Controllable deformation of silicon nanowires with strain up to 24%
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I. INTRODUCTION

Designing, etching, and manipulating silicon nanowires has become increasingly important to a variety of research areas including nanoelectromechanical systems, optics/plasmonics,1 and nanoscale circuit elements. Specific applications include high surface area chemical sensors,2 mechanical oscillators,3,4 and piezoresistive sensors. High aspect ratio pillars with diameters between 50–100 nm could prove useful for core-shell type plasmonic resonators,5 while pillars with sub-10 nm diameters have shown promising light emission characteristics.6,7 High aspect ratio structures also have possible applications to high density electronics such as FinFETs (Ref. 3) or in DRAM devices.

Current fabrication and manipulation techniques suffer from a few limitations. For applications requiring precision placement of pillars, including electronics and optical coupling, a top-down fabrication scheme is required. However, even with current electron beam technology, alignment with accuracy greater than 10 nm is difficult. Mechanical manipulation using electrostatic actuation8,9 requires power to be continuously supplied to the device to maintain deformation. Other static deformation methods—such as pseudomorphic growth—lack the ability to reversibly tune the amount of strain in the pillars once they have been patterned, and cannot achieve strain >2–3%.10,11 Given its influence on electronic as well as optical properties,12–14 methods to accurately control strain are becoming increasingly important in modern devices.

Previous work has shown that nanoscale structures experience deformation differently than their bulk counterparts.15–19 Specifically silicon nanowires can demonstrate yield strength as well as overall strain much greater than bulk silicon.20,21 In two notable studies21,22 grown silicon nanowires were shown to have an elongation ratio of 125% and a maximum strain of 21.5% without failure. Furthermore previous efforts have utilized the length contraction of polymerization to apply significant forces to induce elongation, buckling, and bending of silicon nanowires and carbon nanotubes.21–23 However, common limitations shared by these approaches are the use of grown nanowires or nanotubes resulting in stochastically distributed structures21,23 as well as the permanence of the deformed position achieved by the nanowire or nanotube.

Here we present a novel method of passively manipulating etched silicon nanowires using polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) as a force mediating polymer. The force exerted by the PMMA on the nanowires may be tuned by varying the electron beam exposure, heating, and selective polymer removal, permitting controllable, as well as fully reversible, bending and straining of structures. Due to the stability of PMMA at room temperature, the nanowire configuration remains fixed, enabling further electrical or mechanical tests to be performed on the sample while under strain. This paper details the nanowire fabrication, polymer manipulation, deformation characteristics, and sample applications of this technique.

II. METHODS

The fabrication of the nanowires follows Henry et al.24 pillars were defined by e-beam patterning an array of 30–150 nm disks in 75 nm of Micro-Chem PMMA 950 A2. A 25 nm layer of Al2O3 was deposited as a hard-mask via dc magnetron sputtering of aluminum with a 5:1 Ar:O2 process chemistry and patterned via lift-off. Aluminum oxide has been demonstrated as a resilient as well as chemically inert etch mask24 providing a selectivity of greater than 60:1 for a fluorine etch chemistry. Etching was performed in an Oxford Plasmalab 100 ICP-RIE 380 machine running a “Pseudo Bosch” etch with simultaneous etching using SF6 and passivation using C3F8—so-called mixed-mode etching. Sidewall profiles are controlled by adjusting the ratio of etch to passivation gases. If diameter reduction was required, pillars were thermally oxidized down to a desired width and then dipped in buffered hydrofluoric acid to remove the oxide. An example of a fabricated nanowire array is given in Fig. 1.
To prepare the sample for actuation, PMMA is spun over the pillar area and baked to drive off solvents. The PMMA is then planarized using an oxygen plasma to achieve the desired resist height.

It has been noted\textsuperscript{25,26} that under high electron beam doses—approximately ten times the standard dose used in electron beam lithography—the normally positive-tone PMMA crosslinks and behaves as a negative resist. Along with this change in resist tone, the crosslinking causes a volumetric contraction of the resist. We utilize this contraction to selectively deform pillars; directional control is achieved using asymmetric electron beam exposure.

Figure 2 shows two sets of 75 nm diameter nanowires emerging from a 300 nm thick PMMA film. By exposing only the right set of pillars, crosslinking in this area pulls these pillars inwards while neighboring strips remain undeformed. The inset shows the comparison in more detail. We note that the bottom few pillars of both the right and left patterns have been brought together from the exposure of taking this picture. The length of exposure dictates the electron dose and hence the amount of contraction between pillars. Since this exposure is done in an Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM), we could view the progress of the deformation in real time, freeze exposures, take measurements, and continue until a desired exposure was obtained. Using this process, it should be possible to obtain SEM resolution limited precision in the final positioning of the pillars.

In a second experiment, we arranged rings of eight nanowires 75 nm in diameter and 800 nm tall (Fig. 3). The rings were initially 500 nm in diameter with 230 nm circumferential spacing between the pillars. The central region of the ring was exposed in an SEM, yielding a uniform radial deformation. Contraction terminated when the pillars were flush, with a final diameter of 184 nm after exposure. As shown in Fig. 4, we also exposed the inner region of a ring of 50 nm diameter pillars that were 1 \( \mu \text{m} \) tall. The ring started at 500 nm in diameter and contracted until the pillars were fully touching, giving a deformation distance at the tip of approximately 250 nm. By measuring the beam current and exposure time, we determined that a dose of approximately 10,000 \( \mu \text{C/cm}^2 \) is required to achieve full contraction of the pillars. This dose matches other results for that required to fully-crosslink PMMA.\textsuperscript{26}

An interesting consequence of overexposing the resist is its resilience to dissolution by acetone.\textsuperscript{25,26} Once the area of interest had been exposed, we dipped the sample in acetone to remove the nonoverexposed portions of resist, leaving the intended pillars locked in place while freeing the rest of the chip from PMMA. This permits further processing steps to be performed on the chip. Pillars can be relaxed by heating the chip, causing the PMMA to reflow, or by removing the...
PMMA with an oxygen plasma (inset, Fig. 4). After a plasma clean it was possible to repeat the process of straining and unstraining the pillars. This cyclic loading without fracture has been previously demonstrated in amorphous silicon samples.27

For illustration of the extent of possible bending a segment of polymer was attached to the entirety of a set of pillars and contracted with an electron beam [Fig. 5(a)]. Figure 5(b) shows the near-horizontal bending of the pillar under full contraction. Finally, Fig. 5(c) highlights two pillars that have returned to their original configuration after partial polymer removal via electron beam ablation. It is important to note that the pillars still held in their bent configuration in frame c are held in place by still present strands of polymer.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

An application of this procedure is the ability to predictably incorporate enormous amounts of strain into nanostructures. In order to estimate the strain induced in the pillars, we analyze the structure in a manner similar to Timoshenko’s treatment of a bimetallic strip.28 The actual geometry and material characteristics of the crosslinked region are difficult to measure and likely nonuniform, in reality behaving as a distributed film rather than an isolated region with clear boundaries. Additionally, the system could be complicated by slip at the interface, nonlinear elastic behavior of the polymer, and increasingly surface-dominated mechanical characteristics at the nanoscale. In spite of the simplified model, however, the proposed treatment shows excellent agreement with experimental data. Furthermore, the analysis predicts a constant radius-of-curvature, yielding a conservative estimate of the maximum strain.

We model the pillar as a cylinder with diameter \( d \), and approximate the crosslinked region of PMMA which contributes to the deformation as a semicircular shell around the pillar with radial thickness \( t \) and originally spun to a film thickness \( L \) [Fig. 6(a)]. After exposure, an equivalent, free-standing crosslinked region would undergo a uniform vertical contraction by an amount \( \Delta L \), corresponding to a unit contraction \( \alpha = \Delta L/L \). The length mismatch between the submerged portion of the pillar and the contracted PMMA causes the pillar to bend to an angle \( \theta \) relative to the original pillar axis and substrate normal.

Following Timoshenko, we find:

\[
\frac{1}{\rho} = \frac{\alpha (C_p - C_s)}{A_p + \frac{I_p}{A_p} + \frac{E_p A_p}{A_p} + \frac{E_p I_p}{A_p} + (C_p - C_s)^2},
\]

where \( \rho \) is the radius of curvature, \( \alpha = \Delta L/L \) is the unit contraction of the PMMA, \( C_p, C_s \) denote the center-of-mass coordinates, \( I_p, I_s \) the cross-sectional moments, \( A_p, A_s \) the areas,
and $E_p, E_s$ the Young’s moduli for the PMMA and silicon, respectively. The arclength along the neutral axis remains at the original polymer thickness $L$, yielding an exit angle $\theta = L/\rho$

$$\theta = \frac{La(C_p - C_s)}{I_p + E_p I_p E_s A_s + E_s A_p + (C_p - C_s)^2}. \tag{2}$$

For the geometry described above and a coordinate system where $x=0$ corresponds to the center of the pillar, we have

$$C_s = 0 \quad C_p = \frac{3d^2 + 6dt + 4t^2}{3\pi(d + t)},$$

$$A_s = \frac{\pi d^2}{4} \quad A_p = \frac{\pi(d + t)}{2},$$

$$I_s = \frac{\pi d^4}{64} \quad I_p = A_p \left( \frac{d^2 + 2dt + 2t^2}{8} - C_p \right).$$

Taking $E_s = 160$ GPa and $E_p = 5$ GPa as the Young’s modulus for the overexposed PMMA, we solve for the remaining free parameters using a least-squares fit between the measured pillar angles and our analytic expression for $\theta$, obtaining $\Delta L = 43$ nm and $t = 46$ nm. This contraction is on the order of the vertical contraction in overexposed PMMA reported elsewhere. While not measured directly, we estimate the total load applied to the pillars to be on the order of 10 $\mu$N by finite element simulations.

The results are shown in Fig. 6(b), which illustrates close agreement between the experimental and analytical bend angles. It should be noted that although the wires are approximately a micron in length the entire strained region is concentrated within the PMMA layer resulting in a large observed bend angle as the pillar leaves the PMMA. Interestingly, the bend angle data does not show any measurable dependence on resist thickness. In the analytic expression, this corresponds to a fixed $\Delta L$ rather than a fixed unit contraction ($\alpha$) as one might expect. The exact cause of this behavior is unclear and requires further study, but might indicate that the deformation is occurring over a length which is smaller than the total film thickness. If this is the case, the strain required to accomplish the same deformation over a reduced distance would be higher than that estimated below. Were this distance also fixed, the strain curves would be independent of the PMMA starting thickness.

To provide a conservative strain estimate, we assume for now that the deformation is uniform and occurs over the entire submerged length of the pillar; we note that the portion of the pillar which extends beyond the PMMA remains unstrained. Using $\varepsilon = \Delta \rho/\rho$ for the strain, we find the maximum strain along the edge of the pillar

$$\varepsilon = \frac{\Delta L(C_p - C_s)(C_s - C_e)}{L \left[ \frac{I_s}{A_s} + \frac{E_p I_p E_s A_s}{E_p A_p} + \frac{E_s A_p}{E_s A_s} + (C_p - C_s)^2 \right]}, \tag{3}$$

where $\Delta \rho = C_u - C_e$ is the distance between the neutral axis ($C_n$) and the far edge of the pillar ($C_e$).

$$C_n = \frac{E_p A_s C_s + E_s A_p C_e}{E_p A_s + E_s A_p} \quad C_e = -\frac{d}{2}.$$
to have important biological applications such as capturing individual cells for further study. Compared to electrically actuated nanotweezers, the polymer-controlled nanowires may be more densely packed, allowing the study of interactions between neighboring traps, and offer the ability to leave the tweezers closed without any external input.

IV. CONCLUSION

This work demonstrates the use of PMMA as a force mediating layer which actuates silicon nanowires. Using standard top-down fabrication to define the pillars, PMMA was spun on and planarized to make the force mediating layer. By overexposure in an SEM, selected regions of the PMMA were crosslinked to actuate the pillars. It was further demonstrated that this could be reversed by heating or by removing the PMMA with an oxygen plasma. The deformation remained fixed after exposure to ambient atmosphere due to the stability of the overexposed PMMA, and excess PMMA was stripped to allow further fabrication. Using arrays of nanowires, we trapped alumina spheres, confirming that the flexible nature of the pillars can be utilized for capturing nanometer scale objects. In addition, this technique yields reversible strain of 24% in silicon nanowires, which may potentially exhibit interesting optical and electrical phenomena.
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