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Dust in the bright supernova remnant N49 in the LMC
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ABSTRACT

We investigate the dust associated with the supernova remnant (SNR) N49 in the Large Magellanic Cloud (LMC) as observed with the Herschel Space Observatory. N49 is unusual because of an interaction with a molecular cloud along its eastern edge. We have used PACS and SPIRE to measure the far IR flux densities of the entire SNR and of a bright region on the eastern edge of the SNR where the SNR shock is encountering the molecular cloud. Using these fluxes supplemented with archival data at shorter wavelengths, we estimate the dust mass associated with N49 to be about 10 M⊙. The bulk of the dust in our simple two-component model has a temperature of 20–30 K, similar to that of nearby molecular clouds. Unfortunately, as a result of the limited angular resolution of Herschel at the wavelengths sampled with SPIRE, the uncertainties are fairly large. Assuming this estimate of the dust mass associated with the SNR is approximately correct, it is probable that most of the dust in the SNR arises from regions where the shock speed is too low to produce significant X-ray emission. The total amount of warm 50–60 K dust is large. Assuming this estimate of the dust mass associated with the SNR is approximately correct, it is probable that most of the dust in the SNR is nitrogen, depending on whether the dust is modeled in terms of carbonaceous or silicate grains. This provides a firm lower limit to the amount of shock heated dust in N49.
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1. Introduction

The source N49 is a bright X-ray and optical supernova remnant (SNR) in the Large Magellanic Cloud (Long et al. 1981), associated with a soft gamma ray repeater (Cline et al. 1982) and unresolved X-ray point source (Rothschild et al. 1994). It has an asymmetric surface-brightness distribution at essentially all wavelengths, which is due to an interaction with a molecular cloud that is located on the southeast limb of the SNR (Vancura et al. 1992; Banas et al. 1997). Based on a simple Sedov model for the SNR, Hughes et al. (1998) concluded that the swept-up mass was the order of 200 M⊙. Park et al. (2003) recently conducted a detailed study of its X-ray properties using Chandra, confirming that the SNR is relatively young, on the order of 6000 yrs. They find that although most of the emission is dominated by interstellar material (ISM), the Chandra observations appear to show “explosion fragments” on the SW side of the SNR in X-rays. According to Bilikova et al. (2007), the X-ray temperature (∼1.2 × 10^7 K) implies a shock velocity of 920 km s⁻¹, while the optical filaments which reflect shocks traversing denser gas have typical line-of-sight velocities of 250 km s⁻¹. Hill et al. (1995) estimated the mass of the progenitor of N49 to be about 20 M⊙ based on the association with the 10 Myr old association LH 53. A 1720 MHz OH maser emission comes from a region toward the southwest. The remnant N49 is almost certainly the result of a type II explosion.

Apparently first detected at mid-IR wavelengths with IRAS (Graham et al. 1987), the first mid-IR images of the SNR were obtained with Spitzer (Williams et al. 2006a,b), where N49 is visible not only in the MIPS 24 and 70 μm bands, but also in the IRAC 3.6, 4.5, 5.6 and 8 μm bands. IRS spectra of the eastern limb show strong line emission from O, Ne, Ar, Si, S, and Fe and H2 in the 5–37 μm band (Williams et al. 2006a,b). While lines and dust emission compete at the short wavelengths, van Loon et al. (2010) showed with the low resolution MIPS spectra of the bright blob on the eastern limb that dust is the dominant source of emission at 70 μm, and estimated a dust mass for N49 of ∼0.2 M⊙.

Dust in SNRs can arise either from the SN itself or from the ISM. Ejecta dust is thought to play a key role as a coolant in the formation of high-redshift galaxies (see, e.g. Morgan & Edwards 2003). However, given the amount of swept-up material in N49, most of the dust in N49 is expected to be of interstellar origin.

Here we describe observations of N49 obtained with the Herschel Space Observatory. Our primary purpose is to explore the properties of dust in N49, and to obtain a better estimate of the dust mass than was heretofore possible by probing the longer wavelength bands, where larger dust grains are expected to be more apparent. Combining Herschel data and data obtained from other observatories, we construct spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of the entire SNR and of a bright blob on the eastern limb, where the SNR is interacting with a molecular cloud. (see, e.g. van Loon et al. 2010). We use a simple two-temperature model of the SED to estimate dust masses and temperatures.

2. Observations and data reduction

The SNR N49 was observed with Herschel as part of the science demonstration program associated with the HERITAGE
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To complement the Herschel data, we used archival Spitzer/IRS low- and high-resolution spectra (5–40 μm; PI: Gehrz) of the eastern blob (E blob, hereafter). Spitzer/IRAC 3.6/4.5/5.8/8.0 μm and MIPS 24/70 μm images (Meixner et al. 2006), and the published 3/7/11/15/24 μm fluxes for whole SNR (Seok et al. 2008) taken by the AKARI/IRC (Murakami et al. 2007; Onaka et al. 2007). We indicate the position of the E blob made by Kemper et al. (2010)a sp a r theS A G E-
Fig. 1. Selected images of the SNR N49. The intensity is in Jy per pixel. The location of the eastern blob (E blob) is indicated by the arrow. The radii of the green and yellow circles are 50′′ and 10′′ when adopting aperture photometry for the whole SNR and the E blob.

The SEDs are presented in Fig. 1 for the entire SNR and for the E-blob alone. There are no obvious differences aside from normalization in the two spectra. Williams et al. (2006a,b), based on an early reduction of the IRS spectrum, argued that the emission lines rather than the dust continuum dominate the flux in the MIPS 24 μm band. However, our improved reduction of the IRS spectrum shows an obvious dust continuum. Our estimate based on the full 9.7 μm width of the MIPS 24 μm band is that emission lines contribute about 38% of the flux. The fluxes plotted at 24 μm in Fig. 1 represent the continuum flux, assuming that the line contribution is the same for the entire SNR as for the region covered by the IRS spectrum. At wavelengths of λ ∼ 70 μm and longer, the radiation from dust grains in the SNR is the main luminosity source. van Loon et al. (2010) found dominant [O I] 63 μm line in the MIPS 70 μm band to contribute only 11% of the total emission in that band. Furthermore, based on this, the expected [O I] 146 μm (P1−2P0) flux is 3.4–7.2×10^{-13} erg s^{-1} cm^{-2} when assuming the electron temperature
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Fig. 1. Selected images of the SNR N49. The intensity is in Jy per pixel. The location of the eastern blob (E blob) is indicated by the arrow. The radii of the green and yellow circles are 50′′ and 10′′ when adopting aperture photometry for the whole SNR and the E blob.
bands, the contribution is large; the E blob. In the fittings we excluded 500 μm data. We found that the contribution is negligibly small (7%)

3. Dust mass in the N49 system and discussion

We estimated the dust mass with the line-contribution-subtracted data and its temperature through SED fitting. First, we estimated the contribution of the synchrotron emission to the mid-IR region, Dickel & Milne (1998) measured the flux densities at 3.5/6/13/20 cm for the whole SNR. By extrapolating the flux densities at this radio wavelength to the mid-IR region, we found that the contribution is negligibly small (<2%) to the 24/70/100/160/250 μm flux densities. In the SPIRE 350/500 μm bands, the contribution is large; ~14% for 350 μm and ~79% for 500 μm.

Before fitting, we subtracted the contribution of the synchrotron emission to the 350 μm flux density for the whole SNR and E blob, assuming that the contribution can be also applied to the E blob. In the fittings we excluded 500 μm data.

When we assume optically thin thermal radiation at a dust temperature \(T_d\) of a component and a single dust species with the radius \(a\), the observed flux density \(F_v\) is written by

\[
F_v = \left( \frac{4}{3} \alpha a D^2 \right) \times \sum_i M_{d,i}/B_i(T_{d,i})Q_{ij},
\]

where \(M_{d,i}\) is the dust mass of the component \(i\), \(B_i(T_{d,i})\) is the Planck function of \(T_{d,i}\), and \(Q_{ij}\) is the absorption efficiency. \(\alpha\) is the dust grain density and \(D\) is a distance to the observed dust from us (Kwok 2007).

Here, we adopted \(D = 50\) kpc (Schaefer 2008). We considered two types of grains, amorphous carbon and silicates. For amorphous carbon the optical constants were taken from Zubko et al. (1996). We adopted \(\alpha = 2.26\) g cm\(^{-3}\). To simplify the model, we assume \(a = 0.1\) μm uniform grain radius. Next, we considered astronomical silicate only. The optical constants were taken from Draine & Lee (1984) and a \(\alpha\) of 3.6 g cm\(^{-3}\) was adopted. Due to the lower absorption efficiency at >70 μm than amorphous carbon, a larger dust mass would be estimated. The dust mass estimated for the silicate-only case would be an upper limit. In the fittings we found the best fit \(M_{d,i}\) and \(T_{d,i}\) to the observations by least-square technique. In this process, \(M_{d,i}\) and \(T_{d,i}\) are the free parameters, and all the data are equal of weight.

![Fig. 2. SED plots of the whole SNR (upper panel) and E blob only (lower panel) together with silicate dust fits. The strong emission lines of atomic gas and H\(_2\) in the IRS spectrum are subtracted. The black solid and broken lines are the SEDs of warm and cold components, respectively. The red lines are the sum of these two components.](image)

Table 1. Flux density of N49.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(\lambda) (μm)</th>
<th>whole SNR</th>
<th>E blob</th>
<th>source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>((3.6 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2})</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>((3.8 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2})</td>
<td>((6.0 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>((3.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2})</td>
<td>((7.4 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>((1.8 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-1})</td>
<td>((3.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-2})</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>((2.8 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1})</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>((2.8 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-1})</td>
<td>((4.1 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-2})</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>((3.3 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-1})</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>((8.7 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-1})</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.6 \pm 0.1</td>
<td>((30 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-2})</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.09 \pm 0.5</td>
<td>((18 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-1})</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.85 \pm 1.0</td>
<td>1.4 \pm 0.4</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>7.2 \pm 1.0</td>
<td>1.2 \pm 0.2</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>2.1 \pm 0.3</td>
<td>((5.3 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-1})</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350</td>
<td>5.0 \pm 0.3</td>
<td>((2.4 \pm 0.8) \times 10^{-1})</td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500</td>
<td>1.0 \pm 0.2</td>
<td>((1.0 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-1})</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. (In the last column) (1): AKARI (Seok et al. 2008); (2): Spitzer (present work); (3): Herschel (present work).

We first considered a single temperature model to fit the SED. For the whole SNR, the best fit had a \(T_d\) of 33 K and 34 K for amorphous carbon and for silicate respectively, and a derived \(M_d\) of 3.6 \(M_\odot\) and 4.6 \(M_\odot\). For the E blob, \(T_d\) is 35 K (carbon case) and 39 K (silicate case), and \(M_d\) is 0.47 \(M_\odot\) and 0.60 \(M_\odot\). The temperature is fairly similar to the temperature derived by Graham et al. (1987) from IRAS data. However, we found that a better fit could be obtained if we considered a model with two-temperatures instead of one. The one-component fittings cannot simultaneously explain the <24 μm and >160 μm flux. The implied dust masses and temperatures for the two-temperature model are summarized in Table 1 for the two types of grains.

Table 2. The derived dust mass and temperature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part</th>
<th>Dust Spices</th>
<th>Comp.</th>
<th>(T_d) (K)</th>
<th>(M_d) ((M_\odot))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SNR</td>
<td>carbon</td>
<td>warm</td>
<td>62 ± 2</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>26 ± 2</td>
<td>8.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>silicate</td>
<td>warm</td>
<td>57 ± 2</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>27 ± 2</td>
<td>12 ± 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E blob</td>
<td>carbon</td>
<td>warm</td>
<td>59 ± 2</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>19 ± 2</td>
<td>4.2 ± 1.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>silicate</td>
<td>warm</td>
<td>57 ± 1</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cold</td>
<td>21 ± 1</td>
<td>6.1 ± 2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We considered a single temperature model, assuming silicate grains, for the SEDs is shown in Fig. 1. The warm component has a temperature of ~60 K, and is surely due to dust in shocked gas, while the cold component has a temperature of ~20 K, similar to that found in molecular clouds.
with the molecular cloud and the amount of shocked molecular gas in N49 is the limited angular resolution of Herschel at longer wavelengths.
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Fig. 3. The relation between the dust mass and age. The data are taken from Seok et al. (2008) for 0509–67.5, 0519–69.0, N132D, and N49B; Williams et al. (2008); Sandstrom et al. (2009) and Rho et al. (2009) for 1E 0102.2–7219; Williams et al. (2006a,b) for N132D, N23, 0453–68.5, and N49B; van Loon et al. (2010) and present work for N49.

The contribution from the cold component to the total flux in the Herschel bands is >80%. The dust mass and temperature of the warm component in the E blob are very close to van Loon et al. (2010; 0.2 $M_{\odot}$ and 43 K). The total mass of dust associated with N49 is about 10 $M_{\odot}$ and is dominated by dust at cold temperatures, dust which was difficult to observe with Spitzer. It is tempting therefore to identify the warm component with dust in the X-ray plasma and the cool component not with the SNR, but with the molecular cloud. However, this assignment is very uncertain, because dust temperature variations are also expected as a result of the large variations of post-shock gas density that are known to exist in N49.

To place SNR N49′s dust mass estimate into the context of SNR development, we plot the dust mass vs. age for all LMC and SMC SNRs studied to data, as noted in Fig. 3. Note that except for N49 the dust masses are estimated based on <70 μm data. The relation is more straight than the free expansion phase early on, followed by a Sedov phase (radius $\propto$ (age)$^{2/3}$; cf. Badenes et al. 2010).

The mass of the warm dust component in N49 is 0.1 $M_{\odot}$ assuming amorphous carbon and 0.4 $M_{\odot}$ assuming silicates. Adopting a dust-to-gas mass ratio of ~250 (Meixner et al. 2010) and assuming that only the warm dust is associated with shocked gas, the swept-up mass of the SNR ranges from 25 to 100 $M_{\odot}$. This is comparable to the mass estimated from X-ray observations, 200 $M_{\odot}$ (Hughes et al. 1998), assuming the true grain characteristics are similar to those of silicates. A much higher (25× larger) swept-up mass is estimated if we included the cold dust in our estimate, which suggests that in the context of our simple model there is a significant molecular cloud component to the cold dust we associate with the SNR, and that the shocks propagating into the molecular cloud may be too slow to produce X-rays. While more sophisticated modeling of N49 may refine the mass estimates somewhat, the basic limitation in arriving at a more precise picture of the nature of the interaction...