EIGENVALUE BOUNDS IN THE GAPS OF SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS AND JACOBI MATRICES

DIRK HUNDERTMARK\textsuperscript{1} AND BARRY SIMON\textsuperscript{2}

ABSTRACT. We consider $C = A + B$ where $A$ is selfadjoint with a gap $(a, b)$ in its spectrum and $B$ is (relatively) compact. We prove a general result allowing $B$ of indefinite sign and apply it to obtain a $(\delta V)^{d/2}$ bound for perturbations of suitable periodic Schrödinger operators and a (not quite) Lieb–Thirring bound for perturbations of algebro-geometric almost periodic Jacobi matrices.

1. Introduction

The study of the eigenvalues of Schrödinger operators below the essential spectrum goes back over fifty years to Bargmann \textsuperscript{3}, Birman \textsuperscript{6}, and Schwinger \textsuperscript{43}, and of power bounds on the eigenvalues to Lieb–Thirring \textsuperscript{35, 36}.

There has been considerably less work on eigenvalues in gaps—much of what has been studied followed up on seminal work by Deift and Hempel \textsuperscript{23}; see \textsuperscript{11, 12, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 32, 33, 40, 41, 42} and especially work by Birman and collaborators \textsuperscript{7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17}. Following Deift–Hempel, this work has mainly focused on the set of $\lambda$’s so that some given fixed $e$ in a gap of $\sigma(A)$ is an eigenvalue of $A + \lambda B$ and the growth of the number of eigenvalues as $\lambda \to \infty$ most often for closed intervals strictly inside the gap. Most, but not all, of this work has focused on $B$’s of a definite sign. Our goal in this note is to make an elementary observation that, as regards behavior at an edge...

\textsuperscript{1} School of Mathematics, Watson Hall, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, B15 2TT, UK. On leave from Department of Mathematics, Altgeld Hall, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA. Email: hundredtd@for.mat.bham.ac.uk. Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0400940.

\textsuperscript{2} Mathematics 253-37, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA. E-mail: bsimon@caltech.edu. Supported in part by NSF grant DMS-0140592 and U.S.–Israel Binational Science Foundation (BSF) Grant No. 2002068.
for fixed $\lambda$, allows perturbations of either sign. The decoupling in steps we use does not work for the question raised by Deift–Hempel, which may be why it does not seem to be in the literature.

We will present two applications: a Cwikel–Lieb–Rozenblum-type finiteness result [20, 34, 39] for suitable gaps in $d \geq 3$ periodic Schrödinger operators and a critical power estimate on eigenvalues in some one-dimensional almost periodic problems.

To state our results precisely, we need some notation. For any self-adjoint operator $C$, $E_\Omega(C)$ will denote the spectral projections for $C$.

We define

$$
#(C \in \Omega) = \dim(E_\Omega(C))
$$

and

$$
#(C > \alpha) = \dim(E_{(\alpha,\infty)}(C))
$$

and similarly for $#(C \geq \alpha)$, $#(C < \alpha)$, $#(C \leq \alpha)$.

We will write

$$
B = B_+ - B_-
$$

with $B_\pm \geq 0$. While often we will take $B_\pm = \text{max}(\pm B, 0)$, we do not require $B_+B_- = 0$ or $[B_+, B] = 0$. Our main technical result, which we will prove in Section 2, is

**Theorem 1.1.** Let $A$ be a self-adjoint operator and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ so $(x, y) \cap \sigma(A) = \emptyset$. Let $B$ be given by (1.3) with $B_+, B_-$ both compact. Let $C = A + B$. Let $x < e_0 < e_1 = \frac{1}{2}(x + y)$, then

$$
#(C \in (e_0, e_1)) \leq #(B_+^{1/2}(e_0 - A)^{-1}B_+^{1/2} \geq 1) + #(B_- \geq \frac{1}{2}(y - x))
$$

In Section 3, we discuss an analog when $A$ is unbounded but bounded below and $B_\pm$ are only relatively compact.

If $V$ is a periodic locally $L^{d/2}$ function on $\mathbb{R}^d$ ($d \geq 3$), then $A = -\Delta + V$ can be written as a direct integral of operators, $A(k)$, with compact resolvent, with the integral over the fundamental cell of a dual lattice (see [38]). If $\varepsilon_1(k) \leq \varepsilon_2(k) \leq \ldots$ are the eigenvalues of $A(k)$, then $(x, y)$ is a gap in $\sigma(A)$ (i.e., connected component of $\mathbb{R} \setminus \sigma(A)$) if and only if there is $\ell$ with

$$
\max_k \varepsilon_{\ell-1}(k) = x < y = \min_k \varepsilon_\ell(k)
$$

We say $y$ is a nondegenerate gap edge if and only if

$$
\min_k \varepsilon_{\ell+1}(k) > y
$$
and \( \varepsilon_\ell(k) = y \) at a finite number of points \( \{k_j\}_{j=1}^N \) in the unit cell so that for some \( C \) and all \( k \) in the unit cell,
\[
\varepsilon_\ell(k) - y \geq C \min|k - k_j|^2 \tag{1.7}
\]
There is a similar definition at the bottom edge if \( x > -\infty \). It is a general theorem [31] that the bottom edge is always nondegenerate. In Section 4, we will prove

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \( d \geq 3 \). Let \( V \in L^d_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \) be periodic and let \( W \in L^d_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \). Let \((x, y)\) be a gap in the spectrum \( A = -\Delta + V \) which is nondegenerate at both ends, and let \( N_{(x,y)}(W) = \#(-\Delta + V + W \in (x, y)) \). Then \( N_{(x,y)}(W) < \infty \).

This will be a simple extension of the result of Birman [11] who proved this if \( W \) has a fixed sign. Note we have not stated a bound by \( \|W\|_{d/2} \). This is discussed further in Section 4.

In the final section, Section 5, we will consider certain two-sided Jacobi matrices, \( J \), on \( \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}) \) with
\[
J_{k\ell} = \begin{cases} 
b_k & k = \ell 
a_k & \ell = k + 1 
a_{k-1} & \ell = k - 1 
0 & |\ell - k| \geq 2 \end{cases} \tag{1.8}
\]
If \( E = \bigcup_{j=1}^{l+1} E_j \) is a finite union of bounded closed disjoint intervals, there is an isospectral torus \( T_E \) associated to \( E \) of almost periodic \( J \)'s with \( \sigma(J) = E \) (see [3, 4, 18, 19, 24, 37, 40, 47]). We conjecture the following:

**Conjecture.** Let \( J_0 \) lie in some \( T_E \). Let \( J = J_0 + \delta J \) be a Jacobi matrix for which \( \delta J \) is trace class, that is,
\[
\sum_n |\delta a_n| + |\delta b_n| < \infty \tag{1.9}
\]
Then
\[
\sum_{\lambda \in \sigma(J) \setminus E} \text{dist}(\lambda, E)^{1/2} < \infty \tag{1.10}
\]

For \( e = [-2, 2] \) so \( J_0 \) is the free Jacobi matrix with \( a_n \equiv 1, b_n \equiv 0 \), this is a result of Hundertmark–Simon [30]. It has recently been proven [21] for the case where \( J_0 \) is periodic, and it has recently been proven [45] that (1.10) holds for the sum over \( \lambda \)'s above the top of the spectrum or below the bottom. In Section 5 we will prove
**Theorem 1.3.** If \( (1.9) \) holds, then \( (1.10) \) holds if \( \alpha > \frac{1}{2} \).

**Theorem 1.4.** If

\[
\sum_n [\log(|n| + 1)]^{1+\epsilon} [|\delta a_n| + |\delta b_n|] < \infty \quad (1.11)
\]

for some \( \epsilon > 0 \), then \( (1.10) \) holds.

Both the conjecture and Theorem 1.4 are interesting because they imply that the spectral measure obeys a Szegő condition. This is discussed in [18].

### 2. Abstract Bounds in Gaps (Compact Case)

Our goal here is to prove Theorem 1.1. We begin by recalling the version of the Birman–Schwinger principle for points in gaps, which is essentially the key to [1, 2, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 23, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 32, 33, 40, 41, 42].

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \( A \) be a bounded selfadjoint operator with \( (x, y) \cap \sigma(A) = \emptyset \). Let \( B \) be compact with \( B \geq 0 \). Let \( e \in (x, y) \). Then

\[ e \in \sigma(A + \mu B) \iff \mu^{-1} \in \sigma(B^{1/2}(e - A)^{-1}B^{1/2}) \quad (2.1) \]

with equal multiplicity. In particular,

\[ \#(A + B \in (e, y)) \leq \#(B^{1/2}(e - A)^{-1}B^{1/2} \geq 1) \quad (2.2) \]

**Proof.** This is so elementary that we sketch the proof. If for \( \varphi \neq 0 \),

\[ (A + \mu B)\varphi = e\varphi \quad (2.3) \]

then

\[ B\varphi \neq 0 \quad (2.4) \]

since \( e \notin \sigma(A) \). Moreover,

\[ (e - A)^{-1}B\varphi = \mu^{-1}\varphi \quad (2.5) \]

and (2.5) implies (2.3). Thus

\[ e \in \sigma(A + \mu B) \iff \mu^{-1} \in \sigma((e - A)^{-1}B) \quad (2.6) \]

and (2.1) follows by \( \sigma(CD) \setminus \{0\} = \sigma(DC) \setminus \{0\} \) (see, e.g., Deift [22]).

Since \( \sigma(A + \mu B) \subset \sigma(A) + [-\mu\|B\|, \mu\|B\|] \) and discrete eigenvalues are continuous in \( \mu \) and strictly monotone by (2.4) and (see [38])

\[ \frac{dc(\mu)}{d\mu} = \langle \varphi, B\varphi \rangle \quad (2.7) \]
Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $C = A + B$ so $C = C_+ - B_-$. By Proposition 2.1 if
\[ n_1 = \#(C_+ \in (e_0, e_1)) \quad n_2 = \#(C_+ \in (e_1, y)) \]  
then
\[ n_1 + n_2 \leq \#(B_\pm^{1/2}(B_0 - A)^{-1}B_\pm^{1/2} \geq 1) \]  
By a limiting argument, we can suppose that $e_1$ is not an eigenvalue of $C_+$. Since eigenvalues of $C_+ - \mu B_-$ are strictly monotone decreasing in $\mu$, the number of eigenvalues of $C$ in $(e_0, e_1)$ can only increase by passing through $e_1$. By repeating the argument in Proposition 2.1,
\[ \#(C \in (e_0, e_1)) \leq n_1 + \#(B_\pm^{1/2}(C_+ - e_1)^{-1}B_\pm^{1/2} \geq 1) \]  
Now write
\[ B_\pm^{1/2}(C_+ - e_1)^{-1}B_\pm^{1/2} = D_1 + D_2 + D_3 \]  
where $D_1$ has $E(-\infty, e_1)(C_+)$ inserted in the middle, $D_2$ an $E(e_1, y)(C_+)$, and $D_3$ an $E(y, \infty)(C_+)$. Since $D_1 \leq 0$ and rank($D_2$) $\leq n_2$, we see
\[ \#(B_\pm^{1/2}(C_+ - e_1)^{-1}B_\pm^{1/2} \geq 1) \leq n_2 + \#(D_3 \geq 1) \]  
Since $(C_+ - e_1)^{-1}E(y, \infty)(C_+) \leq (y - e_1)^{-1} = \left[\frac{1}{2}(y - x)\right]^{-1}$, we have
\[ D_3 \leq \left[\frac{1}{2}(y - x)\right]^{-1}B_\pm \]  
and thus
\[ \#(D_3 \geq 1) \leq \#(\left[\frac{1}{2}(y - x)\right]^{-1}B_\pm \geq 1) \]
\[ = \#(B_\pm \geq \frac{1}{2}(y - x)) \]  
(2.9), (2.10), (2.12), and (2.14) imply (1.1). □

3. Abstract Bounds in Gaps (Relatively Compact Case)

In this section, we suppose $A$ is a semibounded selfadjoint operator with
\[ q = \inf \sigma(A) \]  
We will suppose $B$ is a form-compact perturbation, which is a difference of two positive form-compact perturbations. We abuse notation and write compact operators
\[ B_\pm^{1/2}(A - e)^{-1}B_\pm^{1/2} \]  
for $e \notin \sigma(A)$ even though $B_\pm$ need not be operators — (3.2) can be defined via forms in a standard way.
In the bounded case, we only considered intervals in the lower half of a gap since $A \to -A$, $B \to -B$ flips half-intervals. But, as has been noted in the unbounded case (see, e.g., [11, 40]), there is now an asymmetry, so we will state separate results. We start with the bottom half case:

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $A$ be a semibounded selfadjoint operator and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ so $(x, y) \cap \sigma(A) = \emptyset$. Let $B = B_+ - B_-$ with $B_+$ form-compact positive perturbations of $A$. Let $C = A + B$ and $x < e_0 < e_1 = \frac{1}{2}(x + y)$. Then

$$
#(C \in (e_0, e_1)) \leq \#(B_{+}^{1/2}(e_0 - A)^{-1}B_{+}^{1/2} \geq 1)
+ \# \left( B_{-}^{1/2}(A - q + 1)^{-1}B_{-}^{1/2} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{y - x}{y - q + 1} \right] \right)
\quad (3.3)
$$

**Proof.** We follow the proof of Theorem 1.1 without change until (2.13) noting that instead

$$
(C_+ - e_1)^{-1}E_{[y, \infty)}(C_+) \leq \frac{y - q + 1}{y - e_1}(C_+ + q + 1)^{-1}
\quad (3.4)
$$

$$
\leq \frac{y - q + 1}{y - e_1}(A - q + 1)^{-1} \quad (3.5)
$$

since $q \leq A \leq C_+$ and

$$
\sup_{x \geq y} \frac{x - q + 1}{x - e_1}
$$

is taken at $x = y$ since $q - 1 < e_1$. By (3.5),

$$
#(D_3 \geq 1) \leq \# \left( B_{+}^{1/2}(A - q + 1)^{-1}B_{+}^{1/2} \geq \frac{y - e_1}{y - q + 1} \right) \quad \Box
\quad (3.6)
$$

**Theorem 3.2.** Let $A$ be a semibounded selfadjoint operator and $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}$ so $(x, y) \cap \sigma(A) = \emptyset$. Let $B = B_+ - B_-$ with $B_\pm$ form-compact positive perturbations of $A$. Let $C = A + B$ and $e_1 = \frac{1}{2}(x + y) < e_0 < y$. Then

$$
#(C \in (e_1, e_0)) \leq \#(B_{-}^{1/2}(A - e_0)^{-1}B_{-}^{1/2} \geq 1)
+ \#(B_{+}^{1/2}(A - B_+ - e_1)^{-1}E_{(-\infty, x)}(A - B_-)B_{+}^{1/2} \geq 1)
\quad (3.6)
$$

**Proof.** Identical to the proof of Theorem 1.1 through (2.13). \quad \Box

The second term in (3.6) is easily seen to be finite since the operator is compact. However, any bound depends on both $B_+$ and $B_-$. 

4. $L^{n/2}$ BOUNDS IN GAPS FOR PERIODIC SCHRODINGER OPERATORS

Birman [11] proved for $V$, as in Theorem 1.2, and any $W$ that uniformly in any gap $(x,y)$, $\sup_{\lambda \in (x,y)} ||W|^{1/2}(-\Delta + V - \lambda)^{-1}|W|^{1/2}||_{I_{d/2}} \leq c||W||_{d/2}$ where $||\cdot||_{I_{d/2}}$ is a weak $I_d$ trace class norm [44]. To be precise, in his Proposition 3.1, he proved $||W|^{1/2}(-\Delta + V - \lambda_0)^{-1}|W|^{1/2}||_{I_{d/2}}$ is finite away from $x$ and $y$, and then in (3.15), he proved the weak estimate at the end points. He used this to prove for $W$ of a definite sign

$$N(x,y)(W) \leq c \int_{R^d} |W(z)|^{d/2} \, dz$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)

It implies relative compactness, and given Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, proves Theorem 1.2.

Note that, by Theorem 3.1, we get for any $x' > x$,

$$N(x',y)(W) \leq c_{x'} \int_{R^d} |W(z)|^{d/2} \, dz$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.2)

but we do not get such a bound for $x' = x$ since there is a $W_-, W_+$ cross term in (3.6).

5. GAPS FOR PERTURBATIONS OF FINITE GAP ALMOST PERIODIC JACOBI MATRICES

Our goal here is to prove Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. Let

$$G_0(n, m; \lambda) = \langle \delta_n, (J_0 - \lambda)^{-1}\delta_m \rangle$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.1)

and let $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1)$ be a gap in $\sigma(J_0)$. As input, we need two estimates for $G_0$ proven in [18]. First we have

$$|G_0(n, m; \lambda)| \leq C\text{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(J_0))^{-1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.2)

uniformly in real $\lambda \notin \sigma(J_0)$ and $n$ and $m$.

To describe the other estimate, we need some notions. At a band edge, $\lambda_0$ (here and below, we study $\lambda_0$ but there is also an analysis at $\lambda_1$), there is a unique almost periodic sequence $\{u_n(\lambda_0)\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ solving $(J_0 - \lambda_0)u_n = 0$. If $u_n = 0$, we say $n$ is a resonance point. If $u_n \neq 0$, we have a nonresonance. Since $u_n = 0 \Rightarrow u_{n\pm 1} \neq 0$, we have lots of nonresonance points. Without loss, we will suppose henceforth that 0 is a nonresonance point. At a nonresonance point, $\lim_{\lambda \to \lambda_0} \text{dist}(\lambda, \lambda_0)^{1/2}G_0(n, n; \lambda) \neq 0$.

The Dirichlet Green’s function is defined by

$$G_0^D(n, m; \lambda) = G_0(n, m; \lambda) - G_0(0, 0; \lambda)^{-1}G_0(n, 0; \lambda)G_0(0, m; \lambda)$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.3)
Then \[18\] proves that if 0 is a nonresonance at \(\lambda_0\), then for some small \(\varepsilon\),
\[
\lambda \in (\lambda_0, \lambda_0 + \varepsilon) \Rightarrow |G_{0}^{D}(n, n; \lambda)| \leq Cn \quad (5.4)
\]
\[
\Rightarrow |G_{0}^{D}(n, n; \lambda)| \leq C|\lambda - \lambda_0|^{-1/2} \quad (5.5)
\]
Following \[30\], we use (with \(c_{\pm} = \max(\pm c, 0)\)) with \(a > 0\),
\[
(b\ a) = \begin{pmatrix} b + a & 0 \\ 0 & b + a \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} a + b_- & -a \\ -a & a + b_- \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.6)
\]
to define \(\delta J = \delta J_+ - \delta J_-\) where \(\delta J_+\) is diagonal and given by
\[
(\delta J_+)_n = (\delta b)_n + \delta a_{n-1} + \delta a_n \quad (5.7)
\]
and \((\delta J_-)\) is tridiagonal with
\[
(\delta J_-)_{n+1} = \delta a_n \quad (5.8)
\]
\[
(\delta J_-)_{n-1} = \delta a_{n-1} \quad (5.9)
\]
\[
(\delta J_-)_n = (\delta b)_n + \delta a_{n-1} + \delta a_n \quad (5.10)
\]
We also use the fact obtained via an integration by parts that if \(f(\lambda_0) = 0\), \(f\) continuous on \([\lambda_0, \lambda_0 + \varepsilon]\), and \(C^1(\lambda_0, \lambda_0 + \varepsilon)\) with \(f' > 0\), then
\[
\sum_{\lambda \in (\lambda_0, \lambda_0 + \varepsilon)} f(\lambda) = \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_0 + \varepsilon} f'(\lambda) \#(J \in (\lambda, \lambda_0 + \varepsilon)) \, d\lambda \quad (5.11)
\]
Since \(f' \in L^1(\lambda_0, \lambda_0 + \varepsilon)\) and \(\delta J_-\) is compact, Theorem \[\ddagger\] implies
\[
\sum_{\lambda \in (\lambda_0, \lambda_0 + \varepsilon)} f(\lambda) < \infty \iff \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_0 + \varepsilon} \#((\delta J_+)^{1/2}(\lambda - J_0)^{-1}(\delta J_+)^{1/2} \geq 1) f'(\lambda) \, d\lambda < \infty \quad (5.12)
\]
This leads to
\section*{Proposition 5.1}
If \(\delta J_{\pm}\) are trace class and
\[
\int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_0 + \varepsilon} f'(\lambda) |\text{Tr}((\delta J_+)^{1/2}G_{0}^{D}(\cdot, \cdot; \lambda)(\delta J_+)^{1/2})| \, d\lambda < \infty \quad (5.13)
\]
then
\[
\sum_{\lambda \in (\lambda_0, \lambda_0 + \varepsilon)} f(\lambda) < \infty \quad (5.14)
\]
Proof. $G_0 - G_0^D$ is rank one and $(C \geq 1) \leq \|C\|_1$, so
\[
#((\delta J_+)^{1/2}G_0(\cdot, \cdot; \lambda)(\delta J_+)^{1/2} \geq 1) \leq 1 + \|((\delta J_+)^{1/2}G_0^D(\cdot, \cdot; \lambda)(\delta J_+)^{1/2}\|_1
\]
The negative part of $G_0^D(\cdot, \cdot; \lambda)$ is uniformly bounded in norm by $|a - \lambda|^{-1}$ where $a$ is either $\lambda_1$ or the unique eigenvalue of the Dirichlet $J_0$ in $(\lambda_0 - \lambda_1)$ and
\[
\|C\|_1 \leq \text{Tr}(C_+) + \text{Tr}(C_-) \\
\leq \text{Tr}(C) + 2\text{Tr}(C_-)
\]
Thus (5.14) is implied by (5.12) so long as (5.13) holds. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. By (5.5) and $\delta J_+ \in I_1$, we have
\[
|\text{Tr}((\delta J_+)^{1/2}G_0^D(\cdot, \cdot; \lambda)(\delta J_+)^{1/2})| \leq C|\lambda - \lambda_0|^{-1/2}
\]
so the integral in (5.13) is bounded by
\[
C \int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_0 + \varepsilon} |\lambda - \lambda_0|^{\alpha - 1}|\lambda - \lambda_0|^{-1/2} d\lambda < \infty
\]
so long as $\alpha - \frac{1}{2} > 0$. □

Lemma 5.2. For any $\alpha > 0$, there is a $C$ so for all $x, y > 1$,
\[
\min(x, y) \leq C[\log(x + 1)]^\alpha \frac{y}{[\log(y + 1)]^\alpha} (5.15)
\]
Proof. Pick $d \geq 1$ (e.g., $d = e^\alpha$), so $[\log(x + d)]^\alpha x^{-1}$ is monotone decreasing on $[1, \infty)$. Then
\[
\min(x, y) \leq [(\log(x + d))]^\alpha \frac{y}{[\log(y + d)]^\alpha} (5.16)
\]
If $y \leq x$, the right-hand side is bigger than $y$ and so $\min(x, y)$. If $y \geq x$, the monotonicity shows
\[
\text{RHS} \geq [\log(x + d)]^\alpha \frac{x}{[\log(x + d)]^\alpha} = x
\]
(5.15) follows since on $[1, \infty)$, $\frac{\log(x + d)}{\log(x + 1)}$ is bounded above and below. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4. By (5.4), (5.5), and (5.15),
\[
|G_0^D(n, n; \lambda)| \leq C \frac{[\log(1 + |n|)]^\alpha}{|\lambda - \lambda_0|^{1/2}} \frac{[\log(\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-1/2}]^{-\alpha}}{[\log(\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-1/2}]^{-\alpha}}
\]
By (1.11), we see
\[
|\text{Tr}[(\delta J)^{1/2}G_0^D(\delta J)^{1/2}]| \leq C[\log(\lambda - \lambda_0)^{1/2}]^{-(1+\varepsilon)} \frac{(\lambda - \lambda_0)^{1/2}}{(\lambda - \lambda_0)^{1/2}}
\]
Since
\[
\int_{\lambda_0}^{\lambda_0+\varepsilon} (\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-1} \left[ \log(\lambda - \lambda_0)^{-1/2} \right]^{-(1+\varepsilon)} d\lambda < \infty
\]
the result follows. □
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