Operation of a titanium nitride superconducting microresonator detector in the nonlinear regime
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If driven sufficiently strongly, superconducting microresonators exhibit nonlinear behavior including response bifurcation. This behavior can arise from a variety of physical mechanisms including heating effects, grain boundaries or weak links, vortex penetration, or through the intrinsic nonlinearity of the kinetic inductance. Although microresonators used for photon detection are usually driven fairly hard in order to optimize their sensitivity, most experiments to date have not explored detector performance beyond the onset of bifurcation. Here, we present measurements of a lumped-element superconducting microresonator designed for use as a far-infrared detector and operated deep into the nonlinear regime. The 1 GHz resonator was fabricated from a 22 nm thick titanium nitride film with a critical temperature of 2 K and a normal-state resistivity of 100 μΩ cm. We measured the response of the device when illuminated with 6.4 pW optical loading using microwave readout powers that ranged from the low-power, linear regime to 18 dB beyond the onset of bifurcation. Over this entire range, the nonlinear behavior is well described by a nonlinear kinetic inductance. The best noise-equivalent power of $2 \times 10^{-16} \text{W}/\text{Hz}^{1/2}$ at 10 Hz was measured at the highest readout power, and represents a $\sim 10$ fold improvement compared with operating below the onset of bifurcation. © 2013 American Institute of Physics.

I. INTRODUCTION

Superconducting detector arrays have a wide range of applications in physics and astrophysics.1,2 Although the development of multiplexed readouts has allowed array sizes to grow rapidly over the past decade, there is a strong demand for even larger arrays. For example, current astronomical submillimeter cameras feature arrays with up to $10^5$ pixels.3 In comparison, the proposed Cerro Chajnantor Atacama Telescope (CCAT) 25-meter submillimeter telescope4 would require more than $10^6$ pixels in order to fully sample the focal plane at a wavelength of $\lambda = 350 \mu\text{m}$. Another example is cryogenic dark matter searches which currently implement arrays with $<50$ pixels. Each pixel features an integrated transition-edge sensor (TES) to detect athermal phonons for $\sim 100$–$500$ g of cryogenic detection mass.5,6 Proposed ton-scale searches would require of order $10^4$ detectors. In order to meet these challenging scaling requirements, it is highly desirable to simplify detector fabrication and to increase multiplexing factors in order to reduce system cost. From this perspective, superconducting microresonator detectors7–12 are particularly attractive. In these devices, the energy to be detected is coupled to a superconducting film, causing Cooper pairs to be broken into individual electrons or quasiparticles, which leads to a perturbation of the complex ac conductivity $\delta \sigma(\omega) = \delta \sigma_1 - j \delta \sigma_2$. Very sensitive measurements of $\delta \sigma(\omega)$ may be made if the film is patterned to form a microwave resonant circuit. Because both the amplitude and phase of the complex transmission of the circuit can be measured (see Fig. 1), information on both the dissipative ($\delta \sigma_1$) and reactive ($\delta \sigma_2$) perturbations may be obtained simultaneously, giving the user a choice of using reactive readout, dissipation readout, or both.

[FIG. 1. Measurement setup. (a) Micrograph of a single LEKID-type microresonator detector from a $16 \times 16$ array. The interdigitated capacitor is visible above the spiral inductor/absorber. The array was fabricated from a TiN film with a critical temperature of $T_c = 2$ K deposited on a high-resistivity silicon substrate. The 22 nm film thickness was significantly thinner than the effective penetration depth $\lambda = \sqrt{\hbar \rho_{ff} / (\mu_0 \pi \Delta_0)} \approx 750 \text{nm}$. (b) Electrical and optical setup. The array is refrigerated to 110 mK and read out using a voltage-controlled microwave signal generator and standard homodyne detection electronics. A variable-temperature blackbody is located behind a 4.2 K band-defining $215 \mu\text{m}$ metal-mesh filter (BW $= 43 \mu\text{m}$). A 7 mm diameter aperture on the still shield is further equipped with 1.6 mm of high-density polyethylene and a $300 \text{cm}^{-1}$ low-pass filter. Various electrical signal-conditioning amplifiers and attenuators, including two $20 \text{dB}$ attenuators located on the 4.2 K and still stages, are not shown.]
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Frequency multiplexing of a detector array is readily accomplished by designing each microresonator to have a different resonant frequency and coupling all of the detectors to a single transmission line for excitation and readout.

Although various options exist for coupling pair-breaking photons or phonons into the superconductor, the simplest approach for a number of applications is to directly illuminate the microresonator. For good performance, the resonator must be designed to be an efficient absorber. A particularly notable example is the structure introduced by Doyle et al. for far-infrared detection, known as the lumped-element kinetic inductance detector or LEKID.13,14 Fig. 1(a) shows a variant of this concept, designed for low interpixel crosstalk and polarization-insensitive operation.15 The structure consists of a coplanar stripline spiral inductor and an interdigitated capacitor. The microwave current density in the inductor is considerably larger than in the capacitor, so the inductor is the photosensitive portion of the device. The resonant frequency can be tuned simply by varying the geometry of the capacitor or inductor during the array design. Further, only a single lithography step is necessary for patterning an array of resonators from a superconducting thin-film deposited on an insulating substrate. The simplicity of these devices has led to the demonstration of prototype arrays suitable for submillimeter astronomy.16 Similar devices have been developed for optical astronomy17 and dark matter detection experiments.18,19

Because the pixel size is comparable to the far-infrared wavelength, the details of the resonator geometry do not strongly affect the absorption of radiation. However, in order to achieve high absorption efficiency, the effective far-infrared surface resistance of the structure should be around $R_{\text{eff}} = 377 \Omega/(1 + \sqrt{c_r}) \approx 86 \Omega$ when using a silicon substrate with dielectric constant $c_r \approx 11.5$. This results in an approximate constraint on the sheet resistance $R_s$ and area filling factor $\eta_A$ of the superconducting film, $R_{\text{eff}} \approx R_s/\eta_A$, which is straightforward to satisfy if a high-resistivity superconductor such as TiN is used.20 These considerations provide a starting point for pixel design; detailed electromagnetic simulations may then be used to optimize the absorption. TiN is a particularly suitable material for resonator detectors due to its high intrinsic quality $Q_i$ which can exceed $10^6$ and a tunable $T_c$ based on the nitrogen content ($0 < T_c < 4.7$ K).

In practice, superconducting microresonators exhibit excess frequency noise,7,8,11,21 This noise is due to capacitance fluctuations,22 caused by two-level tunneling systems that are known to be present in amorphous dielectrics.23,24 Such material is clearly present when deposited dielectric films are used in the resonator capacitor.25 However, experiments have shown that even when the capacitor consists of a patterned superconducting film on a high-quality crystalline dielectric substrate, a thin surface layer of amorphous dielectric is still present and causes excess dissipation and noise.26,27 This two-level system (TLS) noise has been studied extensively and a number of techniques have been developed to reduce it.22,28,29 One of the simplest ways to mitigate the effects of TLS noise and simultaneously overcome amplifier noise is to drive the resonator with the largest readout power possible.21 This technique is ultimately limited by the nonlinear response of the resonator. Potential sources of nonlinearity in thin-film superconducting resonators include a power-dependent current distribution,30 quasiparticle production from absorption of readout photons,31 or the nonlinear kinetic inductance intrinsic to superconductivity.32–34

Virtually all measurements of microresonator detectors reported to date have used a readout power below the onset of bifurcation. Here, we demonstrate operation of a lumped-element microresonator detector both in the low-power, linear regime, and deep in the nonlinear regime well above the onset of bifurcation. For most of our measurements, the pixel was illuminated with a substantial optical load of $P_{\text{opt}} = 6.4$ pW. For comparison, at the highest achievable readout power (discussed below) the readout power dissipated in the resonator was $\sim 1.6$ pW. While this is comparable to the optical loading, the efficiency for conversion of this power into quasiparticles is expected and observed15 to be low since the energy of each readout photon is a factor of $\Delta/h\nu_r = 1.76 k_B T_c /h\nu_r = 73$ below the superconducting gap energy. Much of the dissipated microwave power may be expected to escape as low-energy, non-pair-breaking phonons, in which case the quasiparticle population may not change substantially due to the microwave dissipation. As a result, it is perhaps not entirely surprising that the behavior of our device even deep into the bifurcation regime is well described by a model that includes only the nonlinearity of the kinetic inductance.

II. THEORETICAL MODEL AND RESONANCE FITTING

The basic principles of superconducting microresonator detector readout when operating in the linear regime have been extensively described.7,8 The homodyne readout used for this measurement is shown in Fig. 1(b). A microresonator with an intrinsic, unloaded quality factor $Q_i$ and, resonance frequency $\nu_r = 1/\sqrt{LC}$ is coupled to a transmission line, yielding a coupling quality factor $Q_c$. A fraction $x$ of the total inductance $L$ is contributed by the kinetic inductance $L_k$ such that $L_k = x L$. The overall loaded quality factor is given by $Q_f^{-1} = Q_i^{-1} + Q_r^{-1}$. A signal generator is used to drive the resonator near its resonance frequency. The transmitted signal is amplified by a cryogenic amplifier with noise temperature $T_n = 6$ K, mixed with a copy of the original signal and digitized. The resulting complex amplitude of the measured signal is described by the forward transfer function

$$S_{21} = 1 - \frac{\nu_r}{Q_i} \frac{1}{1 + 2jQ_c x},$$

(1)

where

$$x = \frac{\omega_n - \omega_r}{\omega_r}$$

(2)

is the fractional detuning of the readout generator frequency $\omega_n$ relative to the resonance frequency $\omega_r$. Varying $x$ by sweeping the generator frequency traces out a circle in the complex $S_{21}$ plane. At resonance ($\omega_n = \omega_r, x = 0$), the circle crosses the real axis at the closest approach to the origin,
while the $S_{21}$ values for all generator frequencies far from resonance fall on the real axis near unity.

Increasing, the readout power results in the onset of nonlinear behavior. As discussed, the most relevant source of nonlinearity for this device is the nonlinear kinetic inductance of the superconducting film. A power-dependent kinetic inductance can be written in terms of the resonator current $I$ with the expression

$$L_k(I) = L_k(0)[1 + I^2/L^2 \cdots],$$

where odd terms are excluded due to symmetry considerations and $I_0$ sets the scaling of the effect. $L_k(0)$ is the kinetic inductance of the resonator in the low-power and linear limit.

The nonlinear kinetic inductance gives rise to classic soft-spring Duffing oscillator dynamics. In order to quantitatively account for the power-dependent behavior, it is necessary to replace Eq. (1) with a transfer function which takes into account the resonance shift $\delta \omega_r$ due to the nonlinear kinetic inductance in Eq. (3). The shifted resonance is given by $\omega_r = \omega_{r,0} + \delta \omega_r$, where $\omega_{r,0}$ is the low-power resonance frequency. Substituting into Eq. (2), the generator detuning becomes

$$x = \frac{\omega_0 - \omega_{r,0} - \delta \omega_r}{\omega_{r,0} - \delta \omega_r} \approx x_0 - \delta x,$$

where the approximation is calculated to first order and

$$x_0 = \frac{\omega_0 - \omega_{r,0}}{\omega_{r,0}}$$

is the detuning in the low-power and linear limit. At a stored resonator energy $E$, the nonlinear frequency shift $\delta x$ is given by

$$\delta x = \frac{\delta \omega_r}{\omega_{r,0}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{L} \frac{1}{2} x I^2 = \frac{E}{E_x},$$

where the scaling energy $E_x \propto L_i I^2 / x^2$ is expected to be of order the condensation energy of the inductor if $x \approx 1$.

To proceed further, an expression for the stored resonator energy at a given readout power and frequency is required. The available generator power $P_g$ can be reflected back to the generator, transmitted past the resonator, or dissipated in the resonator. Conservation of power can be expressed by

$$P_{\text{diss}} = P_g[1 - |S_{11}|^2 - |S_{21}|^2],$$

where $P_{\text{diss}}$ is the power dissipated in the resonator and $S_{11}$ is the normalized amplitude of the reflected wave. Noting that $S_{11} = S_{21} - 1$ for a shunt-coupled circuit and substituting Eq. (1) into Eq. (7) yields the result

$$P_{\text{diss}} = P_g \left[ \frac{2Q_r^2}{Q_c Q_r} \frac{1}{1 + 4Q_r^2 x^2} \right].$$

Using the standard definition of the internal quality factor

$$Q_i = \frac{\omega_r E}{P_{\text{diss}}},$$

the resonator energy is found to be

$$E = \frac{2Q_r^2}{Q_c} \frac{1}{1 + 4Q_r^2 x^2} \frac{P_g}{\omega_r}.$$

Equation (4) is an implicit equation for the power-shifted detuning $x$ as a function of the generator power $P_g$ and detuning at low power, $x_0$. To see this, recall from Eqs. (4) and (6) that $x = x_0 + E/E_x$. Combining this with Eq. (10) yields

$$x = x_0 + \frac{2Q_r^2}{Q_c} \frac{1}{1 + 4Q_r^2 x^2} \frac{P_g}{\omega_r E_x}.$$

Introducing, the variables $y = Q_r x$ and $y_0 = Q_r x_0$ as well as the nonlinearity parameter

$$a = \frac{2Q_r^2}{Q_c} \frac{P_g}{\omega_r E_x}$$

allows Eq. (11) to be rewritten as

$$y = y_0 + \frac{a}{1 + 4y^2}.$$

Using the definition of the quality factor $Q_r = \omega_r / \Delta \omega$, where $\Delta \omega$ is the linewidth of the resonator, we see that $y = Q_r x = (\omega_r - \omega_r)/\Delta \omega$. Thus, $y$ and $y_0$ are the generator detuning measured in linewidths relative to the power-shifted resonance and the low-power resonance, respectively. Solutions to Eq. (13) for a range of $a$ are shown in Fig. 2. As can be seen from this plot, $y$ becomes nonmonotonic with $y_0$ for $a > 4\sqrt{3}/9 \approx 0.8$.

![FIG. 2. Solutions to Eq. (13) for a range of the nonlinear parameter $a$. The solid (dashed) arrows indicate downward (upward) frequency sweeping. The horizontal scale $y_0$ is the generator detuning measured relative to the low-power resonance frequency $\omega_{r,0}$. The vertical scale is the generator detuning $y$ measured relative to the shifted resonance frequency $\omega_r$. For $a > 4\sqrt{3}/9 \approx 0.8$, $y$ is nonmonotonic in $y_0$.](image-url)
The origin of the bifurcation is conceptually simple to understand and is visualized in Fig. 3. If the generator frequency is swept upwards starting from below the resonance, the resonator current increases as the detuning decreases, and the nonlinear inductance causes the resonance to shift downward toward the generator frequency, reducing the detuning further. This process eventually results in a runaway positive feedback condition as the resonator “snaps” into the energized state. In contrast, sweeping downwards from the high-frequency side results in negative feedback as the resonance also shifts downwards, away from the generator tone. The generator tone chases the resonance downward until sweeping past the resonance minimum when the resonance snaps back to its non-energized state. The maximum frequency shift during downward frequency sweeping abruptly snaps back to its non-energized state. The generator tone chases the resonance downward until sweeping past the resonance minimum when the resonator abruptly snaps back to its non-energized state. The maximum frequency shift during downward frequency sweeping will depend on the readout power and reflects the \( f_r \) dependence of the kinetic inductance in Eq. (3). Notice that smooth downward frequency sweeping allows access to the entire high-frequency side of the resonance.

Fitting a measured resonance curve yields valuable information including the resonance frequency and quality factors. A fit to Eq. (1) of a calibrated resonance in the low-power, linear regime under 6.4 pW of optical loading is shown in Fig. 4(a). From this fit, we find \( Q_e = 8.7 \times 10^5 \), \( Q_r = 8.1 \times 10^5 \), and the low-power resonance frequency is \( f_{r0} = 1.06 \text{ GHz} \). A blind application of Eq. (1) in the nonlinear regime results in a poor fit to the resonance, as exhibited in Fig. 4(b). Instead, the frequency shifted detuning \( x \) at the appropriate \( P_r \) must be found from Eq. (11) and substituted into Eq. (1). The nonlinear energy scale \( E_n \) can be determined from Eq. (12) by carefully measuring the generator power at the onset of bifurcation (\( a \approx 8 \)). The results both below and well above bifurcation can be seen in Figs. 4(c) and 4(d). Here, the calibration parameters and the low-power fitted quality factors have been fixed. Only the frequency shifted detuning \( x \) has been substituted into Eq. (1) in the nonlinear regime resulting in good agreement with the measured data over a broad range of generator powers.

The maximum achievable readout power in this device was limited by the abrupt onset of additional dissipation in the resonator. Switching occurred at 18 dB above the bifurcation power in this device at a dissipated power of \( P_{\text{diss}} > 1.6 \text{ pW} \). In the \( S_{21} \) plane, the new state traces out a circle with a smaller diameter than the original resonance circle. While the source of this additional dissipation is currently under investigation, we can speculate that at a sufficiently high readout photon density in the resonator, multi-photon absorption by the quasiparticles can result in emission of phonons with energy \( h\nu > 2\Delta \). These high energy phonons can subsequently break Cooper pairs resulting in an increased quasiparticle density. All measurements presented here were taken below the emergence of this behavior. Comparing Figs. 4(a) and 4(d), it is evident that the depth of the transfer function on resonance remained constant from the linear regime to deep within the bifurcation regime. This indicates that the device dissipation is readout power independent. Thus, before the emergence of an additional device state, the nonlinear effects can be completely understood as being reactive and not dissipative in nature.
The condensation energy of the inductor is given by $E_{\text{cond}} = N_0 \Delta^2 V_L/2$, where $N_0$ is the single spin density of states at the Fermi energy, $\Delta \approx 3.5k_B T_c/2$ is the superconducting gap, and $V_L$ is the volume of the inductor. $E_{\text{cond}} = 3 \times 10^{-13}$ J for this device. This is a factor of 5 greater than the energy scale $E_c$ determined from the onset of bifurcation. Additional measurements of resonator detectors suggest $E_c$ and $E_{\text{cond}}$ are comparable for a variety of inductor volumes and critical temperatures. While $E_{\text{cond}}$ and $E_c$ are in reasonably good agreement, caution must be exercised when comparing these quantities, because knowledge of the absolute power level at the resonator is difficult to ascertain. This uncertainty arises from the changing electrical attenuation of the microwave coaxial cable upon cooling and, in particular, impedance mismatch between the 50 Ω coaxial transmission line and the on-chip coplanar waveguide. Additionally, the superconducting gap $\Delta$ is current dependent and deviates from the zero current value near bifurcation.41

III. OPTICAL RESPONSE AND NOISE EQUIVALENT POWER

During detection, incident energy absorbed by the detector breaks Cooper pairs creating quasiparticles. As predicted by the Mattis-Bardeen theory,42 this increases the dissipation $\delta Q_i^{-1}$ and kinetic inductance of the superconducting film. The resulting behavior in the linear regime can be seen in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b). The increased dissipation and inductance decrease the resonance depth and frequency, respectively. Increasing, the readout power results in the onset of nonlinear behavior as shown in Figs. 5(c) and 5(d). The complex response in $S_{21}$ becomes asymmetric about resonance ($\phi = 0$), diminishing for generator frequencies above the resonance frequency ($\lambda > 0$). The reduction can be understood in terms of reactive feedback. Increased optical illumination augments the kinetic inductance, shifting the resonance toward lower frequencies. The generator tone, set to a fixed frequency, is then situated further out of the shifted resonance reducing the resonator current. The nonlinear kinetic inductance is consequently reduced causing the resonance to move back to higher frequencies. This process continues until a stable equilibrium is achieved. For $\lambda < 0$, the feedback produces the opposite effect resulting in an augmented response.

In order to probe the resonance above bifurcation, the two branches of the response can be accessed experimentally by smoothly sweeping the generator frequency in either the upward or downward sense. As indicated in Fig. 1(b), we have accomplished bidirectional frequency sweeping using a voltage controlled oscillator for the signal generator. Small voltage steps and low-pass filtering ensured smooth frequency sweeping. The measured transfer function above bifurcation can be seen in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b). Due to the runaway positive feedback described above, most of the resonance circle in the complex plane is inaccessible while upward frequency sweeping. In contrast, nearly the entire upper half of the resonance circle ($\lambda > 0$) is accessible during downward frequency sweeping above bifurcation.

Usually in the linear regime, changes in the reactance produce a shift in the resonance frequency but do not affect the resonance depth. Similarly, dissipation perturbations only change the resonance depth. In contrast, in the nonlinear regime dissipation perturbations can produce a frequency response. As discussed, on the high frequency side of the resonance increased optical loading increases the kinetic inductance while reactive feedback tends to stabilize the resonance against shifting toward lower frequencies. The additional loading, however, also increases the dissipation. The resonance depth and resonator current decrease, thus shifting the resonance toward higher frequencies. This effect becomes increasingly important as the readout power is increased. At sufficient resonator currents the dissipative frequency response can dominate. As shown in Figs. 6(c) and 6(d), the resonance in this case will instead move to higher frequencies with increasing optical loading producing a reversal in chirality in the complex response plane.

In order to determine the expected optical response and noise in the nonlinear regime, we have calculated the first-order perturbation to the power-shifted generator detuning $\delta \chi$ to changes in the low-power resonance frequency $\omega_{0,0}$ and dissipation $\delta Q_i^{-1}$ using Eq. (11). This results in the expression

$$\delta \chi = \frac{\delta \omega_0}{\omega_0} + \frac{\partial \delta E}{\partial \chi} \frac{\delta Q_i^{-1}}{1 - \partial E/\delta \chi},$$

FIG. 5. Measured LEKID response below bifurcation to increasing the optical illumination from 6.4 pW (solid, blue) to 7 pW (dashed, red). (a) In the linear case ($a = .01$), the resonance shifts to lower frequencies and becomes shallower. (b) Response in the $S_{21}$ plane. The resonance angle $\phi$ shown in this plot is defined such that on resonance $\phi = 0$ and is positive for generator frequencies greater than $\omega_c$ (i.e., $\phi = 0$ for $\chi = 0$ and $\phi > 0$ for $\chi > 0$). Arrows indicate the measured displacement at a fixed generator frequency. In the linear case, the increased optical loading results in a symmetrical clockwise motion about resonance $\phi = 0$. (c) As the readout power is increased into the nonlinear regime ($a = .5$), the resonance is compressed toward lower frequencies. As explained in the main text, this distortion is understood in terms of reactive feedback. (d) In the complex $S_{21}$ plane, the feedback causes an augmented response for $\phi < 0$ and diminished response for $\phi > 0$. 
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where $\tilde{E} = E/E_\ast$. The derivatives in Eq. (14) can be calculated from Eq. (10). The results are

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{E}}{\partial \omega_i} = \left[ \frac{1}{1 + \chi} + \frac{-8Q_i^2 x}{1 + 4Q_i^2 x^2} \right] E$$ (15)

and

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{E}}{\partial Q_i^{-1}} = \frac{-2Q_i \tilde{E}}{1 + 4Q_i^2 x^2}. \quad (16)$$

Note that these results are only valid for slow variations of $\omega_i$ and $\partial Q_i^{-1}$ well below the adiabatic cutoff frequency $\omega_i/2Q_i$. At higher frequencies, the ring-down response of the resonator and the feedback must be considered.\(^\text{11}\) This is not a limitation in practice as current instruments utilizing low-temperature detectors are normally concerned with measurement signals well below the adiabatic cutoff frequency and use low pass filtering to eliminate higher frequency noise.

In order to apply Eq. (14), appropriate values for $\delta x_0$ and $\partial Q_i^{-1}$ must be provided. The measured optical response in the low-power linear regime is shown in Fig. 7(a). A change in optical illumination from $P_{opt} = 6.4$ to 7 pW can be seen to perturb both the resonance frequency and dissipation, with values $\delta x_0 = 8 \times 10^{-7}$ and $\partial Q_i^{-1} = 8 \times 10^{-8}$, respectively. For comparison, the measured thermal response is shown in Fig. 7(b). For both the optical and thermal response, $\delta Q_i^{-1}$ is plotted as a function $\delta x_0$ in Fig. 7(c). The similarity of the two curves indicates that the device response is independent of the source of excess quasiparticles. The relative frequency-to-dissipation response is found to have a ratio $\delta x_0/\partial Q_i^{-1} \approx 10$. Applying these results to Eq. (14), the expected response for our device is given in Fig. 8 along with the corresponding measurement result. The response was obtained both on resonance ($\phi = 0$) and for detuning up to $\phi = \pm 150^\circ$. As previously mentioned, at sufficient resonator currents the dissipative frequency response to increased optical loading can result in the resonance shifting to higher frequencies. The crossover to this behavior is indicated by a red contour where $\delta x = 0$. The fractional error between the theoretical prediction and measurement is shown in Fig. 9.

In order to calculate the expected device noise, both two-level system and amplifier contributions must be considered. The fractional-frequency noise of the device at low power, given by the square root of the measured powerspectral density $\sqrt{S_{xx}}$ of the fractional frequency noise $\delta \nu(t)$, is shown in Fig. 7(d). From this, a value of $\delta x_0 = 1 \times 10^{-8}$ Hz/Hz$^{1/2}$ at 10 Hz can be used in Eq. (14) to calculate the expected frequency noise in the nonlinear regime. Additionally, we assume that this value of $\delta x_0$ is suppressed as $\nu_0^{-1/2} \partial Q_i^{-1}$ as previously observed by Gao et al.\(^\text{24,25}\) The TLS fluctuations in the capacitor dielectric which produce this frequency noise have not been observed to produce dissipation fluctuations.\(^\text{43}\) Thus, for the TLS noise, $\partial Q_i^{-1} = 0$ and no dissipative frequency response is possible. For the amplifier contribution, we have assumed a $T_a = 6 \text{ K}$ noise temperature of our cryogenic amplifier. The fluctuations in
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**FIG. 6.** Measured LEKID response in the bifurcation regime to a change in optical loading from 6.4 pW (solid, blue) to 7 pW (dashed, red). (a) Above bifurcation ($a = 3$), feedback results in a reduction in the frequency shift. As indicated by the arrows, the upper curves were taken while upward sweeping while the lower curves were taken while downward sweeping. (b) As a result of reactive feedback, the response in $\delta x$ is considerably diminished but maintains a clockwise rotation. Here, only the downward frequency sweep is shown. (c) At sufficient readout power, the reduction in the optical loading are marked with a dot (•) and data taken by adjusting the blackbody illumination are marked with a dot (•) and data taken by adjusting the mixing chamber temperature is indicated with an x. (d) The resulting motion in $\delta x$ in this case reverses sense to a counterclockwise rotation.

**FIG. 7.** Measured response and noise in the linear regime. (a) Fractional frequency shift $\delta x$ and dissipation shift $\delta Q_i^{-1}$ as a function of blackbody illumination. (b) Fractional frequency shift $\delta x$ and dissipation shift $\delta Q_i^{-1}$ as a function of mixing chamber temperature. The initial rise in $\delta x$ at low temperature can be understood from TLS effects. A fit of $\delta x$ to the Mattis-Bardeen theory\(^\text{42}\) including a TLS contribution\(^\text{21}\) is shown (solid line) along with the corresponding prediction for $\delta Q_i^{-1}$ (dashed line). The discrepancy between the measured data and theory has been observed in numerous TiN and NbTiN devices and is an active area of research.\(^\text{43,44}\) (c) Dissipation shift $\delta Q_i^{-1}$ versus fractional frequency shift $\delta x$. Here, data taken by adjusting the blackbody illumination are marked with a dot (•) and data taken by adjusting the mixing chamber temperature is indicated with an x. (d) Fractional frequency noise $S_{\delta x}$ measured near resonance in the linear regime ($\phi \approx 0$, $\log(a) = -1.7$).
Combining the response in Fig. 8 with the noise in Fig. 11 yields the device noise-equivalent power (NEP) in the dissipation and frequency quadratures shown in Fig. 12. These independent quadratures may be combined, according to $\text{NEP}^2 = \text{NEP}_{\text{diss}}^2 + \text{NEP}_{\text{freq}}^2$. The best NEP of $2 \times 10^{-16}$ W/Hz$^{1/2}$ at 10 Hz was obtained at the highest

$S_{21} = (4kT_n/P_g)^{1/2}$ are then converted to dissipation and frequency fluctuations. Both the calculated TLS and amplifier frequency noise contributions are shown in Fig. 10. These can be summed in quadrature to yield the total device frequency noise. Both the calculated dissipation and total frequency noise are shown alongside the measured results in Fig. 11.
In signal-to-noise substantially explains the NEP improvement. However, this is not the whole story. Equation (14) provides an additional mechanism for improving NEP_{freq}. Due to the dissipative $\delta Q_{i}$ term in this equation, changes in the quasiparticle density from the optical signal result in both a reactive and dissipative frequency response. At high powers and near resonance, the dissipative frequency response dominates. However, as the TLS noise has no dissipative contribution, it is simply suppressed by the frequency feedback term $(1 - \frac{\delta E}{\delta C})$. The difference in the behavior of the frequency response and noise above the onset of bifurcation produces a region at high powers and near resonance with a substantially improved NEP_{freq}.

The best measured NEP is a factor of two above photon-noise limited performance for the current optical illumination. In order to achieve photon-noise limited operation, a number of optimizations can be made. First, as indicated in the inset of Fig. 13, the simulated dual-polarization optical efficiency of this device under the experimental conditions was $\eta_{opt} \approx 0.3$. By including an anti-reflection coating, backshort, and tuning the TiN sheet resistance, we find that the optical efficiency can be improved to $\eta_{opt} \approx 0.6$. Implementing these changes would then give a modest $\times 1.4$ improvement in the NEP. Also, the fractional frequency noise $S_{\nu}^{1/2}$ has been observed to decrease linearly with increased temperature. Thus, operating at modestly increased temperatures, while taking care that the thermally generated quasiparticles remain negligible compared with those that are optically generated under expected loading conditions, would provide an improved NEP. Implementing these changes would potentially allow the current device to operate with photon-noise limited performance under the typical illumination conditions found in ground-based, far-infrared astronomy.

### IV. CONCLUSION

We have characterized the behavior of a lumped-element kinetic inductance detector optimized for the detection of far-infrared radiation in the linear and nonlinear regime. The device was fabricated from titanium nitride, a promising material due to its tunable $T_{c}$, high intrinsic quality factor, and large normal state resistivity. The measurements were performed under 6.4 pW of loading which is comparable to or somewhat less than the expected loading for ground based astronomical observations. The device was driven nonlinear by a large readout power which is desirable due to the suppression of two-level system noise in the capacitor of the device at high power and the diminishing importance of amplifier noise at large signal powers. At sufficient readout powers, the transfer function of the detector bifurcates. By smoothly downward frequency sweeping a voltage controlled oscillator, we were able to access the upper frequency side of the resonance. The best noise equivalent power in this regime was of $2 \times 10^{-16}$ W/Hz$^{1/2}$ at 10 Hz, a $\sim 10$ fold improvement over the sensitivity below bifurcation.

Two practical conclusions can be drawn from this work. First, the onset of bifurcation can be increased simply by
decreasing $Q_r$. This allows operation at high readout powers without necessitating the use of a smooth, downward frequency sweep. While this provides a mechanism for achieving improved device performance, the decreased $Q_r$ results in each pixel occupying a larger portion of frequency space. This proportionally decreases the multiplexing factor of the array resulting in increased electronics costs. Second, if the TLS noise of the device can be engineered below the amplifier noise contribution, increased pixel performance can be achieved by operating just below bifurcation on the low-frequency side of the resonance. As previously observed\textsuperscript{16} and shown here, the optical frequency response is enhanced without necessitating the use of a smooth, downward frequency sweep. As previously observed\textsuperscript{16} and shown here, the optical frequency response is enhanced without necessitating the use of a smooth, downward frequency sweep. As previously observed\textsuperscript{16} and shown here, the optical frequency response is enhanced without necessitating the use of a smooth, downward frequency sweep.

The results presented are of general interest to the low-temperature detector community focusing on microresonator detectors. First, the included nonlinear resonator fitting model allows extraction of the useful resonator parameters at large readout powers when the kinetic inductance is the dominant device nonlinearity. Next, while increasing the readout complexity, the technique of smooth downward frequency sweeping can significantly increase the detector performance compared to operation below the onset of bifurcation while maintaining a high resonator $Q_r$ necessary for achieving dense frequency multiplexing. Note that this technique can be simultaneously applied to all resonator in an imaging array, shifting the resonances uniformly and preserving the pixel frequency spacing. Finally, the observation that the scaling energy $E_s$ is of order the inductor condensation energy contribution, increased pixel performance can be achieved by operating just below bifurcation on the low-frequency side of the resonance. As previously observed\textsuperscript{16} and shown here, the optical frequency response is enhanced without necessitating the use of a smooth, downward frequency sweep.
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