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Abstract. The abundances of elements from helium to iron have been measured in more than a dozen moderate to large solar energetic particle (SEP) events using the Solar Isotope Spectrometer (SIS) on-board the Advanced Composition Explorer (ACE). Time variations within some of these events and from event to event have been reported previously. This paper presents an analysis of the event of 6 May 1998, for which relatively time-independent abundance ratios are found. This event has been considered to be an example of an impulsive event, a gradual event, and as a hybrid of the two. Difficulties with classifying this event are discussed.

INTRODUCTION

Well prior to the launch of the Advanced Composition Explorer (ACE) there was an established model of solar energetic particle (SEP) events which divided events into two classes, impulsive events and gradual events (1, 2). In addition, it had been proposed that some events are hybrid events which combine an initial impulsive event with a gradual event (3, 4). Since the launch of ACE there has been considerable debate as to whether certain events are gradual, impulsive, or hybrid events. In particular, the nature of the 6 May 1998 event has been extensively debated and will be the topic of this paper.

The characteristics of impulsive and gradual events have been summarized by Reames (1). In brief, impulsive events are events which are associated with acceleration by solar flares, whereas gradual events are associated with acceleration by shocks driven by Coronal Mass Ejections (CMEs). Starting in the corona, the strongest such shocks can continue to accelerate particles all the way out to 1 AU and beyond. Initially the terms impulsive and gradual referred to the durations of corresponding soft x-ray events, with 1 hour roughly marking the dividing line between the two. Subsequently the terms have evolved to refer to the durations of the particle events themselves, with impulsive events, at a few MeV/nuc, typically lasting for only hours, and gradual events lasting for several days (1). This of course leaves a wide window for events in between. In addition, no clear-cut criteria for measuring an event's duration have been established.

Impulsive events are typically $^3$He-rich and have composition enhanced in heavy elements relative to coronal abundances. They are also associated with Type III radio bursts and low energy electrons. By contrast, gradual events are not $^3$He-rich and are associated with Type II and Type IV radio emission. Their average abundances reflect coronal abundances (5). Mean Fe charge states were initially measured at $\sim 1$ MeV/nuc to be $20.5 \pm 1.2$ in impulsive events and $14 \pm 1$ in gradual events (6). Recent measurements have shown that 14 is perhaps an intermediate value (7), with some gradual events having a mean Fe charge state as low as 11 (7, 8). The relationship between these intermediate events and hybrid events, if any, has not been established. The charge states in gradual events are also, at least on occasion, energy dependent (9, 10, 11).

Due to the large scale of CMEs and their associated shocks, gradual event particles appear on magnetic field lines which connect back to the sun over a wide range of solar longitudes. By comparison, an observer must be well-connected magnetically to the flare site on the sun to observe an impulsive event. The observed range of good connection longitudes is from $-30 - 80^\circ$ West (2).

Historically, events have been considered to be $^3$He-rich when $^3$He/$^4$He exceeded 10%, but this was largely due to the fact that early instruments could not reliably observe $^3$He/$^4$He below about 10%. With improved measurement capabilities on ACE, it is apparent that many events have $^3$He/$^4$He ratios which...
are below 10% and yet are much enhanced over the average solar wind value of ~ 0.04%.

The Solar Isotope Spectrometer (SIS) on-board the Advanced Composition Explorer (ACE) has a large geometry factor (~ 40 cm²·sr), enabling abundances in solar energetic particle (SEP) events to be observed on a time scale of hours or less. Substantial temporal variations of abundances from event to event and within events have been reported earlier using SIS data (12). For example, Fe/O varied from as low as ~ 0.1 times the average Fe/O value for gradual events to as high as ~ 8 times the average value in the events of 20 April 1998 and 6 November 1997, respectively. He/O varied from ~ 2 times average to ~ 0.1 times average within the 20 April 1998 event. (The average values for gradual events obtained from (5) are used as convenient reference levels for identifying enhancements and depletions). Ca/O enhancements are frequently similar to Fe/O enhancements. Si/O is rarely enhanced, although the event of 14 November 1998 had Si/O enhanced by ~ 2 times average. Time histories of the intensities and abundance ratios in these events are shown in (12).

Besides events with large temporal variations, some events have abundances which are essentially time-independent for all elements. The first four such events observed by SIS (6 November 1997, 2 May 1998, 6 May 1998, and 14 November 1998) all had abundances similar to the average abundances of impulsive events. These abundances are unlike the average abundances of gradual events (13, 12). However, other analyses (Tylka and Reames, private communication; also (14)) have led to the conclusion that all four of these events were gradual events. It has also been suggested that one of these events, the event of 6 May 1998, is a hybrid of an impulsive and a gradual event (15).

Ultimately it is essential to understand the temporal variations of solar energetic particle abundances in order to understand particle acceleration mechanisms and to reliably estimate the composition of the sun from direct observations of SEP abundances. To do so, each event needs to be separately understandable. The event studied here is sufficiently complex to challenge current thinking.

**OBSERVATIONS**

Solar observations for this period are given in NOAA's Solar-Geophysical Data Reports (16). There were two solar x-ray events, M class or greater, on 6 May 1998, both in a region nominally located at S11W65. The first of these, a class M2.9 event, started at 07:10, peaked at 07:25, and ended at 07:41. The second event, of class X2.7, started at 07:58, peaked at 08:09, and ended at 08:20. Type III emission started at 07:35 and continued intermittently to 08:13. Type II and IV radio emission started at 08:00 and at 08:03, respectively, presumably due to the second event. A CME was first observed in the LASCO C2 field of view (1.5-6 solar radii) at 08:04. The speed of the CME was measured to be 1053 km/sec (17). This CME is clearly associated with the second x-ray event and not the first. Type III emission is clearly associated with the first x-ray event and possibly with the second one as well.
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**FIGURE 1.** Time histories of the intensity of He at three different energies for the event of 6 May 1998.

Figure 1 shows the time history of He for this event at three different energies. The intensity of He in the energy interval 17.9 to 29.3 MeV/nuc fell by one and a half orders of magnitude in just over 2 hours and then declined further at a much slower rate. He in the energy interval 3.4 to 4.7 MeV/nuc shows a relatively gradual decline, with some evidence of the initial spike evident at higher energies. The latter is an unusual feature not present in the other events observed by SIS. Reames et al. (14) saw no sign of an initial spike in this event at low energies. Surprisingly, they also saw no evidence for a spike for H at 20 MeVn.

The top panel of Figure 2 shows intensity-time histories for ⁴He, ³He, and O all at 10.5 MeV/nuc. The middle panel shows the corresponding ratio of ³He/⁴He, while the bottom panel shows the ratio of ⁴He/O normalized to the gradual event average value of ⁴He/O. SIS abundances have been analyzed previously at 14 MeV/nuc because the energy ranges of the SIS instrument are different for different elements; 14 MeV/nuc is the lowest energy that intensities are available for every element from He to Ni. Figure 2 corresponds to longer time averages than used in Figure 1 and somewhat lower energy than 14 MeV/nuc in order to improve the statistics for ³He.

From the middle panel of Figure 2, the value of ³He/⁴He at the impulsive peak is the same as the value
FIGURE 2. Top: 1-hour resolution time history profiles for \(^4\)He, \(^3\)He, and O at 10.5 MeV/nuc. Middle: \(^3\)He/\(^4\)He versus time for 10.5 MeV/nuc. Bottom: \(^4\)He/O versus time for 10.5 MeV/nuc. \(^4\)He/O has been normalized to the average gradual event value from (5).

of subsequent points during the slow decay phase of the event. The value of \(^3\)He/\(^4\)He averaged over the event is about 5%. The bottom panel of Figure 2 shows that the value of \(^4\)He/O, while enhanced, changes relatively little during the event. Figure 3 shows the time histories of the abundance ratios Mg/O, Si/O, S/O, Ca/O, and Fe/O given as two hour averages at 14 MeV/nuc. The first data point of each panel coincides with the ‘impulsive’ peak at the leading edge of the event and subsequent data points correspond to the gradual decay phase. It is apparent that the ratios S/O, Ca/O, and Fe/O are all enhanced. It is also apparent that there is essentially no change in these ratios from the initial values for at least 12 hours.

FIGURE 3. 2-hour averages of Mg/O, Si/O, S/O, Ca/O, and Fe/O at 14 MeV/nuc as a function of time for the event of 6 May 1998. These ratios are normalized to the average gradual event values from (5).

DISCUSSION

The 6 May 1998 event has been described variously as an impulsive event (13), as a gradual event (14), and as a hybrid of an impulsive event plus a gradual event (15). These interpretations are complicated by the fact that the X2.7 x-ray event was preceded by an M2.9 x-ray event with Type III emission. This preceding x-ray event has been previously ignored, but it would clearly allow for yet other interpretations. For example, the first event could be impulsive and the second purely gradual. For the moment, it will be assumed that the first event can be ignored.

The 6 May 1998 event, then, appears to be impulsive because:

- the initial intensity spike has a duration of only about 2 hours
- its abundances are very similar to the average elemental abundances of impulsive events and not like those of gradual events (13).
The 6 May 1998 event appears to be gradual because:
- the event-averaged ratio of $^3$He/$^4$He, -5%, is enhanced well above the coronal value even if it doesn’t quite reach the historical standard of 10%.
- it is well connected to the associated flare region.

The 6 May 1998 event appears to be gradual because:
- the event profile at a few MeV/nuc looks like a normal gradual event.
- the event is associated with a fast CME.
- the event is associated with Type II and Type IV radio emission.
- there are time variations in abundances at a few MeV/nuc (not evident at SIS energies) which can be explained well in terms of waves generated in the vicinity of the shock (14).

The suggestion that the 6 May 1998 event is a hybrid event (15) is appealing because it allows an apparent resolution to the argument as to whether the event is either impulsive or gradual. That is, it removes the need to make a choice. In addition, Popecki, et al. (15) have shown that the charge states of Fe vary during the event, being initially high (an indicator of an impulsive event) followed by lower values during the gradual phase (more consistent with the charge states normally associated with gradual events). However, the measured differences are not so large: initially the mean Fe charge state is $-14.7 \pm 0.6$, then dropping to $-13$ (15).

Moebius, et al. (7) have classified this event as being in a normal gradual event. Cliver (4) proposed an alternative model, which also couples the impulsive and gradual phases. In this model, particles are first accelerated impulsively in a flare phase, populating a post-flare loop. Subsequently gradual phase particles are accelerated in the magnetic reconnection region behind the associated CME. Yet another possibility for coupling the two phases would be a single, impulsive injection that has a scatter-free initial spike with a subsequent diffusive wake (20). This could explain the common, impulsive-like composition in both the impulsive spike and the subsequent gradual decay. However, both of the latter two explanations ignore the presence of the CME driven shock. We conclude that the event could be a hybrid event, but, if so, with the two phases coupled by some as yet unknown means.
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