Collision and adsorption of hydrogen with high incident kinetic energies on a single-walled boron nitride (BN) nanotube have been investigated. Molecular-dynamics (MD) simulations indicate that at incident energies below 14 eV hydrogen bounces off the BN nanotube wall. On the other hand, at incident energies between 14 and 22 eV each hydrogen molecule is dissociated at the exterior wall to form two hydrogen atoms, but only one of them goes through the wall. However, at the incident energies between 23 and 26 eV all of the hydrogen atoms dissociated at the exterior wall are found to be capable of going inside the nanotube and then to recombine to form hydrogen molecules inside the nanotube. Consequently, it is determined that hydrogen should have the incident energy >22 eV to go inside the nanotube. On the other hand, we find that the collisions using the incident energies >26 eV could result in damaging the nanotube structures. In addition our MD simulations find that hydrogen atoms dissociated at the wall cannot bind to either boron or nitrogen atoms in the interior wall of the nanotube.
the barriers of Narita and Oku were obtained by excluding zero-point corrections and thermal effects. Ma et al. reported the molecular-dynamics (MD) simulation results for collision of hydrogen atoms with the sidewall of a (5,5) single-walled carbon nanotube (SWCNT) using various kinetic energies of the hydrogen atoms. These results include thermal effects. Ma et al. concluded that at least the incident energy of 16 eV is required for a hydrogen atom to penetrate through the hexagons of the SWCNT.

We have not found yet any MD simulation study on collision and adsorption behaviors of hydrogen with high incident kinetic energies on the BNNTs. Here we explore collision and adsorption mechanisms of hydrogen with high incident energies on the BNNT using molecular-dynamics simulations. The MD simulations are conducted using a kinetic energy in the range of 1–35 eV. We also consider the effects of impingement locations of hydrogen. This work is to determine activation barriers for hydrogen penetration through the wall, and the behavior of hydrogen inside the nanotube after penetration. In addition we investigate adsorption properties by determining H-adsorbed structures and their bonding energies.

II. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

To examine collision mechanisms of hydrogen on the single-walled BNNT (SWBNNT), hydrogen with the incident energies ranging from 1 to 35 eV impinges onto the sidewall of a single-walled BNNT. The single-walled BNNTs were experimentally observed to have a range of diameters ranging from ~0.5 to 1.2 nm. In addition it was reported that synthesis of zigzag-type BNNTs is more favorable to that of the armchair type. Consequently, in this study we choose the (10,0) zigzag single walled consisting of 60 boron and 60 nitrogen atoms with a diameter of 0.81 nm. Since the SWBNNT has a very high aspect ratio, hydrogen would interact mostly with boron and nitrogen atoms in the wall of the nanotube. Therefore, our investigation focuses mainly on the hydrogen collision and adsorption mechanisms on the SWBNNT wall, rather than other hydrogen adsorption mechanisms such as that through diffusion into the open-ended tube. The interatomic potentials and forces among hydrogen, boron, and nitrogen are obtained using the reactive force field, ReaxFF_HBN, reported in the previous work, Part I. The MD simulations are performed under the canonical ensemble condition where the total atom number (N), the temperature (T), and the volume (V) for the system are fixed. The simulation temperature is 300 K, where the system under consideration is coupled to the heat bath which introduces energy variations needed to keep the temperature fixed. In addition the Verlet algorithm is employed to integrate equations of motion with a time step of 0.25 fs.

As illustrated in Fig. 1, the initial position for impingement on the nanotube by hydrogen is considered in four modes: (A) hydrogen is placed 3 Å away from a hexagon of the tube wall, (B) hydrogen is placed 3 Å from the hexagon at an angle of 45° to the tube axis, (C) hydrogen is placed 3 Å from a boron atom of the tube wall, and (D) hydrogen is placed 3 Å away from a nitrogen atom. In all the cases, hydrogen is set to move toward the sidewall with the velocity corresponding to the incident kinetic energy. All the impinging points in modes (A) and (B) are selected in the center of a hexagon of the sidewall. This hexagon is located in the middle part of the tube. The periodicity of a single-walled BNNT ensures that this sampling represents any hexagon on the wall with an infinitely long tube shape. The impinging points in modes (C) and (D) are on the boron and nitrogen atoms, respectively. The positions for collision by the hydrogen atom are also obtained under similar conditions as the cases of the hydrogen molecule.

We also employ density-functional theory (DFT) to determine the binding energy for hydrogen adsorption on the wall of the nanotube. In this case, the structure model for calculations is based on the (10,0) SWBNNT of a cluster type consisting of 40 boron and 40 nitrogen atoms. On the other hand, as the dangling bonds at both ends are tied off with hydrogen atoms, the true cluster model for DFT calculations is based on the B_{40}N_{40}H_{80} structure. The DMOL3 program was employed for full geometry optimizations, using the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) method that is parametrized by Perdew and Wang’s scheme (PW91). The PW91 exchange-correlation functional was shown to predict well exchange and correlation energies of the first-row atoms. All the electronic wave functions are expanded in a local atomic-orbital basis. All the orbitals including those for core electrons are fully taken into account throughout the calculations. The unrestricted Hartree-Fock (UHF) method with a double-numerical basis set of DNP (Ref. 25) is also used to describe the polarization functions, equivalent to split-valence double-zeta plus polarization basis set.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. MD simulation studies employing the ReaxFF_HBN

In mode (A) the collision process between a hydrogen molecule and a single-walled BNNT has been explored with various incident energies, and six different cases are observed: (i) the hydrogen molecule rebounds off the sidewall and the nanotube remains intact, (ii) one hydrogen atom pen-
The B–N bond length of a SWBNNT is larger than the C–C bond length of a SWCNT. Nonetheless, it is difficult for a hydrogen molecule to enter the SWBNNT because the smallest incident kinetic energy of 14–22 eV is first dissociated into two hydrogen atoms on arrival, then one hydrogen atom enters the tube from one side and another follows through. With increased time, the hydrogen atom that entered first is still captured by van der Waals interaction with the interior wall.

The favorable energy level for case (v) is 30 eV. Here, a H₂ molecule is dissociated right after impingement, then one hydrogen atom enters the tube and another follows through. Due to the impact of hydrogen with a high kinetic energy, one B–N bond in the tube is broken near the opposite side-wall, which results in the formation of a decagon which originated from two adjacent hexagons. Formation of the decagon causes a large hole for easy escaping of hydrogen. Eventually both hydrogen atoms escape through the decagon from the tube; however, one hydrogen atom is chemically bonded with a B atom having only two B–N bonds in the decagon.

Finally above 31 eV [case (vi)], a H₂ molecule is first dissociated into two hydrogen atoms on arrival at the hexagon center, and then both hydrogen atoms enter the tube but escape through the other side, as seen in Fig. 3. No hydrogen atom or molecule remains inside the SWBNNT.

Up to now, all the simulations are conducted in mode vi. In case (i), a hydrogen molecule is first dissociated into two atoms on arrival at simulation times of 10,000 steps when the incident energy of a H₂ molecule is 26 eV. After 500 MD steps, the hydrogen atom trapped inside the tube wanders inside the nanotube. The hydrogen atom reboads off the wall, and another atom rebounds off the wall.oms after impingement. One hydrogen atom penetrates through the wall and another atom rebounds off the wall.

In case (ii), a hydrogen molecule with the incident energy of 14–22 eV is first dissociated into two hydrogen atoms after impingement. One hydrogen atom penetrates through the wall and another atom rebounds off the wall. After 500 MD steps, the hydrogen atom trapped inside the tube wanders inside the nanotube. The (10,0) SWCNT with the same helicity of the (10,0) SWBNNT is also tested in terms of hydrogen penetration, and it is observed that hydrogen can enter more easily the BNNT than the CNT, in good agreement with previous DFT calculations.\textsuperscript{10,11} The difference in hydrogen penetration kinetics between the CNT and the BNNT is probably caused by a different hexagon size of the nanotube. The B–N bond length (1.46 Å) in the SWBNNT is larger than the C–C bond length (1.42 Å) in the SWCNT. Nonetheless, it is difficult for a hydrogen molecule to enter the SWBNNT because the smallest incident kinetic energy of the molecule for the process is 14 eV.

Case (iii) occurs in the energy range between 23 and 26 eV. Figure 2 shows the snapshot of interaction at an energy level of 26 eV. When a hydrogen molecule arrives at the center of the SWBNNT, it is first dissociated into two atoms, and then one hydrogen atom enters the tube wall, followed by another atom. As time increases, both of the hydrogen atoms wander inside the tube by van der Waals interaction with the tube wall, and then the two hydrogen atoms reform a hydrogen molecule in the tube.

In the energy range of 27–29 eV, case (iv), a hydrogen molecule is dissociated into two atoms on arrival, then one hydrogen atom enters the tube and another follows through. With increased time, the hydrogen atom that entered first escapes through the other side, while the other hydrogen atom is still captured by van der Waals interaction with the interior wall.

FIG. 2. Snapshots of interaction between a (10,0) SWBNNT and a H₂ molecule taken at simulation times of (a) 0, (b) 30, (c) 200, and (d) 950 steps when the incident energy of a H₂ molecule is 26 eV.

FIG. 3. Snapshots of interaction between a (10,0) SWBNNT and a H₂ molecule taken at simulation times of (a) 0, (b) 30, (c) 70, and (d) 100 steps when the incident energy of a H₂ molecule is 35 eV.
Behaviors of a hydrogen molecule impinging with its incident direction and kinetic energy. Here modes (B), (C), and (D) are summarized in Table I. In case (B), a hydrogen molecule rebounds off the sidewall of the (10,0) SWBNNT in the energy range of 1–25 eV. Above 26 eV, when a hydrogen molecule arrives at the center of the nanotube, two hydrogen atoms temporarily bind with each B and N atoms near the impingement point, which results in hydrogen dissociation. Two hydrogen atoms rebound off the tube wall as atomic states. The nanotube remains intact in the case of mode (B) although it is impacted by a very high energy. If the impinging point of hydrogen is right on a vertex of boron [mode (C)] or nitrogen [mode (D)] atom, hydrogen rebounds off the sidewall, irrespective of the incident energy. Similar to mode (B), the hydrogen molecule rebounds off as a molecular state at a relatively low incident energy [1–18 eV for mode (C) and 1–26 for mode (D)], whereas it does as an atomic state at a high incident energy [above 19 and 27 eV, respectively, for modes (C) and (D)]. In mode (C), if the incident energy of hydrogen is above 31 eV, one B–N bond is broken near the boron atom impacted by hydrogen, which causes a decagon. However, the decagon is cured within a very fast time of about 1 ps and then the impacted nanotube preserves its original structure. In mode (D), the nanotube always remains intact irrespective of incident energies of hydrogen. Based on the above results, it is difficult for a hydrogen molecule to penetrate through the SWBNNT. The process takes place only when the hydrogen having kinetic energy of above 14 eV impinges vertically at the center of a BN ring in the tube, which means that the process is impossible to occur in nature. From all the simulation results mentioned so far, no physisorption behavior of hydrogen is observed. Probably, the physisorption energy of a hydrogen molecule is very low and thus the physisorbed hydrogen is released at the simulation temperature of 300 K.

The trapping mechanism of a hydrogen molecule with the incident energy of 26 eV, case (iii) shown in Fig. 2, is illustrated in Fig. 4(a) through time evolution of the kinetic energy for two hydrogen atoms indexed by H1 and H2 in a H2 molecule up to 1000 MD steps. Also, the inset shows the detailed penetration behavior of the hydrogen atoms into the tube. Here, H1 means a front hydrogen atom when a hydrogen molecule moves to the SWBNNT and H2 is a rear one. On moving to the BNNT, a H1 atom has a kinetic energy of about 27.5 eV which is 1.5 eV higher than the initial incident energy, 26 eV, of a H2 molecule. This is caused by the transfer of kinetic energy of a H2 atom, which can be deduced from the fact that the kinetic energy of H2 decreases to 23.5 eV, 1.5 eV lower than the incident energy. At 13 steps, the kinetic energy of the H1 atom is lowered to a local minimum, and then the H1 atom enters the tube. The H1 atom loses about 16 eV during this penetration process. However, the kinetic energy of H1 rises quickly to 24 eV on entering with the high repulsive force exerted on H1 by the tube wall. This force results from the electron overlap between a H1 atom and a B or N atom of the nanotube when H1 is passing through the tube wall. Because of a limited space in the tube, the encapsulated hydrogen atom frequently collides with the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incident energy range (eV)</th>
<th>Phenomena</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mode (B) 1–25</td>
<td>A hydrogen molecule rebounds off the sidewall of the SWBNNT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26–35</td>
<td>Both hydrogen atoms rebound off the sidewall of the tube as atomic states.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode (C) 1–18</td>
<td>A hydrogen molecule rebounds off the sidewall of the tube.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19–35</td>
<td>Both hydrogen atoms rebound off the tube as atomic states.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode (D) 1–26</td>
<td>A hydrogen molecule rebounds off the sidewall of the tube.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27–35</td>
<td>Both hydrogen atoms rebound off the tube as atomic states.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 4. Time evolution of the kinetic energy of a hydrogen molecule colliding with a (10,0) SWBNNT at the incident energies of (a) 26 and (b) 35 eV. H1 and H2 denote front and rear hydrogen atoms when the H2 molecule penetrates into the tube. (a) is the same case with Fig. 2 and (b) is for Fig. 3. The insets show the penetration and escaping mechanism of a hydrogen molecule in detail.
The evolution of kinetic energy of two hydrogen atoms is shown in Fig. 4. A hydrogen molecule is able to enter the tube but escapes through the other side, while that of the H2 is decreased to 50 steps in which both atoms almost simultaneously escape through the opposite tube wall. After the escape of the hydrogen atom, we find several flattops of their kinetic energies which correspond to the free-fly periods from the collision of the hydrogen atom upon the external wall of the tube to the next collision.

Until now, we investigate collision behaviors of a hydrogen molecule with the SWBNNT as a function of its incident direction and kinetic energy. The behaviors for a hydrogen atom are summarized in Table II. The lowest incident energy needed for a hydrogen atom to penetrate a hexagon ring on the nanotube is estimated around 22 eV which is higher than the case (14 eV) of a hydrogen molecule. We think that the front atom (H1) in a hydrogen molecule has kinetic energy of about 22 eV before penetration into the tube after collision with the tube wall because of transfer of kinetic energy of the rear atom (H2) although the initial kinetic energy of the hydrogen molecule is 14 eV. This transfer of kinetic energy can be found in Fig. 4. A hydrogen molecule is able to enter the tube only if the incident direction is vertical to the hexagon ring on the tube [mode (A)]. However, a hydrogen atom can do that when the incident direction is 45° to the wall [mode (B)] if the kinetic energy is very high, more than 33 eV, not only when the direction is vertical to the hexagon [mode (A)]. In mode (C), chemisorption and physisorption properties of a hydrogen atom are found in the energy ranges of 5–7 and 8–9 eV, respectively. Above 10 eV, however, the hydrogen atom rebounds off the sidewall. In the case of mode (D) a hydrogen atom always rebounds off the sidewall irrespective of the incident energies, different from mode (C).

As discussed above, it needs about 14 and 22 eV each for a H2 molecule and a H atom to penetrate the wall of a SWBNNT, implying that it is not easy to observe the process in real experiments because the energy is very high. To describe more generally the interaction process of the tube wall, which results in decreasing kinetic energy in Fig. 4(a). After the penetration, the H1 atom collides with the tube at first at 25 steps and then the kinetic energy of H1 decreases gradually, indicating that most of it is transferred to the nanotube. After the 25 steps, the H1 atom flies without any disturbance until 50 steps. In the case of H2, the kinetic energy is lowered to the first local minimum at 23 steps and the H2 enters the tube, followed by the loss of kinetic energy of 23 eV. Then, until 50 steps, the kinetic energy of the H2 atom recovers gradually to about 18 eV. After 50 steps, both of the H1 and H2 atoms having the kinetic energies of 18 eV collide with the tube for several times, which causes oscillation of the kinetic energies. The collision process makes the kinetic energy decrease gradually. At 900 steps, the kinetic energies of the H1 and H2 indicate 2.6 and 1.2 eV, respectively. It is also found that the kinetic energies for both atoms are abruptly increased near 900 steps, because the H1 and H2 atoms reform a hydrogen molecule. The reaction, H+H → H2, is exothermic, resulting in the increase of system temperature, therefore velocities of H1 and H2 are increased. The flattops shown in Fig. 4(a) correspond to the free-fly periods from the collision to the next inside the tube.

The evolution of kinetic energy of two hydrogen atoms (H1 and H2) in a H2 molecule is shown in Fig. 4(b) with MD time steps. The incident energy was 35 eV, case (vi) as in Fig. 3, and both hydrogen atoms are expected to escape from encapsulation in the tube. Similar to the case of Fig. 4(a), both hydrogen atoms at first lose most energy on arriving at the tube. They are used up to overcome the potential barrier of the tube wall and thus they are decreased significantly. After colliding with the tube wall, the H2 molecule is dissociated into two atoms. Penetration of H1 and H2 occurs at 11 and 20 steps, respectively. The kinetic energy of H1 abruptly increases to about 36 eV, which is similar to the initial incident energy of 25 eV. This occurs because of the repulsive force exerted on H1 by the tube wall and the transfer of the kinetic energy from H2. From 11 to 37 steps, the kinetic energy of the H1 is decreased. In the case of a H2 atom, its kinetic energy increases to 46 eV at 37 steps, larger than the initial value. After that, due to the transfer of the kinetic energy from H2 to H1, the kinetic energy of the H1 atom is increased, while that of the H2 is decreased to 50 steps in which both atoms almost simultaneously escape through the opposite tube wall. After the escape of the hydrogen atom, we find several flattops of their kinetic energies which correspond to the free-fly periods from the collision of the hydrogen atom upon the external wall of the tube to the next collision.

### Table II. Behaviors of a hydrogen atom impinging with its incident direction and kinetic energy. Here modes (A), (B), (C), and (D) are similar to those of Fig. 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incident energy range (eV)</th>
<th>Phenomena</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mode (A)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Phenomena</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–21</td>
<td>A hydrogen molecule rebounds off the sidewall of the SWBNNT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22–27</td>
<td>A hydrogen atom penetrates through the wall, and then is trapped inside the tube.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28–35</td>
<td>A hydrogen atom enters the tube but escapes through the other side.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mode (B)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Phenomena</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–32</td>
<td>A hydrogen atom rebounds off the sidewall of the SWBNNT.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33–34</td>
<td>A hydrogen atom penetrates through the wall, and then is trapped inside the tube.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>A hydrogen atom enters the tube but escapes through the other side.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mode (C)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Phenomena</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>A hydrogen atom rebounds off the sidewall of the tube.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–7</td>
<td>A hydrogen atom is chemisorbed by the impacted B atom.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8–9</td>
<td>A hydrogen atom is physisorbed on the wall after temporary B chemisorption with the impacted B atom.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–35</td>
<td>A hydrogen atom rebounds off the sidewall.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mode (D)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Phenomena</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–35</td>
<td>A hydrogen atom rebounds off the sidewall.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
energy is very high, the wall is endothermic by about 25 kcal/mol and the activation of a hydrogen molecule into chemisorption on the tube makes a serious obstacle of the pristine SWCNT for the reaction to take place in the room temperature, which is considered to be thermodynamically or kinetically favorable for the reaction to proceed.

H$_2$/H gas diffuses towards the nanotube and the remaining H$_2$ molecules do not react with the nanotube. B–H radicals react to form several B–H bonds [Fig. 5(c)]. The hydrogen molecules do not react with the nanotube. B–H bonds are created more frequently than the N–H bonds, which is in agreement with the results we described earlier (Table II).

To investigate the chemical dissociation of a hydrogen molecule on the SWBNNT wall, we consider the reaction from physisorption of hydrogen to chemisorption on the exterior wall of the (10,0) SWBNNT at room temperature using NVT ensemble MD simulation employing the ReaxFF$_{BN}$ (Fig. 6), using restraints to drive the reaction. Similar to a pristine SWCNT, we find that the reaction from physisorption of a hydrogen molecule into chemisorption on the tube wall is endothermic by about 25 kcal/mol and the activation energy is very high (about 130 kcal/mol). Therefore it is not considered to be thermodynamically or kinetically favorable for the reaction to take place in the room temperature, which makes a serious obstacle of the pristine (10,0) SWBNNT as a hydrogen storage medium.

SWBNNT with a hydrogen gas and hydrogen atoms we performed the simulation outlined in Fig. 5. The simulation box size is $60 \times 20 \times 13.14$ Å$^3$ and both ends of the simulation box outside the nanotube are occupied with dense hydrogen gas consisting of 200 hydrogen atoms each. During the simulation, which was performed at 300 K under canonical ensemble conditions, most of the hydrogen atoms recombine into H$_2$ molecules. With increased simulation time, most of H$_2$/H gas diffuses towards the nanotube and the remaining H radicals react to form several B–H bonds [Fig. 5(c)]. The hydrogen molecules do not react with the nanotube. B–H bonds are created more frequently than the N–H bonds, which is in agreement with the results we described earlier (Table II).

To investigate the chemical dissociation of a hydrogen molecule on the SWBNNT wall, we consider the reaction from physisorption of hydrogen to chemisorption on the exterior wall of the (10,0) SWBNNT at room temperature using NVT ensemble MD simulation employing the ReaxFF$_{BN}$ (Fig. 6), using restraints to drive the reaction. Similar to a pristine SWCNT, we find that the reaction from physisorption of a hydrogen molecule into chemisorption on the tube wall is endothermic by about 25 kcal/mol and the activation energy is very high (about 130 kcal/mol). Therefore it is not considered to be thermodynamically or kinetically favorable for the reaction to take place in the room temperature, which makes a serious obstacle of the pristine (10,0) SWBNNT as a hydrogen storage medium.

B. DFT studies

Using DFT calculations, we also investigated the optimized structure of (10,0) SWBNNT interacted and bonded with one hydrogen atom. When one hydrogen atom is chemisorbed with one boron atom on the exterior wall of the tube, the length of the B–H bond is 1.307 Å, slightly larger than that (1.23 Å) predicted by the ReaxFF$_{BN}$ in Fig. 6. The lengths of B–H bond in BH$_3$ (singlet) and BH$_4$ (doublet), calculated with the DFT, is 1.190 Å for the BH$_3$, and 1.182 and 1.288 Å for the BH$_4$, which is shorter than the bond length on the BNNT wall. When one hydrogen atom is chemisorbed with boron, the bonding character of boron is changed to $sp^3$ from $sp^2$, which results in a change of the B–N bond lengths near B–H. The lengths of three B–N bonds connected with a B–H bond are 1.500, 1.514, and 1.514 Å, while the B–N bond length far from the B–H bond is 1.456 Å. The angles of N–B–H and N–B–N near a B–H bond are 102° and 114°, respectively.

When one hydrogen atom is chemisorbed with one nitrogen atom, the length of N–H is 1.044 Å which is shorter than that of 1.090 Å predicted by the ReaxFF$_{BN}$ (see Fig. 6). The N–H bond lengths in NH$_3$ (singlet) and NH$_4$ (doublet), calculated with DFT, are 1.016 and 1.062 Å. Hence the N–H bond length on the BNNT wall is shorter than those in NH$_3$ and NH$_4$. The lengths of three B–N bonds connected with N–H are 1.548, 1.548, and 1.540 Å while the B–N bond length far from the B–H bond is 1.456 Å. The angles of N–B–H and N–B–N near a B–H bond are 102° and 94°, respectively. The binding energy of B–H and N–H bonds on the SWBNNT is also calculated. The binding energy, $\Delta E_{\text{bind}}$, is defined as follows:

$$\Delta E_{\text{bind}} = E_{\text{BN+H}} - E_{\text{BN}} - E_{\text{H}},$$

where $E_{\text{BN+H}}$, $E_{\text{BN}}$, and $E_{\text{H}}$ are the total energies of the fully optimized BN–H structure, the nanotube alone, and the hydrogen atom, respectively. According to this definition, a stable system is supposed to have a negative binding energy. The binding energies of B–H and N–H on the exterior wall of the tube are obtained as $-8.095$ and $7.249$ kcal/mol which are similar with those reported in previous work.  

The ReaxFF$_{BN}$ predicts $-16.143$ and $3.947$ kcal/mol for the
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**FIG. 5.** Snapshots of interaction between a (10,0) SWBNNT and hydrogen gas consisting of 200 hydrogen atoms, taken at 300 K at simulation times of (a) 0, (b) 1.25, and (c) 12.50 ps.
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**FIG. 6.** Reaction pathway for hydrogen from physisorption to chemisorption on the external wall of a SWBNNT, predicted by MD simulation using the ReaxFF$_{BN}$. 

$E_{\text{bind}}, E_{\text{BN+H}}, E_{\text{BN}}, E_{\text{H}}$, and $E_{\text{N+H}}$ are the total energies of the fully optimized BN–H structure, the nanotube alone, and the hydrogen atom, respectively.
binding energies of the B–H and N–H on the exterior nanotube wall. Since the N–H bond has a positive binding energy, it is difficult to take place. This is in agreement with our ReaxFFHBN results in Fig. 5, where we found that hydrogen adsorbed upon the exterior wall favors B–H bond formation over N–H bond formation. Moreover, the C–H binding energy in the SWCNT is about \(-45\) kcal/mol, much higher than the B–H binding energy \((\approx 8.095\) kcal/mol) in the BNNT. As such, we expect that hydrogen adsorbed on the BNNT wall can be desorbed at lower temperatures than in the case of a CNT. We also calculate the binding energies of B–H and N–H on the interior wall of the tube, which are 1.515 and 27.974 kcal/mol, while 3.148 and 5.498 kcal/mol by ReaxFFHBN. From the fact that both of the B–H and N–H bonds are positive binding energy, it is found that the chemisorption of hydrogen atoms inside the tube is thermodynamically unlikely, which is in agreement with the MD results in Figs. 2 and 3.

In order to investigate the distribution of electrons in the optimized BNNT, the spin density of each structure is computed. The spin charge density means the difference between the electron density for alpha-spin and beta-spin electrons. When H is chemisorbed with a boron atom in Fig. 7(a), most of the charge remains localized on the nanotube wall near a B–H bond and a little charge is distributed over N atoms. In particular, there is no charge distribution around the opposite side of the tube wall (the side without a B–H bond). Similarly, when H is chemisorbed with a nitrogen atom in Fig. 7(b), much of the charge density is distributed over the tube wall near a N–H bond and the charge density is not observed around the other side of the wall. Only contrary to the case of B–H, a little charge is distributed over B atoms.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

From our ReaxFFHBN MD simulations and DFT calculations, the ReaxFFHBN developed in the previous work, Part I, can appropriately describe the interaction of hydrogen with BNNTs. We found that the kinetic energy of 14 eV is required for the H\(_2\) molecule to penetrate through the wall of the BNNT. In addition chemical dissociation of H\(_2\) upon the nanotube at room temperature is also found to be thermodynamically unfavorable. On the other hand, we predict that H atoms are able to chemically adsorb on the exterior wall of the nanotube and prefer to make B–H bonds over N–H bonds. These results indicate that hydrogen storage is very difficult through chemical binding to BNNTs or diffusion to the interior of the BNNT. Therefore, we consider that future efforts to apply BNNTs for hydrogen storage should be focused on other treatments of the BNNT such as doping on its surfaces by other elements or generation of nanopores to increase its hydrogen storage capacity and change of heat in hydrogen adsorption.
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