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We consider open strings ending on a D5-brane in the \textit{pp}-wave background, which is realized in the Penrose limit of AdS$_5 \times$S$^5$ with an AdS$_5 \times$S$^2$ brane. A complete set of gauge invariant operators in the defect conformal field theory is constructed which is dual to the open-string states.

\textbf{I. INTRODUCTION}

Anti–de Sitter strings/conformal field theory (AdS/CFT) correspondence \cite{1–3} (for a review, see \cite{4}) has led to deep understandings of string theory and field theory. However, until recently, much of the progress in this direction has been limited to supergravity approximations due to the difficulty when one has a Ramond-Ramond (RR) background. Recently, it has been shown that string theory can be fully solved in the \textit{pp}-wave background even in the presence of a RR flux \cite{5,6} in the light-cone Green-Schwarz formalism. It is also observed that one can obtain the \textit{pp}-wave background by taking the Penrose limit of an AdS background \cite{7,8}.

Shortly after these developments, Berenstein \textit{et al.} \cite{9} put forward an exciting proposal that tests AdS/CFT correspondence beyond the supergravity approximation. Via the AdS/CFT dictionary, they have found the corresponding scaling dence beyond the supergravity approximation. Via the AdS/CFT correspondence, one can obtain the \textit{pp}-wave background by taking the Penrose limit of an AdS background by the near-horizon limit where the string coupling $g_s \rightarrow 0$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit while keeping $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM theory fixed. In this limit, although the usual $\ 't \ Hooft$ coupling $g_Y^2 N$ goes to infinity, perturbative SYM theory is well defined due to the near Bogomol'nyi-Prasad-Sommerfield (BPS) property of the operators under consideration. In particular, they have computed the free string spectrum from a perturbative SYM calculation based on this duality. Many interesting papers have subsequently followed \cite{10–26}.

In this paper, we extend the results of \cite{9} to the case of open strings ending on a D5-brane in the \textit{pp}-wave background. To get a supersymmetric D5-brane configuration in the \textit{pp}-wave background, we start with a supersymmetric brane intersection of a large number of D3-branes and a single D5-brane, and take the near-horizon limit of D3-branes. The resulting system is a supersymmetric D5-brane spanning an AdS$_5 \times$S$^2$ submanifold in AdS$_5 \times$S$^5$. Recently extending the idea of \cite{27,28}, De Wolfe \textit{et al.} \cite{29} have proposed that its dual field theory is a \textit{defect conformal field theory} in which the usual $\mathcal{N}=4$ bulk SYM theory is coupled to a three-dimensional conformal defect. This defect field theory has been further studied by \cite{30}, demonstrating quantum conformal invariance for the non-Abelian case. By taking the Penrose limit of this setup, one obtains a supersymmetric D5-brane configuration in the \textit{pp}-wave background.\textsuperscript{1}

We construct a complete set of gauge-invariant operators in the defect conformal field theory which is dual to the open-string states ending on the D5-brane. Interestingly, particular boundary conditions of open strings on the D5-brane are encoded in a symmetry-breaking pattern induced by the defect and a specific form of defect couplings in the dual field theory.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we give a brief review of the D-brane setup and the field content of the defect conformal field theory. In Sec. III, we discuss the Penrose limit of this background and obtain the open-string spectrum. In the final section, we propose a list of gauge-invariant operators dual to the open-string states.

\textbf{II. REVIEW OF DEFECT CONFORMAL FIELD THEORY}

In this section, we briefly review the D3-D5 brane setup of \cite{27} and the field content of its dual defect conformal field theory discussed in \cite{29}. The interested reader can find further details in the aforementioned papers. We start with the coordinate system in which the world-volume of a stack of $N$ D3-branes spans the directions $(x^0,x^1,x^2,x^3)$ and a single D5-brane spans the directions $(x^0,x^1,x^2,x^3,x^4,x^5)$. The D-branes sit at the origin of their transverse coordinates. The setup is summarized in the following table:

\begin{center}
\begin{tabular}{cccccccccc}
\hline
  & 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\
D3 & x & x & x &  &  &  &  &  &  &  \\
D5 & x & x & x & x & x &  &  &  &  &  \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\end{center}

The presence of the D5-brane breaks $16$ spacetime supersymmetries to $8$ supersymmetries and reduces the global symmetry group SO $(6)$ to SO $(3) \times$ SO $(3)$, where each SO $(3)$ acts on the $345$ and $678$ coordinates, respectively. In AdS/CFT correspondence, one is interested in taking the near-horizon limit where the string coupling $g_s \rightarrow 0$ and $N \rightarrow \infty$ with the product $g_s N$ fixed. In this limit, we have the D5-brane spanning the AdS$_5 \times$S$^2$ subspace of AdS$_5 \times$S$^5$.

The dual conformal field theory of type IIB string theory in this background is $\mathcal{N}=4$ SYM theory \cite{1}, which lives on the

\textsuperscript{1}In the process of the Penrose limit, the number of supersymmetries never decreases \cite{8}.
boundary of AdS$_3$ parametrized by $(x^0, x^1, x^2, x^9)$. The D5-brane introduces a dimension-1 conformal defect on this boundary located at $x^9 = 0$. An analogous model can be considered for the AdS$_3 \times S^3$ case, where an AdS$_2$ brane introduces a one-dimensional defect in the dual CFT [31]. Such reasoning has been used by [32,33] to construct boundary states for the AdS$_2$ branes.

It has been argued by DeWolfe et al. [29] that type IIB string theory in AdS$_5 \times S^5$ with an AdS$_2 \times S^2$ brane is dual to a defect conformal field theory wherein a subset of fields of $d = 4, N = 4$ SYM theory couples to a $d = 3, N = 4$ SU($N$) fundamental hypermultiplet on the defect preserving SO(3,2) conformal invariance and eight supercharges. Let us summarize the field content of the defect conformal field theory relevant for our purposes. Denote the SU(2) acting on the 345 directions as SU(2)$_H$ and the one acting on the 678 directions as SU(2)$_V$. Then we have the usual bulk $d = 4, N = 4$ vector multiplet which decomposes into a $d = 3, N = 4$ vector multiplet and a $d = 3, N = 4$ adjoint hypermultiplet. The bosonic components of the vector multiplet are $A_{\mu} (\mu = 0, 1, 2), X^3, X^4, X^5$, with the scalars transforming as a 3 of SU(2)$_V$, while those of hypermultiplet are $A_9, X^3, X^4, X^5$, with the scalars as a 3 of SU(2)$_H$. The derivatives of $X^3, X^4, X^5$ along the 9-direction, which is normal to the defect, are also a part of the vector multiplet. The four adjoint $d = 4$ Majorana spinors of $N = 4$ SYM theory transform as a (2, 2) of SU(2)$_H \times$ SU(2)$_V$, which is denoted as $\lambda^{im}$. Under the dimensional reduction to $d = 3$, they decompose into pairs of two-component $d = 3$ Majorana spinors, $\lambda_1^{im}$ and $\lambda_2^{im}$, where the former is in the vector multiplet and the latter in the hypermultiplet. We also have a $d = 3, N = 4$ SU($N$) fundamental hypermultiplet on the defect. It consists of complex scalars $q^m$ transforming as a 2 of SU(2)$_H$ and $d = 3$ Dirac spinors $\Psi^i$ transforming as a 2 of SU(2)$_V$. They are coupled canonically to three-dimensional gauge fields $A_\mu$. Hence supersymmetry will induce couplings to the rest of the bulk vector multiplet as well, while the bulk hypermultiplet does not couple to the defect hypermultiplet at tree level. This fact will play a crucial role in reproducing the open-string spectrum in Sec. IV. The field content of interest is summarized in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Spin</th>
<th>SU(2)$_H$</th>
<th>SU(2)$_V$</th>
<th>SU(N)</th>
<th>$\Delta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$X^3, X^4, X^5$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$X^6, X^7, X^8$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda^{im}$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_1^{im}$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\lambda_2^{im}$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q^m$ (\bar{q}^m)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>N (\bar{N})</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Psi^i$ (\bar{\Psi}^i)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>N (\bar{N})</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The details of the field theory action will not be important to us, but it is derived in [29] using the preserved $d = 3, N = 4$ supersymmetry and the global symmetries. The authors of [29] convincingly argue that the chiral primary operators in the defect CFT are

\[
q^m \bar{\sigma}_{\mu
\nu}^{(I=3,4,5)} \cdots X^{(p)} \bar{q}^n, \tag{1}
\]

where we define the shifted Pauli matrices $\bar{\sigma}^{I} (I = 3, 4, 5)$ as $\bar{\sigma}^{I+2}$ and ($\cdots$) denotes traceless symmetrization. These operators will turn out to be the important building blocks for open strings ending on the D5-brane in Sec. IV.

### III. OPEN STRINGS IN PP-WAVES

Let us now consider the pp-wave limit of the near-horizon limit of the D3-D5 brane setup described in the previous section. It is convenient to introduce global coordinates on AdS$_3 \times S^5$ in taking the Penrose limit. The metric takes the form

\[
ds^2 = R^2 [ -dt^2 \cos^2 \varphi + d\varphi^2 + \sin^2 \varphi d\Omega_3^2 + d\rho^2 \cos^2 \varphi + d\varphi^2 + \sin^2 \varphi d\Omega_3^2 ] , \tag{2}
\]

where $R^4 = 4 \pi g \alpha'^2$. We introduce light-cone coordinates $\vec{x}^\pm = (x^1, x^2)$ and take the Penrose limit $(R \to \infty$ with $g$ fixed) after rescaling coordinates as follows:

\[
\vec{x}^+ = x^+ , \quad \vec{x}^- = x^- R^2 , \quad \rho = \frac{r}{R} , \quad \theta = \frac{\theta}{R} . \tag{3}
\]

After rescaling $x^\pm$ to introduce a mass scale, $\mu$, the metric and the Ramond-Ramond form take the form

\[
ds^2 = -4 dx^+ dx^- - \mu \vec{z}^2 dx^+ dx^- + d\vec{z}^2 , \tag{4}
\]

\[
F_{+1234} = F_{+5678} = \mu , \tag{5}
\]

where $\vec{z} = (z^1, \ldots, z^8)$. The SO(2) generator, $J = -i \partial z^\phi$, rotates the 34 plane in the original D3-D5 setup. One finds that

\[
2p^+ = -p_+ = i \partial z_+ = i \partial z^- = i(\partial^r + \partial_\phi) = \Delta - J , \tag{6}
\]

\[
2p^- = -p_- = i \partial z_- = i \partial z^\phi = \frac{i}{R^2} \partial^r \partial_\phi = \frac{\Delta + J}{R^2} . \tag{7}
\]

Therefore, the Penrose limit corresponds to restricting to operators with large $J \sim \sqrt{N}$ and finite $\Delta - J$. Notice that we are in the large ‘t Hooft coupling regime since we keep $g$ fixed.

In the Penrose limit, the string action reduces to the following form in the light-cone gauge:

\[
\text{\footnotesize We have chosen the null geodesic in the Penrose limit to lie on the D5-brane because in the light-cone gauge, Neumann conditions are automatically imposed on } x^\pm .
\]
where $S_i$ are positive chirality $SO(8)$ spinors. One can readily see that taking the light-cone gauge leads to Neumann boundary conditions for $x^+, x^-$ in the open-string sector since

$$\varphi_{\pm} = \frac{\partial z^i \partial z^j}{p^+}.$$

We identify $(z^5, z^6, z^7, z^8)$ directions with the original $(x^5, x^6, x^7, x^8)$ directions and $z^9$ with the orthogonal direction to the D5-brane in $AdS_5$. We label the coordinates in the Penrose limit such that the boundary conditions for the D5-brane are given as

$$\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
N & N & N & N & D & N & D & D & D & D \\
+ & - & 1 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 8
\end{array}$$

where $N$ and $D$ denote Neumann and Dirichlet boundary conditions, respectively. For $S_i$, the appropriate boundary condition is [34]

$$S_2 = \Gamma^{1235} S_1.$$  

The boundary condition for the fermions effectively reduces the degree of freedom by half. Taking the Penrose limit and taking the light-cone gauge break the symmetry group $SO(3,2) \times SU(2)_H \times SU(2)_V$ to $SO(3) \times SU(2)_V$. This point has been clarified in [22]. The full open-string spectrum on a D5-brane has recently been computed by [25]. The mode expansions for the bosonic part are

$$z^I_{NN} (\tau, \sigma) = \cos (\mu \tau) z^I_{0} + \frac{1}{\mu} \sin (\mu \tau) p^I_0 + i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_n}} e^{-i \omega_n \tau} \left( \frac{n \sigma}{\alpha' p^+} \right) a^I_n + \text{c.c.},$$

$$z^I_{DD} (\tau, \sigma) = i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\omega_n}} e^{-i \omega_n \tau} \left( \frac{n \sigma}{\alpha' p^+} \right) a^I_n + \text{c.c.},$$

where we have defined

$$\omega_n = \sqrt{\mu^2 + \frac{n^2}{4(\alpha' p^+)^2}}.$$  

An important difference between the Neumann and Dirichlet expansions is that the Dirichlet expansion does not have a zero mode. This gives rise to four massive bosonic oscillators. Similarly, eight zero modes coming from fermions form four massive fermion oscillators and their contribution to the zero-point energy exactly cancels the contribution from the bosonic zero modes. Due to the mass term, fermionic creation and annihilation operators have $+\frac{1}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}$ eigenvalues with respect to $\Gamma^5$, respectively, and both transform separately as a doublet of $SU(2)_V$. Hence, the light cone vacuum should be a singlet of $SU(2)_V$ for the fermionic zero modes, thus correctly reproducing the D5-brane SYM multiplet.

The light cone Hamiltonian is given as

$$2p^- = -p_+ = H_{lc} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N_n \sqrt{\mu^2 + \frac{n^2}{4(\alpha' p^+)^2}},$$

where $N_n$ denotes the total occupation number of that mode for both bosonic and fermionic oscillators. Rewriting the Hamiltonian in variables better suited for $AdS_5 \times S^5$, one notes that a typical string excitation contributes to $\Delta - J = 2p^-$ with frequency

$$(\Delta - J)_a = \sqrt{1 + \frac{\pi g Nn^2}{J^2}}.$$  

IV. OPEN STRINGS FROM DEFECT CONFORMAL FIELD THEORY

In this section, we construct a set of gauge-invariant operators in the defect CFT dual to states in the open-string Hilbert space. Recall that $J$ is the generator of rotation on the $X^3 \times X^4$ plane. Define

$$Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X^3 + iX^4),$$

$$\sigma^2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\bar{\sigma}^3 + i\bar{\sigma}^4) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\sigma^4 + i\sigma^5).$$

Both the operators $Z$ and $\bar{q}^m q^n Z$ have $\Delta = J = 1$. The fact that $Z$ belongs to the bulk hypermultiplet will be important later. We propose that the light-cone vacuum corresponds to

$$|0_{p^+}\rangle_{lc} \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{N_{1/2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma^n \bar{\sigma}^m q^n Z \cdot \cdots \cdot Z q^m.$$  

As mentioned above, this is a chiral primary operator with $\Delta = J$ found in [29]. Because it is a chiral primary, $\Delta - J = 0$ in the strong 't Hooft coupling limit. This property agrees with the fact that the light-cone vacuum has zero energy. Furthermore, it does not transform under $SU(2)_V$ as one expects from the light-cone vacuum.

For excited states, as in the closed-string case [9], we insert proper operators with $\Delta - J = 1$ without phases for zero modes and with appropriate phases for nonzero modes. Here we consider Neumann and Dirichlet directions separately since there are several crucial differences.
For the zero mode excitations along the Neumann directions, we have the following correspondence:\(^3\)

\[
\begin{align*}
a^{1^+}_{0,0}(p^+) &\to \frac{1}{\sqrt{J}} \sum_{J=0}^{J} \frac{1}{N^{J/2+1}} \sigma^p_{mn} \bar{q}^m \\
\times Z(D_{J-1}Z)Z^{J-1}q^n & (i = 1, 2, 3),
\end{align*}
\]

(18)

\[
\begin{align*}
a^{5^+}_{0,0}(p^+) &\to \frac{1}{\sqrt{J}} \sum_{J=0}^{J} \frac{1}{N^{J/2+1}} \sigma^p_{mn} \bar{q}^m \\
\times Z(D_{J-1}Z)Z^{J-1}q^n.
\end{align*}
\]

(19)

The above open-string states are associated with preserved symmetries of the D5 brane. They are massive, however, since the symmetries do not commute with the light-cone symmetries of the D5 brane. They are massive, however, since the symmetries do not commute with the light-cone symmetries of the D5 brane. Hence, these operators are obtained from the vacuum operator (17) by acting corresponding preserved symmetries in the defect conformal field theory. For example, the fourth operator (19) is obtained by an acting \(SU(2)_H\) rotation on the vacuum operator. The rotation also acts on the boundary fields \(\bar{q}^m\) and \(q^n\) giving rise to additional terms such as

\[
\sigma^p_{mn} \bar{q}^m Z^{J-1}_J q^n.
\]

(20)

For notational simplicity, we have suppressed this term in the above list. Likewise, the other three operators have additional boundary-term contributions. They will not be important to our purpose here, but potentially can be crucial when one tries to extend this duality to interacting open-closed string theory. In the weak 't Hooft coupling regime, these operators have \(\Delta - J = 1\). Since they are in the same multiplet as the chiral primary operator (17), their dimensions are also protected by supersymmetry.

For nonzero mode excitations along the Neumann directions, we insert operators with \(\cos\) phase,\(^4\)

\[
\begin{align*}
a^{1^+}_{0,0}(p^+) &\to \frac{1}{\sqrt{J}} \sum_{J=0}^{J} \frac{\sqrt{2} \cos \left( \frac{\pi n}{J} \right)}{N^{J/2+1}} \sigma^p_{mn} \bar{q}^m \\
\times Z(D_{J-1}Z)Z^{J-1}q^n & (i = 1, 2, 3),
\end{align*}
\]

(21)

\[
\begin{align*}
a^{5^+}_{0,0}(p^+) &\to \frac{1}{\sqrt{J}} \sum_{J=0}^{J} \frac{\sqrt{2} \cos \left( \frac{\pi n}{J} \right)}{N^{J/2+1}} \sigma^p_{mn} \bar{q}^m \\
\times Z(D_{J-1}Z)Z^{J-1}q^n.
\end{align*}
\]

(22)

The factor of \(\sqrt{2}\) is necessary for correct normalization of the free Feynman diagram in the two-point function. Notice that unlike the closed-string case, the operators with single insertions are not trivially zero, which reflects the fact that there is no level matching condition for open strings. In addition, the sign of \(n\) has no significance, which corresponds to the fact that there is only one set of oscillators instead of both the left- and right-moving sectors.

We can compute the anomalous dimension of these operators following the closed-string case discussed in the appendix of [9]. The only difference from the closed-string case is that the exponential phase has been replaced by the cosine phase. For example, let \(O\) be the fourth operator (19) above. The contribution from \((1/2\pi g) \int d^4 x \bar{Z} X^5 X^5 \bar{Z}\) in the bulk action gives

\[
\langle O(x) O^\dagger(0) \rangle = \frac{\mathcal{N}}{|x|^{2\Delta}} \left[ 1 + \frac{J-1}{2} \sum_{J=0}^{J} N(2\pi g) 8 \cos \left( \frac{\pi n}{J} \right) \cos \left( \frac{\pi n(l+1)}{J} \right) \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \log|x|/\Lambda \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{\mathcal{N}}{|x|^{2\Delta}} \left[ 1 + N(2\pi g) 4 \cos \left( \frac{\pi n}{J} \right) \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \log|x|/\Lambda \right],
\]

where \(\mathcal{N}\) is a normalization factor and \(\Lambda\) is the uv cutoff scale. As argued in [9], contributions from other Feynman diagrams cancel this contribution when \(n = 0\). Therefore, the full contribution can be taken into account by simply replacing \(\cos(\pi n J)\) with \(\cos(\pi n J) - 1\). Finally, we have to the leading order

\[
\langle O(x) O^\dagger(0) \rangle = \frac{\mathcal{N}}{|x|^{2\Delta}} \left[ 1 - \frac{\pi g N n^2}{J^2} \log|x|/\Lambda \right].
\]

(23)

Therefore, one gets

\[^{3}\text{To be rigorous, the directions } X^0, X^1, X^2, X^3 \text{ are related to the original coordinates by a conformal transformation after Wick rotation as in the radial quantization. This transformation leaves the 9 direction orthogonal to the defect.}\]

\[^{4}\text{In principle, we should assign phases including the boundary contributions. Again, for simplicity, we suppress them since it does not affect the following calculations.}\]
This is exactly the first-order expansion of light-cone energy of corresponding string states.

Now consider the directions with Dirichlet boundary conditions. As mentioned earlier, the associated mode expansions do not have zero modes. For nonzero mode excitations, we insert appropriate operators with sine phases\(^{5}\) as follows:

\[
a^{i4}_{n}|0,p^{+}\rangle_{k} \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{J}} \sum_{l=0}^{j} \sqrt{\frac{2 \sin \left(\frac{\pi nl}{J}\right)}{N^{D+1}}} \sigma_{m \bar{n}}^{m} q^{m} \\
\times Z_{i}(D_{q}Z)Z_{i-l}^{q_{n}}, \quad (j=6,7,8). \tag{26}
\]

Notice that the sine phases naturally destroy the zero modes when \(n = 0\). We should ask what is the fate of the operators with insertions along the Dirichlet directions without phase. These operators are obtained by acting on the ground-state operator with symmetries broken by the defect.\(^{6}\) Therefore, their dimensions are not generally protected. In fact, the operators \(X^{6}, X^{7}, X^{8}\) are in the bulk vector multiplet and couple to the defect hypermultiplet via the defect F-term to the leading order. Similarly, the normal derivative \(D_{q}Z\) couples to the defect hypermultiplet despite the fact that \(Z\) itself is in the bulk hypermultiplet [29]. This boundary interaction gives rise to large anomalous dimensions of order \(N/J \sim J\) when one inserts operators \textit{without phases}. Hence such operators will disappear in the strong \(\ 't\) Hooft coupling regime as implied by the open-string spectrum. Nevertheless, once we include the sine phase, boundary interactions are suppressed by a factor of \(\sin^{2}(\pi nl/J) \sim 1/J^{2}\), and they can be ignored to the leading order in \(1/J\). Therefore, the only contribution to anomalous dimensions comes from the bulk interaction. The computation is essentially the same as above, and the result agrees with the open-string spectrum.

For fermionic excitations, we insert \(J = \frac{1}{2}\) components of \(\lambda^{im}\), which is just \(\lambda^{1m}\).\(^{7}\) As in the bosonic sector, the number of zero modes is half that of the nonzero modes. Hence, we need a similar mechanism to remove possible gauge theory operators corresponding to the four unphysical zero modes. The symmetry-breaking pattern and the form of boundary interactions in the defect CFT allow one to do this consistently. Recall that the operators \(\lambda^{1m}_{1}\) and \(\lambda^{1m}_{2}\) are in the vector and hypermultiplets, respectively. Only \(\lambda^{1m}_{1}\) couples to the defect hypermultiplet while \(\lambda^{1m}_{2}\) can be obtained from \(Z\) by acting preserved supersymmetries.\(^{8}\) Therefore, we associate sine and cosine phases with \(\lambda^{1m}_{1}\) and \(\lambda^{1m}_{2}\), respectively. As in the bosonic sector, this assignment reproduces the open-string spectrum in the fermionic sector. This result is also implied by the eight preserved supersymmetries.

\[\Delta - J = 1 + \frac{\pi g N n^{2}}{2 J^{2}} = 1 + \frac{n^{2}}{8(\alpha'p)^{2}}. \tag{24}\]

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have considered a Penrose limit of type IIB string theory on \(AdS_{5} \times S^{5}\) with a D5-brane spanning \(AdS^{4} \times S^{2}\) whose dual field theory is \(N=4\) SYM theory coupled to a three-dimensional conformal defect. The Penrose limit gives rise to a D5-brane in the \(pp\)-wave background. The limit corresponds to looking at a subsector of operators in the dual field theory with large \(J \sim \sqrt{N}\) and finite \(\Delta - J\) in the large \(\ 't\) Hooft coupling regime. We have studied the perturbative open-string spectrum on this brane and constructed a complete set of gauge-invariant operators dual to the open-string states from the defect conformal field theory. The peculiar features of defect couplings, symmetry-breaking pattern in the dual field theory, and sine-cosine phases are essential to reproduce the proper boundary conditions for the open strings.

One can also consider several M D5-branes. Then the defect hypermultiplet gets an additional \(U(M)\) index with \(q^{m}\) and \(\bar{q}^{n}\) transforming as \(M\) and \(\bar{M}\) of \(U(M)\), respectively. This naturally induces Chan-Paton factors at the ends of open strings as expected.

It would be interesting to construct defect conformal field theories arising from other supersymmetric brane intersections and study their Penrose limits. Then we expect to find specific defect couplings and symmetry-breaking patterns which reflect the boundary conditions of the D-branes in this limit. Finally, to extend this duality to the interacting open-closed string theory is an outstanding problem and deserves further study.
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---

\(^{5}\)In principle, one can derive these sine and cosine phases by diagonalizing the two-point functions of the operators as in [35].

\(^{6}\)As a result, we do not have additional boundary terms, unlike the case for Neumann directions.

\(^{7}\)We take \(i\) to be the quantum number of \(J\), which is a generator of Cartan subalgebra of \(SU(2)_{H}\).

\(^{8}\)They also transform \(q\) and \(\bar{q}\) into \(\Psi\) and \(\bar{\Psi}\). Therefore, when we insert \(\lambda^{1m}_{2}\), we have additional boundary terms with \(q\) or \(\bar{q}\) replaced by \(\Psi\) or \(\bar{\Psi}\).