turning P wave. (B) Modeling P wave displacement at station PTRP (left) and SAME (right). Pulse A is an SP converted arrival from the bottom of the USL; pulse B is an SP converted arrival from the top of the USL; pulse C is possibly a turning P wave from the boundary of a LVL (inset). Synthetics from a simple slab (dV_s = 6%) do not reproduce the data. With the presence of the USL (3 km, dV_s = –40%), the synthetics explain the pulse A and pulse B at station PTRP. But data recorded at station SAME to the north do not require the USL, and we can model it as a hydrated oceanic crust with dV_s = –20%. We assume dlnV_s/dlnV_p = 2 in the USL and LVL. X is the coefficient of cross-correlation between data and synthetics.

Fig. 2. The complexity of the anomalous waveform corresponding to blue circles (Fig. 1) can be explained by introducing a strong USL. (A) Schematic diagram displaying the ray path of the up-going SP arrival and the down-going turning P wave. (B) Modeling P wave displacement at station PTRP (left) and SAME (right). Pulse A is an SP converted arrival from the bottom of the USL, pulse B is an SP converted arrival from the top of the USL, pulse C is possibly a turning P wave from the boundary of a LVL (inset). Synthetics from a simple slab (dV_s = 6%) do not reproduce the data. With the presence of the USL (3 km, dV_s = –40%), the synthetics explain the pulse A and pulse B at station PTRP. But data recorded at station SAME to the north do not require the USL, and we can model it as a hydrated oceanic crust with dV_s = –20%. We assume dlnV_s/dlnV_p = 2 in the USL and LVL. X is the coefficient of cross-correlation between data and synthetics.

Fig. 3. Modeling of the teleseismic waveforms verifies the USL. (A) Schematic diagram showing near-source ray paths for a down-going direct P wave, free-surface reflection PP wave, SP wave, and sUSLP from the USL. (B) Summary traces from the array stacks from event 4 (no USL), event 9 (near-edge), and event 27 (USL) recorded by the Yellowknife short-period array (Fig. 1 and fig. S7), and local P waveforms for these events are on the right. All traces are normalized by the amplitude of the SP arrival. Underside reflections include reflection from P wave to P wave and reflection from S wave to P wave to form the extended P wave-trains. Here, we focus the sUSLP mode because of its large amplitude. sUSLP is the underside reflection from the continental Moho arriving 7 to 9 s after the P wave, but it is not prominent. Data from event 27 beneath west Guerrero show the largest sUSLP relative to SP, whereas the amplitude of the sUSLP decreases toward the east. This observation is consistent with the amplitude of the local SP wave. (C) Predictions match the amplitude of the sUSLP from event 9 when the USL has half the velocity reduction needed to model event 27. Synthetics computed from the model without the USL explain the data from event 4.
in the amplitude of the teleseismic $s_{USL}P$ are consistent with the amplitude of the local converted $SP$ wave from the same intraslab events (Fig. 3B). Our model, which was derived from modeling local converted $SP$ waves, can reasonably predict these teleseismic $s_{USL}P$, their along-strike variations, and teleseismic $s_{USL}S$ (Fig. 3C and fig. S8). Moreover, teleseismic receiver function analysis directly beneath the MASE line (16) also reveals strong evidence of an uneven but continuous LVL extending the MASE array. A relatively weak receiver function pulse from 130 to 170 km from the coast (16) is also consistent with weaker $SP$ waves (Fig. 1, green circles). Complexities do exist near event 13, possibly because of lateral variations in the down-dip extent of the USL near the MASE array.

The $S$ wave velocity of the USL (2.0 to 2.7 km/s) is 30 to 54% slower than that of the hydrated ocean crust at the depth of 25 to 50 km, which is typically in the 3.8 to 4.4 km/s range (22). The $S$ wave velocity across the bottom of the USL (~26 to 40%) also exceeds the velocity contrast predicted for hydrated oceanic crust and oceanic mantle (23). Although partial melting of oceanic crust can drastically decrease $S$ wave velocity, thermal modeling of the slab (23, 24) suggested that temperatures probably are too low. Alternatively, the USL may represent the oceanic crust (or part of the oceanic crust) that is fluid-saturated, forming a high pore-fluid pressure layer (HPFP) with a porosity ($\phi$) of $\sim$2.5% and aspect ratio $\alpha$ of $\sim$0.01 (25). These estimates are consistent with local electric resistivity of $\sim$200 ohm m in the overriding plate that was obtained from magnetotelluric studies in southern Mexico (26).

We find that the spatial extent of the HPFP layer coincides well with the region close to Guerrero, where slow slip is well documented and the sampling of the slab is denser. Both seem to be located in a region bounded by the 350 and 450°C isotherms predicted for the plate interface (23, 24), where there is interseismic coupling (7, 15, 18). The HPFP layer could potentially extend farther up-dip than observed before the plate interface reaches temperatures of $>350^\circ$C. Most NVT locations are concentrated in the upper plate north of where the prominent HPFP layer occurs at the plate interface and where electric conductivity in the upper plate is relatively high (Fig. 1). Although the sampling of the slab near Oaxaca is sparse, we find similar relations there, supporting a direct spatial correlation of the HPFP layer and SSEs with NVT and high conductivity again to the north. Examining all of the events between 99°W and 102°W with evidence for the USL (Fig. 1), events 35, 37, 22, and 34 clearly show the HPFP layer is present during the SSEs (Fig. 1 and fig. S9). Although these events primarily occur west of the slow slip contour in Fig. 1, the western extent of slow slip is not well known. Furthermore, the HPFP layer also exists during the inter-SSE period (fig. S9), indicating that the HPFP layer is persistent over at least the 20 years of our data.

Our interpretation (Fig. 4) is that a transition zone at shallow depths on the plate interface lies below the region where interseismic coupling is strong. It is partially coupled during the interseismic period, resulting in episodic slow slip at the down-dip end of the seismogenic zone at regions with temperatures ranging from 350 to 450°C (24). NVT sources concentrate near the down-dip end of the transition zone around 450°C, where blueschist-eclogite dehydration reactions are predicted to occur at depths near 40 to 50 km (22). Fluid released from this reaction could percolate into the overriding plate, produce observed high electric conductivity, and probably trigger the NVT. Fluids appear to be trapped up-dip in a HPFP layer and it is probably controlled by material-dependent permeability and fluid generation processes near the interface (20). The spatial extent of the HPFP layer provides a natural explanation for the occurrence of SSEs and NVT because it would be expected to greatly reduce the effective normal stress on the plate interface, promoting episodic slow slip (27) and the dynamic triggering of tremors (28).

Fig. 4. A schematic cross section of the slab geometry along with observations that were used to make the interpretation. Dotted lines indicate the slab isotherm. The various panels include variations in the location of great earthquakes, interseismic coupling, SSEs, NVT, electric conductivity, seismic velocity, and inferred permeability (20). Blue drops indicate the presence of fluids, and x’s indicate NVT cavities.
14CH4 Measurements in Greenland Ice: Investigating Last Glacial Termination 
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The cause of a large increase of atmospheric methane concentration during the Younger Dryas–Preboreal abrupt climatic transition (~11,600 years ago) has been the subject of much debate. The carbon-14 (14C) content of methane (14CH4) should distinguish between wetland and clathrate contributions to this increase. We present measurements of 14CH4 in glacial ice, targeting this transition, performed by using ice samples obtained from an ablation site in west Greenland. Measured 13CH4 values were higher than predicted under any scenario. Sample 14CH4 appears to be elevated by direct cosmogenic 14C production in ice. 14C of CO2 was measured to better understand this process and correct the sample 14CH4. Corrected results suggest that wetland sources were likely responsible for the majority of the Younger Dryas–Preboreal CH4 rise.

Ice core records from Greenland and Antarctica show large and rapid variations in atmospheric methane (CH4) concentrations ([CH4]) in response to climate change (1). One such rapid [CH4] increase occurred at the Younger Dryas (YD)–Preboreal (PB) (~11,600 years before present (B.P.), in which 0 B.P. = 1950 A.D.) abrupt warming event during the last deglaciation (Fig. 1). The causes of these rapid [CH4] fluctuations have been the subject of intense debate. Several modeling studies suggest that glacial-interglacial changes in the atmospheric concentration of OH radicals (the main CH4 sink) were small (2, 3). It is thus likely that the observed rapid [CH4] increases were driven mostly by increases in CH4 sources. Several hypotheses regarding such sources have been proposed, including increased emissions from wetlands (4), marine clathrates (5, 6), and, more recently, thermokarst lakes (7). The possibility of CH4 clathrate reservoir instability in response to climatic warming is particularly troubling in the light of present anthropogenic warming. If only 10% of CH4 from the modern clathrate reservoir (which has ~5000 Pg of C) were to be released to the atmosphere in a few years, the radiative forcing would be equivalent to a 10-fold increase in [CO2] (8).

In an attempt to better understand past changes in the CH4 budget, two records of carbon-13/carbon-12 ratio (δ13C) (9, 10) and one record of deuterium/hydrogen ratio (δD) (11) of CH4 from ice cores spanning the last glacial terminations have recently been produced. Unfortunately, δ13CH4 of many major CH4 sources is similar (12), imperfectly known (13), and influenced by climatic conditions (14), limiting the utility of δ13CH4 for testing different hypotheses for the rapid [CH4] increases. δD of CH4 is a more promising tracer for this purpose, because the δD of clathrate CH4 is much higher than that of wetland emissions (11). The Greenland Ice Sheet Project 2 (GISP2) ice core record (Fig. 1) showed no significant change in δD of CH4 through the YD-PB transition, which is evidence against major clathrate involvement (11).

The best tracer for distinguishing between the clathrate and wetland hypotheses is arguably 14CH4. The ultimate source of C for wetland-produced CH4 is essentially contemporaneous atmospheric CO2 (15). If wetlands were the only source of the rapid [CH4] rise, there should be either no change or an increase in 14CH4 after the abrupt warming event. In contrast, CH4 clathrates are geologically old and contain little or no measurable 14C (16). If clathrates were the only source of the [CH4] rise, 14CH4 over the transition would decrease (Fig. 1). In addition, paleoatmospheric 14CH4 measurements should allow for straightforward quantification of the strength of the geologic CH4 source, which may be an important term in the global CH4 budget (17).

We used a surface outcrop named Pakitsoq on the west Greenland ice margin (18–20) to obtain ~1000-kg-sized glacial ice samples containing ancient air from the YD-PB transition and yielding ~20 mg of CH4 carbon per sample for 14C measurements. Air was melt-extracted from sample ice in the field (20, 21). We dated the sampled ice and occluded air using a combination of δ15N of N2, δ18O of O2, δ13O of ice (δ13Oice), and [CH4] measurements (21), which uniquely identified the age of the sampled section. Sample CH4 was separated from bulk air by means of combustion to CO2 on platinumized quartz wool followed by cryogenic trapping (20, 22). CH4-derived CO2 was converted to graphite and measured for 14C by means of accelerator mass spectrometry (20, 22).

14CH4 results are presented in Fig. 1 and table S1. Surprisingly, all values are higher than 14C of contemporaneous CO2; that is, above the highest expected paleoatmospheric 14CH4. Procedural 14C contamination was shown through extensive testing to be very small (<3% of sample 14C).