Ion molecule reactions in vinyl fluoride by photoionization: Effects of vibrational excitation on major reaction pathways
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Photoionization methods have been used to study the reactions of the molecular ion in vinyl fluoride to yield the ionic products $\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{F}^+$, $\text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{F}^+$, and $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{F}^-$. Quantitative measurements are reported of the effect of the vibrational state of the reactant ion on the product distribution and overall reaction cross section. Reaction cross sections for all three channels decrease with reactant internal energy. The effect on the reaction pathway producing $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{F}^+$ is especially pronounced, with 0.18 eV of vibrational excitation being sufficient to reduce the reaction probability by 75%. Deactivation of vibrationally excited reactant ions competes with the reaction and is shown to be an efficient process.

I. INTRODUCTION

At pressures low enough to avoid collisional stabilization of the excited intermediate, the molecular ion in vinyl fluoride undergoes Reactions (1)-(3). The enthalpy of Reaction (1) is calculated

$$\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{F}^- + \text{CHF}_2 \rightarrow \text{C}_2\text{H}_2\text{F}^+ + \text{CH}_2\text{F} \text{.}$$ (1)

$$\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{F}^- + \text{CHF}_2 \rightarrow \text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{F}^+ + \text{CH}_3 \text{.}$$ (2)

$$\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{F}^- + \text{CHF}_2 \rightarrow \text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{F}^+ + \text{CH}_3 \text{.}$$ (3)

to be $-9$ kcal/mole; the thermochemistry of Reactions (2) and (3) is not as well characterized, but they have been estimated to be exothermic by 12 and 16 kcal/mole, respectively.

In recent years several groups have studied Reactions (1)-(3) using electron impact ionization, with differing conclusions concerning the kinetics and mechanism of these reactions. These studies indicate that the overall reaction rate and product distribution are affected by changing the ionizing electron energy and thus the internal energy distribution of the reactant ion. Beyond this general agreement, different mechanistic conclusions seem to be supported by different experimental techniques. Using a medium-pressure single source mass spectrometer, Herman and Harrison and McAskill and Harrison observe clear indications of mixed second-order and third-order kinetics, each mechanism showing a characteristic product distribution. The ion cyclotron resonance (ICR) studies of O'Malley and Jennings and Ancich and Bowers show only second order kinetics, with a product distribution nearly identical to the third-order distribution of the single source studies. More recently Sieck et al. have studied Reactions (1)-(3) using photoionization by rare gas resonance lamps. Their data indicate that ions formed within 0.27 eV of threshold react with a product distribution similar to the ICR and single source third-order values, while ions formed 1.3 eV above threshold show initial product distributions and decay kinetics similar to those of the medium pressure instruments. Their results show that vibrational excitation alone is sufficient to cause the effects on the reaction kinetics.

The mixed kinetics of the single source data have been interpreted in terms of bimolecular steps using the assumption that the overall rate and product distribution for Reactions (1)-(3) are strongly affected by excess energy in the reactant ions. The competition between reaction and deactivation of ions in different energy states then leads to mixed kinetics and a product ratio that varies with the extent of reaction. The data of McAskill and Harrison could be fit with a model that assumed the distribution of initial states could be replaced by one composite excited state with a single effective rate of reaction and an effective deactivation rate. However, the ICR experiments could not be fit by this model without the assumption that all reactant ions were formed without excess excitation.

In view of the past interest in the vinyl fluoride system, we have undertaken a study to further clarify the dynamics of these reactions. Using the techniques of photoionization mass spectrometry, we have made quantitative measurements of the dependence of Reactions (1)-(3) on the vibrational state of the reactant ion. The present work is an extension of our earlier study of ion–molecule reactions in ethylene and uses the techniques of that study. The use of photoionization mass spectrometry for investigating ion–molecule reactions has been recently reviewed by Chupka.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

The photoionization mass spectrometer used in this study has been described elsewhere. The instrument has been modified slightly to facilitate data collection. The mass selected ions are presently detected by a Bendix model 4501 channeltron electron multiplier operated in a pulse-counting mode. The light detecting system was replaced by a scintillator–photomultiplier combination. A glass plate coated with sodium salicylate was placed in the back of the sample chamber. Fluorescence from the salicylate phosphor is detected by a small photomultiplier (RCA model 8571) mounted inside the vacuum chamber. The photomultiplier current is measured by a Cary 401 vibrating reed electrometer, whose output is filtered and fed to a voltage to frequency converter. Digitized ion and photon signals...
are then counted by a Hewlett-Packard 5243 counter operated in ratio mode; this method yields a direct output proportional to the photoionization efficiency of the ion under study and assures that counting statistics remain independent of the ionizing light intensity. In order to correct for variations in the quantum efficiency of the sodium salicylate phosphor, photoionization efficiency measurements on nitric oxide were made over the energy range of the present study. These data were compared with the absolute photoionization cross section data of Watenabe et al. to obtain a measurement of the relative quantum efficiency of our phosphor over the wavelength range 1200–1100 Å and in turn to correct the photoionization efficiency data for vinyl fluoride and its ionic products.

Other modifications include addition of a servo system to control the wavelength drive of the monochromator and a small programmable controller for data collection. The monochromator servo system uses a stepping motor and an optical encoder mounted on the grating drive train of the monochromator to sense and adjust the position of the grating to a wavelength accuracy of 0.1 Å. The programmable controller supervises the data collection and allows up to ten masses to be sequentially monitored by the mass spectrometer.

Corrections for the mass discrimination of our quadrupole mass filter were made by comparing 50 eV electron impact mass spectra of n-hexane taken on our instrument with those taken by ion cyclotron resonance, which has a known mass discrimination.

In the present studies the hydrogen line spectrum was the source of ionizing light. Monochromator slits were set at 100 μ, giving an optical resolution of about 1 Å FWHM. All measurements were taken at a nominal average ion exit energy of less than 0.1 eV to minimize translational energy effects on the ion molecule reactions studied.

The ion cyclotron resonance spectrometer used in this study has been previously described. Ion trapping studies were performed by the method of McMahon and Beauchamp, as previously described. All experiments on both instruments were conducted at ambient temperatures.

III. RESULTS

A. Internal energy states populated near threshold

The parent ion photoionization efficiency of vinyl fluoride is presented in Fig. 1(a). The ionization cross section shows an abrupt onset at 10.363 ± 0.015 eV photon energy and a series of steps in the photon energy range 10.4–11.2 eV. The vibrational states of the molecular ion that correspond to these steps may be assigned using the photoelectron spectrum of vinyl fluoride shown in Fig. 1(b). Figure 1(b), taken from a recent publication of Reinke et al., is in good agreement with an earlier spectrum published by Lake and Thompson. According to the analysis of both groups, the major vibration excited on ionization is the C=C stretch, denoted as ν1. The other two vibrational modes shown in Fig. 1(b) are assigned to a C–F stretch ν2 and an in-plane C–F bend ν3. Reinke et al. give frequencies of 1570, 1300, and 500 cm⁻¹, respectively, for ν1, ν2, and ν3. The small steps due to combinations involving ν2 can be seen in the photoionization data. However, the spacing of lines in the exciting spectrum is not sufficiently dense to allow the states involving ν1 and ν2 to be distinguished. Thus, the steps chosen for use in this study and represented in Fig. 1(a) do not always represent a single vibrational state but rather a combination of two or more states with a narrow internal energy spread. The specific population of states comprising each step may be estimated by comparing Figs. 1(a) and 1(b).

The photoionization mass spectrum of vinyl fluoride has also been studied by Reinke et al. using synchrotron radiation as an ionization source. Their data agree well with the data of Fig. 1(a) in the photon energy range common to both studies. However, at photon energies from 11.2 to 13 eV they note a monotonic increase in the photoionization efficiency. Since the photoelectron spectrum shows no states accessible by direct ionization in this region, Reinke et al. attribute this structure to indirect ionization processes.
Our raw data also show an increase in this photon energy region which can be attributed mostly to change in quantum efficiency of the sodium salicylate phosphor used in our study. When the data are corrected for the decrease in quantum efficiency of our scintillator, the rise with photon energy of our data becomes less dramatic. Our data indicate an increase in ionization efficiency of about 8% in the region from 11.5 to 12.0 eV which may be attributable to autoionization from a dense manifold of superexcited states in this region.

The lowest energy fragmentation process of the vinyl fluoride parent ion is loss of HF to form C₂H₂. We observe this fragmentation at photon energies above 13.31±0.05 eV. This is lower than the value of 13.51 ±0.03 eV found by Reinke et al., a discrepancy which we are unable to explain. Our value is also 1.16 eV higher than the adiabatic appearance potential of 12.15 eV calculated from the heat of formation of C₂H₂ derived from acetylene. The fact that the measured appearance potential of C₂H₂ coincides with the second ionization potential of vinyl fluoride rather than the thermodynamic fragmentation threshold suggests that if autoionization occurs at photon energies above 12.15 eV, the ions produced do not retain sufficient internal energy to dissociate.

Our study of Reactions (1)–(3) is restricted to photon energies from 10.35 to 11.25 eV. It is assumed that the parent ions in this region are formed primarily by direct ionization and obey the step function threshold law. This assumption is justified by the excellent correspondence between the photoionization efficiency and photoelectron spectrum in this energy range, as well as the lack of obvious structure due to autoionization. Ions produced by autoionization will have an unknown distribution of internal energies and cannot be treated by the methods used in this study. Since the thresholds for several fragments coincide with the second ionization potential of vinyl fluoride, ions formed in electronically excited states are expected to decompose into fragments on a time scale rapid with respect to ion-molecule collision times and thus be unavailable for reaction. This expectation is supported by the absence of a large increase in the parent ion photoionization efficiency above the threshold for the first electronically excited state of the ion. The expected increase in ionization cross section is restricted to fragmentations.

In view of these factors, our primary interest in this work is the Franck-Condon manifold of the ground electronic state of the vinyl fluoride parent ion.

**B. Trapped ion ICR study of vinyl fluoride reactions**

Vinyl fluoride has not previously been studied using ICR trapped ion methods. Figure 2 presents trapped ion data on the vinyl fluoride system at 11.5 eV electron energy, at which only the parent ion is formed. The qualitative features of the data are consistent with earlier studies. The parent ion undergoes Reactions (1)–(3) with an overall disappearance rate constant measured as 2.9×10⁻¹⁰ cm³ molecule⁻¹ ·sec⁻¹. This number compares well with the value of 3.2 ×10⁻¹⁰ obtained by Sieck et al. using 10.6 eV photons, and is in fair agreement with the values of 2.1×10⁻¹⁰ obtained by Anicich and Bowers and 2.2×10⁻¹⁰ of McAskill and Harrison.

As in previous studies, the products of Reactions (1) and (2) were found to react further by Processes (4) and (5).

\[ C_2H_3^+ + C_2H_5F - C_2H_4F^+ + HF \]  
(4)

\[ C_3H_4F^+ + C_2H_5F - C_3H_6F^+ + HF \]  
(5)

calculating product distributions, the product yields in Reactions (4) and (5) are added to those of their precursors.

The data in Fig. 2 are indicative of the participation of excited states in the reaction kinetics. There is a reproducible curvature in the parent ion decay. In addition, the relative product yields for Reactions (1)–(3) change in the course of the reaction from 0.20:0.24:0.56 at 15% conversion to 0.12:0.17:0.70 at 99% conversion.

**C. Dependence of reaction cross sections on internal energy**

The photoionization efficiencies of the vinyl fluoride parent ion and two of the three secondary products are shown in Fig. 3. The third product ion, C₃H₄F⁺, is omitted for clarity. These data, taken at less than 14% conversion to products, are normalized so that the three curves coincide at energies corresponding to the vibrational ground state of the parent ion. Given the assumption of step function threshold behavior, the heights of successive steps in the parent ion are proportional to the number of ions formed in the corre-
The data in Fig. 3 and Table I allow some immediate conclusions. First, the cross sections for all three reaction channels decrease with increasing internal energy, while the major Reaction (3) is dramatically affected. The addition of as little as 0.18 eV of vibrational energy to the parent ion reduces the probability of Reaction (3) by 75%. There is no evidence that the particular modes of vibration have distinguishable effects on the reaction cross section. The important factor appears to be only the mean vibrational energy content, consistent with the hypothesis of extensive randomization of internal energy in the activated intermediate.

In order to make a qualitative comparison with earlier data, total product distributions at photon energies corresponding to vibrational plateaus are listed in Table II along with earlier results under differing experimental conditions. Photons of 10.4 eV produce only ground state ions; higher energy photons form a distribution of product ions.

![Figure 3. Photoionization efficiency data for vinyl fluoride reactant ion and product ions C2H5+ and C2H5F2. The third product ion, C2H5F+, was omitted for clarity. Molecular ions in higher vibrational states exhibit decreased reactivity, particularly toward formation of the C2H5F2 product.](image)

**TABLE I. Relative cross sections for Reactions (1)-(3) for lower vibrational states of vinyl fluoride reactant ion.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step numbera</th>
<th>Major vibrational states b</th>
<th>Mean internal energy, eV</th>
<th>Relative cross sections c</th>
<th>Relative cross section d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>000</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>001, 002</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>010, 100</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>101, 120, 200</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.943</td>
<td>0.986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>102, 201, 300</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.039</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aFrom Fig. 1(a).

bAssignments from Ref. 12: ν1 = C=C stretch; ν2 = C=F stretch; ν3 = in plane C–F bend. The list of states may not be exhaustive for each step.

*Normalized relative to the total cross section for ground state reactants.

**TABLE II. Product distribution at different ionization conditions.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ionization source</th>
<th>Reaction (1)</th>
<th>Reaction (2)</th>
<th>Reaction (3)</th>
<th>Apparent total reaction ratea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Present work</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.40 eV photons</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.50 eV photons</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.65 eV photons</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.75 eV photons</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.85 eV photons</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.22 eV photons</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Other studies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sieck et al. 2</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>2.2 × 10^-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.7 eV photons</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anieich and Bowers 9</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>2.1 × 10^-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herman and Harrison 1: &quot;Second order&quot;</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.3 × 10^-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Third order&quot;</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>2.2 × 10^-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mckaskill and Harrison 1: &quot;Second order&quot;</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>2.1 × 10^-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Third order&quot;</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>2.3 × 10^-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aEffective bimolecular reaction rate calculated in Ref. 5.

References:
2. Reference 5. Similar results given by Ref. 4.
4. Reference 3.
variation of the fractional abundance of the work. Since direct ionization to states with ionization population of specific vibrational states formed at state. The effect of pressure on Reactions (1)-(3) was performed. Since the cross section for Reaction (1) decreases by 20% for this distribution of excited ions, they may be distinguished from ground state ions by their reaction photonization and photoelectron data in Fig. 1, the product of Reaction (3) with vinyl fluoride pressure is shown below. Using relative product distributions from Table I, $k_1$, $k_2$, and $k_4$ were calculated relative to $k_3$, which was fit using the 10.41 eV data, in which only ground state

\[ A \rightarrow B, \quad C_3H_3F; \]

reactants are present. The 10.64 eV data were then fit by using the experimental distribution of initial states and adjusting $k_A$ by a least squares method. As a result $k_A$ was found to be 1.76 ± 0.15 times the value of $k_2$. Using $k_3 = 2.3 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ sec$^{-1}$ from our ICR trapped ion data, we obtain $k_4 = 4.1 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ sec$^{-1}$. Fits to the 10.64 eV data using the optimized $k_2$ and $k_4$ are shown in Fig. 4.

The only comparable calculations have been those of Anicich and Bowers$^5$ who used a model analogous to the one presented above (Mechanism Ia of Ref. 5) with the exception of their assumption that all ions are originally formed in the state $A^\ast$. This model yields a deactivation rate of $3.5 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ sec$^{-1}$ for the data of McAskill and Harrison. In view of the much higher degree of initial excitation in their system, it is somewhat surprising that their rate is in such good agreement with the value measured in the present work.

It is instructive to note that the 10.64 eV data could also be fit by a simple pseudo-first-order model with an effective rate of 0.52 times $k_3$, or $1.19 \times 10^{-10}$ cm$^3$ molecule$^{-1}$ sec$^{-1}$. This provides an excellent example that an approximately linear relationship between the fractional abundance of a product and pressure does not in itself guarantee a second order process.

IV. DISCUSSION

The extreme sensitivity of Reactions (1)-(3) to internal excitation of the reactant ion explains much of the variation in the reported kinetics and product distribution of this system. Past studies invariably populated a distribution of vibrational states of the parent ion. Thus, reaction rates measured at low conversion represent an average of the individual cross sections given in Table I weighted by the relative populations of the internal states. At higher conversions the population of states changes continually due to reaction and collisional deactivation. As a result the kinetics depart from pseudo-first order, and the product distribution changes with the extent of reaction. These effects are clearly seen in the data of Herman and Harrison,$^3$ McAskill and Harrison,$^3$ and Sieck et al.$^6$ The similarity in rate constants for relaxed ions determined by those workers with those of the present study is gratifying, as is the agreement in the deactivation rates calculated for our study and those calculated$^5$ for the data of McAskill and Harrison.$^3$

It is not completely clear why the earlier ICR studies$^4$ could not be fit by the model of Anicich and Bowers$^5$ without the assumption that essentially all ions were in their ground state. Certainly, the ICR product dis-
tributions indicate a low degree of excitation. However, we feel there are indications that excited states do participate in the ICR experiment. First, our ICR trapped ion data, with a longer time scale and higher conversion does show a changing reactivity with time. Secondly, O’Malley and Jennings do report a change in product ratios with electron energy, indicating a changing vibrational population. Finally, we note that pure second order kinetics are not guaranteed by apparent linearity in the growth of product ions at low conversion, as mentioned previously in connection with Fig. 4.

Some differences may be noted between the vinyl fluoride system and the analogous reaction in ethylene. Primarily, the available states of the molecular ion differ for the two systems. At 13 eV photon energy 70% of the ethylene molecular ions formed are initially in the $^2B_1$ first excited state. In vinyl fluoride the thermodynamic appearance potential for the lowest energy fragmentation lies below the first electronically excited state, and the parent ion efficiency curve does not exhibit the dramatic increase in this state seen in the ethylene system. This indicates that most if not all electronically excited vinyl fluoride ions decompose before reaction can occur. As a result, when moderate (>15 eV) ionizing energies are used the effects of vibrational energy remain those associated with the ground electronic state in vinyl fluoride, while the major effects noted in ethylene are due to ions formed in the first electronically excited state (where internal conversion to highly vibrationally excited ground state ions may precede reaction).

A second quantitative difference in the two systems is the greater sensitivity to internal excitation of the vinyl fluoride system, particularly Reaction (3). One factor that may be of importance here is the different reaction efficiencies for ground state ions in the two systems. Ion molecule reactions in ethylene occur on almost every collision, whereas in vinyl fluoride the reaction rate is only 19% of the collision rate. One possible explanation assumes that in each system the initially formed intermediate must rearrange before any product channels are available. If the ratio of decomposition rate to rearrangement rate is higher in the vinyl fluoride case than in ethylene, the relative reaction efficiencies follow. Added internal energy would further increase the decomposition rate in both systems, but if the rearrangement is initially much faster than decomposition in ethylene (as appears to be the case) then the vinyl fluoride system would be more sensitive to this decrease in lifetime of the initially formed complex.

Some insight into the mechanism of Reactions (1)-(3) is provided by recent studies. In a comprehensive study of reactions in mixtures of different fluoroethylenes, Anicich and Bowers present convincing evidence that the reactions in these systems involve cyclobutane intermediates that may open to a tetramethylene structure, rearrange by H or F atom migration, and eliminate a C(H, F) radical to form products. One such system in their study is Reactions (6)-(9) in a mixture of ethylene and cis- or trans-1,2 difluoroethylene. This system produces both the reactants and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_2H_2F^* + C_2H_2F$</td>
<td>28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_2H_2^* + CHF_2$</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_2H_2F^* + CHF$</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_2H_2F^* + CH_2F^*$</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

products of Reactions (1)-(3) in vinyl fluoride, suggesting that the cyclobutane intermediate I may be common to both systems. In the vinyl fluoride system intermediate II is also possible. Anicich and

$$\text{CH}_2 \longrightarrow \text{CHF}^* \quad \text{CH}_2 \longrightarrow \text{CHF}^*$$

$$\text{CH}_2 \longrightarrow \text{CHF} \quad \text{CHF} \longrightarrow \text{CH}_2$$

I II

Bowers report studies of Reactions (6)-(9) with ethylene-$d_4$ as a reactant. The products contained all possible isotopic combinations, including two CCHC and CDDF which could not arise from a single atom migration. However, no isotopic scrambling occurs in the vinyl fluoride product of Reaction (6). These findings indicate that the decomposition of intermediate I, while complex, is still more specific than a total scrambling model would indicate.

While our data do not implicate specific intermediates or mechanisms for Reactions (1)-(3), several related studies may be proposed which would yield a more definitive understanding of this system. In our earlier study of ethylene it proved illuminating to compare the effects of internal energy on the decomposition modes of the [C,H$_4$] intermediate with the distribution of products observed for the decomposition of cyclobutane molecular ions formed with reasonably well characterized internal energy. Likewise it would be helpful to conduct a charge exchange or photoionization mass spectrometric study of the fragment distribution of the 1, 2- and 1, 3-difluorocyclobutanes as a function of internal energy. These data could be related to the product distributions of intermediates I and II, respectively, as functions of internal energy. An independent study of intermediate I formed by an ion-molecule reaction pathway involves study of Reactions (6)-(9) by the methods used in the present work, varying internal energy in either ethylene or difluoroethylene as the ionic reactant. Use of isotopically labeled reactants may yield information on the barrier to specific H or F atom migrations.

*This research was supported in part by the United States Energy Research and Development Administration under Grant No. AT (04–3) 767-8 and presents one phase of research carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under Contract No. NAS 7–100 from the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The PIMS instrumentation was made possible by a grant from the President’s Fund of the California Institute of Technology.

A. D. Williamson and J. L. Beauchamp: Ion molecule reactions in vinyl fluoride

1 From Ref. 5 and sources listed therein. The value of $\Delta H^\ddagger$ ($C_3H_5$) is assumed to be $224 \pm 2$ kcal/mole, from S. E. Buttrill, A. D. Williamson, and P. LeBreton, J. Chem. Phys. 62, 1586 (1975).