Stochastic Dynamics of Nanoscale Mechanical Oscillators Immersed in a Viscous Fluid
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The stochastic response of nanoscale oscillators of arbitrary geometry immersed in a viscous fluid is studied. Using the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, it is shown that deterministic calculations of the governing fluid and solid equations can be used in a straightforward manner to directly calculate the stochastic response that would be measured in experiment. We use this approach to investigate the fluid coupled motion of single and multiple cantilevers with experimentally motivated geometries.
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Single molecule force spectroscopy using nanoscale cantilevers immersed in fluid is a tantalizing experimental possibility [1,2]. The precise manner in which nanomechanical devices will be utilized for single-molecule force spectroscopy and sensing is currently under development [3,4]; however, the detection system will rely upon the change in response of the cantilever due to the binding of target biomolecules. This is the focus of this Letter.

The dynamics of the nanoscale structures considered are dominated by Brownian fluctuations although the mechanical structures are still large compared to the molecular size of the fluid molecules. The elastic response of a long and slender cantilever immersed in fluid has been investigated previously in the context of atomic force microscopy (see, for example, [5,6]). However, the response of nanoscale cantilevers (very strong fluidic damping) or short and wide cantilevers (where end effects would be important) is not well understood.

We show here that the fluctuation-dissipation theorem allows for the calculation of the equilibrium fluctuations using standard deterministic numerical methods. This is possible because the same molecular processes are responsible for the dissipation and the fluctuations. In the case under consideration here, predominantly these are the collisions of the fluid molecules with the cantilever, although dissipative processes within the elastic material of the cantilever could also be included.

The fluctuation-dissipation theorem comes in many forms. For the fluid-damped motion of nanoscale cantilevers, it is sufficient to use a classical formulation. The most convenient form is the one originally discussed by Callen and Greene [7] (see also [8]). Consider a dynamical variable \( A \). For the classical system of interest here, this will be a function of the microscopic phase space variables consisting of \( 3N \) coordinates and conjugate momenta of the cantilever, where \( N \) is the number of particles in the cantilever. We investigate the situation where a force \( f(t) \) that couples to \( A \) is imposed. In this case, the Hamiltonian of the system is \( H = H_0 - fA \), and we look at the linear response for very small \( f \). It can then be shown for the special case of a step function force given by

\[
f(t) = \begin{cases} F & \text{for } t < 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } t \geq 0 \end{cases}
\]

that, in the linear response regime, the change in the average value of a second dynamical quantity \( B \) (again any function of the \( 3N \) coordinates and momenta) from its equilibrium value in the absence of \( f \) is given by \( \Delta \langle \delta B(t) \rangle = \beta F \delta A(0) \delta B(t) \)l(0), where \( \beta = (k_B T)^{-1} \), \( k_B \) is Boltzmann’s constant, \( T \) is the absolute temperature, \( \delta A = A - \langle A \rangle_0 \), \( \delta B = B - \langle B \rangle_0 \), and the subscript zero on the average \( \langle \cdot \rangle \) denotes the equilibrium average in the absence of the force \( f \). Thus, we can calculate a general equilibrium cross-correlation function in terms of the linear response as

\[
\langle \delta A(0) \delta B(t) \rangle_0 = k_B T \frac{\Delta \langle B(t) \rangle}{F}.
\]

There are no approximations involved in this result, except that of assuming classical mechanics and linear behavior. If in addition the dynamical variables are sufficiently macroscopic that the mean \( \langle B(t) \rangle \) can be calculated using deterministic, macroscopic equations, we have our desired result.

There are a number of advantages to a formulation of the fluctuation-dissipation theorem in time rather than frequency for our purposes. First, the full correlation function is given by a single (numerical) calculation, the response to removing a step force. The spectral properties can be obtained by Fourier transform. This is particularly advantageous for the low-quality factor (Q) situation characteristic of fluid-damped nanoscale cantilevers, since the spectral response is very broad, and a large number of fixed-frequency simulations would be needed to characterize this response. A second advantage...
is that no expansion in modes of the oscillator is needed. Although such an expansion is not too hard for a high-$Q$ oscillator where the dissipation has a negligible influence on the mode shape, for small cantilevers in a fluid the coupling to the fluid is large, and the motion of the fluid complex, so that a mode analysis would be quite difficult. Finally, the expression Eq. (2) allows us to calculate the correlation function and noise spectrum of precisely the quantity measured in experiment, first by tailoring the applied force to couple to one physical variable measured in the experiment (A), and then by determining the effect on the second physical variable (B). This idea has been exploited in the very high-$Q$ situation of the oscillators used in gravitational wave detectors [9], although there it was convenient to formulate the result in the frequency domain. In our case, for example, if the displacement of the cantilever is measured through the strain of a piezoresistive layer near the pivot point [3,4] of the cantilever, it is possible to tailor the force $F$ to couple to this distortion, and so determine the “strain-strain” correlation function of one or more cantilevers.

Our scheme consists of the following steps in a deterministic simulation: (i) apply the appropriate force $f$, constant in time, small enough so that the response remains linear, and tailored to couple to the variable of interest $A$, and allow the system to come to a steady state; (ii) turn off the force at a time we label $t = 0$; (iii) measure some dynamical variable $B(t)$ (which might be the same as $A$ to yield an autocorrelation function, or different) to yield the correlation function of the equilibrium fluctuations via Eq. (2). The fluid motion is calculated using the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations, and the dynamics of the solid structures are computed from the standard equations of elasticity. Using the sophisticated numerical tools developed for such calculations (algorithm described elsewhere [10,11]), we can find accurate results for realistic experimental geometries that may be quite complex, for example, the triangular cantilever design often used in commercial atomic force microscopy, or the reduced stiffness geometries currently under investigation for use as detectors of single biomolecules as shown in Fig. 1.

For simplicity, we illustrate our approach by finding the auto- and cross-correlation functions for the displacements $x_i(t)$ of the tips of one or two nanoscale cantilevers with experimentally realistic geometries. To do this, we calculate the deterministic response of the displacement of each tip, which we call $X_i(t)$, $i = 1, 2$, after switching off at $t = 0$ a small force applied to the tip of the first cantilever, $F_1$, given by Eq. (1). For this case, the equilibrium auto- and cross-correlation functions for the fluctuations $x_1$ and $x_2$ are precisely $\langle x_1(t)x_1(0) \rangle = k_BT X_1(t)/F_1$ and $\langle x_2(t)x_1(0) \rangle = k_BT X_2(t)/F_1$. The cosine Fourier transform of the auto- and cross-correlation functions yields the noise spectrum $G_{11}(\nu)$ and $G_{12}(\nu)$, respectively, which are the experimentally relevant quantities.

For long ($l \gtrsim 100 \mu m$) and slender ($l \gg w$) cantilevers, the cantilever response can be well approximated as an infinitely long oscillating cylinder [5,6]. We first validate our numerical approach by investigating a cantilever in this regime. We emphasize that for the experimentally motivated nanoscale cantilevers of interest here an approximate theory is not available, yet our numerical approach remains valid providing a means to gain valuable insight.

The micron-scale cantilever used for validation has the simple beam geometry, as shown in Fig. 2(b) (see case c2 in [6]). For micron and nanoscale cantilevers immersed in fluid, dissipation is dominated by the viscous motion of the fluid driven by the cantilever vibrations. This can be described by a Reynolds number based on the frequency of oscillation $\omega$ as $R = \rho \omega w^2/4\eta$, where $\rho$ is the fluid density.

![FIG. 1. Schematic of the cantilever geometry (not drawn to scale): $l = 3 \mu m$, $w = 100 nm$, $l_1 = 0.6 \mu m$, $b = 33 nm$. The cantilever is silicon with a density $\rho_s = 2330 kg/m^3$, Young’s modulus $E_s = 125 GPa$, and spring constant $k = 8.7 mN/m$[3].](image)

![FIG. 2. (a) The noise spectrum from simulation (solid line) and from theory (dashed line). For the theory calculation only the fundamental mode has been considered. (b) A schematic of the micron-scale cantilever used for validation: length $l = 197 \mu m$, width $w = 29 \mu m$, and height $h = 2 \mu m$. The applied step force is $F_1 = 26 nN$.](image)
density and $\eta$ is the viscosity. For the cantilevers of interest here, the Reynolds numbers are typically $0.01 \leq R \leq 1$, indicating that this is in the low Reynolds number regime. Small $R$ corresponds to strong dissipation.

The noise spectrum, $G_{11}(\nu)$, is calculated from the numerical results by taking the cosine Fourier transform of the autocorrelations and is shown by the solid line in Fig. 2(a). The two broad peaks can be identified with the first two modes of the cantilever. The noise spectrum is also calculated using the long cylinder analytic approximation and is shown by the dashed line. The analytical result for the fundamental mode of the noise spectrum is found in the following manner [5] (note that higher harmonics could be included if desired). In Fourier space, the equation of motion for the cantilever displacement is

$$(-m\omega^2 + k)x = \hat{F}_j + \hat{F}_B,$$

where $\hat{F}_j$ is the force felt by the cantilever due to the fluid,

$$\hat{F}_j = m_c\omega^2\Gamma(\omega)x,$$

$\hat{F}_B$ is the fluctuating (Brownian) force, $m_c$ is the effective mass [12] of a fluid cylinder of radius $w/2$, $m$ is the effective mass of the cantilever, and $\Gamma(\omega)$ is the hydrodynamic function (for an infinitely long cylinder of diameter $w$ oscillating in the $x$ direction) which contains both the fluid damping and fluid loading components and is given by [13] $\Gamma(\omega) = 1 + 4iK_1(-i\sqrt{\omega R})/[i\sqrt{\omega R}K_0(-i\sqrt{\omega R})]$, where $K_1$, $K_0$ are Bessel functions and $i = \sqrt{-1}$. From the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, the spectral density of the fluctuating force, $G_{FB}(\nu)$, can be related to the dissipation due to the fluid and is given by

$$G_{FB}(\nu) = 4k_BT m_c T_0 \omega\Gamma_1(\omega),$$

where $\omega = 2\pi\nu$. Solving for the spectral density of the displacement fluctuations, $G_s(\nu)$, from Eqs. (3)–(5) yields

$$G_s(\nu) = \frac{4k_BT}{k} \frac{1}{\omega_0} \frac{\omega T_0 \Gamma(R_0\omega)}{\left[1 - \omega^2(1 + T_0 \Gamma(R_0\omega))^2 + (\omega^2 T_0 \Gamma(R_0\omega))^2\right]},$$

where $\omega_0 = \sqrt{k/m}$, $R_0$ is the Reynolds number based on $\omega_0$, $T_0$ is the ratio of the mass of fluid contained in a cylindrical volume of radius $w/2$ to the mass of the cantilever, and $\Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma$ are the real and imaginary parts of $\Gamma$, respectively. Sader’s analysis [5] does not take into account the frequency dependence of the noise force and assumes that the numerator is constant. The frequency dependence is not large for $R \leq 1$; however, the correction has been included in our analysis. Equation (6) yields the analytical curve for $G_{11}(\nu)$ in Fig. 2(a) and the agreement is excellent with our numerical results.

A recent study of the fluid coupled motion of two adjacent $1 \mu m$ beads illustrates the importance of understanding the cross correlations in the fluctuations for use in single-molecule force measurements [14]. The fluid disturbance caused by an oscillating cantilever is long range, producing motion of the other cantilevers through the viscous drag. As a result, the stochastic motion of multiple cantilevers will be correlated. However, the numerical approach developed here remains valid for multiple fluid-loaded cantilevers of arbitrary geometry, and our approach can be used to quantify the response of multiple cantilevers with the precise complex geometries used in experiment (as we show below) as well as to help develop a better analytical understanding of idealized geometries. Various possible cantilever configurations are shown in Figs. 3(a)–3(c); here we will present results for the end to end case and defer results for the other geometries to a later paper.

We use our approach to calculate the behavior of the experimentally motivated cantilever shown in Fig. 1. Full three-dimensional simulations were performed for both one cantilever and two cantilevers facing end to end in fluid as shown in Fig. 3(a). Through the fluctuation-dissipation theorem, the simulations yield results for the cantilever autocorrelation function and the two cantilever cross-correlation function shown in Figs. 4(b) and 4(c), respectively. The value of $\left\langle x_1(0)x_1(0)\right\rangle$ is $0.471 \text{ nm}^2$ indicating that the deflection of the cantilever due to Brownian motion in an experiment would be $0.686 \text{ nm}$ or about $2.3\%$ of the thickness of the cantilever. The cross correlation of the Brownian fluctuations of two cantilevers is small compared to the individual fluctuations. The largest magnitude of the cross correlation is $-0.012 \text{ nm}^2$ for $s = h$ and $-0.0029 \text{ nm}^2$ for $s = 5h$.

The noise spectra for both the one and two cantilever

FIG. 3. Schematic showing various cantilever configurations. In all configurations, the step force $F_1$ is released at $t = 0$, resulting in the cantilever motion referred to by $X_1(t)$. The motion of the neighboring cantilever is $X_2(t)$, and is driven through the response of the fluid. (a) Two cantilevers with ends facing. (b) Side-by-side cantilevers. (c) Cantilevers separated along the direction of the oscillations.
fluctuations are shown in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b). Notice that tuning the separation could be used to reduce the correlated noise in some chosen frequency band.

The variation in the cross-correlation behavior with cantilever separation as shown in Fig. 4(c) can be understood as an inertial effect resulting from the nonzero Reynolds number of the fluid flow, as follows. The flow around the cantilever can be separated into a potential component, which is long range and propagates instantaneously in the incompressible fluid approximation, and a vorticity containing component that propagates diffusively with a diffusion constant given by the kinematic viscosity $\nu$. For step forcing, it takes a time $\tau_v = s^2 / \nu$ for the vorticity to reach distance $s$. For small cantilever separations, the viscous component dominates, for nearly all times, and results in the antecorrelated response of the adjacent cantilever in agreement with [14]. However, as $s$ increases, the amount of time where the adjacent cantilever is subject only to the potential flow field increases, resulting in the initial correlated behavior.

In closing, we would like to emphasize that the methods described here are applicable to atomic force microscopy, in general, and also to other nanostructure fluid interaction problems which are of growing importance as nanoelectromechanical systems technology advances.
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[12] The effective mass is defined such that the kinetic energy based upon the displacement of the cantilever tip equals the kinetic energy of the cantilever. For the fundamental mode of a simple rectangular cantilever [see Fig. 2(b)] $m_e = 0.243 m$.