Electronic properties of deep levels in \( p \)-type CdTe
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DLTS and associated electrical measurements were made on unintentionally doped CdTe crystals obtained from several vendors, on Cu-doped CdTe, and on Te-annealed CdTe. All of the crystals were \( p \)-type. Four majority carrier deep levels were observed in the temperature range from 100–300 K with activation energies relative to the valence band of 0.2, 0.41, 0.45, and 0.65 eV. Two of these levels were specific to certain crystals while the other two were seen in every sample and are attributed to common impurities or native defects. Fluctuations in the concentrations of levels across samples and as a result of modest sample heating (400 K) were also observed.

PACS numbers: 72.80.Ey, 72.20.Jv, 71.55.Fr

I. INTRODUCTION

The electronic properties of II–VI compound semiconductors are not well understood. The situation is complicated by the presence of native defects and defect complexes, in addition to impurities incorporated into the crystals during growth. CdTe is a II–VI semiconductor which is of recent interest because it serves as a substrate for the growth of the lattice-matched ternary \( \text{Hg}_1-x \text{Cd}_x \text{Te} \). It has also been proposed for use as a nuclear detector and in solar cells. In all of these applications an understanding of the deep-level properties of the material is important to successfully fabricating devices from CdTe. There have been a number of studies of deep levels in CdTe.\(^1\) The results of early measurements are hard to compare because of the variety of techniques and samples used. Recently, more sensitive and reproducible deep-level transient spectroscopy (DLTS) measurements have been made on \( n \)-type CdTe, but the CdTe typically used for the above applications is unintentionally doped, as-grown material which is generally \( p \)-type.\(^2-4\) Very little information about deep levels in these crystals exists.

This paper presents the results of a study of the majority carrier deep levels in \( p \)-type CdTe using the technique of DLTS. The experimental method will be described, and the properties of each sample studied will be discussed. Results of the measurements will be presented providing a comparison of as-grown CdTe crystals obtained from various sources. The deep levels in CdTe doped with Cu and CdTe which had been annealed in Te vapor will also be given. Finally, possible explanations for the observations that were made and conclusions based on these observations will be suggested.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

DLTS and \( C-V \) measurements were made on six different crystals of \( p \)-type CdTe. All of the crystals were examined in an as-grown condition. One was also annealed in Te vapor prior to the measurements. The history and properties of each of these materials is given in Table I. Shallow level concentrations \( (N_A - N_D) \) taken from reverse bias capacitance measurements are also given in Table I. Five of the six crystals were unintentionally doped. It is not known if the observed shallow level concentrations in these crystals, which are quite high, were due to residual impurities or to stoichiometry. The latter is suspected. Crystal E was made from material which was taken from crystal Band annealed at 800 °C for \( \approx 2 \) h in a sealed, evacuated quartz ampule containing elemental Te. Crystal F was CdTe doped with Cu at a level of \( 10^{16} \) cm\(^{-3}\).

The measurements described here were performed on Schottky barrier devices fabricated on samples taken from the crystals. Both Au and Cd barriers were used. Au and Cd Schottky barriers were prepared in two different ways. In the first method, 160 \( \mu \)m diameter dots were evaporated onto air-cleaved \( [110] \) surfaces in an ion pumped vacuum system at \( 10^{-6} \) Torr. Ni or a Cu/Au alloy was evaporated onto the rear face of the sample following an etch in \( \text{K}_2\text{CrO}_4 \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) solution to provide the ohmic contact.

In the second method of preparation, Au or Cd was evaporated onto polished \( [111] \) sample surfaces which had been etched for 5 min in a 0.5% Br-Methanol solution. These dots were 500 \( \mu \)m in diameter. Again, evaporated Ni or Cu/Au alloy provided the Ohmic contact.

Reverse bias capacitance characteristics for samples prepared as described above were recorded at room temperature using a model 71A Boonton capacitance meter with a 15 mV, 1 MHz test signal. DLTS spectra were taken on the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystal</th>
<th>Shallow level concentration</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>( 5\times10^{15} ) ( \text{cm}^{-3} )</td>
<td>Bridgman growth by II–VI Corp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>( 6\times10^{14} ) ( \text{cm}^{-3} )</td>
<td>Traveling heater method by Radiation Monitor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>( 2\times10^{15} ) ( \text{cm}^{-3} )</td>
<td>Bridgman growth by Rockwell Int.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>( 1.5\times10^{15} ) ( \text{cm}^{-3} )</td>
<td>Bridgman growth by II–VI Corp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>( &gt;2\times10^{16} ) ( \text{cm}^{-3} )</td>
<td>Crystal &quot;B&quot; annealed in Te vapor at 800 °C ( \approx 2 ) h.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>( 1.2\times10^{16} ) ( \text{cm}^{-3} )</td>
<td>Bridgman growth by II–VI Corp. Doped with ( 10^{16} ) ( \text{cm}^{-3} ) Cu.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>( 6\times10^{15} ) ( \text{cm}^{-3} )</td>
<td>Bridgman growth by Texas Instruments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
same samples using a fast capacitance bridge and double boxcar integrator as described by Lang.  

Photoresponse and I–V measurements were also made on the Au and Cd Schottky barriers prepared on the air-cleaved [110] sample surfaces to determine the Schottky barrier heights.

III. RESULTS

Results of the DLTS measurements on the various crystals are presented below. Minority carrier injection is negligible in Schottky barrier devices if the barrier height is considerably less than the band gap, as is the case for Cd and Au on p-type CdTe.  

For this reason, only majority carrier traps were observable in this study. No differences in the spectra were evident as a result of the method of device preparation or the Schottky barrier used.

As shown in Figs. 1–4, four major deep levels were seen in the crystals in the temperature range from 100–300 K. Deep level H1 was seen in A (Fig. 1) and D (Fig. 2) at concentrations of $1 \times 10^{14}$ and $3 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$, respectively. This level may have been present in F but at a very low concentration. The low temperature region where H1 occurred was scanned for all the crystals, although it is only shown for A and D.

Level H2 was only seen in crystal C (Fig. 2). Its concentration was between $2 \times 10^{13}$ and $3 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$. Levels H3 and H4 were seen in all of the crystals (Figs. 1–4). Level H3 appeared over approximately a 5 K range of temperatures in the samples studied. This variation was even observed in samples taken from the same crystal. This suggests that H3 may really be due to more than one level, and relative concentration changes in the levels caused the position of H3 to shift.

The concentrations of H3 in crystals A through D were $4 \times 10^{13}$–$1 \times 10^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$, respectively. In G (Fig. 4) its concentration was $4 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-3}$. H3 in E and F will be discussed below. There was a lot of fluctuation in the trap concentrations, as can be seen from the above values. These fluctuations even occurred from device to device on the same sample. In the case of level H4 this effect was more pronounced. At the sensitivity used in the spectrum chosen for D in Fig. 2, the level was not visible, although, in other cases, its concentration matched that of H3. These deep trap concentrations were estimated from the formula $2\Delta C / C = N_d / N_s$ where $C$ is the capacitance of the diode, $\Delta C$ is the change in capacitance caused by completely filling the deep level, $N_d$ is the deep level concentration, and $N_s$ is the shallow level concent-

![Fig. 1. Characteristic DLTS spectra for hole traps in nominally undoped, p-type CdTe crystals A and B. The positions of the boxcar windows during the scans were $t_1 = 5$ ms and $t_2 = 45$ ms.](image1)

![Fig. 2. Characteristic DLTS spectra for hole traps in nominally undoped, p-type CdTe crystals C and D. The positions of the boxcar windows during the scans were $t_1 = 5$ ms and $t_2 = 45$ ms.](image2)

![Fig. 3. Characteristic DLTS spectra for Te-annealed (E) and Cu-doped (F) p-type CdTe. The positions of the boxcar windows during the scans were $t_1 = 5$ ms and $t_2 = 45$ ms.](image3)
but, such accuracy is not necessary in light of the large variation. Edge region effects are neglected using this method, but, such accuracy is not necessary in light of the large variations in trap concentrations which were mentioned above.

Material E was CdTe which had been taken from crystal B and annealed in Te vapor at 800 °C for about 2 h. The anneal caused the shallow level concentration to increase by two orders of magnitude. As can be seen from Fig. 3, H3 and H4 were still present. H3's concentration was approximately $5 \times 10^{14} \text{cm}^{-3}$. This value is also about two orders of magnitude higher than prior to the anneal.

Crystal F had been doped with Cu at about $10^{16} \text{cm}^{-3}$. This concentration is close to the shallow level concentration obtained from the C-V profile and may indicate that the Cu dopants were not strongly compensated by native defects. The DLTS spectrum for F, as seen in Fig. 3, contains H3 at a concentration of $4 \times 10^{13} \text{cm}^{-3}$, a value similar to that found in the undoped crystals. H4 was also present at a much lower concentration and is not seen in the spectrum shown. Attempts were also made to dope crystals with Cu following procedures outlined by Ref. 8. Again, there were no new levels or significant enhancements of existing levels.

Other trapping states were visible in the above room temperature range of the spectra, but, after the samples were heated to 400 K in the process of taking the data, changes occurred in the DLTS spectra. Levels which were seen above room temperature left completely. Some of the below room temperature levels also suffered concentration increases or decreases of as much as an order of magnitude. The direction of the change was not always the same. For this reason no above room temperature spectra are given. The DLTS spectra shown are for unheated samples immediately after preparation.

Arrhenius plots for levels H1–H4, along with their associated activation energies, are given in Fig. 5. Capture cross sections for the various states were not directly measurable with our system because, for the shortest reduced bias pulse we could apply ($\approx 20 \text{ns}$), all the levels were completely filled with holes. From this we can place a lower bound of $5 \times 10^{-14} \text{cm}^2$ on the capture cross section for holes, a value which is in reasonable agreement with that determined from the $\nu$ intercepts of the Arrhenius plots. Because of this, the activation energies in Fig. 5 have not been corrected for temperature dependent cross sections. No correction for electric field effects has been applied either. The data used for H3 in Fig. 5 is for a particular device. If other devices are used, the temperature fluctuations mentioned above cause the line to shift, but the slope stays within the error range given.

Au and Cd barrier heights on the [110] air-cleaved surface of the CdTe were found to be approximately 0.6 and 1.0 eV, respectively. The Au value is in agreement with previous measurements. The value for the Cd barrier height is approximately equal to the CdTe band gap minus the Cd barrier height on n-type CdTe taken from Ref. 10.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

Impurities and native defects are both potential sources of deep levels in CdTe. Although the crystal defects responsible for the deep levels observed in this study cannot be directly identified, it is possible to draw some conclusions about them based on the results of these measurements. Levels H1 and H2 were specific to certain crystals and are, therefore, likely candidates for impurities. Levels H3 and H4, on the other hand, were present in all of the crystals. They could be the result of a common impurity, native defects, or even grosser crystal defects (such as Te precipitates). There have been previous reports of levels approximately 0.3 eV from the valence band that were due to Cu, Au and Ag. Identifications were based on associating the levels that were observed with the crystal dopants. Although Au and Ag diffusions have yet to be tried, the present work seems to indicate that the levels we observe are not due to Cu, since the presence of Cu in crystal F did not enhance any of the levels seen and because the attempts at Cu doping did not significantly
increase the concentrations of any of the traps. It is possible
that there are deep levels associated with the Cu, but that the
temperature range of this study did not include Cu-related
peaks. This remains to be tested. The fact that H3 and H4
along with \(\left( N_A - N_D \right) \) were effected by the Te anneals sug-
gests that native defects are responsible.

Concentrations of deep levels in as-grown crystals were
generally \(10^{14} \text{ cm}^{-3}\) or less. Although this is quite low, fluc-
tuations were also seen in the concentrations of traps from
device to device on a given sample. Even more disturbing
were the changes in peak amplitudes produced by very mod-
est sample heating (400 K). No attempts were made to deter-
mine if similar concentration changes occurred for samples
heated before device fabrication, but since the same levels
were observed for both Au and Cd barriers, it is unlikely that
the changes occurred as a result of diffusion of barrier metal
into the active region of the CdTe diode.

In summary, we have made DLTS measurements on p-
type CdTe crystals. Four deep levels were observed (H1–H4)
in the temperature range from 100–300 K. Levels H1 and H2
only occurred in a few of the samples leading us to believe
they are associated with impurities in the crystals. H3 and
H4 are in every crystal and may be related to native defects.
Measurements on Cu-doped samples indicate that the levels
seen are not due to residual Cu in the CdTe. We also noted
variations in the concentrations of the observed levels from
device to device on a given sample and significant changes in
trap concentrations as a result of modest sample heating.
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