The study of strongly correlated quantum systems is of central interest in modern condensed matter physics due to the exciting properties exhibited by those systems, in particular, unconventional phases with topological order. In order to identify topological order in such systems, topological entropies [1,2] have been applied successfully. To obtain more information than contained in the entropy, the entanglement spectrum (ES)—i.e., the spectrum of the reduced density operator of a region—has been studied, and it has been realized that for certain systems, the low-energy part of the ES resembles the spectrum of the thermal state of a one-dimensional (1D) local boundary Hamiltonian which can be associated with the boundary of the region studied and which seems to be related to the physical edge modes of the model [3–6]. While this relation between bulk ES, boundary Hamiltonian, and edge excitations can be made rigorous in some cases [7,8], in most cases, the Hamiltonian is a posteriori inferred from the structure of the ES and a general connection between ES and boundary still needs to be made.

In Ref. [9], we made progress in that direction by proving a rigorous connection between ES and boundary using the framework of projected entangled-pair states (PEPS) [10], which form the appropriate description of ground states of gapped local Hamiltonians both in conventional and topological phases [11]. This allowed us to derive a one-dimensional boundary Hamiltonian, which we found to be local in trivial phases (without symmetry breaking or topological order). Following the Li-Haldane conjecture about the relation of boundary Hamiltonian and edge physics [3], this allows for conclusions about the structure of a system’s edge excitations. On the other hand, for both symmetry-broken and topological phases, we found a highly nonlocal boundary Hamiltonian, making it impossible to infer something about the actual edge physics. Yet, since this boundary Hamiltonian acts on the virtual bond variables, its local and nonlocal characters are not necessarily reflected in physical space.

In this Letter, we establish a framework for studying the boundary Hamiltonian of topologically ordered phases in the framework of PEPS. We start by showing how topological order is reflected in the properties of the transfer operator, which in turn enables us to decompose the boundary Hamiltonian of topological models into two parts. The universal part couples to nonlocal (topological) degrees of freedom and determines the phase of the system but is independent on microscopic details. The nonuniversal part is local (thereby generalizing what happens for trivial phases), depends on microscopic details, but vanishes under renormalization group (RG) flows; moreover, it commutes with the symmetries which originate from the universal part. Therefore, the nonuniversal part can help to infer the nature of the edge physics of the model.

Let us first introduce PEPS and explain how to use them to derive boundary theories. For clarity, we restrict to square lattices on a cylinder (with length \(N_y\) and circumference \(N_x\)). A (translational invariant) PEPS \( |\psi\rangle = \sum_c e^{i\alpha} c_{i_1 \ldots i_N} |\mathbf{i}_1, \ldots, i_N\rangle \) is described by a five-index tensor \( A^\alpha_{a\beta\gamma\delta} \) [Fig. 1(a)], with \( i \) the physical and \( \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \) the virtual indices, such that the coefficient \( c_{i_1 \ldots i_N} \) is obtained by arranging tensors \( A^{i_1}, \ldots, A^{i_N} \) on the cylinder and contracting each virtual index with the corresponding index of the adjacent tensors, while putting boundary conditions \( |\chi_L\rangle \) and \( |\chi_R\rangle \) at the open virtual indices at the two ends [Fig. 1(c)]. PEPS naturally appear as ground states of local parent Hamiltonians [12,13]; the boundary conditions \( |\chi\rangle \)
can be incorporated in the Hamiltonian by making the virtual indices at the boundary physical and including them in the parent Hamiltonian, and additionally acting with a frustration-free Hamiltonian term with ground space \(|\chi\rangle\) on them. (In particular, if \(|\chi\rangle\) is a matrix product state, this Hamiltonian is local.)

As proven in Ref. [9] (see also appendix A in the Supplemental Material [14]), for any PEPS, the ES of a half-cylinder [cut indicated in Fig. 1(c)] is equal to the spectrum of

\[
\sigma \propto \sqrt{\sigma_L^* \sigma_R \sigma_L^*},
\]

where \(\sigma_R\) is the state obtained at the virtual indices of the right half-cylinder by contracting the physical indices with the adjoint (cf. Figs. 1(d) and 1(f)) (with \(\chi_R = |\chi\rangle\langle\chi_R|\)), and correspondingly for \(\sigma_L^*\); for \(N_v \gg 1\), this is just the eigenvector corresponding to the largest eigenvalue of the transfer operator \(\mathcal{T}\); see Figs. 1(d) and 1(e). From there, one can construct a boundary Hamiltonian \(H = -\log\sigma\) which acts on the virtual degrees of freedom at the boundary and which exactly reproduces the ES. As demonstrated in Ref. [9], this Hamiltonian is local if the system is in a trivial phase and becomes nonlocal in symmetry-broken or topological phases. In this work, we revisit the structure of the boundary Hamiltonian for topological phases: There, the transfer operator exhibits symmetries and degeneracies, giving rise to a nonunique fixed point. As we will show, by properly interpreting the structure of the transfer operator and identifying the physically relevant fixed points, the locality of the boundary Hamiltonian can in part be recovered also for topological phases.

Topological order in PEPS is accompanied by a virtual symmetry of the tensor \(A\), such as the invariance under the representation of a (finite) symmetry group; see Fig. 1(b) [13] (more general symmetries are given, e.g., by Hopf algebras [15] or tensor categories [16]). For simplicity, we focus on \(\mathbb{Z}_2\) symmetry; i.e., \(A\) is invariant under \(\mathbb{Z}^\otimes 4\), for some unitary representation \(\{1, Z\}\) of \(\mathbb{Z}_2\), but our findings easily generalize to any finite group. In that case, the four possible ground states are distinguished by (i) whether \(|\chi_L\rangle\) and \(|\chi_R\rangle\) are in the \(\pm 1\) eigenspace of \(Z^\otimes N_v\) (i.e., have an even or odd parity of \(1\)’s, denoted as \(p = e, o\) and (ii) by the possibility of having a string of \(Z\)’s along the cylinder; see Fig. 1(h) [13]. It is convenient to picture the \(Z\) string as coupled to a flux \(\phi \in \{0, \pi\}\) threading the cylinder.

The symmetry Fig. 1(b) of \(A\) induces the same symmetry independently in the ket and bra layers of \(\mathcal{E}\) [Fig. 1(d)] and subsequently in \(\mathcal{T}\) [Fig. 1(e)], i.e., \([\mathcal{T}, Z^\otimes N_v \otimes \mathbb{1}^\otimes N_v] = [\mathcal{T}, \mathbb{1}^\otimes N_v \otimes Z^\otimes N_v] = 0\), where the tensor product is with respect to the ket and bra layers; that is, \(\mathcal{T}\) has four blocks corresponding to the \(Z^\otimes N_v\) eigenvalue (i.e., parity) for both the ket and the bra layers. If we include the \(Z\) string coupled to the flux [Fig. 1(h)], we find that the overall transfer operator consists of four such transfer operators \(\mathcal{T}_\phi^\rho\) [Fig. 1(i)], each corresponding to a flux \(\phi\) for the ket and \(\phi'\) for the bra layer, respectively. Overall, it follows that the transfer operator is block diagonal with 16 blocks \(\mathcal{T}_\phi^\rho\), each corresponding to one of the 16 blocks \(\rho^{\phi} = (\sigma_L, \sigma_R)\) of the fixed point density operator, with parity \(p\) (\(p'\)) and flux \(\phi\) (\(\phi'\)) on the ket (bra) layer.

As an example, let us consider Kitaev’s toric code (TC) [17]. Locally, it is a uniform superposition of all closed loops on a lattice, which can be described by assigning dual variables \(\pm 1\) (colors) to the plaquettes, with loops wherever the dual variable changes (i.e., loops are boundaries of colored regions); see Fig. 1(j). The PEPS is then obtained by blocking the marked region and assigning the bonds to the plaquette variables; see Fig. 1(k). The \(\mathbb{Z}^\otimes 4\) symmetry of the tensor reflects the fact that inverting the entire coloring does not change the state. A \(Z\) string along the cylinder [Fig. 1(h)] flips the coloring, which leads to an odd number of horizontal strings, while an even (odd) \(Z^\otimes N_v\) parity in \(|\chi_L\rangle\) and \(|\chi_R\rangle\) gives a state with a plus (minus) superposition of an even and odd number of loops around the cylinder.

For the TC, \(\mathcal{E} = (\mathbb{1}^\otimes + Z^\otimes 4)\), and thus \(\mathcal{T}_\phi^\rho = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{1}^\otimes N_v \otimes \mathbb{1}^\otimes N_v \otimes Z^\otimes N_v \otimes Z^\otimes N_v) = \rho_e \otimes \rho_e + \rho_o \otimes \rho_o\), with \(\rho_{e,o} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbb{1}^\otimes N_v \pm Z^\otimes N_v)\) the projectors onto the even or odd parity subspace at the boundary, while for \(\phi' \neq \phi\), \(\mathcal{T}_\phi^{\phi'} = 0\). That is, \(\mathcal{T}\) has four degenerate fixed points, corresponding to the four “diagonal” blocks \(\rho_{e,o}^{\phi}\) of the boundary. The four blocks correspond to the four ground states, and, as we will see, their degeneracy is essential for the system to be topologically ordered.

To better understand how the structure of the transfer operator reflects the order of the system, we add string tension to the TC, i.e., weigh every configuration with \(\lambda^4\), where \(\ell\) is the total length of all loops; this can be achieved...
by locally modifying the tensors (keeping the $Z^{\psi_1}$ symmetry) and translates to a magnetic field in the Hamiltonian \[ H_\gamma \text{PRL} 111, 090501 (2013) \] and we find that the density operator with weights determined by the boundary condition \[ j \text{Symmetrizing [cf. Eq. (1)] preserves this block structure, and we find that the density operator } \sigma_\text{topo} \text{ which reproduces the ES is of the form} \]

\[
\sigma_\text{topo} = w_{\phi \phi}^{\top}\sigma^{\top}_\phi \otimes w_{\phi \phi}^{\top}\sigma^{\top}_\phi \otimes w_{c c}^{\top}\sigma^{\top}_c \otimes w_{o o}^{\top}\sigma^{\top}_o, \tag{2}
\]

where the weights \( w_{\phi \phi}^{\top} \geq 0 \) can be adjusted arbitrarily by appropriate boundary conditions. We can now define a Hamiltonian \( H = -\log \sigma \) which reproduces the ES. \( H \) commutes with both \( Z \) parity and flux; that is, there are \( H_\phi, f = 0, \pi, \) satisfying \( [H_\phi, Z^{\psi_N}] = 0 \); i.e., the \( H_\phi \) obey a superselection rule inherited from the topological symmetry.

Hamiltonians with different weights \( w_{\phi \phi}^{\top} \) and \( q_{\phi \phi}^{\top} \) (\( H'_\phi \)) are related via \( H'_\phi = H_\phi - \log(q_{\phi \phi}^{\top}/w_{\phi \phi}^{\top})P_\phi \otimes \log(q_{\phi \phi}^{\top}/w_{\phi \phi}^{\top})P_\phi \). This implies two things: First, the boundary Hamiltonians obtained for different boundary conditions differ just by a universal contribution which only depends on the underlying symmetry but not on microscopic details. Second, since \( P_\phi = \frac{1}{2}(I \otimes \sigma_0 \pm Z^{\psi_N}) \), the boundary Hamiltonian will generally be highly nonlocal, and, if at all, will only be local for a specific choice \( w_{\phi \phi}^{\top} \).

As discussed in appendix B in the Supplemental Material [14], the only choice for which we can expect a local Hamiltonian is \( w_{\phi \phi}^{\top} = w_{\phi \phi}^{\top} \). The result for the TC with string tension is shown in Fig. 3, and we find indeed that the terms in \( H_\phi \) decay exponentially with distance; i.e., \( H_\phi \) is local (see appendix C in the Supplemental Material [14]). Note that by combining the locality of \( H_\phi \) with the symmetry \( [H_\phi, Z^{\psi_N}] = 0 \), we can already infer that \( H_\phi \) must be well approximated by a parity-preserving nearest-neighbor Hamiltonian; in the language of creation and annihilation operators, this amounts to
hopping, pairing, repulsion, and on-site potential terms. Closer analysis yields that $H_\phi$ is very well approximated by an Ising Hamiltonian $\sum X_iX_{i+1}$, with strongly suppressed longer-range Ising couplings and even more strongly suppressed many-body terms. One would naturally expect that $H_\phi$ and $H_\pi$ only differ by a phase $e^{i\pi} = -1$ for terms which change parity across the boundary, which is indeed what we observe (Fig. 3(c)).

Figure 3 also shows that $H_\phi$ becomes long-ranged at the phase transition and stays so in the trivial phase, seemingly contradicting earlier findings [9] where the Hamiltonian in the trivial phase was local. However, the derivation of $H_\phi$ was based on the structure of the transfer operator, which changes radically in the trivial phase (Fig. 2): First, eigenvalues corresponding to $\phi = \pi$, $\gamma_{\rho\pi}$, become strictly smaller than one. This implies that the norm of states in the $\phi = \pi$ sector vanishes exponentially in $N_v$, and thus, in that sector and unstable: Any random symmetry-preserving perturbation of $A$ (and thus of the parent Hamiltonian) will yield an admixture of the $\phi = 0$ sector at $N_v/\rho$th order, and thus, for an appropriate ratio $N_v/N_h$, the $\phi = \pi$ sector vanishes in the thermodynamic limit. It remains to see what happens to the two states in the $\phi = 0$ sector. There, $|\chi^{(0)}\rangle$ is $|\gamma_{\rho\pi}\rangle$ [see Fig. 2(c)], which implies that the two states in this sector become equal in the thermodynamic limit, since their overlap is given by $|\text{tr}[\mathcal{O}^{(0)}]/\sqrt{|\text{tr}[\mathcal{O}^{(0)}]\text{tr}[\mathcal{O}^{(0)}]}| = (\gamma_{\rho\pi}^{(0)})^{N_v} \to 1$. Thus, studying the transfer operator reveals that the system in fact has only one ground state.

In accordance with the changed structure of the transfer operator in the trivial phase, the boundary state $\sigma_{\text{triv}}$ can be any state $\sigma_{\text{triv}} = \sum_{\rho'\rho} w^{\rho'0}_{\rho\rho} \sigma_{\rho'0} \geq 0$, which all have the same spectrum but yield different Hamiltonians. Choosing $w^{\rho 0}_{\rho\rho} = w^{00}_{\rho\rho} = \frac{1}{2}$ and extremal $w^{\rho 0}_{\rho\rho} = w^{00}_{\rho\rho}$ (such that $\sigma_{\text{triv}}$ becomes singular), we find that $\sigma_{\text{triv}}$ is well approximated by $\mu(\beta) = \exp[- B \sum X_i]/Z$; see Fig. 3(b).

Let us briefly summarize our findings: We have found that the virtual symmetry of topological PEPS [Fig. 1(b)] induces a block-diagonal structure of the transfer operator, topological order is witnessed by the degeneracy of the diagonal blocks. We can then construct boundary Hamiltonians $H_\phi' = \beta_{\text{topo}} H_{\text{topo}} + H_\phi$, with a universal part $H_{\text{topo}} = Z^{\otimes N_v}$, which only depends on the symmetry (which is universal) and a $\beta_{\text{topo}}$ which depends on the boundary conditions. The nonuniversal part $H_\phi$ is local (i.e., vanishes under RG) and thus represents the short-range physics of the system, and it is independent of boundary conditions. A phase transition is accompanied by a diverging interaction length of $H_\phi$. $H_\phi$ inherits the PEPS symmetry $[H_\phi, Z^{\otimes N_v}] = 0$, which—together with the locality of $H_\phi$—allows us to infer much of its structure, and it couples to the flux in a natural way. Note that the symmetry also constrains the structure of the physical edge modes: The space of zero-energy excitations is spanned by putting arbitrary boundary conditions $|b\rangle$ with $\langle b|e^{-H}|b\rangle > 0$ at the open bonds, which restricts them to $Z^{\otimes N_v}|b\rangle = |b\rangle$.

Our findings generalize straightforwardly to cylinders with two virtual boundaries [Fig. 1(b)], as encountered when studying the ES on a torus. From the form of the transfer operator, it is immediate that $H_\phi' = \beta_{\text{topo}}(P_{\text{even}} \otimes P_{\text{even}} + P_{\text{odd}} \otimes P_{\text{odd}}) + H_\phi \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes H_\phi$, where $\beta_{\text{topo}} = \infty$ (i.e., the total parity must be even) and where the nonuniversal $H_\phi$ is the same as before. ($H_\phi$ can differ for the two boundaries if $\mathbb{T}$ is not Hermitian.)

The form of the boundary also has consequences for the topological entropy $S(\rho_A) = S(\sigma_{\rho_A}) = \mathcal{H}([w^{\rho\phi}_{\rho\phi}]) + \sum w^{\rho\rho}_{\rho\rho} S(\sigma_{\rho\rho}^{\rho\phi})$, with $\mathcal{H}(\cdot)$ the Shannon entropy: Depending on the boundary conditions, $\mathcal{H}([w^{\rho\phi}_{\rho\phi}])$ changes and thus the topological correction varies between 1 and $-\frac{1}{2}$. Note that our findings generalize to any finite group, where the blocks of the transfer operator are labeled by the particle types of the model [17].

We have applied our findings to the resonating valence bond (RVB) state on the kagome lattice and an interpolation from it to the TC; see appendix D in the Supplemental Material [14] and Ref. [20]. The tensors for the RVB have a $Z_2$ symmetry with representation $Z = \text{diag}(1, 1, -1)$; additionally, there is an SU(2) symmetry with representation $\frac{1}{2} \otimes 0$. Thus, we expect the boundary Hamiltonian to describe a system with a spinful particle or vacuum per site, with SU(2) invariance and conserved particle parity—similar to a $t$-$J$ model, but without particle number conservation. Figure 4(a) shows the spectrum of the transfer operator for the RVB to TC interpolation: The four “diagonal” blocks are essentially degenerate (inset), while the off-diagonal blocks are suppressed, witnessing topological order in the system. The boundary Hamiltonian $H_0$

![Fig. 4](color online). RVB to TC interpolation. (a) $|\gamma_{\rho\phi}^{\rho\phi}\rangle$ for the off-diagonal blocks as a function of the interpolation parameter $\theta$ (with $\theta = 0$ the RVB and $\theta = 1$ the TC), with normalization $\gamma_{\rho\phi}^{\rho\phi} = 1$, for $N_v = 10$. Inset: Maximal splitting of the diagonal blocks $|\gamma_{\rho\phi}^{\rho\phi}\rangle$ (from top: $\theta = 0, 0.1, 0.2, \ldots$). (b) Interaction range along the interpolation for $N_v = 6, 8$ (small or large symbols).
is local throughout [see Fig. 4(b)], with dominant hopping and smaller repulsion and Heisenberg terms at the RVB point. These results provide further evidence that the RVB is in the same phase as the TC and that its edge physics resembles a bosonic $t$-$J$ model.
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