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ABSTRACT

Aims. We present a catalog of 213 type-2 AGN selected from the zCOSMOS survey. The selected sample covers a wide redshift range (0 < z < 0.92) and is deeper than any other previous study, encompassing the luminosity range 10^{5.5} L_⊙ < L_{[OIII]} < 10^{9.1} L_⊙. We explore the intrinsic properties of these AGN and the relation to their X-ray emission (derived from the XMM-COSMOS observations). We study their evolution by computing the [O III] λ5007 Å line luminosity function (LF) and we constrain the fraction of obscured AGN as a function of luminosity and redshift.

Methods. The sample was selected on the basis of the optical emission line ratios, after applying a cut to the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of the relevant lines. We used the standard diagnostic diagrams ([O III]/Hβ versus [N II]/Hα and [O III]/Hβ versus [S II]/Hα) to isolate AGN in the redshift range 0.15 < z < 0.45 and the diagnostic diagram [O III]/Hβ versus [O II]/Hα to extend the selection to higher redshift (0.5 < z < 0.92).

Results. Combining our sample with one drawn from SDSS, we found that the best description of the evolution of type-2 AGN is a luminosity-dependent density evolution model. Moreover, using the type-1 AGN LF we were able to constrain the fraction of type-2 AGN to the total (type-1 + type-2) AGN population. We found that the type-2 fraction decreases with luminosity, in agreement with the most recent results, and shows signs of a slight increase with redshift. However, the trend with luminosity is visible only after combining the SDSS+zCOSMOS samples. From the COSMOS data points alone, the type-2 fraction seems to be quite constant with luminosity.
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1. Introduction

According to the standard unified model (e.g., Antonucci 1993), AGN can be broadly classified into two categories depending on whether the central black hole and its associated continuum and broad emission-line region are viewed directly (type-1 AGN) or are obscured by a dusty circumnuclear medium (type-2 AGN). Type-1 AGN are characterized by power-law continuum emission, broad permitted emission lines (> 1000 km s^{-1}) and are thus easily recognizable from their spectra. In contrast, type-2 AGN have narrow permitted and forbidden lines (< 1000 km s^{-1}) and their stellar continuum, often dominated by stellar emission, is similar to normal star-forming galaxies (SFGs). The main difference between AGN and SFGs is the ionizing source responsible for their emission lines: non-thermal continuum from an accretion disc around a black hole for AGN or photoionization by hot massive stars for normal SFGs.

* Based on data obtained with the European Southern Observatory Very Large Telescope, Paranal, Chile, program 175.A-0839.
** Appendix A is only available in electronic form at the CDS via anonymous ftp to cdsarc.u-strasbg.fr (130.79.128.5) or via http://cdsweb.u-strasbg.fr/cgi-bin/qcat?J/A+A/510/A56

To identify type-2 AGN, we thus need to determine the ionizing source. Baldwin et al. (1981) demonstrated how this is possible by considering the intensity ratios of two pairs of relatively strong emission lines. In particular, they proposed a number of diagnostic diagrams (hereafter BPT diagrams), which were further refined by Veilleux & Osterbrock (1987), based on [O III] λ5007 Å, [O I] λ6300 Å, [N II] λ6583 Å, [S II] λλ6717, 6731 Å, Hα, Hβ, Hγ, Hδ, 4861 Å emission lines, where Hγ and Hδ refer only to the narrow component of the line. The main virtues of this technique, illustrated in Fig. 3, are: 1) the lines are relatively strong; 2) the line ratios are relatively insensitive to reddening corrections because of their close separation; and 3) at least at low redshift (z < 0.5) the lines are accessible using ground-based optical telescopes. Several samples have been selected in the past using the BPT diagrams and the method select AGN reliably with high completeness (Dessauges-Zavadsky et al. 2000; Zakamska et al. 2003; Hao et al. 2005b).

At high redshift, however, the involved lines are redshifted out of the observed optical range and the classical BPT diagrams can no longer be used. In these circumstances, it is thus desirable to devise a classification system that is based only on the blue part of the spectrum.
For this reason, Rola et al. (1997), Lamareille et al. (2004), and Pérez-Montero et al. (2007) proposed alternative diagrams based on the strong lines [O II], [Ne III], Hβ, and [O III], which provide moderately effective discrimination between starbursts and AGN. Since this technique is more recent than classical BPT diagrams, it has been used by fewer studies in the literature. We also note that, the use of the ratio of two lines that are not close to each other in wavelength (Hβ/4861 Å and [O III]λ3727 Å) makes this diagram sensitive to reddening effects which, due to differential extinction of the emission lines and the stellar continuum (Calzetti et al. 1994), also affect the EW measurements.

An important issue to address in AGN studies is their evolution. The overall optical luminosity function of AGN, as well as that of different types of AGN, holds important clues about the demographics of the AGN population, which in turn provides strong constraints on physical models and theories of AGN and galaxy co-evolution.

Many studies have been conducted and many results obtained in the past few years to constrain the optical luminosity function of type-1 AGN at both low (Boyle et al. 1988; Hewett et al. 1991; Pei 1995; Boyle et al. 2000; Croom et al. 2004) and high redshift (Warren et al. 1994; Kennefick et al. 1995; Schmidt et al. 1995; Fan et al. 2001; Wolf et al. 2003; Hunt et al. 2004; Bongiorno et al. 2007; Croom et al. 2009). In contrast, there are not many type-2 AGN samples available in the literature and consequently very few studies of their evolution have been conducted.

In the local Universe, Huchra & Burg (1992) selected 25 Seyfert-1 and 23 Seyfert-2 galaxies from the CfA redshift survey (Huchra et al. 1983) and used these AGN to measure their luminosity function. Ulvestad & Ho (2001) also computed the local luminosity function of a sample selected from the Revised Shapley-Ames Catalog (Sandage & Tammann 1981), and using the BPT diagrams, Hao et al. (2005a) derived the luminosity function of a sample selected from the SDSS at z < 0.13.

The only sample that spans a relatively wide redshift range, from the local Universe up to z ~ 0.83, is that selected by Reyes et al. (2008, hereafter R08) from the SDSS sample, which is however limited to bright objects (10^{3.5} L_⊙ < L_{[OIII]} < 10^{10} L_⊙). Thus, a sample of type-2 AGN encompassing a wide redshift interval and including lower luminosity objects is highly desirable.

The zCOSMOS survey (Lilly et al. 2007, 2009) is a large redshift survey that is being undertaken in the COSMOS field using ~600 h of observations with VIMOS mounted on the ESO 8 m VLT. The survey has been designed to probe galaxy evolution and the effects of environment up to high redshift and to produce diagnostic information about galaxies and AGN.

Throughout this paper, we use AB magnitudes and assume a cosmology with Ω_m = 0.3, Ω_λ = 0.7 and H_0 = 70 km s^{-1} Mpc^{-1}.

2. zCOSMOS observations and data processing

The Cosmic Evolution Survey (COSMOS, Scoville et al. 2007) is the largest HST survey (640 orbits) ever undertaken, which consists of imaging with the Advanced Camera for Surveys (ACS) of a ~2 deg^2 field with single-orbit I-band (F814W) exposures (Koekemoer et al. 2007).

COSMOS observations include the full and homogeneous coverage of the field with multi-band photometry: (i) UV with GALEX (Schiminovich et al., in prep.); (ii) optical multi-band data with CFHT and Subaru (Capak et al. 2007); (iii) near-infrared (NIR) with CTIO, KPNO (Capak et al. 2007) and CFHT (McCracken et al. 2009); (iv) mid-infrared (MIR) and far-infrared (FIR) with Spitzer (Sanders et al. 2007); (v) radio with VLA (Schinnerer et al. 2007); and (vi) X-rays with XMM and Chandra (Hasinger et al. 2007; Elvis et al. 2009).

The zCOSMOS spectroscopic survey (Lilly et al. 2007, 2009) is a large redshift survey that is being undertaken in the COSMOS field using ~600 h of observations with VIMOS mounted on the ESO 8 m VLT. The survey has been designed to probe galaxy evolution and the effects of environment up to high redshift and to produce diagnostic information about galaxies and AGN.

The zCOSMOS spectroscopic survey consists of two parts: (1) zCOSMOS-bright is a pure-magnitude limited survey, which spectroscopically observes with the MR grism (R ~ 600; 5550–9650 Å) objects brighter than I = 22.5. It will ultimately consist of spectra of about 20000 galaxies selected across the entire COSMOS field. (2) In zCOSMOS-deep, sources are selected within the central 1 deg^2, using color-selection criteria to cover the range 1.4 < z < 3.0. In this case, observations are performed with the LR-blue grism (R ~ 200; 3600–6800 Å).

For both samples, spectra were reduced and spectrophotometrically calibrated using the VIMOS Interactive Pipeline Graphical Interface software (VIPGI, Scoccagno et al. 2005) and redshift measurements were performed with the help of an automatic package (EZ, Fumana et al. 2008) and then visually double-checked (for more details, see Lilly et al. 2007, 2009). Finally, line fluxes and equivalent widths (EWs) were measured using our automated pipeline platefit_vimos (Lamareille et al. 2009; Lamareille et al., in prep.), which simultaneously fits all the emission lines with Gaussian functions after removing the stellar continuum.

The results presented here are based on the first half of the zCOSMOS-bright survey which consists of 10 644 spectra (“10 k sample”; Lilly & zCOSMOS Team 2008; Lilly et al. 2009), corresponding to ~33% of the total number of galaxies in the parent photometric sample.

3. The type-2 AGN sample

We isolate a sample of type-2 AGN from the zCOSMOS bright sample, using the standard BPT ([O III]/Hβ versus [N II]/Hα and [O III]/Hβ versus [S II]/Hα), and the [O III]λ5007 Å/Hβ versus [O II]/Hβ diagnostic diagrams.

We first used the entire zCOSMOS 10 k bright sample excluding duplicate objects, stars, and broad-line AGN. Our initial sample contained 8878 extragalactic sources and in particular 7010 in the redshift range considered (0.15 ≤ z ≤ 0.45 and...
0.5 ≤ z ≤ 0.92). We excluded the redshift range 0.45 < z < 0.5 because, for the wavelength range covered by the VIMOS MR grism, the lines [NII], [SII], and Hα are redshifted outside the limit of the spectrum at z ≥ 0.45 and the [OIII] line enters the observed wavelength range only at z ≥ 0.5.

Secondly, we applied a selection criterion based on the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of the lines involved in the considered diagnostic diagram. In particular, we selected only emission-line galaxies in the explored redshift range for which S/N([OIII]) > 5 and S/N([OIII]) > 2.5. The dashed line corresponds to the cut in S/N of the [OIII] line. Finally, red circles highlight the type-2 AGN sample selected on the basis of the line ratios. The two bottom panels show the EW distribution of the emission-line sample and of the type-2 AGN sample, respectively.

Figure 1. Observed EWs of the [OIII] emission line versus the signal-to-noise ratio of the same line. Grey points represent the parent sample of galaxies in the redshift range considered, while black triangles correspond to the emission-line sample (see text) obtained after applying our selection criteria (S/N([OIII]) > 5 and S/N([OIII]) > 2.5). The dashed line corresponds to the cut in S/N of the [OIII] line. Finally, red circles highlight the type-2 AGN sample selected on the basis of the line ratios. The two bottom panels show the EW distribution of the emission-line sample and of the type-2 AGN sample, respectively.
Fig. 2. Examples of zCOSMOS spectra, smoothed by 5 pixels. *Upper panels:* four examples of rest-frame spectra with higher \([\text{O} \text{III}]\)/S/N (\(> \sim 150\)) of objects classified as Sey-2 and star-forming galaxy (SFG) in the low and high redshift bin, respectively. *Lower panels:* the same as above but showing spectra with lower \([\text{O} \text{III}]\)/S/N (\(20 \lesssim \text{S/N} \lesssim 70\)).
Subsequent studies (Falcke et al. 2000) confirmed the existence of compact, high-brightness-temperature cores, suggesting that an AGN is responsible for the radio emission rather than a starburst. In the optical band, Kewley et al. (2001), studying the X-ray properties of a sample of low-luminosity AGNs, found that at least 60% of LINERs contain AGNs, consistent with the estimates of Ho (1999). The same percentage was also recently found by González-Martín et al. (2006) for a sample of bright LINER sources. In the computation of the luminosity function, we consider the total sample of type-2 AGN and LINERs without any distinction.

The zCOSMOS sample in the 0.15 < z < 0.45 redshift range consists of 2951 sources of which 1461 satisfy our emission-line selection criteria as shown in the diagnostic planes. Many of them were classified in only one of the two diagrams, but 614 objects have both [N\text{II}] and [S\text{II}] lines measured and were thus classified using both diagrams. In these cases, the classification was performed on the basis of the position of the objects in both diagrams. For 580 of them (94.5%), the two classifications were consistent with each other, while the remaining 5.5% of the objects were classified differently using the two diagrams. We confirmed that all these objects are, at least one of the two diagrams, close to the separation line, where the classification is not secure. For this reason, we classified these objects on the basis of their distance from the division line in the diagram. In particular, a classification is taken as the most likely solution if its distance (normalized to its error) from the demarcation line is the greatest of the two solutions. Since the two diagnostic diagrams have the same y-axis, the distance is computed along the x-axis. Using this method, 27/34 (~80%) objects were classified according to the [N\text{II}]/H\alpha diagram, and the remaining 20% using the [S\text{II}]/H\alpha diagram.

The final type-2 AGN sample extracted in this redshift range consists of 128 sources out of a total sample of 1461 sources. Thirty-one of them are classified as Seyfert-2 and 97 as LINERs (see Table 1). In this redshift range, LINERs constitute ~75% of the AGN sample. As comparison, the fraction of LINERs found by Lamareille et al. (2009) in the same redshift range, using the data from the Vimos-VLT Deep Survey (VVDS), is ~55% for the wide sample (I_{AB} < 22.5) and ~66% for the deep one (I_{AB} < 24.0). The lower percentages in the VVDS sample are not surprising. Given the lower resolution of VVDS spectra compared to zCOSMOS, there are more difficulties in deblending the H\alpha and [N\text{II}] lines and this is particularly true for objects where these two lines have similar fluxes, as LINERs.

3.2. Selection at 0.50 < z < 0.92

In this redshift range, we used the diagnostic diagram originally proposed by Rola et al. (1997) and later analyzed in detail by Lamareille et al. (2004), i.e., [O\text{III}]/\lambda 5007 Å/H\beta versus [O\text{II}]/H\beta (hereafter [O\text{II}]/H\beta). The separation in this diagnostic diagram was derived empirically, on the basis of the 2dFGRS data, by studying the position in this diagram of AGN and star-forming galaxies for which a previous classification based on the standard [N\text{II}]/H\alpha and [S\text{II}]/H\alpha diagrams was available. The analytical expression defined in terms of EW for the demarcation curves between starburst galaxies and AGN is

\[
\log \left( \frac{EW([O\text{III}])}{EW(H\beta)} \right) = \frac{0.14}{\log (EW([O\text{II}])/EW(H\beta)) - 1.45} + 0.83
\]
A typical errorbar for SFG and AGN is also shown. Cyan circles and candidate SFGs, are expected to be found. Red circles correspond to objects classified as Sey-2 and green triangles to SFG. Moreover, the dashed lines define an intermediate region close to the demarcation line where intermediate objects, i.e., candidate Seyfert-2 and candidate Sey-2 galaxies, which lie below the curves, represent ~93% of the sample, while type-2 AGN constitute only ~7% of the studied sample. In particular, 63 of the AGN are Sey-2, 53 are Sey-2 candidates (they lie in an intermediate region in the [O II]/Hβ diagram), and 97 are LINERs selected from the [N II]/Hα and [S II]/Hα diagrams. No LINERs were selected from the [O III]/Hβ diagram. We discuss the number of possible LINERs missed in this diagram in Sect. 5. Given the luminosity range covered by our sample, contamination from narrow-line Sey-1 is expected to be of the order of few percent (see e.g., Zhou et al. 2006).

Figures 3 and 4 show the position of sources in the three diagnostic diagrams used to classify them. Moreover, Table 1 indicates, for each class, the number of sources selected according to the different diagnostic diagrams ([N II]/Hα, [S II]/Hα, and [O II]/Hβ) and the fraction of them showing X-ray emission (numbers in parenthesis). The last three columns instead show the total number and the number and fraction of X-ray detected objects for each class.

The full catalog, containing coordinates, redshift, I_{AB} magnitude, [O III] luminosity, and classification, can be found in Table A1 (available at the CDS).

4. Comparison with other optical surveys

As discussed above, type-2 AGN have similar spectral continua to normal star-forming galaxies and hence their optical selection is challenging. The zCOSMOS spectra allowed us to select a sample (see Fig. 5) that spans a wide range in both redshift ($0.15 < z < 0.92$) and luminosity ($10^{5.5} \lesssim L_\odot < 10^{11.1} \lesssim L_\odot$).

The only other sample that spans a comparable redshift range is selected in a very similar way from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) Data Release 1 (DR1) by Zakamska et al. (2003). Their sample consists of 291 type-2 AGN at $0 < z < 0.83$ (the redshift range chosen to ensure that the [O III]λ5007 Å line is present in all spectra). However, as shown in Fig. 5, this sample is significantly brighter than the zCOSMOS sample, spanning the luminosity range $10^{9.3} \lesssim L_\odot < 10^{10.1} \lesssim L_\odot$.

From a three times larger SDSS catalog, combining different selection methods, R08 derived the luminosity function of a larger sample of type-2 AGN (887 objects within $0 < z < 0.83$) and a higher lower limit to its [O III] luminosity ($10^{8.5} \lesssim L_\odot < 10^{10} \lesssim L_\odot$) than the original SDSS sample from Zakamska et al. (2003). With almost the same redshift range as the zCOSMOS sample, but at brighter luminosities, the SDSS sample of R08 complements our sample constraining the bright end of the luminosity function (see Fig. 9 and Sect. 7).
and of almost all the brightest sources \(L_{2-10\text{ keV}} > 10^{43}\text{ erg s}^{-1}\) appears to be restricted to a clearly defined strip that is different from the area where most of the star-forming galaxies are found. This is shown in panel (b) of Fig. 6, where the two dashed lines indicate the particular strip of the SFG region where most of the X-ray objects are found. In the redshift range covered by the \([\text{O}^\text{III}]/\text{H}^\beta\) diagram, there are no sources at \(L_{2-10\text{ keV}} < 10^{42}\text{ erg s}^{-1}\) due to the flux limit of the X-ray observations.

Figure 7 (upper panel) shows the composite spectra of SFGs lying in the “strip” with detected X-ray emission (red line) and without X-ray signature (black line). While the line ratios of the two composite spectra are indeed very similar, hence their location in the same region of the diagnostic diagram, important differences should be noted. Firstly, the X-ray sources have far weaker emission lines than the non X-ray sources (top panel). Secondly, the normalized representation in the lower panel indicates that the X-ray sources have a significantly redder continuum which can be interpreted as an older stellar population in the host galaxy and/or as possible dust extinction on galactic scales.

However, the composite spectrum of the SFGs with X-ray emission has very similar properties, in the common spectral range, to the composite obtained from the sample of LINERs (green line) selected at low redshift using the BPT diagrams. As shown in Fig. 7, they have very similar line intensities (upper panel) and continuum shape (lower panel). Given these similarities, our interpretation is that many of these X-ray emitting sources in the SFG region could be misclassified LINERs. This is unsurprising given the selection within the \([\text{O}^\text{III}]/\text{H}^\beta\) diagram, which corresponds to a nearly flat cut in \([\text{O}^\text{III}]/\text{H}^\beta\), given the range of \([\text{O}^\text{III}]/\text{H}^\beta\) probed by our sample. Applying a similar flat cut (\([\text{O}^\text{III}]/\text{H}^\beta > 6\)) to the low-z sample, we would have failed to identify LINERs (see also Lamareille 2010).

However, there is a second hypothesis that we should consider. These X-ray sources in the SFG region could also be composite AGN/SFG objects in which star formation and AGN activity coexist, as expected in the current framework of galaxy-AGN co-evolution models. This second hypothesis is consistent with model predictions of the source position in the optical diagnostic diagrams. Staśnińska et al. (2006) showed that while in the \([\text{N}^\text{II}]/\text{H}^\alpha\) diagram the separation line between AGN and SF is clearly defined in terms of the minimum AGN fraction, in the \([\text{O}^\text{II}]/\text{H}^\beta\) diagram galaxies with a moderate AGN fraction still lie in the star-forming locus. Hence, using this diagram objects will be observationally classified as AGN only when the AGN contribution is high. Based on these theoretical models, the existence of composite objects in the hashed region of the \([\text{O}^\text{II}]/\text{H}^\beta\) diagram is plausible.

New IR spectroscopic observations have been obtained with SofI, the infrared spectrograph and imaging camera on the NTT, for a larger sample of objects with the same properties based also on COSMOS-Chandra data (Elvis et al. 2009, Civano et al., in prep.). The IR spectra combined with the multi-wavelength information available in COSMOS will allow us to ascertain more accurately the true nature of these objects. A more detailed discussion of these data will be presented in a forthcoming paper.

For the purposes of this paper, we decided not to include these sources (i.e. X-ray detected, but optically classified SFG) in our AGN sample. Given the observationally well known differences between the X-ray properties and the optical spectral types (Trouille et al. 2009) a mixed classification scheme can complicate the interpretation.
Fig. 6. As in Figs. 3a and 4, but now showing the positions of X-ray detected sources. Open and filled symbols correspond to different X-ray luminosities (open for $L_{2-10\,\text{keV}} < 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$, and filled for $L_{2-10\,\text{keV}} > 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$). The two dashed lines in the same panel mark the preferred region occupied by X-ray detected objects.

Fig. 7. Composite spectrum of X-ray sources optically classified as star-forming galaxies (red line) compared to the composite obtained with all the sources that are not X-ray emitting in the same strip of the star-forming region (black line) and to the composite obtained from the LINER sample selected at low redshift with the BPT diagrams (green line). The lower panel shows the three spectra normalized in the wavelength range around the [O$_{\text{III}}$] line. The comparison between the red and the black line highlights different emission-line strengths (upper panel) and a redder continuum of the X-ray emitters (lower panel), suggesting an older stellar population component as well as possible dust extinction on galactic scales. In contrast, the X-ray emitting SFG show properties very similar to the LINER composite (red and green line) in terms of line intensities and continuum shape.

6. Luminosity function

To study the evolution of type-2 AGN, we derived the luminosity function, which describes the number of AGN per unit volume and unit luminosity in our sample. Since the optical continuum of type-2 AGN is dominated by the host galaxy, to sample and study only the AGN we have to rely on the luminosity derived from the emission lines connected to the ionizing source (the AGN in the core). We decided to use the [O$_{\text{III}}$] emission-line because (1) the contamination from star formation is small for this line and thus its luminosity reflects the true AGN contribution more accurately than any other line (Hao et al. 2005a); and (2) the [O$_{\text{III}}$] line is by construction present in all our spectra.

We derived the [O$_{\text{III}}$] luminosities from the emission-line fluxes measured by the automatic pipeline platefit_vimos (Lamareille et al. 2009; Lamareille et al., in prep.). We did not correct the [O$_{\text{III}}$] flux for aperture effects. This correction would take into account the fraction of light of a given source that was missed because of the finite width of the slits in the VIMOS masks (1 arcsec). This factor is close to 1 (corresponding to no correction) for stars and increases towards more extended objects. For our sample of host galaxies of type-2 AGN, the correction factor for the continuum ranges between 1 and 3 with an average value of 2.2. However, if our AGN classification for these objects is correct, most of the [O$_{\text{III}}$] luminosity is produced in the AGN narrow-line region (which has a characteristic scale of 2–10 kpc; Bennert et al. 2002) and should therefore be treated as a compact source. For this reason, we did not apply any slit-loss correction to the observed [O$_{\text{III}}$] fluxes.

6.1. Incompleteness function

To study the statistical properties of type-2 AGN, we first need to derive the total number of type-2 AGN in the field and we therefore need to correct our sample for the fraction of objects that are not included because of selection effects. We correct for the sources that were not observed spectroscopically (target sampling rate, hereafter TSR) and for those that were not identified from their spectra (spectroscopic success rate, hereafter SSR). In particular, the TSR is the fraction of sources observed in the spectroscopic survey compared to the total number of
objects in the parent photometric catalogue. As a general strategy, sources are selected randomly without any bias. However, some particular objects (e.g., X-ray and radio sources) are designated compulsory targets, i.e., objects upon which slits must be positioned. The TSR in the latter case is much higher (~87%) than for the random sample (~36%). The SSR is the fraction of spectroscopically targeted objects for which a secure spectroscopic identification was obtained. It is computed to be the ratio of the number of objects with measured redshifts to the total number of spectra and ranges from 97.5% to 82% as a function of apparent magnitude. Therefore, the incompleteness function consists of two terms linked to (a) the selection algorithm used to design the masks and (b) the quality of the spectra, respectively. The correction is performed using a statistical weight associated with each galaxy that has a secure redshift measurement. This weight is the product of the inverse of the TSR (\(w_{\text{TSR}} = 1/\text{TSR}\)) and of the SSR (\(w_{\text{SSR}} = 1/\text{SSR}\)) and was derived by Zucca et al. (2009, see also Bolzonella et al. 2009) for all objects with secure spectroscopic redshifts, taking into account the compulsory objects¹.

6.2. 1/\(V_{\text{max}}\) luminosity function

We derive the binned representation of the luminosity function using the usual 1/\(V_{\text{max}}\) estimator (Schmidt 1968), which gives the space-density contribution of individual objects. The 1/\(V_{\text{max}}\) method considers for each object \(i\) the comoving volume (\(V_{\text{max}}\)) within which the \(i\)th object would still be included in the sample. The luminosity function for each object is thus linked to the maximum apparent magnitude as well as the minimum flux of the involved lines.

While the maximum magnitude is the same for all the objects (by definition for the zCOSMOS bright sample \(I_{\text{AB}} < 22.5\)) the definition of the minimum flux of the lines differs for each object depending on the continuum level.

Following the procedure described by Mignoli et al. (2009), for each object we estimate the emission-line detection limit concerning the \(S/N\) in the continuum adjacent to the line and assuming the cut applied to the \(S/N\) of the line. Figure 8 shows, as an example, the result of this procedure for the [OIII] 15007 Å line. In this plot, we show the observed [OIII] \(EW\) as a function of the continuum \(S/N\) for both the entire emission-line sample (black triangles) and the type-2 AGN sample (red circles). The solid line represents the cut to the \(S/N\) of the [OIII] line \((S/N_{\text{OIII}} > 5)\) and indicates the minimum \(EW\) detectable given the \(S/N\) of the continuum. The green arrow traces, as an example, the position of a given object in this plane for increasing redshift. The \(z_{\text{max}}(f_i)\), used to compute the \(V_{\text{max}}(f_i)\), corresponds to the point at which the source reaches the solid line.

The same procedure was repeated for all the emission lines \(l\) used in the selection (the line \(S/N\) cut is 5 for [OIII] but 2.5 for all the other lines) resulting, for each object, in a number of \(V_{\text{max}}(f_i)\), each corresponding to a different line.

Finally, the maximum volume for each object \(i\) was estimated to be the minimum between the volume \(V_{\text{max}}(m_i)\) associated with the maximum apparent magnitude and the volumes \(V_{\text{max}}(f_i)\) associated with the minimum flux of the used lines.

The luminosity function for each redshift bin \((z - \Delta z/2; z + \Delta z/2)\) is thus computed to be

\[
\Phi(L) = \frac{1}{\Delta \log L} \sum_i \frac{w_{\text{TSR}}(f_i) w_{\text{SSR}}}{\min[V_{\text{max}}(m_i), V_{\text{max}}(f_i)]},
\]

where \(w_{\text{TSR}}\) and \(w_{\text{SSR}}\) are the statistical weights described above.

The statistical uncertainty in \(\Phi(L)\) is given by Marshall et al. (1983)

\[
\sigma_\Phi = \frac{1}{\Delta \log L} \sqrt{\sum_i \frac{(w_{\text{TSR}}(f_i) w_{\text{SSR}})^2}{\min[V_{\text{max}}(m_i), V_{\text{max}}(f_i)]^2}}.
\]

The resulting luminosity functions in different redshift ranges are shown in Fig. 9, while the details for each bin are presented in Table 2. In the latter we list for the three redshift ranges the [OIII] luminosity range of the bin, the number of AGN contributing in that bin and the values of \(\log \Phi\) with the corresponding 1σ errors.

7. Results

Figure 9 shows our LF data points (black circles) and, for comparison, the binned luminosity function derived from the SDSS sample of type-2 AGN (blue diamonds) in the same redshift range from R08. The last redshift bin of this figure corresponds

---

¹ In the selected type-2 AGN sample, 17 sources were compulsory.
Table 2. Binned log $\Phi$([O III]) luminosity function estimates for $\Omega_m = 0.3$, $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$, and $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$.

| $\Delta \log L_{\text{OIII}}/L_*$ | $N_{\text{AGN}}$ | $\log \Phi([\text{O III}])$ | $\Delta \log \Phi([\text{O III}])$
|----------------|-------------|----------------|----------------
| 0.15 < $z$ < 0.3 | | | |
| 5.60 | 6.10 | 10 | -3.42 | +0.12 | -0.17
| 6.10 | 6.60 | 11 | -3.40 | +0.12 | -0.16
| 6.60 | 7.10 | 3 | -4.08 | +0.20 | -0.34
| 7.10 | 7.60 | 1 | -4.49 | +0.52 | -0.76
| 7.60 | 8.10 | 1 | -4.95 | +0.52 | -0.76
| 0.30 < $z$ < 0.45 | | | |
| 5.43 | 5.93 | 5 | -3.87 | +0.20 | -0.37
| 5.93 | 6.43 | 38 | -3.17 | +0.07 | -0.09
| 6.43 | 6.93 | 34 | -3.27 | +0.07 | -0.09
| 6.93 | 7.43 | 17 | -3.66 | +0.10 | -0.12
| 7.43 | 7.93 | 6 | -4.23 | +0.16 | -0.25
| 7.93 | 8.43 | 1 | -4.92 | +0.52 | -0.76
| 8.43 | 8.93 | 1 | -4.92 | +0.52 | -0.76
| 0.5 < $z$ < 0.92 | | | |
| 6.32 | 6.82 | 3 | -4.54 | 0.20 | 0.40
| 6.82 | 7.32 | 15 | -4.04 | 0.11 | 0.16
| 7.32 | 7.82 | 36 | -3.83 | 0.08 | 0.10
| 7.82 | 8.32 | 20 | -4.18 | 0.11 | 0.15
| 8.32 | 8.82 | 7 | -4.77 | 0.15 | 0.23
| 8.82 | 9.32 | 4 | -5.16 | 0.18 | 0.32

back-of-the-envelope calculation. We convert from the limiting apparent $i$-band magnitude ($I_{AB} < 22.5$) to an absolute magnitude at the upper bound of the redshift bin. Using the median $EW$ in the redshift bin, we then estimate the absolute [O III] luminosity at which about half of the objects at this redshift should be missing. Applying this to our data set, we found good consistency between the estimated onset of incompleteness and the position at which the LF begins to turn over. In particular, we found that the approximate [O III] luminosities where significant incompleteness is expected are $\sim 9 \times 10^5 L_\odot$, $\sim 2 \times 10^6 L_\odot$, and $\sim 10^7 L_\odot$ for $z = 0.3$, 0.45, and 0.92, respectively (first, second, and third redshift bin). The incomplete bins will not be taken into account in the computation of the model to describe the [O III] LF and its evolution in Sect. 7.1.

On the other hand, the possible absence in our AGN sample of misclassified LINERS in the [O II]/H$\beta$ diagram would affect the LF only in the last redshift bins. If most of the 31 X-ray detected sources located in the region of star-forming galaxies in the [O II]/H$\beta$ diagram are indeed AGN (see Sect. 5), assuming that the fraction of X-ray detections for them is similar to that of Sey-2 galaxies (from 10% to 20%; see Table 1), we can estimate that the total number of misclassified objects in the star-forming region of this diagram is $(5-10) \times 31 \sim 150–300$ i.e., $\sim 5$–10% of all objects. Considering the distribution in [O III] luminosities of the X-ray detected sources possibly misclassified AGN ($L_{\text{OIII}} \sim 3 \times 10^6–5 \times 10^7 L_\odot$), and adding these sources to the corresponding affected luminosity bins, we find that the number density could increase by more than one order of magnitude in the first bin and about half in the second.

Finally, we note that extinction could affect the whole LF shape and/or normalization shifting the data points towards fainter luminosities. The [O III] line is expected to be affected by dust extinction, located either within the narrow-line region itself or in the intervening interstellar matter of the host galaxy. Since the quality of the X-COSMOS spectra does not always allow a reliable estimate of extinction on an object-by-object basis, we decided not to apply any dust extinction correction to our [O III] luminosities. However, we found that the H$\alpha$/H$\beta$ ratios measured on the composite spectra of Sey-2 and LINERs are $\sim 2.55$ and $\sim 2.45$ respectively, consistent inside the errors with no extinction.

The green line in all of our bins corresponds to the LF derived from the local SDSS sample ($z < 0.15$; Hao et al. 2005a). As can be seen, in the first redshift bin the zCOSMOS and the SDSS data points are in good agreement with the fit to the local LF model suggesting that no detectable evolution occurs between $z \sim 0$ and $z \sim 0.22$.

In contrast, in the second redshift bin, an evolutionary trend is clear and the combined zCOSMOS-SDSS data points seem to follow the same evolutionary model found by Della Ceca et al. (2008), hereafter DC08) using an X-ray selected sample of obscured AGN from the XMM-Newton hard bright serendipitous sample (HBSS) with spectroscopic identification. In this work, DC08 attempted to fit a luminosity-dependent density evolution (LDDE) model similar to and consistent with previous work (Hasinger et al. 2005; La Franca et al. 2005). We overplot their local ($z = 0$) and evolved LF appropriately transformed into our figure as dashed and solid red lines, respectively. As shown in the figure, the zCOSMOS-SDSS data points in the second redshift bin, lie along the solid line and indeed follows a similar trend. For this curve, the conversion from X-ray to [O III] luminosities was performed by assuming the mean $L_{\text{OIII}}/L_{\text{2-10 keV}} \approx 0.15$ ratio for Seyfert galaxies obtained by Mulchaey et al. (1994) (fully consistent with the value reported to the redshift range spanned by the [O II]/H$\beta$ diagnostic diagram that, as discussed in Sect. 5, may be affected by a more significant incompleteness, which is considered below.

As already pointed out, the SDSS sample is complementary in terms of [O III] luminosity to the X-MOS sample and spans a similar redshift range. Thus, combining the two samples allows us to constrain the luminosity function over a wide luminosity range. As can be seen in Fig. 9, for at least the first two bins the two data sets are in good agreement, with the zCOSMOS points connecting smoothly to the bright SDSS data points.

In Fig. 9, it is also shown (pink squares) as comparison the X-ray luminosity function data (Miyaji et al., in prep.) derived in the same field using the XMM-COSMOS sources (Cappelluti et al. 2009) with optical identifications by Brusa et al. (in prep.).

The XMM-COSMOS LF data points were converted from X-ray [2–10] keV to [O III] luminosities by applying the luminosity dependent relation ($\log (L_{\text{OIII}}/L_{\text{2-10}}) = 16.5–0.42 \log L_{\text{2-10}}$) derived by Netzer et al. (2006).

The XMM-COSMOS LF overlaps with our luminosity range and is in very good agreement with our LF data points showing, in some of the bins, a higher density. This is not surprising since the X-ray LF refers to the entire AGN population (observed and unobscured), while our LF considers only obscured sources. However, a one-to-one correspondence between X-ray and optical classification does not hold since these bands select different populations, with e.g., X-ray surveys missing Compton thick AGN (La Massa et al. 2009).

For all redshift bins, the faintest part (first data points) of our LF evidently declines. This is an artifact related to the selection of the zCOSMOS sample, which is based on broad-band magnitude ($I_{AB} < 22.5$). This implies that a fraction of objects that would fulfill our [O III] based cuts, are too faint in the $I_{AB}$ band to be included in the zCOSMOS sample. These objects never enter the sample, even at the minimum redshift, so they cannot be corrected. Since intrinsically faint objects tend to be fainter in [O III], the fraction of missed type-2 AGN is thus higher in the lowest [O III] luminosity bins. The onset of significant incompleteness can be approximately estimated by the following relationship:
in Heckman et al. 2005 for the unobscured view of Seyfert galaxies, \( L_{\text{OIII}}/L_{2–10 \text{ keV}} = 0.017 \). The luminosity dependence of the Netzer et al. (2006) relation would cause a discrepancy with our data points, especially at the bright end. However, since this relation was derived for a more limited \([\text{O III}]\) luminosity range, its application to our objects with the highest \([\text{O III}]\) luminosity would correspond to an extrapolation of the relation beyond the original data range.

At higher redshift \((z \sim 0.7)\), the agreement is no longer as good as in the other two bins, but (see Sect. 5) in this redshift range the optical and the X-ray selections do not sample the same population and a direct comparison between them is thus not possible. The SDSS LF data points in this redshift bin also show a significant incompleteness: R08 highlighted that because of different selection biases, their highest quality data at high redshift \((0.50 < z < 0.83)\) correspond to high luminosities \((L_{\text{OIII}} > 10^{9.5} \ L_\odot)\). Our data points, compared to the X-ray model, show an excess of sources at high luminosities, while at low luminosities our data are probably affected by the incompleteness described above. However, our three central LF data points support the trend seen for the previous bin, showing an evolution consistent with the LDDE model from DC08.

### 7.1. Model fitting

Given the wide luminosity range spanned by placing zCOSMOS and SDSS data together, we tried to derive a model to describe the \([\text{O III}]\) LF and its evolution.

In the computation of the model fit, we did not consider the luminosity bins (in both SDSS and zCOSMOS sample) that are likely to be incomplete (see Sect. 7). They are shown as open symbols in Fig. 10.

To be sure that the model fit is not strongly influenced by the last redshift bin, which may be highly incomplete, we computed the model fits presented below first by not including the data in this redshift bin, and then considering them, and we found that the resulting parameters agree to within the statistical errors. The results reported below correspond to the entire redshift range \((0.15 < z < 0.92)\).

For all analyzed models, we parameterized the luminosity function as a double power-law given by

\[
\Phi(L, z) = \Phi^*_{L} \frac{1}{(L/L^*)^{\gamma_1} + (L/L^*)^{\gamma_2}}
\]

where \(\Phi^*_L\) is the characteristic AGN density in \(\text{Mpc}^{-3}\), \(L^*\) is the characteristic luminosity, and \(\gamma_1\) and \(\gamma_2\) are the two power-law indices.

After attempting different model fits (i.e., pure luminosity evolution (PLE), pure density evolution (PDE), or a combination of luminosity and density evolution), we assumed a luminosity-dependent density evolution model (LDDE) with the parameterization introduced by Ueda et al. (2003). From X-ray studies, it is now well established that a LDDE model provides a more accurate description of the evolutionary properties of AGN (Hasinger et al. 2005; Ueda et al. 2003; La Franca et al. 2005; Silverman et al. 2008; Ebrero et al. 2009), and this is the case also in the optical domain for at least type-1 AGN (Bongiorno et al. 2007, hereafter B07). We can describe the LF as a function of redshift with

\[
\Phi(L, z) = \Phi(L, 0) \cdot e(\gamma, z)
\]
The best-fit model parameters $\gamma$ changes. We note that in this representation of the LF ($\chi_L$ characteristic luminosity, thus modifying the shape of the luminosity function as a function of redshift. This dependence allows different types of evolution to occur at different luminosities and can indeed reproduce the differential AGN evolution as a function of luminosity, thus modifying the shape of the luminosity function as a function of redshift.

Given the small redshift range covered by the data, we are unable to fully constrain the evolution. For this reason, we fixed the evolutionary parameters ($p_1, p_2, \alpha, z_c, \gamma, L_0$) and used the $\chi^2$ minimization method to derive the normalization $\Phi^*_L$, the characteristic luminosity $L^*$, and the bright and faint end slopes of the LF ($\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_2$).

The evolution parameters were fixed using results obtained by DC08, appropriately converted to $[\text{O}III]$ luminosity and our cosmology. By fixing $p_1 = 6.5$, $p_2 = -1.15$, $L_0 = 8.15 \times 10^{46}$ erg s$^{-1}$, $z_{c,0} = 2.49$ and $\alpha = 0.2$, we obtained the best-fit model parameters $\gamma_1 = 0.56$, $\gamma_2 = 2.42$, and $L^* = 2.7 \times 10^{45}$ erg s$^{-1}$ with the normalization $\Phi^*_L = 1.08 \times 10^{-5}$ Mpc$^{-3}$.

The representation of this best-fit model is shown as a solid line in Fig. 10, where all the data used in the derivation of the model are shown as filled symbols. The dashed line in each panel represents the best-fit model at $z = 0$.

This model represents reasonably well the data points reproducing the shape of the LF in the first two redshift bins, with a slight underestimation of the bright-end SDSS data points. The last bin is not well fitted. In this redshift bin, the LF data points show an excess in the bright part of the LF. A possible bias could in principle be due to a higher mean redshift of the bright objects with respect to the central redshift of the bin due to the increasing space density of AGN with redshift. However, we tend to exclude this possibility because the four objects in the most deviant data point at the bright end of the LF have a mean redshift of $z \sim 0.67$ and are hence very close to the central redshift of the bin. Upcoming larger samples (e.g., the 20 k zCOSMOS sample) will provide superior data statistics and hence an improved constraining power.

7.2. Type-2 AGN fraction

One of the most important open issues regarding absorbed AGN is understanding their relevance amongst the AGN population and if there is a dependence of the fraction of absorbed AGN on either luminosity and/or redshift.

We computed the type-2 AGN fraction, i.e., the ratio of type-2 to total (type-1 + type-2) AGN, using the derived number densities for the type-2 AGN sample. In the analyzed redshift range (0.15 < $z$ < 0.92), direct $[\text{O}III]$ LF measurements for type-1 AGN are available only at $10^{5.5} L_0 < L_{[\text{O}III]} < 10^{10} L_0$ (SDSS; R08). The zCOSMOS type-2 AGN luminosity regime remains thus mostly uncovered by data. To constrain also this luminosity range, we have converted the optical broad-band $M_B$ LF derived by B07 for type-1 AGN to a $[\text{O}III]$ LF. The $B$-band LF computed by B07 ranges from $M_B = -20$ to $M_B = -26$ thus probing
the [OIII] luminosity interval from $\sim 10^{7.2} L_\odot$ to $\sim 10^{9.5} L_\odot$ (see Eq. (10)).

To do this, following the same approach used by R08, we used the mean $L_{\text{[OIII]}}-M_B$ relation and its scatter $\sigma$. The [OIII] luminosity is not a perfect tracer of bolometric luminosity and there is indeed substantial scatter between [OIII] and continuum luminosity for type 1 quasars (Netzer et al. 2006, R08). We thus considered the scatter $\sigma$ around the mean $L_{\text{[OIII]}}-M_B$ relation and convolved this with the broad-band LF. We assumed a relation between $L_{\text{[OIII]}}$ and $M_B$ derived for the SDSS type-1 sample by R08 and converted from a rest-frame wavelength of 2500 Å to the $B$-band by adding 0.2$^2$, thus obtaining

$$\log \left( \frac{L_{\text{[OIII]}}}{L_\odot} \right) = -0.38 M_B - 0.42$$

(10)

with a scatter in $L_{\text{[OIII]}}$ at fixed continuum luminosity that is consistent with a log-normal scatter of width $\sigma = 0.36$ dex.

By convolving the broad-band LF with the mean $L_{\text{[OIII]}}-M_B$ relation with its log-normal scatter (see Eq. (12) of R08), we obtain the [OIII] LF model for type-1 AGN, which is shown as an orange line in the three upper panels of Fig. 11, where additionally the SDSS type-1 AGN [OIII] LF data points (derived directly from [OIII] luminosities; R08) are shown as orange squares.

By comparing this to the [OIII] LF model derived in the previous section for type-2 AGN (black line in the same panels), we can directly estimate the type-2 AGN fraction in the three redshift bins. This is shown as a solid black line in the lower panels of Fig. 11. We also computed the same quantity by considering the data points instead of the models. In particular, for the type-2 AGN we used the LF data points, while for the type-1 AGN the only data available are from the SDSS (orange squares), and thus in the zCOSMOS regime we continued to use the extrapolated model. The result of this second way of computing the type-2 AGN fraction, is shown with black circles (zCOSMOS) and blue diamonds (SDSS) in the lower panels of Fig. 11. As expected, the two methods are in good agreement and consistent within the errors, which are however, very large.

For the $0.15 < z < 0.3$ bin, we find that the type-2 fraction decreases with luminosity from $\sim 65\%$ (zCOSMOS data) to $\sim 50\%$ (SDSS data) going from $L_{\text{[OIII]}} = 10^{6.2-10^{8.2}} L_\odot$ to brighter luminosities ($L_{\text{[OIII]}} = 10^{9.2-10^{9.2}} L_\odot$). However, considering the errors and taking into account that the fractions derived from SDSS have to be considered as lower limits (see R08), the trend is also consistent with being constant with luminosity. At higher redshift, the trend with luminosities is stronger, clearly showing a decreasing fraction of type-2 AGN with luminosity. At $0.3 < z < 0.45$ and $0.5 < z < 0.92$, the type-2 fraction ranges from $\sim 80\%$ at $L_{\text{[OIII]}} = 10^{8.2-10^{9.5}} L_\odot$ to $\sim 25\%$ in the SDSS regime ($L_{\text{[OIII]}} = 10^{9.0-10^{9.6}} L_\odot$). In contrast, we do not detect any clear trend with redshift. At fixed luminosity, e.g., $L_{\text{[OIII]}} \sim 10^{8.5} L_\odot$, the fraction slightly increases from $73\%$ to $81\%$ from $z \sim 0.2$ to $z \sim 0.7$. However, within the margins of error this is consistent with being constant.

We note that these results are based on two samples (zCOSMOS and SDSS) and that the different selection methods may affect the observed trend. From the COSMOS data points alone,
the type-2 fraction appears to be quite constant with luminosity in each redshift bin, although in a more limited luminosity range.

There has been a substantial amount of work to determine the obscured AGN fraction as a function of luminosity and redshift, leading sometimes to contradictory results. In the optical band, Simpson (2005), using a sample of type-1 AGN [OIII] selected from the SDSS sample at 0.02 < z < 0.3, measured a decline in the type-2 fraction with luminosity. His fractions (represented by magenta squares in the first redshift bin) are broadly consistent with our (more uncertain) fractions. In the X-ray band, where most of the work on this topic has been completed, several studies suggest that the fraction of obscured AGN decreases with luminosity and increases with z (Ueda et al. 2003; Barger et al. 2005; La Franca et al. 2005; Treister et al. 2006; Sazonov et al. 2007; Hasinger 2008), while other studies suggest that it is independent of both L and z (Dwelly & Page 2006), or that it is independent of z but not of L (Ueda et al. 2003; Akylas et al. 2006; Gilli et al. 2007).

The comparison of an optical type-2 (type-1 + type-2) fraction with an X-ray obscured/(unobscured) fraction is always affected by limitations and differences related to the AGN classification in different bands. For example, Compton thick AGN may be missed by X-ray surveys (La Massa et al. 2009), while the optical selection can fail to select AGN light diluted by their host galaxy. Moreover, the redshift range covered by X-ray samples usually extends to higher redshift than the optical ones. To overcome the difficulties in classifying either the optical or the X-ray bands, Hasinger (2008) selected in the 2–10 keV band a sample of 1290 obscured AGN by combining both diagnostics. From this sample, he found a significant increase in the absorbed fraction with redshift and confirmed with higher quality statistics that there is a strong decline in the same fraction with X-ray luminosities. This decline can be described by an almost linear decrease from 80% to 20% in the luminosity range $L_\text{X} = 10^{42.5} - 10^{46}$ ergs s$^{-1}$. (We show this trend with a dot-dashed green line in Fig. 11 after converting the X-ray to [OIII] luminosity using the Netzer et al. (2006) relation.

8. Summary and conclusions

We have presented the faintest optically selected sample of type-2 AGN to date. The sample, selected from the zCOSMOS survey, consists of 213 sources in the redshift range 0.15 < z < 0.92, spanning the [OIII] luminosity range $10^{5.5} L_\odot < L_{\text{[OIII]}} < 10^{10.1} L_\odot$. The only other sample at z > 0.15 is one selected in a similar way from the SDSS by Zakamska et al. (2003), which, however, covers significantly brighter luminosities ($10^{7.3} L_\odot < L_{\text{[OIII]}} < 10^{10.1} L_\odot$).

Our sample has been selected using the first 10,000 spectra of the zCOSMOS database on the basis of their emission line properties. In particular, we have used the standard BPT diagrams ([OIII]/Hβ versus [NII]/Hα and [OIII]/Hβ versus [SII]/Hα) to isolate AGN in the redshift range 0.15 < z < 0.45 and the more recent diagnostic diagram [OIII]/Hβ versus [OII]/Hα to extend the selection to higher redshift (0.5 < z < 0.92), after applying a cut to the $S/N$ ratio of the involved lines.

Cross-checking the zCOSMOS emission-line sample with the XMM-COSMOS catalog, we found a significant incompleteness in the [OII]/Hβ diagnostic diagram used to select high redshift type-2 AGN (z > 0.5). Our hypothesis is that LINERs as well as composite AGN/SF sources can be misclassified by this diagram.

For the selected type-2 AGN sample, we computed the [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 A line luminosity function using the $1/V_{\text{max}}$ method. The selection function takes into account (and corrects for) the sources that were not spectroscopically observed (but were in the photometric catalog) and those for which a secure spectroscopic identification has not been obtained. The correction is performed using a statistical weight associated with each galaxy that has a secure redshift measurement. Since the sample was selected from a magnitude-limited sample, applying a criterion based on the line fluxes, the maximum volume $V_{\text{max}}$ for each object has been estimated as the minimum between the volume $V_{\text{max}}(m_i)$ associated with the maximum apparent magnitude and the volumes $V_{\text{max}}(m_i)$ associated with the minimum flux of the used lines. We have extended the [OIII] $\lambda$ 5007 A line luminosity bin to luminosities about 2 orders of magnitude fainter than those previously available (down to $L_{\text{[OIII]}} = 10^{5.5} L_\odot$).

To enlare the luminosity range, we combined our faint zCOSMOS sample with the sample of bright type-2 AGN from the SDSS (R08) and found that the evolutionary model that represents the combined luminosity functions most accurately is an LDDE model. By fixing the evolutionary parameters ($p_1$, $p_2$, $\alpha$, $z_\odot$, $L_\odot$) using the results obtained by DC08, we obtained as best-fit model parameters $y_1 = 0.56$, $y_2 = 2.42$, and $L^* = 2.7\times10^{41}$ erg s$^{-1}$ with the normalization $\Phi_1^* = 1.08\times10^{-5}$ Mpc$^{-3}$.

Finally, by comparing the LF for type-2 and type-1 AGN (obtained by converting the broad-band LF from the UVDS into an [OIII] LF), we constrained the type-2 quasar fraction as a function of luminosity. We found that the fraction of type-2 AGN is high at low [OIII] luminosities and then decreases at higher luminosities, in agreement with that found from several studies in the X-ray band (Ueda et al. 2003; Barger et al. 2005; Treister et al. 2006; Sazonov et al. 2007; Gilli et al. 2007). The same decreasing trend with luminosity is found in all individual redshift bins, and we found on average a slightly higher fraction towards higher redshift (consistent however with being constant inside the errors). In particular, we found that at 0.15 < z < 0.3 the fraction of type-2 AGN decreases with luminosity from ~65% to ~50%, while at 0.3 < z < 0.45, and 0.5 < z < 0.92 the type-2 fraction ranges from ~80% to ~25%. However, analysis of a sample uniformly selected across a wider luminosity range is needed to confirm these results, which are derived by combining two different samples (zCOSMOS and SDSS). We cannot exclude that the observed trend could still be an artifact produced by the different selection functions in the two samples.

From the COSMOS data points alone, the type-2 fraction seems to be quite constant with luminosity.
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