I. INTRODUCTION

Electroweak baryogenesis (EWB) is an attractive and testable explanation for the origin of the baryon asymmetry of the universe (BAU). Characterized by the baryon-number-to-entropy-ratio $n_B/s$, the BAU has been measured through studies of big bang nucleosynthesis (BBN) and the cosmic microwave background (CMB) to be in the following range

$$n_B/s = \begin{cases} 
(6.7-9.2) \times 10^{-11} & \text{BBN} \\
(8.36-9.32) \times 10^{-11} & \text{CMB}
\end{cases}$$

at 95% C.L. [1,2]. Assuming that the universe was matter-antimatter symmetric at some initial time (e.g. at the end of inflation), the creation of the BAU requires three conditions (the Sakharov conditions [3]): (1) violation of baryon number, (2) violation of $C$ and $CP$, and (3) either a departure from equilibrium or a violation of $CPT$.

In EWB, these conditions are realized in the following way. First, a departure from equilibrium is provided by a strongly first order electroweak phase transition (EWPT) at temperature $T \sim 100$ GeV [4,5]. During the EWPT, bubbles of broken electroweak symmetry nucleate and expand in a background of unbroken symmetry, filling the universe to complete the phase transition. Second, $CP$-violation may arise from complex phases. These phases induce $CP$-violating interactions at the walls of the expanding bubbles, where the Higgs vacuum expectation value (vev) is time-dependent, leading the production of a $CP$-asymmetric charge density. This is the so-called $CP$-violating source. This $CP$-asymmetry, created for one species, diffuses ahead of the advancing bubble and is converted into other species through inelastic interactions in the plasma; in particular, some fraction is converted into left-handed fermion charge density, denoted $n_L$. Third, baryon number is violated by nonperturbative $SU(2)_L$ processes, which are unsuppressed outside the bubbles, in regions of unbroken electroweak symmetry [6–10]. Following the common usage, we will refer to these as sphaleron processes. The presence of nonzero $n_L$ biases the sphaleron processes, resulting in the production of a baryon asymmetry [11]. Electroweak sphalerons become quenched once electroweak symmetry is broken, as long as the EWPT is strongly first order; therefore, the baryon asymmetry becomes frozen in once it is captured inside the expanding bubbles.

In this work, we consider the charge transport dynamics during the EWPT: that is, how charge densities, induced by $CP$-violating sources, diffuse, interact, and get converted into $n_L$, ultimately inducing $n_B/s$. Although in the standard model (SM) this dynamics is insufficient to produce the observed BAU [12], supersymmetric extensions of the SM can readily include all the ingredients to make it successful.\footnote{Another reason that EWB is not viable in the SM is that there is no EWPT for a Higgs mass $m_h \geq 114$ GeV, electroweak symmetry is broken through a continuous crossover [13].}

The most commonly accepted supersymmetric scenario is the following: the expanding bubble wall leads to a $CP$-violating source for charge density in the Higgs sector, which is then converted into third generation quarks through top Yukawa interactions, which in turn is converted into quark charge density of all generations through strong sphaleron processes [14]. The rate for baryon number production is proportional to $n_L$; in this picture, $n_L$ receives contributions from left-handed quarks of all three generations.

However, as reported in a previous publication [15], we have observed that bottom and tau Yukawa interactions,
shown in Fig. 1, cannot in general be neglected from the computation of \( n_L \) in supersymmetric EWB scenarios. While bottom and tau Yukawa couplings are small in the SM, in supersymmetric extensions they can be larger when the ratio of the vevs of the Higgs doublets, \( \frac{v_u}{v_d} \equiv \tan\beta \), is greater than unity. The inclusion of bottom and tau Yukawa interactions can change the EWB picture dramatically.

(i) **Quark charge density may be suppressed**: For \( \tan\beta \gtrsim 5 \), bottom Yukawa interactions become non-negligible, leading to two important effects: (1) strong sphaleron processes no longer induce charge densities of first and second generation quarks, and (2) the third generation left-handed quark charge density vanishes when the masses of right-handed bottom and top squarks are equal, or when their masses are large compared to the temperature \( T \).

(ii) **Lepton charge density generated**: For \( \tan\beta \gtrsim 20 \), tau Yukawa interactions also are non-negligible, leading to the conversion of Higgs charge density into third generation lepton charge density.\(^2\) These novel effects, which come into play for moderate \( \tan\beta \), can lead to qualitatively different situations from those previously considered for supersymmetric EWB. In the present paper, we focus on a new scenario, where \( n_L \) can be purely leptonic. We call this “lepton-mediated electroweak baryogenesis.” This scenario occurs when \( \tan\beta \gtrsim 20 \), and the right-handed bottom and top squark masses are, either, approximately equal \( (m_{\tilde{b}_R} = m_{\tilde{t}_R}) \), or large compared to \( T \) \( (m_{\tilde{b}_R}, m_{\tilde{t}_R} \gtrsim 500 \text{ GeV}) \). (In other regions of parameter space where the quark contribution to \( n_L \) is not quenched, the lepton contribution may still provide an additional enhancement or suppression to the total \( n_L \).)

In the lepton-mediated EWB scenario, the value of \( n_B/s \) has opposite sign compared to the value of \( n_B/s \) computed when neglecting the bottom and tau Yukawa rates. The ingredients of this scenario will be tested in the near future at the Large Hadron Collider and by precision electric dipole moment (EDM) searches \([17]\). Clearly, to the extent that these experiments can determine the supersymmetric spectrum, and the signs and magnitudes of relevant \( CP \)-violating phases, inclusion of these Yukawa rates may be essential for testing the consistency of supersymmetric EWB with observation.

In Sec. II, we present the system of Boltzmann equations, generalized from previous work \([14,18]\) to include bottom and tau Yukawa interactions. In Sec. III, we provide an analytic estimate of the baryon asymmetry in detail. We solve the Boltzmann equations analytically in the limit that \( \tan\beta \gg 1 \), such that bottom and tau Yukawa interactions are in chemical equilibrium. A new qualitative feature of our analysis is our treatment of lepton diffusion; we argue analytically how the left-handed lepton charge density (and therefore \( n_B/s \)) is enhanced by virtue of right-handed leptons diffusing more efficiently in the plasma.

In Sec. IV, we verify these conclusions numerically. First, we calculate the bottom and tau Yukawa interaction rates, showing in what regimes they are sufficiently fast to induce chemical equilibrium. Next, after defining the parameters of our lepton-mediated EWB scenario, we solve the system of Boltzmann equations numerically. We illustrate all of the aforementioned new effects and verify the agreement between our numerical and analytical solutions. In Sec. V, we summarize our results. The Appendix summarizes some additional numerical inputs used for this work.

### II. BOLTZMANN EQUATIONS

#### A. Preliminaries

The transport dynamics leading to \( CP \)-asymmetric charge densities during the EWPT are governed by a system of Boltzmann equations. These Boltzmann equations have been derived using the closed-time-path formulation of nonequilibrium quantum field theory \([19]\), leading to a system of equations of the form

\[
\frac{\partial \rho_i}{\partial \tau} = -\frac{T^2}{6} \sum_x \Gamma_x (\mu_i + \mu_j + \ldots - \mu_k - \mu_\ell - \ldots) + S_{\text{CPV}},
\]

where \( \Gamma_x \) is the rate of \( x \)-term-induced processes, \( \mu_i, \mu_j, \ldots \) are the chemical potentials, \( S_{\text{CPV}} \) is the \( CP \)-violating source, and \( T \) is the temperature.
where \( j^\mu_i \) is the charge current density of the species \( i \). The density \( j^\mu_i \), induced by CP-violating source \( S_i^{\text{CPV}} \), is coupled to other species via coefficients \( \Gamma_X \) that describe the rate for a process \( i + j + \ldots \leftrightarrow k + \ell + \ldots \) to occur. (We have explicitly factored \( T^2/6 \) out of \( \Gamma_X \), for reasons that will become clear below.) The chemical potentials are denoted by \( \mu_i \). Chemical equilibrium, occurring when

\[
\mu_i + \mu_j + \ldots - \mu_k - \mu_\ell - \ldots = 0 \tag{3}
\]

is maintained when the interaction rate \( \Gamma_X \) is sufficiently large.

Following previous work [14,18,20,21], we simplify Eq. (2) in three ways. First, we assume a planar bubble wall profile, so that all charge densities are functions only of \( z \), the displacement from the moving bubble wall in its rest frame. Second, we apply Fick’s law [11,22–25], which allows us to replace \( j_i \rightarrow -D_i \nabla n_i \) on the LHS of Eq. (2), with charge density \( n_i = j_i^\mu \). The diffusion constant \( D_i \) is the mean free path of particle \( i \) in the plasma. Third, the chemical potentials appearing in Eq. (2) are related to their corresponding charge densities by

\[
n_i = \frac{T^2}{6} k_i \mu_i + O\left(\frac{\mu_i^3}{T}\right), \tag{4}
\]

where we have performed an expansion assuming \( \mu_i/T \ll 1 \). In the above, the statistical weight \( k_i \) is defined by

\[
k_i = g_i \frac{6}{\pi^2} \int_{m_i/T}^{\infty} dx x^2 \frac{e^x}{(e^x \pm 1)^2} \sqrt{x^2 - m_i^2/T^2}, \tag{5}
\]

in which \( g_i \) counts the number of internal degrees of freedom, the \( + ( - ) \) sign is taken for fermions (bosons), and the mass of the \( i \)th particle \( m_i \) is taken to be the effective mass at temperature \( T \). In our analysis to follow, these \( k \)-factors are ubiquitous; they essentially count the degrees of freedom of a species in the plasma, weighted by a Boltzmann suppression.

Through these three simplifications, the Boltzmann equations become a system of coupled, second order, ordinary differential equations for the set of charge densities \( n_i (z) \). Ultimately, it is the total left-handed fermionic charge density

\[
n_L = \sum_{i=1}^{3} (n_{\nu_i} + n_{\bar{\nu}_i} + n_{\ell_i} + n_{\bar{\ell}_i}) \tag{6}
\]

that biases weak sphaleron transitions, thereby determining \( n_B/s \).

Debye-screening of hypercharge in the unbroken phase [26] can be incorporated by an additional Ohm’s law contribution to the charge current: \( j_i \rightarrow -D_i \nabla n_i + \sigma E \), with conductivity \( \sigma \) and electric field \( E \) induced by the total hypercharge density [27]. Previous studies have indicated that debye screening provides an \( O(1) \) correction to the resulting BAU [27,28]; we neglect this effect in our present work.

While in principle there is an interaction coefficient \( \Gamma_X \) for every interaction in the MSSM Lagrangian, we can determine which ones need to be taken into account for the computation of \( n_L \) by considering the relevant time scales. After a time \( \tau \), charge densities created at the bubble wall will have diffused on average a distance \( d_{\text{wall}} = \sqrt{D \tau} \) (with the effective diffusion constant \( D \) to be defined below). At the same time, the moving bubble wall advances a distance \( d_{\text{wall}} = v_w \tau \). The diffusion time scale, defined by \( d_{\text{diff}} = d_{\text{wall}} \\), gives the time that it takes for charge, having been created at the bubble wall and having diffused into the unbroken phase, to be recaptured by the advancing bubble wall and be quenched through CP-conserving scattering within the phase of broken electroweak symmetry. This time scale is

\[
\tau_{\text{diff}} = \frac{D}{v_w^2}. \tag{7}
\]

Numerically, we have \( \tau_{\text{diff}} \sim 10^4/\text{T} \) (shown in Sec. IV). To this, we compare \( \tau_X \equiv \Gamma_X^{-1} \), the interaction time scale. If \( \tau_X \gg \tau_{\text{diff}} \), then the process \( i + j + \ldots \leftrightarrow k + \ell + \ldots \) is slow and \( \Gamma_X \) may be neglected from the Boltzmann equations. Physically speaking, charge density is recaptured by the advancing bubble wall before conversion processes can occur. On the other hand, if \( \tau_X \ll \tau_{\text{diff}} \), then these interactions are rapidly occurring as the charge density is diffusing ahead of the advancing wall, leading to chemical equilibrium (3). Expressed in terms of charge densities, the chemical equilibrium condition is

\[
n_i k_i^{-1} + n_j k_j^{-1} + \ldots - n_k k_k^{-1} - n_\ell k_\ell^{-1} - \ldots = 0. \tag{8}
\]

In this case, the interaction \( \Gamma_X \) must be included in the Boltzmann equations.

A similar argument tells us how we expect deviations from Eq. (8) to arise. Suppose that species \( i \) is produced from the expanding bubble wall at \( z = 0 \). On distance scales \( |z| \sim \sqrt{D\tau_X} \), close to the bubble wall, Eq. (8) will break down: particles \( i \) have not had enough time to interact via \( \Gamma_X \).

**B. Setting up the Boltzmann equations**

We now derive the Boltzmann equations within the context of the MSSM. In principle, the complete system of Boltzmann equations encompasses one equation for each species of particle. However, the assumption that certain interactions \( \Gamma_X \) are in chemical equilibrium (such that \( \tau_{\text{diff}} \gg \tau_X \)) implies relations among the relevant chemical potentials (and therefore among their corresponding charge densities), allowing one to reduce the system. First, we assume that weak interactions (neglecting flavor mixing) are in chemical equilibrium, so that particles in the same isodoublet have equal chemical potential. Second, we assume that gaugino interactions (involving SM particles and their superpartners) are also in chemical
equilibrium, so that a particle and its superpartner have equal chemical potential [29].

Under these assumptions, the complete set of charge densities relevant for the computation of \( n_B/s \) is

\[
U_i \equiv n_{U_i} + n_{\bar{U}_i}, \quad Q_i \equiv n_{Q_i} + n_{\bar{Q}_i} + n_{\tilde{Q}_i}, \quad D_i \equiv n_{D_i} + n_{\bar{D}_i}, \quad \tilde{H}_i \equiv n_{\tilde{H}_i} + n_{\bar{H}_i},
\]

\[
H \equiv n_H^+ - n_{\bar{H}} - n_{H^0} + n_{H^+} + n_{H^-},
\]

\[
R_i \equiv n_{R_i} + n_{\bar{R}_i}, \quad L_i \equiv n_{L_i} + n_{\bar{L}_i} + n_{\tilde{L}_i} + n_{\tilde{L}_i},
\]

where \( i \in \{1, 2, 3\} \) labels the generations. Furthermore, we define the following additional notation: \( Q = Q_3, T = U_3, B = D_3, L = L_3, \) and \( R = R_3 \).

The system of Boltzmann equations contains, in principle, a coefficient \( \Gamma_k \) for every interaction in the MSSM. However, interactions that satisfy \( \tau_Y > \tau_{\text{diff}} \) may be neglected. In particular, we neglect interactions induced by first and second generation quark and lepton Yukawa couplings. The weak sphaleron rate \( \Gamma_{ws} \) may also be neglected, since \( \tau_{ws} \approx 10^9 T > \tau_{\text{diff}} \) [30]. Therefore, baryon and lepton number are conserved in the collision terms of the Boltzmann equations.

Not all of the densities in Eq. (9) are independent. Neglecting electroweak sphalerons from the Boltzmann equations, baryon and lepton number are individually conserved:

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \sum_{i=1}^{3} (Q_i + U_i + D_i) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz \sum_{i=1}^{3} (L_i + R_i) = 0.
\]

Because the left- and right-handed (s)lepton have different gauge quantum numbers, they have different diffusion constants in the plasma. Even though lepton number is globally conserved, regions of net lepton number can develop since \( R \) diffuses more easily than \( L \) since right-handed (s)leptons do not undergo SU(2) gauge interactions.

For quarks and squarks, this does not occur since the left- and right-handed (s)quark diffusion constants, dominated by strong interactions, are approximately equal [25]. Therefore, baryon number is locally conserved:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{3} (Q_i + U_i + D_i) = 0.
\]

Other simplifications arise since we neglect first and second generation Yukawa couplings. There is no production of first and second generation lepton charge, so \( L_{1,2} = R_{1,2} = 0 \). Next, first and second generation quark charge can only be produced through strong sphaleron processes, e.g., \( \bar{d}_1 \bar{d}_2 \sum_{i=1,2} \bar{u}_i \bar{d}_i \bar{d}_i \bar{d}_i \), changing the number of left- and right-handed quarks by one unit per flavor. Since first and second generation quarks are produced in equal numbers, we have

\[
Q_1 = Q_2 = -2U_1 = -2U_2 = -2D_1 = -2D_2.
\]

Together, Eqs. (11) and (12) imply that

\[
B = -(T + Q).
\]

Therefore, we may consider a reduced set of Boltzmann equations involving only the densities \( Q, T, Q_3, L, R, H; \) the remaining densities are then determined by Eqs. (12) and (13). The equations are of the form of Eq. (2), where we use the relation given in Eq. (4) to express the chemical potentials in terms of charge densities. For the quarks and squarks, we obtain

\[
v_wQ' - D_QQ'' = \Gamma_{yr} \left( \frac{Q}{k_Q^2} - \frac{T}{k_T} + \frac{H}{k_H} \right) - \Gamma_{yb} \left( \frac{Q}{k_Q^2} - \frac{T}{k_T} + \frac{H}{k_H} \right) - \Gamma_{mb} \left( \frac{Q}{k_Q^2} - \frac{T}{k_T} + \frac{H}{k_H} \right)
\]

\[
- S_i^{\text{CPV}} - S_i^{\text{CPR}} - 2 \Gamma_{ss} \left( \frac{2 Q}{k_Q^2} - \frac{T}{k_T} + \frac{Q + T}{k_B} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[ \frac{4}{k_{Q_i}} + \frac{1}{k_{U_i}} + \frac{1}{k_{D_i}} \right] Q_i \right),
\]

\[
v_wT' - DQT'' = \Gamma_{yr} \left( \frac{Q}{k_Q^2} - \frac{T}{k_T} + \frac{H}{k_H} \right) + \Gamma_{mb} \left( \frac{Q}{k_Q^2} - \frac{T}{k_T} + \frac{H}{k_H} \right) + S_i^{\text{CPV}}
\]

\[
+ \Gamma_{ss} \left( \frac{2 Q}{k_Q^2} - \frac{T}{k_T} + \frac{Q + T}{k_B} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[ \frac{4}{k_{Q_i}} + \frac{1}{k_{U_i}} + \frac{1}{k_{D_i}} \right] Q_i \right)
\]

\[
v_wQ'_1 - D_QQ''_1 = -2 \Gamma_{ss} \left( \frac{2 Q}{k_Q^2} - \frac{T}{k_T} + \frac{Q + T}{k_B} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left[ \frac{4}{k_{Q_i}} + \frac{1}{k_{U_i}} + \frac{1}{k_{D_i}} \right] Q_i \right);
\]

and for Higgs bosons and Higgsinos we have

\[
v_wH' - D_HH'' = \Gamma_{yr} \left( \frac{Q}{k_Q^2} - \frac{T}{k_T} + \frac{H}{k_H} \right) - \Gamma_{yb} \left( \frac{Q}{k_Q^2} + \frac{T}{k_B} - \frac{H}{k_H} \right) + \Gamma_{sr} \left( \frac{L}{k_L} - \frac{R}{k_R} - \frac{H}{k_H} \right),
\]

and lastly for leptons and sleptons we have

\[
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\]
The relevant interaction coefficients in Eqs. (14)–(16) are as follows:

(i) The coefficients $\Gamma_{\gamma i}$, where $i \in \{t, b, \tau\}$, denote the interaction rates arising from third generation Yukawa couplings $y_j$. (The top Yukawa interaction has been denoted $\Gamma_{\gamma}$ in previous work.)

(ii) The strong sphaleron rate is $\Gamma_{SS} = 16\alpha_s^4a T$, where $\alpha_s$ is the strong coupling and $a \sim O(1)$ [31].

(iii) The coefficients $\Gamma_{HI}$ and $\Gamma_{HI}$, where $i \in \{t, b, \tau\}$, denote the CP-conserving scattering rates of particles with the background Higgs field within the bubble [18].

We also allow for new CP-violating sources $S_{CPV}$, although in the present work we do not evaluate their magnitudes. In the MSSM, the most viable CP-violating source is $S_{CPV}$, arising from CP-violating Higgsino-Bino mixing within the expanding bubble wall [32]; in our work, we take this as the sole source of CP-violation. The constant $\nu_w \approx 0.05$ is the velocity of the expanding bubble wall [33]. The $k$-factors, e.g.

$$k_R = k_{\tau L} + k_{\tau e}, \quad k_Q = k_{t L} + k_{b L} + k_{t e} + k_{b e}, \ldots,$$

follow the same notation as in Eqs. (9).

After solving the system of Boltzmann equations (14)–(16) for each density, the left-handed fermion charge density is

$$n_L = \left(\frac{k_q}{k_0}\right)Q + \sum_{i=1,2} \left(\frac{k_q}{k_{Q_i}}\right)Q_i \left(\frac{k_k}{k_{L_i}}\right)L, \quad \text{(18)}$$

where $k_q = k_{t L} + k_{b L}$, $k_i = k_{t e} + k_{b e}$, etc. The three terms in Eq. (18) correspond to the contributions to $n_L$ from third generation quarks, first/second generation quarks, and third generation leptons, respectively. If the masses of all left-handed squarks and sleptons are much above the temperature of the phase transition, only fermions contribute to the left-handed density and we have

$$n_L = Q + 2Q_1 + L, \quad \text{(19)}$$

Finally, we show how our Boltzmann equations reproduce those given in previous work in the limit $y_{\tau L}, y_{\tau e} \to 0$. In this limit, we can neglect the rates $\Gamma_{\gamma \tau L}$ and $\Gamma_{\gamma \tau e}$, and CP-violating sources $S_{\gamma \tau L}$. First, since there is no source for lepton charge, we have $L = R = 0$. Second, the only source for $B$ density is strong sphaleron processes; therefore, we have

$$-2B = Q_1 \quad \text{(20)}$$

in analogy with Eq. (12). Thus, Eqs. (13) and (20) imply that $Q_1 = 2(Q + T)$. Therefore, by Eq. (19), we have the often-used relation $n_L = 5Q + 4T$; this relation is no longer valid for $\tau_{y L}, \tau_{y e} \leq \tau_{\text{diff}}$. In addition, the Boltzmann equations of Refs. [14,18,21] follow from Eqs. (14a), (14b), and (15); they too are no longer valid for $\tau_{y L}, \tau_{y e} \leq \tau_{\text{diff}}$.

### III. ANALYTIC RESULTS

In this section, we estimate the solution to the Boltzmann equations, Eqs. (14)–(16), of which the endpoint is an expression for $n_L$, the left-handed fermion charge density that biases weak sphalerons. We assume that top, bottom, and tau Yukawa interactions, in addition to strong sphaleron and gaugino interactions, are all in chemical equilibrium. These assumptions lead to a series of conditions relating the chemical potentials, and therefore the number densities, of various species. By exploiting these relations, we will express all quark and lepton densities $Q, T, Q_1, L, R$ in terms of the Higgs density $H$; then, we will simplify the full system of Boltzmann equations to a single equation for $H$, which is analytically solvable [14].

### A. Lepton charge densities

When tau Yukawa interactions are in chemical equilibrium condition, the relation

$$\frac{L}{k_L} - \frac{H}{k_H} - \frac{R}{k_R} = 0 \quad \text{(21)}$$

is satisfied. The sum of the Boltzmann equations for $L$ and $R$ (16) is

$$\nu_w (R + L)' - (D_R R' + D_L L') = 0. \quad \text{(22)}$$

Since the left- and right-handed lepton diffusion constants are not equal, there is no simple relation that would allow us to relate $R$ to $L$. However, in the static limit (where $\nu_w \to 0$), Eq. (22) implies that

$$D_L L = -D_R R. \quad \text{(23)}$$

(We have assumed the boundary conditions $L(\infty) = L'(\infty) = R(\infty) = R'(\infty) = 0$.) Therefore, we have

$$L(z) \equiv \kappa_L H(z) + \Delta L(z) = -\frac{k_L}{k_{L}} \frac{D_R k_R}{D_L k_L + D_R k_R} H(z) + \Delta L(z) \quad \text{(24a)}$$

$$R(z) \equiv \kappa_R H(z) + \Delta R(z) = -\frac{k_R}{k_{H}} \frac{D_L k_L}{D_L k_L + D_R k_R} H(z) + \Delta R(z). \quad \text{(24b)}$$
where $\Delta L$ and $\Delta R$ are the corrections to these relations, derived below.

Let us now describe the physics of Eqs. (24) through two limiting cases. Case (i): set $D_R = D_L$. In this limit, Eq. (22) implies that lepton number is locally conserved: $L + R = 0$. The Higgs density $H$, created by the $CP$-violating source, is converted into $L$ through tau Yukawa interactions, until chemical equilibrium (21) is reached, when

$$L(z) = \frac{k_L}{k_H} \frac{k_R}{k_L + k_R} H(z). \quad (25)$$

Case (ii): take $D_R \to \infty$, keeping $D_L$ finite. Any $R$ density created by tau Yukawa interactions instantly diffuses away to $z = \pm \infty$; therefore, we set $R = 0$. Now, tau Yukawa chemical equilibrium (21) implies

$$L(z) = \frac{k_L}{k_H} H(z). \quad (26)$$

In other words, tau Yukawa interactions will enforce chemical equilibrium locally. Since the RH lepton density is diffusing away, reducing the local $R$, more conversion of $H$ into $R$ and $L$ occurs to compensate, thereby resulting in more LH lepton density. This conversion ceases when Eq. (26) is reached. Therefore, a large diffusion constant for RH leptons enhances the density for LH leptons. This enhancement, maximized for $D_R = \infty$, is at most a factor of

$$\frac{k_R + k_L}{k_R} \sim O(5). \quad (27)$$

Both cases agree with Eqs. (24), setting $\Delta L, \Delta R \to 0$.

Next, consider the case of physical relevance, where $D_R \gg D_L$, but keeping both $D_R, D_L$ finite. Close to the bubble wall, LH lepton density will be enhanced, as argued above. However, far from the bubble wall, an additional effect occurs: RH lepton density, having diffused far into the unbroken phase, is converted into $L$ and $H$ by tau Yukawa interactions. This effect suppresses $L$. Close to the bubble wall, Higgsinos created by the $CP$-violating source ($H > 0$) will be converted into LH leptons ($L > 0$) and RH antileptons ($R < 0$), and then, far from the wall, the RH antileptons will be converted into LH antileptons, thereby suppressing $L$. This physics is incorporated in the nonlocal corrections $\Delta L$ and $\Delta R$, which we now consider. Using Eqs. (21), (22), and (24), we can derive differential equations for these densities:

$$-D_{LR} \Delta L'' + v_w \Delta L' = v_w \frac{k_R k_L^2}{k_H(k_L + k_R)^2} \frac{D_L - D_R}{D_{LR}} H', \quad (28a)$$

$$-D_{LR} \Delta R'' + v_w \Delta R' = v_w \frac{k_R k_L^2}{k_H(k_L + k_R)^2} \frac{D_L - D_R}{D_{LR}} H', \quad (28b)$$

where $D_{LR} = (D_L k_L + D_R k_R)/(k_L + k_R)$. With the boundary conditions $\Delta L(\pm \infty) = \Delta R(\pm \infty) = 0$, the solutions to these equations are

$$\Delta L(z) = v_w \frac{k_R^2 k_L}{k_H(k_L + k_R)^2} \frac{D_L - D_R}{D_{LR}} \int_z^\infty dz' H(z') e^{v_w(z - z')/D_{LR}}, \quad (29a)$$

$$\Delta R(z) = v_w \frac{k_R^2 k_L}{k_H(k_L + k_R)^2} \frac{D_L - D_R}{D_{LR}} \int_z^\infty dz' H(z') e^{v_w(z - z')/D_{LR}}. \quad (29b)$$

These terms describe how regions of net lepton number can develop when $D_R \neq D_L$. Using Eqs. (24) and (29), it is straightforward to show that these solutions for $L$ and $R$ satisfy

$$\int_{-\infty}^\infty dz(L + R) = 0, \quad (30)$$

conserving lepton number.

In our numerical study, we find that the impact from $\Delta L$ and $\Delta R$ on the analytic computation of $n_B/s$ (given below) is only $O(10\%)$. Since there are much larger uncertainties in the analytic computation, it is safe to neglect the nonlocal terms $\Delta L$ and $\Delta R$ from Eqs. (24).

**B. Quark charge densities**

When top and bottom Yukawa interactions are in chemical equilibrium, the relations

$$\frac{Q}{k_Q} + \frac{H}{k_H} - \frac{T}{k_T} = 0, \quad (31a)$$

$$\frac{Q}{k_Q} - \frac{H}{k_H} + \frac{B}{k_B} = 0. \quad (31b)$$

are satisfied; cf. Eqs. (3) and (4). These equations imply that

$$2 \frac{Q}{k_Q} - \frac{T}{k_T} - \frac{B}{k_B} = 0. \quad (32)$$

First and second generation quark densities only couple to third generation densities, via strong sphaleron interactions, through the linear combination $(2Q/k_Q - T/k_T - B/k_B)$, as can be seen from Eqs. (14). Since this combination vanishes, third generation quark densities do not induce 1st/2nd generation quark densities. Mathematically, if we impose Eq. (32), the $Q_1$ Boltzmann equation (14c) becomes

$$v_w Q_1'' - D_{Q_1} Q_1'' = -\Gamma_{ss} Q_1, \quad (33)$$

which, with the boundary conditions $Q_1(\pm \infty) = 0$, implies $Q_1(z) = 0$. According to Eq. (11), we have $U_i = D_i = -Q_i/2 = 0$, for $i = 1, 2$. Therefore, we conclude that all first and second generation quark and quark charge den-
sities vanish in the presence of fast top and bottom Yukawa interactions. Strong sphalerons only induce first and second generation densities in order to wash out an asymmetry between left- and right-handed quark chemical potentials; when bottom Yukawas are active, this asymmetry vanishes and strong sphalerons have no effect.

Equations (13) and (31) imply
\[
T = \kappa T H = \frac{k_t}{k_B} \frac{2k_B + k_Q + k_T}{k_B + k_Q + k_T} H
\]
\[
Q = \kappa Q H = \frac{k_Q}{k_B} \frac{k_B - k_T}{k_B + k_Q + k_T} H
\]
\[
B = \kappa B H = -\frac{k_T}{k_B} \frac{2k_T + k_Q}{k_B + k_Q + k_T} H.
\]

The contribution to \( n_L \) from third generation LH quarks is
\[
n_{L_1} + n_{L_2} = \frac{k_q}{k_B} \frac{k_B - 9k_T}{k_B + 9k_Q + 9k_T} H,
\]
while that from first and second generation LH quarks vanishes. Let us contrast these results to previous work that neglected bottom Yukawa interactions [14]:
\[
n_{L_1} + n_{L_2} = \frac{k_q}{k_B} \frac{k_B - 9k_T}{k_B + 9k_Q + 9k_T} H,
\]
\[
n_{L_1} + n_{L_2} = \frac{k_q}{k_B} \frac{2k_Q(k_B - 9k_T) + 2k_T(9k_T + 2k_B)}{k_B + 9k_Q + 9k_T} H,
\]
\[
i = 1, 2.
\]

The formulas are completely different. Whereas in previous work significant baryon asymmetry could arise from first and second generation LH quarks, the presence of bottom Yukawa interactions completely changes the picture: no first and second generation quark density is created. In addition, with fast bottom Yukawa interactions, the third generation quark charge vanishes when \( k_T \approx k_B \), or equivalently \( m_{t_R} \approx m_{b_R} \); without them, this cancellation never occurs.

Let us explain the physical origin of this cancellation. Suppose that the CP-violating source creates positive Higgs/Higgsino density, such that \( H > 0 \). Because of hypercharge conservation, top Yukawa interactions convert Higgsinos and Higgs bosons into LH quark and squark antiparticles (driving \( Q < 0 \) and RH top quark and squark particles (driving \( T > 0 \)), while bottom Yukawa interactions drive \( Q > 0 \) and \( B < 0 \). Which effect wins is determined by whether \( T \) or \( B \) has more degrees of freedom available, according to the equipartition theorem. This is determined by the statistical weights \( k_T \) and \( k_B \), which are governed by the masses \( m_{t_R} \) and \( m_{b_R} \). When the masses are equal, we have \( k_B \approx k_T \), suppressing \( n_{L_1} + n_{L_2} \). Similarly, the sign of \((n_{L_1} + n_{L_2})/H\) is positive or negative, depending on whether \( m_{t_R}/m_{b_R} \) is greater or less than unity, respectively. In the lepton-mediated scenario, we suppress the quark contribution by choosing \( m_{t_R} \approx m_{b_R} \). In scenarios beyond the MSSM, it is also suppressed for \( m_{t_R} \ll m_{b_R} \).

### C. Solving the Boltzmann equation

In terms of \( H \), the left-handed fermion charge density (18) becomes
\[
n_L(z) = \frac{k_d}{k_B} \frac{k_B - k_T}{k_B + k_Q + k_T} H + \frac{k_t}{k_B} \frac{k_B D_L + k_R D_R}{k_B} H(z) + \frac{k_t}{k_B} \Delta L(z),
\]
where \( \Delta L \) is given in Eq. (24a). The first term is the contribution to \( n_L \) from third generation quarks, while the second and third terms are contributions from third generation leptons. The lepton contribution is predominantly given by the second term only; the third term, as discussed above, is suppressed for \( \nu_e \ll 1 \). This equation is the main result of this paper; from it, we infer several conclusions:

(i) The lepton contribution is enhanced for \( m_{t_R} \ll m_{b_R} \) when \( k_B \) is largest and \( k_t \) smallest; [cf. Eqs. (14) and (17)]. It is also enhanced for \( D_R \gg D_L \). Its sign is fixed with respect to \( H \), which in turn is fixed by the sign of the CP-violating source, as we show below. Therefore, in a lepton-mediated EWB scenario, where \( n_L \) is predominantly leptonic, the sign of the CP-violating phase most relevant for EWB uniquely fixes the sign of \( n_B/s \), in contrast with the quark-mediated scenarios.

(ii) Left-handed charge arises from third generation quarks and leptons, and not first and second generation quarks and leptons. The form of \( n_L \) is qualitatively different than in previous treatments that neglected \( \Gamma_{\gamma b} \) and \( \Gamma_{\gamma\nu} \), where left-handed charge came from quarks of all generations, and not from leptons.

(iii) Furthermore, the quark contribution to \( n_L \) vanishes for \( m_{t_R} = m_{b_R} \), since \( k_B = k_T \). Its sign is opposite to that of the leptonic contribution for \( m_{t_R} \ll m_{b_R} \) and the same for \( m_{t_R} > m_{b_R} \).

We explore these implications in more detail numerically in Sec. IV.

We emphasize that our conclusions are quite general, although it appears that our Boltzmann equations (14)–(16) have been specialized to the MSSM. In any extension of the MSSM, Eq. (37) and its conclusions remain valid if the following conditions hold: (i) third generation Yukawa interaction rates are faster than the diffusion rate, and (ii) CP-violation is communicated to the first and second generation quark sectors solely through strong sphalerons.

Since \( n_B/s \) is determined by \( n_L \), all that remains is to solve for the Higgs charge density \( H \). We can reduce the Boltzmann equations (14)–(16) into a single equation for
substituting our solution for $H(z)$, we find that
\[ \Delta L/H \approx 1/D_R, \]
in the limit that $D_R \to \infty$. In short, in the physical limit where large RH lepton diffusion has the biggest impact upon $n_L$, our solution is most accurate. There may be situations in general in which the impact of nonlocal corrections is not suppressed; we show how the Boltzmann equations may be solved in this case in future work [34].

IV. LEPTON-MEDIATED ELECTROWEAK BARYOGENESIS: NUMERICAL RESULTS

We now ask: was it safe to neglect $\Delta L$ in solving for $H$? Substituting our solution for $H$ into Eq. (29), we find that $\Delta L/H \approx 1/D_R$, in the limit that $D_R \to \infty$. In short, in the physical limit where large RH lepton diffusion has the biggest impact upon $n_L$, our solution is most accurate. There may be situations in general in which the impact of nonlocal corrections is not suppressed; we show how the Boltzmann equations may be solved in this case in future work [34].

We now ask: was it safe to neglect $\Delta L$ in solving for $H$? Substituting our solution for $H$ into Eq. (29), we find that

\[ \Delta L/H \approx 1/D_R, \]
in the limit that $D_R \to \infty$. In short, in the physical limit where large RH lepton diffusion has the biggest impact upon $n_L$, our solution is most accurate. There may be situations in general in which the impact of nonlocal corrections is not suppressed; we show how the Boltzmann equations may be solved in this case in future work [34].

IV. LEPTON-MEDIATED ELECTROWEAK BARYOGENESIS: NUMERICAL RESULTS

We now consider an MSSM scenario that illustrates some of the novel features discussed in Sec. III. As we will see, the picture here is that the BAU is induced predominantly by leptonic left-handed charge: hence, lepton-mediated. The key parameters that govern the behavior of this scenario are (i) tan$\beta \gtrsim 20$ and pseudoscalar Higgs mass (at zero temperature) $m_A \approx 500$ GeV, ensuring $\tau_{\tau\tau}, \tau_{\tau\tau} \ll \tau_{\text{diff}}$, and (ii) right-handed top and bottom squarks with approximately equal mass, thereby suppressing the quark contribution to $n_L$. Here, we take both squarks to be light, with $\mathcal{O}(100$ GeV) masses, since a strong first order phase transition requires a light top squark.

Although we work within the context of the MSSM, many of our conclusions are much more general. In EWB scenarios beyond the MSSM, light squarks are not required for a strong first order phase transition (see e.g. Refs. [35–37]). Even if the squarks are very heavy, EWB is still mediated by leptons as long as the previous two conditions are met.

In this section, we first summarize the parameters of the lepton-mediated EWB scenario. Next, we compute the bottom and tau Yukawa interaction rates $\Gamma_{yb}$ and $\Gamma_{y\tau}$, showing for what regions of parameter space they are fast compared to $\tau_{\text{diff}}$. Last, we numerically solve the system of Boltzmann equations (14)–(16) and compute the left-handed fermion charge density $n_L$ that generates $n_B/s$. Our main result is Fig. 3: it illustrates how $n_L$ arises from leptons instead of quarks, how our analytic and numerical results agree, and how this scenario differs dramatically from previous work neglecting $\Gamma_{yb}$ and $\Gamma_{y\tau}$.

A. Input parameters

The computation of $n_B/s$ relies upon many numerical inputs, some described here and others described in the appendix. We have evaluated the masses of particles during the EWPT assuming that electroweak symmetry is unbroken. This approximation is motivated by the fact that most of the charge transport dynamics take place outside the bubble in the region of unbroken symmetry. These masses receive contributions from the mass parameters in Table I and from finite temperature corrections, listed in the ap-
TABLE I. Important parameters for lepton-mediated EWB scenario.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>120 GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_1$</td>
<td>120 GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_2$</td>
<td>250 GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tan$\beta$</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_\lambda$</td>
<td>150 GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_t$</td>
<td>$m_t / v \cos \beta$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_b$</td>
<td>$m_b / v \cos \beta$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_s$</td>
<td>$m_s / v \cos \beta$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_Q$</td>
<td>100/T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D_R$</td>
<td>380/T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_w$</td>
<td>25/T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v$</td>
<td>125 GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta \beta$</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>60 GeV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>100 GeV</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For Higgs bosons, the story is more complicated. Again, we allow for the possibility that $y_b$, is smaller than expected at tree level, due to $m_{h, t}$ receiving large one-loop corrections enhanced by $\tan \beta$, denoted as $\delta_b$ and $\delta_t$, for which we include only the dominant contributions [40,41]. Including both of these effects, the Yukawa couplings evaluated at the electroweak scale $m_Z$ are

$$y_t(m_Z) = \frac{m_t}{v \cos \beta (1 + \delta_t \tan \beta)},$$

$$y_b(m_Z) = \frac{m_b}{v \cos \beta (1 + \delta_b \tan \beta)},$$

For parameters given in Table I, we find $y_b(m_Z) \approx 0.33$ and $y_t(m_Z) \approx 0.20$.

The diffusion constants $D_L$ have been computed in Refs. [24,25]; the fact that $D_R \gg D_L$ enhances the left-handed lepton charge, as discussed in Sec. III. The bubble wall velocity $v_w$, thickness $L_w$, profile parameters $\Delta \beta$ and $v(T)$ describe the dynamics of the expanding bubbles during the EWB, at temperature $T$ [33]. The spacetime-dependent vevs are approximated by

$$v(z) \approx \frac{1}{2} v(T) \left[ 1 - \tanh \left( \frac{-3z}{L_w} \right) \right],$$

$$\beta(z) \approx \beta(T) - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \beta \left[ 1 + \tanh \left( \frac{-3z}{L_w} \right) \right],$$

following Ref. [42].

We consider a CP-violating source $S_{CPV}$ arising solely from Higgino-Bino mixing, enhanced for $\mu = M_1$, and calculated following Refs. [18,43]; the relevant CP-violating phase is $\phi_1 = \arg (M_1 \mu)$, and the Higgino and Bino thermal widths are $\Gamma_\mu' \approx 0.025 T$ and $\Gamma_\mu \approx 2 \times 10^{-4} T$ (assuming the Bino width is dominated by quark-squark loops) [44]. Numerically, we find

$$S_{CPV} \approx -0.24 \text{ GeV} \times \beta'(z) v(z)^2 \sin \phi_1,$$

This “Bino-driven” CP-violating source requires $|\phi_1| \approx 1/20$, which is compatible with EDM searches provided we allow for $\arg (M_2 \mu) \neq \arg (M_1 \mu)$ [32]. The magnitude of $S_{CPV}$ — and thus $n_B/s$ — is proportional to $\Delta \beta$, which
itself goes as $\Delta \beta \approx 1/m_A^2$. Therefore, within this computation, viable EWB requires $m_A$ to be sufficiently light; in the next section, we will see that light $m_A$ also increases the size of $\Gamma_{yb}$ and $\Gamma_{y\tau}$.

The CP-conserving relaxation rates wash out CP-violating asymmetries within the broken phase. Computed following Ref. [18], these rates are

$$\Gamma_h(z) = 3.8 \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^{-1} \times v(z)^3$$

$$\Gamma_{ml}(z) = 3.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}^{-1} \times v(z)^3\sin^\beta(z)$$

$$\Gamma_{mb}(z) = \left(y_b/y_t\right)^2 \cot^2 \beta(z)\Gamma_{ml}(z).$$

We neglect additional CP-violating relaxation rates from squarks, (s)leptons, and Higgs scalars.

### B. Determination of bottom/tau Yukawa rates

The leading contributions to $\Gamma_{yb}$ and $\Gamma_{y\tau}$ arise from absorption and decay processes in the thermal plasma, shown in Fig. 1(a). In the lepton-mediated scenario, the dominant processes are

$$H_d \leftrightarrow q_LR b_R, \quad \bar{L}_R \tau_R, \quad \bar{\tau}_L \leftrightarrow \bar{H}L, \quad (56)$$

where $q_L = t_L, b_L$, and $\ell_L = \tau_L, \nu_\tau$. (Processes with left-handed squarks are suppressed since $M_0^2 = (10 \text{ TeV})^2$; in addition, the decays $\tilde{g}_R \leftrightarrow \bar{H}q_L$ are kinematically forbidden.) We compute these thermally-averaged decay rates following Ref. [21]; they are

$$\Gamma_{yb} = \frac{12N_c y_b^2}{T^2} I_F(m_{q_L}, m_{H_d}, m_{b_R}),$$

$$\Gamma_{y\tau} = \frac{12y_t^2}{T^2} (I_F(m_{\ell_L}, m_{H_d}, m_{\tau_R}) + I_F(m_{\tilde{H}}, m_{\tilde{\tau}}, m_{\ell_L})).$$

We refer the reader to Ref. [21] for the general form of $I_F$, which is the form used in our numerical analysis. For the case of a scalar decaying into two fermions, $\phi \leftrightarrow \psi_1 \psi_2$, it is approximately given by

$$I_F(m_1, m_\phi, m_2) \approx \frac{T^3}{4} \left(\frac{m_\phi}{2\pi T}\right)^{5/2} \times \left[1 - \left(\frac{m_1 + m_2}{m_\phi}\right)^2\right] e^{-m_\phi/T}. \quad (58)$$

This simplified form for $I_F$ is obtained by assuming $m_\phi > m_1 + m_2 \gg |m_1 - m_2|$, and taking Maxwell-Boltzmann statistics for these particles; it is valid at the $O(25\%)$ level for $m_\phi \approx 2(m_1 + m_2)$.

The key parameters that govern $\Gamma_{y\tau}$ and $\Gamma_{yb}$ are $\tan \beta$ (since the Yukawa couplings $y_{b,\tau} \propto \tan \beta$ for $\tan \beta \gg 1$).

4The computation of the CP-violating source is the subject of ongoing scrutiny. In other computations, there exist contributions to $S^\text{CPV}_H$ that are not suppressed as $m_A \rightarrow \infty$ [38,45,46]. and the masses of the particles in the decays in Eq. (56).

From Eq. (58), we see that when the decaying scalars $H_d$ and $\tilde{\tau}_R$ are light compared to $T$, the rates $\Gamma_{y\tau}$ and $\Gamma_{yb}$ are largest. In particular, as shown in Eq. (47), the mass of $H_d$ during the EWPT is related to the masses of the $Z$ and pseudoscalar Higgs at $T = 0$. Not only does light $m_A$ enhance the CP-violating source, it enhances $\Gamma_{yb}$ and $\Gamma_{y\tau}$. We illustrate this fact in Fig. 2: here, we show the time scales for these rates, $\tau_{yb} \equiv \Gamma_{yb}^{-1}$ (left) and $\tau_{y\tau} \equiv \Gamma_{y\tau}^{-1}$ (right), compared to the diffusion time scale $\tau_{\text{diff}} \equiv D/v_w^2$, as a function of $\tan \beta$ and $m_A$. We only include contributions to these rates from $H_d$ decay. Bottom Yukawa interactions are in chemical equilibrium for $\tau_{\text{diff}} / \tau_{yb} \gtrsim 10$, when $\tan \beta \approx 5$ and $m_A \lesssim 600 \text{ GeV}$; similarly, tau Yukawa interactions are in chemical equilibrium for $\tau_{\text{diff}} / \tau_{y\tau} \gtrsim 10$, when $\tan \beta \approx 20$ and $m_A \lesssim 500 \text{ GeV}$. For the parameters chosen earlier, we have

$$\Gamma_{yb} \approx 0.28 \text{ GeV}, \quad \Gamma_{y\tau} \approx 0.12 \text{ GeV}, \quad \Gamma_{y\tau} \approx 2.4 \text{ GeV},$$

from Eq. (59), with $\Gamma_{y\tau}$ computed in Ref. [21]; for comparison, $\tau_{\text{diff}}^{-1} \approx 0.005 \text{ GeV}$.

Scattering contributions, shown in Fig. 1(b), also contribute to bottom and tau Yukawa rates. They are suppressed in comparison by $\alpha_s$ or $\alpha_w$, but become the dominant contribution when absorption and decay are kinematically forbidden. For completeness, we also note another class of interactions mediated by third generation Yukawa couplings: F-term-induced four-scalar interactions, shown in Fig. 1(c). However, one can show that if all $V_{yi}$ (for $i = t, b, \tau$) interactions are in chemical equilibrium, then chemical equilibrium is satisfied for these four-scalar interactions as well. In the present work, we consider only the contributions to $\Gamma_{yb}$ and $\Gamma_{y\tau}$ that arise from absorption and decay processes. Therefore, our calculation for these rates is a lower bound; the extent to which they lead to chemical equilibrium can only be enhanced by the inclusion of scattering processes in Figs. 1(b) and 1(c).

### C. Numerical solution to Boltzmann equations

We now solve the Boltzmann equations (14)–(16) numerically for the lepton-mediated EWB scenario. In Fig. 3, we show the left-handed fermion charge density $n_L$ that arises from leptons (left) and quarks (right), for maximal $CP$-violating phase $\phi_1 = -\pi/2$. Our numerical results are shown by the solid curves, plotted as a function of the distance $z$ to the moving bubble wall. The region of broken electroweak symmetry (denoted $\nu \neq 0$) is for $z > 0$, while unbroken symmetry is for $z < 0$ (denoted $\nu = 0$). As promised, $n_L$ is predominantly leptonic, while the quark contribution is suppressed. The dashed curves are our analytic results (plotted only for $z < 0$), obtained in Sec. III. Our analytic and numerical results are in good agreement.
agreement. However, close to the bubble wall, there is some disagreement between numerical and analytic lepton charge densities. For $|z| \leq \sqrt{D \tau_{\gamma \gamma}} \approx 2 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$, the lepton density has not had enough time to reach chemical equilibrium; here, our analytic approximation is breaking down, as discussed in Sec. II.

The resulting baryon asymmetry is

$$n_B/s = \frac{8 \times \sin \phi_1 (n_B/s)_{\text{CMB}}}{-14 \times \sin \phi_1 (n_B/s)_{\text{CMB}}}$$

where $(n_B/s)_{\text{CMB}} = 8.84 \times 10^{-11}$ is the central value obtained from the CMB [2]. That is, a $CP$-violating phase $\sin \phi_1 \approx 1/8$ is required to give the observed BAU in this scenario. However, if we had neglected bottom and tau Yukawa interactions, the picture completely flips, as shown by the dotted curves in Fig. 3. In this case, the lepton charge

FIG. 2 (color online). Contour plot of $\tau_{\text{diff}}/\tau_{\gamma \gamma}$ (left) and $\tau_{\text{diff}}/\tau_{\gamma \gamma}$ (right) in tan$\beta$-$m_A$ parameter space. Values of $\tau_{\gamma \gamma}$ and $\tau_{\gamma \gamma}$ include contributions from $H_d \rightarrow q_L b_R$ and $H_d \rightarrow \ell_L \tau_R$ only. Tau Yukawa chemical equilibrium is maintained for $\tau_{\text{diff}}/\tau_{\gamma \gamma} \approx 10$; similarly for the bottom Yukawa.

FIG. 3 (color online). Left-handed charge densities for leptons (left panel) and quarks (right panel) that generate $n_B/s$, for lepton-mediated scenario. Solid (dashed) curves are numerical (analytic) results, as function of distance $z$ from bubble wall. Shaded region denotes broken electroweak symmetry. Dotted curves are numerical results obtained neglecting tau/bottom Yukawa interactions. The effect of these interactions is to suppress LH quark charge, while enhancing LH lepton charge, thereby flipping the sign of $n_L$ and $n_B/s$ compared to previous computations.
vanishes (left panel) and the quark charge becomes dominant (right panel), thereby flipping the sign of the required $CP$-violating phase: $\sin \phi_1 \approx -1/14$. In the lepton-mediated scenario, the impact of bottom and tau Yukawa interactions is dramatic. If electric dipole moment searches uncover new $CP$-violating phases, such as $\phi_1$, the inclusion $\Gamma_{\nu b}$ and $\Gamma_{\nu \tau}$ will clearly be essential in testing the consistency of supersymmetric EWB scenarios.

V. CONCLUSION

In this work, we have studied how the transport dynamics involving the tau and bottom Yukawa interactions impact the generation of left-handed fermionic charge $n_L$ that biases baryon number production via electroweak sphalerons. Previous work has neglected these interactions. However, we showed that these interactions are not negligible and can have a dramatic impact upon $n_L$ and $n_B/s$. When tau and bottom Yukawa interactions are in chemical equilibrium, the following effects occur:

(i) Significant third generation lepton charge is generated. In contrast, without $\Gamma_{\nu \tau}$, no left-handed lepton asymmetry is generated. We showed how this charge is enhanced by efficient diffusion of right-handed leptons.

(ii) No first and second left-handed quark charge is generated, and strong sphaleron processes are unimportant. In contrast, without $\Gamma_{\nu b}$, a significant fraction of left-handed charge comes from first and second generation quarks, and strong sphaleron processes become important.

(iii) Third generation left-handed quark charge is suppressed if the right-handed top and bottom squarks have equal mass, or if their masses are large compared to the temperature. In contrast, without $\Gamma_{\nu b}$, this suppression does not occur.

In light of these differences, the inclusion of $\Gamma_{\nu b}$ and $\Gamma_{\nu \tau}$ can have a strong impact on the computation of the baryon asymmetry.

To verify our analytic conclusions, we calculated the rates $\Gamma_{\nu b}$ and $\Gamma_{\nu \tau}$, and solved the full system of Boltzmann equations numerically. We considered a “lepton-mediated electroweak baryogenesis scenario,” where all three right-handed third generation scalars have $O(100 \text{ GeV})$ masses, and all other squarks and sleptons have $O(\text{TeV})$ masses. Here, we showed that all three left-handed quark densities are suppressed, and the baryon asymmetry is induced primarily from left-handed lepton charge. The $CP$-violating phase required for the observed baryon asymmetry is positive. In contrast, if we had neglected $\Gamma_{\nu b}$ and $\Gamma_{\nu \tau}$, we would have arrived at a completely different picture: the baryon asymmetry would have arisen from left-handed quark density, and the required $CP$-violating phase would have been negative. The baryon asymmetry in the this scenario is strongly impacted by the presence of $\Gamma_{\nu b}$ and $\Gamma_{\nu \tau}$. Although we have focused on a lepton-mediated scenario, the leptonic component of $n_L$ can be important even when the quark component is not suppressed, enhancing or suppressing the total BAU. We conclude, therefore, that bottom and tau Yukawa rates are relevant and must be included in supersymmetric electroweak baryogenesis computations, both in the MSSM and beyond.
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APPENDIX: NUMERICAL INPUTS

Here we summarize other numerical inputs needed to compute $n_B/s$. In Table II, we list various finite temperature mass corrections that relevant for EWB. The numerical values of gauge couplings used are $g_1 = 0.357$, $g_2 = 0.652$, $g_3 = 1.23$ [1]. We note that $\tilde{H}_u$, $\tilde{H}_d$ have different finite temperature contributions; here, we treat these degrees of freedom as a single Dirac fermion with plasma mass equal to the average of these contributions.

There are additional parameters of minor relevance to the EWB computation. We choose third generation SUSY-breaking triscalar parameters to be $(y_iA)$, for $i = t, b, \tau$, with a common $A = 7 \text{ TeV}$. In addition, we choose gluino mass parameter $M_3 = 500 \text{ GeV}$. These parameters are relevant for computing $\delta_{h, \tau}$ in Eq. (48). In addition, with these parameters, we can compute the $T = 0$ squark and slepton spectrum; the lightest, mostly RH, third generation scalars have masses

$$m_{\tilde{t}_1} \approx 103 \text{ GeV}, \quad m_{\tilde{b}_1} \approx 93 \text{ GeV}, \quad m_{\tilde{\tau}_1} \approx 297 \text{ GeV}.$$  

(A1)

All other squarks and sleptons have $O(10 \text{ TeV})$ masses. The computation of $n_L$ is effectively insensitive to these heavy masses, since these particles have decoupled from the plasma before the EWPT.

Lastly, we describe how to obtain $n_B/s$ after solving the system of transport equations for $n_L(z)$—either analytically or numerically, as described in the text. The BAU is given by

$$n_B = -\frac{3 \Gamma_{wn}}{2D_Q \lambda_+} \int_{-\infty}^{-L_w/2} dz n_L(z) e^{-\lambda_+ z},$$  

(A2)

with

$$\lambda_\pm = \frac{1}{2D_Q} \left( v_\alpha \pm \sqrt{v_\alpha^2 + 4D_Q R} \right),$$  

(A3)

with $R \approx 2 \times 10^{-3} \text{ GeV}$, the washout rate for inverse electroweak sphaleron transitions (see e.g. Ref. [18]).
LEPTON-MEDIATED ELECTROWEAK BARYOGENESIS  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Particle</th>
<th>$\delta m^2_{\text{SM}}/T^2$ (A)</th>
<th>$\delta m^2_{\text{SUSY}}/T^2$ (B)</th>
<th>$\delta m^2_{\text{SUSY}}/T^2$ (C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$q_L$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} g^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1^2} g_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_R$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} g^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_R$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} g^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\ell_L$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} g^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_2^2$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_R$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} g^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_u$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} g^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_2^2$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$H_d$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} g^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_2^2$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} g^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_2^2$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} g^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_1^2 + \frac{1}{2} g_2^2$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2} \frac{g_2}{g_1} g_1^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Baryon number induced by $n_L(z)$ is washed out for $z \gg |\lambda^{-1}| \approx 30 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$; this is another reason to neglect the nonlocal contributions, which contribute to $n_L$ far from the bubble wall ($z = 0$). Lastly, the entropy density is given by

$$s = \frac{2\pi^2}{45} g_s S T^3,$$

where $g_s \approx 131$, for the parameters given.


