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Pages 3445 and 3446 should be interchanged. I thank Dr. Jonathan Connor for pointing this out.
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The column labeled AQᵇ in Table I should contain the same numbers as AQᵇ instead of those actually given. As a consequence, the last two sentences in footnote b can be deleted, and the numerical results in Ref. 4 and those of our article now agree. As already stated in Sec. V A, the two second-order AQ expressions agree. There are several misprints, none of which alter any results or conclusions: The ket in the third line of Eq. (3.4) should be |n − 1, ℐ − 1⟩, the H₂ in Eq. (3.9) should be replaced by ⟨H₀ + H₂⟩, the second E₃,±₁ label in Table III should read E₃,±₃, the − 2 in Eq. (3.17) should read − 4, the coefficient of Iₖ in Eq. (4.7) should be divided by 12 and that of λ² in Eq. (B2) (the term in the brackets) by 6.

We are grateful to Professor F. Borondo, Universidad Autonoma de Madrid, and to Professor M. K. Ali, University of Lethbridge, for calling some of the above corrections to our attention.