Electron cryotomography of ESCRT assemblies and dividing *Sulfolobus* cells suggests that spiraling filaments are involved in membrane scission
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**ABSTRACT** The endosomal-sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT) is evolutionarily conserved from Archaea to eukaryotes. The complex drives membrane scission events in a range of processes, including cytokinesis in Metazoa and some Archaea. CdvA is the protein in Archaea that recruits ESCRT-III to the membrane. Using electron cryotomography (ECT), we find that CdvA polymerizes into helical filaments wrapped around liposomes. ESCRT-III proteins are responsible for the cinching of membranes and have been shown to assemble into helical tubes in vitro, but here we show that they also can form nested tubes and nested cones, which reveal surprisingly numerous and versatile contacts. To observe the ESCRT–CdvA complex in a physiological context, we used ECT to image the archaeon *Sulfolobus acidocaldarius* and observed a distinct protein belt at the leading edge of constriction furrows in dividing cells. The known dimensions of ESCRT-III proteins constrain their possible orientations within each of these structures and point to the involvement of spiraling filaments in membrane scission.

**INTRODUCTION**
The endosomal-sorting complex required for transport (ESCRT) is a set of proteins that bend and separate membranes. ESCRT-mediated membrane scission is a highly conserved process involved in cytokinesis in eukaryotes and Archaea, multivesicular body (MVB) formation, and viral budding (reviewed in Wollert et al., 2009b; Hurley, 2010; McCullough et al., 2013). Based on in vitro models for MVB formation, ESCRT-I and -II deform the membrane to form buds, ESCRT-III cleaves the buds, and Vps4 (written “Vps4” in yeast and “VPS4” in humans), a AAA+ ATPase and the only enzyme in the system, recycles ESCRT-III for additional rounds of budding (Wollert and Hurley, 2010).

Although the molecular mechanisms that drive membrane deformation and cleavage by the ESCRT machinery are unclear, several models have been proposed. Hanson et al. (2008) used “deep-etch” electron microscopy to visualize the plasma membrane of cells overexpressing ESCRT-III proteins and found circular arrays of filaments. Wollert et al. (2009a) reconstituted ESCRT-III-driven membrane constriction in vitro on giant unilamellar vesicles, showing that ESCRT-III proteins at high concentrations were sufficient to produce intraluminal vesicles. Vps4 was required to recycle ESCRT-III subunits for further rounds of budding but was not essential for the actual budding event (Wollert et al., 2009a). These two studies led to a model of scission known as “spiral constriction” in which ESCRT-III filaments form a flat spiral on a membrane and then coil inward as they polymerize, pinching out a membrane bud (Hanson et al., 2009; Wollert et al., 2009a).
ESCRT-III’s ability to form dome-shaped caps in vitro led to the related “dome” model (Lata et al., 2008), which posits that as ESCRT-III spirals pinch membranes, they form a dome rather than a flat coil (Fabrikant et al., 2009). Further support for the dome model came from the observation of hemispherically capped cylindrical helices deforming the membranes of cells overexpressing ESCRT-III (Bodon et al., 2011).

A third model arose from the work of (Sakseka et al., 2009), who used fluorescence spectroscopy to determine the order in which yeast ESCRT components assemble on the membrane. They found that ESCRT-III binds to the ESCRT-II complex and oligomerizes on the membrane into a filament. They reported that other ESCRT-III proteins cap the filament and recruit the AAA+-ATPase Vps4, which disassembles the filament. These observations led to the “purse-string” model in which the ESCRT-III filament forms a ring or spiral that is disassembled by Vps4 from one end. The disassembly causes a decrease in the circumference of the ring, much like pulling the drawstring on a purse closes the opening. In this model, Vps4 drives constricton and is therefore vital to the molecular mechanism.

Recently, a fourth model was proposed based on small-angle x-ray scattering data showing that the ESCRT-II/III supercomplex has an arched shape (Boura et al., 2012). This model, a “whorl” of ESCRT-III is created, and Vps4 may promote filament self-association to deform the membrane into a dome. Because of this morphology, the whorl model was described as a modified dome model, but the ESCRT-III filaments were believed to lie within planes including the axis of the budding neck rather than spiraling around it as in other models.

Electron cryotomography (ECT) was used to image HIV-1 budding sites in a near-native, frozen-hydrated state in human cells, but no structure was apparent that could be attributed to the ESCRT machinery (Carlson et al., 2010). Ring-shaped densities were observed, however, in fixed and stained HIV buds arrested in cells lacking some ESCRT-III components (Morita et al., 2011). Guizetti et al. (2011) observed 17-nm-thick helical filaments in the cytokinetic “midbody” of fixed and stained human cells, but the composition of the observed filaments is unclear since 17 nm is much thicker than any filaments formed by ESCRT proteins imaged previously (Hanson et al., 2008; Lata et al., 2008; Bajorek et al., 2009b; Pires et al., 2009). X-ray crystal structures reveal protein packing in numerous ways (Muziol et al., 2006; Bajorek et al., 2009b; Xiao et al., 2009). One of the observed crystal structures is fit into one set of helical tubes observed by ECT, suggesting that monomers interact roughly lengthwise to form the filaments that coil into a one-start helix (Lata et al., 2008).

To further explore the assembly properties of ESCRT proteins, we imaged assemblies of CHMP1B and the IST1 N-terminal domain (IST1NTD) again, but this time with ECT. The IST1NTD is structurally similar to other CHMPs (Xiao et al., 2009; Bajorek et al., 2009b) and has been suggested to play a dual role in regulating Vps4 recycling of ESCRT-III: it recruits Vps4 to the ESCRT machinery for depolymerization, but it also negatively regulates Vps4 by forming a heterodimer with it in the cytoplasm to block binding with ESCRT proteins (Dimanno et al., 2008). It also plays a vital role in cytokinesis (Agromayor et al., 2009; Bajorek et al., 2009a). CHMP1B also recruits VPS4 to depolymerize the complex (Stuchell-Brereton et al., 2007; Bajorek et al., 2009a). We also imaged mixtures of CHMP2A and CHMP3. In addition to confirming previous work showing that ESCRT proteins form cylinders and cones, we show here that at high concentration, these structures also have a propensity to nest. The thick tubes of concentric cylinders and stacks of cones with dome and bell shapes reveal numerous and surprisingly versatile protein–protein contacts.

We also sought to image the ESCRT complex in vivo. Previous immunofluorescence studies showed that all three archaeal components (ESCRT-III, CdvA, and Vps4) localize to the midcell during cell division and form a cytokinetic ring (Samson et al., 2008, 2011). Here imaging plunge-frozen, dividing S. acidocaldarius cells with ECT revealed a thin, flexible protein belt at the leading edge of the ingresson furrow throughout cell division. On the basis of the numerous and versatile binding properties of ESCRT proteins seen here and elsewhere, we interpret the belts as flexible helical structures containing cylindrical, conical, spiral, and bell-shaped regions.

RESULTS
CdvA-coated liposomes
In the archaeon S. acidocaldarius, CdvA recruits ESCRT-III to the midcell membrane for constriction (Samson et al., 2011). Although full-length CdvA purified from a different species assemblies on DNA (Moriscot et al., 2011), purified S. acidocaldarius CdvA did not polymerize by itself in our hands, but in the presence of lipids it formed filaments (Figure 1 and Supplemental Movie S1). Liposomes were made of a major component of tetraether lipids called polar lipid fraction E that was isolated from S. acidocaldarius. Slender CdvA filaments wrapped around liposomes in helices with a variable spacing of ~8 nm. As a control, plain liposomes without CdvA were imaged, but no wrapping filaments were ever seen, supporting the interpretation that the filaments were in fact CdvA. It was previously shown that the addition of ESCRT-III protein to CdvA-coated liposomes causes rapid degradation of the liposomes, so the two proteins in complex could not be observed on the liposomes using ECT (Samson et al., 2011).
CHMP1B formed thick tubes with variable outer diameters but a consistent 12-nm-diameter hollow inner channel, with clear striations 4.7 nm apart along the long axis (Figure 2A; all measurements reported are from density “peak” to “peak” since this is reliable in EM images, but the exact position of the edges of densities is not). Careful segmentation of a tube segment that froze with its axis parallel to the grid revealed that the structures were one-start helical ramps (e.g., as in a parking garage) rather than stacks of independent disks (Supplemental Movie S2). Several other, short tubular segments froze with their long axis perpendicular to the EM grid. Projections along that view exhibited “bull’s-eye” patterns of concentric rings 3 nm apart radially (Figure 2B). The thick tubes are therefore concentrically stacked, thin helices. The smallest-diameter CHMP1B helix had a circumference of 38 nm, and, of interest, the subsequent rings increased in circumference by 19 nm, half the circumference of the smallest ring. The dimensions of CHMP3 are ∼2.5 × 3.5 × 7.1 nm (Muziol et al., 2006; Bajorek et al., 2009b). Because the structures of all CHMP family members are predicted to be very similar and the lateral and radial striations in the tubes are <7.1 nm, CHMP1B monomers must bind each other at least roughly lengthwise to form the filaments that then wrap to form the helices.

The outer diameters of longer tubes changed gradually, resulting in “barbs” (Figure 2C). Such changes in diameter could be due to outer helices terminating, revealing smaller helices within, but because there did not appear to be uniform radial steps, and in analogy to the cones and domes seen in previous studies of other ESCRT-III proteins (Lata et al., 2008; Bajorek et al., 2009b), the barbs more likely represent helical cones. The cone angle varied within and between barbs, however, resulting in regions with outer shapes resembling “cones” and “bells.” In addition to these variations, the bull’s-eye patterns of concentric rings and the segments of the tubes with constant diameter proved that there were also regions where the helices remained cylindrical (projections down the axis of a cone do not exhibit distinct circular rings when viewed from the top because each new turn of the helix overlaps with the previous turn). Our interpretation is that individual filaments wrap to form cylinders, cones, and bells in different regions, transitioning between these shapes along the length of the filament, and then these structures often stack, as illustrated by the colored three dimensional (3D) model in Figure 2. CHMP1B can therefore bind neighboring monomers lengthwise (to form a filament), laterally (between the coils of each helix), and radially (to the helix of just smaller or just larger radius).

Because each helix within a multilayered cylindrical tube has a different circumference, each must contain different numbers of monomers per turn, regardless of the length or orientation of the monomer (but assuming that its dimensions are constant throughout the tube). Radially adjacent monomers in the tubes cannot therefore all be in a single consistent register, so the radial contacts must be either highly flexible or nonspecific (Figure 2B). The cones must have a similar property: because each turn of a cone has a different circumference, monomers in adjacent turns cannot all be in a single consistent register, so there must be a similar flexibility/nonspecificity in the lateral (diagonal) contacts from one turn to the next. The nested cones must possess such flexible/nonspecific contacts both radially and diagonally.

IST1NTD (Bajorek et al., 2009b) and CHMP2A/3 assemblies were also imaged (Lata et al., 2008). IST1NTD formed hollow, striated tubes with different inner and outer diameters (Figure 3A). Side views of tubes in negative stain showed striations spaced 5 nm apart and oriented ~13° from perpendicular to the long axis of the tubes.

**FIGURE 1:** CdvA-coated liposomes. (A–C) Top, middle, and bottom slices through a 3D cryotomogram of a CdvA-decorated tetraether liposome (scale bar, 50 nm). (D) Three-dimensional segmentation, showing CdvA filaments (blue) wrapping around the liposome (purple).

**In vitro assemblies of ESCRT-III**

Next we sought to image ESCRT-III assemblies, which cause membrane cinching. Archaeal ESCRT-III proteins cannot be purified for in vitro assembly due to their insolubility. Because archaeal and human ESCRT-III proteins are believed to be very structurally similar (Lindås et al., 2008; Supplemental Figure S1), human ESCRT-III proteins were used to observe possible assembly capabilities of the proteins in vitro. ESCRT-III proteins were purified, either negatively stained or plunge-frozen, and imaged with ECT.
effect here of emphasizing the outermost and innermost rings and suppressing the contrast of the middle rings. In addition, the “missing wedge” of data reduces the resolution in the direction parallel to the beam, obscuring the rings across the “top” and “bottom” of the tubes in the view shown.) The features exhibited by the IST1NTD tubes were very similar to those of the CHMP1B tubes, including a hollow central channel. Similarly, negatively stained CHMP2A/3 formed striated cones and tubes (Figure 3B) as seen before (Lata et al., 2008), but here stacked cones were also seen, providing evidence of nonspecific radial contacts. Thus all three human ESCRT-III proteins demonstrated interactions in all three directions, including nonspecific interactions radially and laterally.

Dividing Sulfolobus
To visualize the ESCRT-III and CdvA complex in a physiologically relevant setting, the constriction rings of S. acidocaldarius cells were observed with ECT. S. acidocaldarius cells flattened somewhat when placed on EM grids and were therefore small enough to be imaged intact. Cells were synchronized for division as previously described (Duggin et al., 2008) and plunge-frozen at the time of division (as determined by flow cytometry). Tilt series were collected of 12 dividing cells...
FIGURE 4: Protein belt in dividing *S. acidocaldarius* cells. (A–F) Left to right, central slices through cryotomograms; insets of magnified division furrows revealing protein belt on the membrane; and top and side views of corresponding segmentations of the cell membrane (green) and protein belt (yellow). Scale bars, 200 nm for the whole cells, 20 nm for the insets. (A) A nondividing cell exhibiting a flat protein belt. The three layers of the insets shown are the belt (B), membrane (M), and S-layer (S). (B) A cell that has started ingressing on one side only. (C) A cell that shows one side ingressing deeper into the cell with a small radius of curvature and the other side still very shallow and flat. (D–F) Cells farther along in the division process with both sides ingressing and becoming narrower.

and 159 nondividing cells (example tilt series are shown in Supplementary Movie S3 and a tomogram in Supplementary Movie S4). The dividing cells exhibited different degrees of ingression, ranging from 1.6 μm remaining to divide (unconstricted) to 362 nm (examples in Figure 4). In each dividing cell, the ingressing membrane was lined with an apparently proteinaceous belt ~3.5 nm thick, 150–400 nm wide, and 6 nm away from the membrane (center-to-center) into the cytoplasm (Figure 4 and Supplementary Movie S5). As with the in vitro helices, although the belts likely wrapped around the entire circumference of the cells, they were obscured across the tops and bottoms of the cells due to the well-known “missing-wedge” artifact inherent in ECT. As cell division progressed (measured by the diameter of the cytokinetic ring), the width of the belt increased, but its thickness remained constant (Figure 5). The total surface area of the belt decreased, however, indicating a net loss of material. The curvature across the width of the belt also increased as the cell progressed through division and the membrane folded more deeply. Cross sections of the belt progressed from initially flat at the beginning of division to teardrop shaped later. Of interest, the cleavage furrow was always more advanced and differently shaped on one side of the cell than the other, suggesting further flexibility within individual belts. This was the case in cells prepared by traditional methods (fixed, embedded, and sectioned) as well, so it is not likely an artifact of flattening during plunge-freezing. The S-layer was often incomplete at the site of ingestion, and many budding vesicles were observed on the side of the cells, adjacent to the ingestion site, where the membrane is at maximum curvature.

DISCUSSION

Although purified ESCRT proteins were already known to assemble into helical tubes, cones, spirals, and domes (Lata et al., 2008; Bajorek et al., 2009b; Pires et al., 2009), here we showed that they can also form nested cylinders and stacked cones and bells and even transition between a range of shapes, apparently within the length of a single helical filament. Two factors challenge, however, the relevance of the in vitro structures. First, they were formed at very high concentration. Second, they were homopolymers, and it is known that heteromeric complexes act in human cells (Bajorek et al., 2009b). The structures nevertheless reveal a general property of ESCRT that has not been highlighted in the literature: because each
helix within a thick-walled tube or turn within a cone has a different circumference, the radial and lateral/diagonal contacts must be either highly flexible or nonspecific.

These properties became of even greater interest when we imaged intact *S. acidocaldarius* cells in a near-native (plunge-frozen) state in 3D by ECT and observed a distinct protein belt lining the ingression furrow of all dividing cells. Although ECT can be used to image wild-type cells in native states, identifying specific protein densities within cryotomograms can be a challenge. In previous work we identified densities by perturbing their abundance or stability (e.g., Li et al., 2007; Ingerson-Mahar et al., 2010), through correlated light and electron microscopies (Briegel et al., 2008; Schlimpert et al., 2012), or by their structural signatures when purified or heterologously expressed (Pilhofer et al., 2010; Basler et al., 2012). Unfortunately in this case no stable ESCRT-III or CdvA genetic modifications with penetrance suitably high for ECT studies are available in *Sulfolobus*, so we could not perturb the abundance of ESCRT system components, and lysed cells were not amenable to immunogold labeling. Immunofluorescence studies have shown, however, that the ESCRT system localizes to a ring at the midcell region specifically throughout division (including when the constriction ring had the diameters seen here by ECT; Samson et al., 2011), and the belt was present here in every dividing cell imaged but only one nondividing cell (out of 159). Because the one nondividing cell containing this protein density was most likely preparing to divide, the correlation between light and electron microscopies is strong. The belt is further structurally consistent with previous crystallography and in vitro imaging of ESCRT (see later discussion), and other species of Archaea and bacteria that do not divide using the ESCRT system have not exhibited this structure when dividing (Li et al., 2007; Tocheva et al., 2011). Thus, although we have been unable to confirm that the protein belt seen here is ESCRT, our working hypothesis is that it is, and we present a structural interpretation to stimulate further experiments and discussion. Future superresolution light microscopy, or ECT of ESCRT assemblies in other contexts, such as other dividing Archaea, eukaryotic midbodies, or viral budding sites, should confirm or refute our hypothesis that the belt is ESCRT.

On the assumption that the belt seen in dividing *Sulfolobus* cells is the ESCRT machinery, the long axis of the ESCRT monomers (∼7 nm) must lie parallel to the membrane, since the belt’s thickness is only ∼3.5 nm. The smooth edges of the belt further suggest that ESCRT filaments wrap around the circumference of the cytokinetic ring rather than perpendicular to the ring, and the different belt widths likely reflect different numbers of coils. The data are therefore consistent with the model that the belt consists of helical ESCRT filaments wrapping around the circumference of the cytokinetic ring (Figure 6). It remains unclear how ESCRT-III and CdvA are oriented with respect to each other and the membrane, but CdvA is not likely to be in the belt, since CdvA would not then be in direct contact with the membrane. Instead, since CdvA recruits ESCRT-III to the membrane, one likely scenario compatible with the observed structures is that it lies between the two (Figure 6). This structural arrangement would be consistent with the finding that although every ESCRT-III ring colocalizes with CdvA, not every CdvA ring has an ESCRT-III structure decorating it (Samson et al., 2011). If CdvA forms a layer between the membrane and the belt, however, it is clear from the low density in the tomograms that the layer is much less closely packed than the ESCRT belt. This is reasonable because it is not necessary that every ESCRT-III be bound to a CdvA: ESCRT-III will form a coherent belt by itself and may only be sparsely linked to the membrane by CdvA.
ESCRT filaments can form cylinders, domes, cones, spirals, bells, and stacked cones and transition between them. In early-dividing cells, the protein belt is flat in cross section, whereas in late-dividing cells, they grow conically toward the division furrow. The ESCRT machinery acts, including, for instance, cytokinesis in eukaryotes. ESCRT proteins do not localize to the intercellular bridge in eukaryotes until the membranes are ∼1 μm apart (Guizetti et al., 2008) as well as cross sections through the nested cylinders here. In fact, all the interactions required to sustain the dynamic belt can be seen in the barbed, thick tubes of purified CHMP1B (black lines in Figure 2C).

Similar structures are likely to be involved in other contexts in which the ESCRT machinery acts, including, for instance, cytokinesis in eukaryotes. ESCRT proteins do not localize to the intercellular bridge in eukaryotes until the membranes are separated from adjacent turns, unlike the apparently tight coil seen here in Sulfolobus. The filaments in the Guizetti study were identified as ESCRT-III because CdvA RNA interference–treated cells did not exhibit similar filaments, but because of their size perhaps the filaments are composed of, or consist largely of, other proteins that depend on CHMP2A for assembly.
similarly stained and imaged, as well as plunge-frozen and imaged using electron cryotomography. The tomographic data collection were 41,000× magnification, −3.5-μm defocus, tilt ± 60°, 2° tilt increments, a dose ranging between 120 and 240 e^-Å^2, and pixel size of 0.5 nm. Tomograms were reconstructed using eTomo or Raptor and were binned by two. Software packages Amira and IMOD were used for making supplemental movies.

S. acidocaldarius

**Growth conditions and synchronization.** S. acidocaldarius DSM639 was grown in Brock’s medium, pH 3.2, at 78°C and synchronized as described in Duggin et al. (2008). Specifically, 75 ml of culture at approximately OD_600 = 0.1 was applied to a poly-lysine–coated membrane within the baby machine apparatus. After pumping of Brock’s medium through the apparatus for 3.5 h at −0.8 ml/min, newly divided cells were collected on ice over a period of 30 min. Synchronized grow-out was initiated by transferring the vessel containing the cells from ice to a water bath heated to 78°C.

**Purification of protein, polar lipid fraction E lipids, and antibodies.** S. acidocaldarius CdvA (residues 69–238) was purified as described in Samson et al. (2011). Polar lipid fraction E (PLFE) tetraether lipids were isolated from S. acidocaldarius cells, and PLFE liposomes were prepared as previously described (Samson et al., 2011). Affinity columns were prepared and antisera were purified as described (Samson et al., 2008).

**EM sample preparation, data collection, tomographic reconstruction, and analysis.** EM R2/2 copper Quantifoil grids were made hydrophilic by using a Harrick plasma cleaner for 30 s. The grids were stored in a liquid nitrogen–cooled dry shipper and shipped to Caltech for imaging. grids were stored in a liquid nitrogen–cooled dry shipper and shipped to Caltech for imaging. The tilt series were binned by two, and 3D tomograms were calculated using the IMOD software package (Suloway et al., 2005). Cumulative doses of up to 200 e^-Å^2 were used.

The tilt series were binned by two, and 3D tomograms were calculated using the IMOD software package (Kremer et al., 1996). Dividing cells were 3D rendered using Amira (Visage Imaging, San Diego, CA). The surface area was calculated for each cell using the average of the belt width × the average diameter of the belt ring × 2π for the surface area of a cylinder. It was assumed that the ring was complete around the cell, even though not all of it could be measured due to the missing wedge, and that it was circular, even though the cell flattened on the grid. This surface area calculation was performed in the same way for all cells and is used to compare cells rather than for accurate independent values.

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was supported in part by National Institutes of Health Grant P50 GM082545 to G.J., National Science Foundation Grant DMR1105277 to P.L.C., and a gift to Caltech from the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation. We thank Wesley I. Sundquist for advice and critical reading of the manuscript, Kay Grunewald for use of laboratory equipment, and Morgan Beeby, Jason Porath, and Jean Choi for their help with illustrations.

**REFERENCES**


Membrane scission by ESCRT


