Gamma-Rays from Boron Bombarded with Protons

We have found a rather weak gamma-radiation emitted when boron is bombarded with protons, and have investigated the spectrum, by measuring the energy of recoil electrons in a cloud chamber. The intensity is certainly not more than 1/10 that obtained from boron bombarded with deuterons under the same conditions, and probably is not far from the upper limit of 1/50 placed upon it by our earlier investigations with an ionization chamber.1 McMillan2 has reported radiation from proton bombardment, but no comparison with the deuteron effect can be made from the data he has given.

Fig. 1 shows the energy spectrum of negative secondary electrons obtained from 3000 cloud chamber photographs taken at 900 kv bombarding voltage, 100 microamperes deuteron current and 1500 gauss magnetic field. The glass wall of the chamber was the source of the secondary electrons. The upper curve includes all measurable negative electron tracks, and the lower contains only those which were ejected with an initial angle of less than 71 degrees with the direction of the incident gamma-radiation. The curves, when corrected according to the theory of Compton collisions, indicate gamma-ray lines at 2.5, 4.2, 5.7, 7.5, 9.8, and 13 (7?) MEV. A few high energy pairs were obtained, which are plotted at the bottom of the figure, according to their total energy, which includes the energy equivalent of the rest masses of the two electrons. Each dot represents a pair. The extreme upper limit of the gamma-ray spectrum is probably best given by the pairs, since the probability of pair production increases rapidly with energy, while the number of recoil electrons decreases. The upper limit indicated by the pairs is clearly about 14.5 MEV.

The only reaction involving boron and protons which can release sufficient energy to make possible the emission of the observed 14.5 MEV gamma-ray appears to be

\[ ^{11}\text{B} + ^{1}\text{H} \rightarrow ^{13}\text{C} + \gamma. \]  

(1)

From Bethe's values for the masses of the atoms involved, or, by using the value 13 MEV for the energy released in the reaction

\[ ^{11}\text{B} + ^{1}\text{H} \rightarrow ^{12}\text{C} + n, \]  

(2)

observed by Bonner and Brubaker,4 and by assuming that the energy contributed by the bombarding proton is approximately 0.9 MEV, we obtain about 15 MEV for the excess energy in reaction (1). This fits well within the accuracy of the experiment, and constitutes perhaps the most unambiguous evidence we have for the simple capture of a proton with the emission of the excess energy entirely as gamma-radiation. This naturally implies that the \(^{12}\text{C}\) nucleus is capable of existing in an excited state corresponding to 14.5 MEV and of making one or more radiative transitions from this to the normal state, in spite of the fact that the energy it contains is more than sufficient to cause is to split up into three rather energetic alpha-particles; that is, alpha-particles having enough energy to clear easily their mutual potential barrier. This seems to invalidate the argument advanced by Bethe3 for the maximum value of the mass of \(^{12}\text{C}\), namely, that the excitation energy cannot exceed the binding energy of one of the alpha-particles with respect to the other two by more than about 0.7 MEV. The observation of several such high energy gamma-rays from light nuclei has led us to postulate, as suggested in the accompanying letter,6 that the excitation need not be due entirely to the mutual arrangement or motion of the three alpha-particles, but may consist, at least in part, of excitation within one or more of the alpha-particles themselves, and that this internal alpha-particle energy is not strongly coupled—that is to say, not easily transferred—to the three-alpha-particle system.

The possibility that some of the lower energy lines may arise from the reaction

\[ ^{11}\text{B} + ^{1}\text{H} \rightarrow ^{3}\text{He}, \]  

(3)

in which the energy release is about 11 MEV, cannot with certainty be ruled out. The alternative way of accounting for the lower lines is by postulating that the majority of the excited \(^{12}\text{C}\) nuclei make the transition from the 14.5 MEV level to the ground level by way of intermediate levels. We have not measured quantitatively the excitation curve for the radiation, but the intensity seems to rise considerably more steeply with voltage than is to be expected from the Gamow penetration of the proton alone: it was not possible to obtain appreciable intensity, even of the lower energy lines, at 700 kv. This seems to indicate that all of the radiation is the result of the capture process, although if a very large portion of the energy in reaction (3) were used up in excitation, the three alpha-particles might have a low probability of coming apart through their mutual barrier, and the gamma-rays from this process would in that case also exhibit a high sensitivity to bombarding voltage. The capture of a proton by \(^{11}\text{B}\) to form the radioactive isotope \(^{11}\text{C}\) could release as much as 9 MEV, but from the known rate of formation7 of \(^{12}\text{C}\) we can be sure that the gamma-rays would be too feeble to account for any of the observed lines. It is reasonable to assume that in reaction (1) the proton is captured only when its kinetic energy is such as to make the total energy of the resulting system correspond just to that of the excited \(^{12}\text{C}\); the voltage excitation curve should there-
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Fig. 1. Recoil electrons and pairs produced by the gamma-radiation from boron bombarded with protons.
fore exhibit a rather sharp maximum, and from the results of our experiments one can estimate that if such a sharp maximum exists it must lie in the neighborhood of the voltage used, namely 0.9 MEV.
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A Note on the Spectra of Jupiter and Saturn

In addition to the many rotation-vibration bands of methane, the spectra of Jupiter and Saturn contain also the harmonic rotation-vibration bands of ammonia at 7920A and 6474A. First photographed in the planetary spectrum by V. M. Slipher, they have since been traced to the ammonia molecule by R. Wildt, and by T. Dunham.

It is the purpose of this note to show that the bands are of the parallel type; namely, the fourth and fifth harmonics of the fundamental p_1 at 3337 cm^−1.

Employing equivalent path lengths of ammonia up to 315 meter-atmospheres, the bands at 7920A and 6474A were photographed, as was also a band at 5520A. These three bands possess identical structures, indicating that they are harmonics of the same fundamental vibration. In fact, the parallel fundamental at 3337 cm^−1 and the above bands are connected by the formula:

\[ v_{\text{bands}} = 3389 N - 50 N^2 - 2N^3, \]

where N is the order of the harmonic.

The structure of the fourth, fifth and sixth harmonics is clearly represented by the photograph and intensity trace shown in Fig. 1. The harmonic bands of p_1 should each consist of two nearly superimposed bands; that is, the band lines should be double in virtue of the ability of the nitrogen atom to pass through the plane of the hydrogens on its way from one position of equilibrium to the other. Dennison and Uhlenbeck have shown the manner in which the doubling depends upon the amplitude of the nitrogen atom in the case of the harmonic vibrations of p_0, the second parallel fundamental of ammonia. By applying this analysis to the harmonics of p_1 a zeroth approximation to the doubling of the state p_0 can be obtained. In the vibration p_1 as opposed to the vibration p_0 there is considerable motion of the hydrogens in their own plane. This motion renders it more difficult for the nitrogen atom to penetrate the hydrogen plane and thus decreases the doubling of the levels p_0 below what it would be for a similar amplitude of oscillation of the nitrogen atom in the motion p_0. A somewhat better approximation can therefore be achieved by reducing the zeroth approximation to one-half or one-third its value. For example, the band 5p_1 should exhibit a doubling in the neighborhood of 15 cm^−1. Several such line pairs have been located, but complete ordering of the lines has not yet been accomplished.
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