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ABSTRACT

We have studied the Mg and Ca isotopic compositions of an unusual Allende inclusion dominated by hibonite, which is the most refractory and possibly the most primitive major oxide mineral. No $^{26}$Mg excess was found in spite of the high $^{27}$Al/$^{24}$Mg ($1 \geq 10^3$) of some samples, indicating an initial ($^{26}$Al/$^{27}$Al)$_0 < 2 \times 10^{-7}$, a factor of 250 less than found in some other Allende inclusions. The upper limit for Mg isotopic fractionation is $20\%$ per amu. Anomalous but uniform Ca isotopic compositions were found for bulk samples of coexisting phases and microscopic grains. The Ca anomaly is a superposition of a large mass-dependent fractionation effect of $7.5\%$ per amu favoring the heavy isotopes and small ($1\% - 2\%$) "nonlinear" effects of presumably nuclear origin. If the lack of $^{26}$Al is due to a time delay of $6 \times 10^6$ yr for the formation of the hibonite inclusion, then condensation models require modification. The Ca effects suggest the alternative that $^{26}$Al was not uniformly distributed in the solar system. These results accentuate the curious and unexplained association between large mass fractionation and nuclear effects. They also reinforce the scenario which envisages an early solar system consisting of isotopically and chemically distinct reservoirs resulting from the incomplete mixing of several nucleosynthetic components. It is not evident whether these components originated within the solar system or from another star.

Subject headings: abundances — meteors and meteorites — nucleosynthesis — solar system: general

I. INTRODUCTION

Extensive searches for evidence of heterogeneity in the early solar system due to incomplete mixing of material produced in different nucleosynthetic environments have yielded positive results over the past few years. Two small inclusions in the Allende meteorite were recently found which had large isotopic anomalies in many elements consisting of both fractionation and of enrichments and depletions in individual isotopes. It was recognized that a more complete picture could emerge only as further examples of such anomalous material were discovered. In this Letter we report the discovery of a third such inclusion from the Allende meteorite which shows large Ca isotopic anomalies and the absence of Mg isotopic effects. This inclusion has a remarkable mineralogical and chemical composition and is rich in hibonite. Among the minerals in Ca-Al-rich inclusions, hibonite Ca(Al, Ti, Mg)$_3$O$_6$ has the highest estimated condensation temperature for major element oxides from the solar nebula (Blander and Fuchs 1975). Since this phase may represent a very early condensate and may be chemically resistant, it may have a good chance of exhibiting isotopic anomalies. Furthermore, hibonite is rich in Al and can be poor in Mg, so that the Mg isotopic effect due to the decay of $^{26}$Al could be enhanced. Therefore hibonite has been early recognized as a candidate in the search for anomalies. However, hibonite is usually a minor phase which appears as tiny ($< 30$ nm) crystals and is not easily accessible for isotopic analysis. Furthermore, the Mg content in Allende hibonite is usually fairly high ($0.5\% - 3\%$), which would reduce the enhancement in $^{26}$Mg (Keil and Fuchs 1971). The present inclusion contains large ($\sim 400$ nm) crystals of hibonite with low Mg contents ($< 0.05\%$). Thus extremely large $^{26}$Mg excesses were anticipated. If this sample had an initial ($^{26}$Al/$^{27}$Al)$_0 \sim 5 \times 10^{-5}$ (Lee, Papanastassiou, and Wasserburg 1976a, b, 1977a, hereafter LPW and years; Wasserburg, Lee, and Papanastassiou 1976, hereafter WLP; Lee, Lee, and Wasserburg 1977, hereafter LPW), then half of the $^{26}$Mg would be from $^{26}$Al decay. We studied the Mg and Ca isotopic compositions of this hibonite-rich inclusion to investigate the distribution of $^{26}$Al in the solar system and to extend the search for isotopic anomalies to this sample of the putatively earliest condensate.

II. RESULTS

This inclusion was discovered by one of us (T.L.) in an extended search for large, low Mg hibonite samples. Dr. E. Anders generously allowed him to excavate selected inclusions from large fragments of the Allende meteorite which were being prepared in his laboratory. One sample proved to contain a cluster of hibonite crystals and was named HAL (Hibonite Allende). HAL is a sub spherical object consisting of two major textural units: a hard coarse-grained interior, and a layered loosely compacted fine-grained exterior. The interior consists of three large single crystals of hibonite in the form of hexagonal plates.

* Enrico Fermi Institute, University of Chicago; Robert R. McCormick Postdoctoral Fellow.
† Lunar Atmosphere, Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology; Contribution No. 3164(281).

© American Astronomical Society • Provided by the NASA Astrophysics Data System
Three batches of hibonite grains (3, 4, and 5) were dissolved using HF and HNO₃. The fine-grained exterior posed using amounts of alkali-rich alteration product had graphically oriented needles of a crushed grain. The black rim was directly loaded and analyzed. Several grains of the black rim were dissolved using HF and HNO₃. Davis HNO₃ HAL will be presented elsewhere (Allen Lovering 1977). Petrographic and chemical studies of the meteorite. The exterior shows some similarities to the much thinner rims in usual inclusions (Wark and Lovering 1977). The hibonite may be a reaction product. Its chemical composition (57% Al₂O₃, 30% FeO, 7% SiO₂, 2% CaO, 2% Na₂O, 0.8% TiO₂, 0.3% MgO) does not resemble any known mineral and shows no well-defined X-ray diffraction pattern. The fine-grained exterior consists of layers of distinct mineral assemblage which grade out into the typical Allende matrix. The outer parts of the friable fine-grained exterior appear to have been mechanically disrupted during aggregation of the meteorite. The exterior shows some similarities to the much thinner rims in usual inclusions (Wark and Lovering 1977). Petrographic and chemical studies of HAL will be presented elsewhere (Allen et al. 1979; Davis et al. 1979). Clear hibonite grains (1 and 2) were analyzed by the direct loading technique (LPW 1977b). Three batches of hibonite grains (3, 4, and 5) were crushed (<50 μm), leached in a mixture of HF and HNO₃ to remove attached silicates, and then decomposed using K₂S₂O₇ fusion (LPW 1977b). One grain of the black rim was directly loaded and analyzed. Several grains of the black rim were dissolved using HF-HNO₃. A sample of the fine exterior in an area immediately adjacent to the black rim and including several layers was dissolved in HF and HNO₃ and analyzed.

Magnesium analytical procedures were previously described (Lee and Papanastassiou 1974, hereafter LP; LPW 1977b). For Ca we followed the procedure described by Russell, Papanastassiou, and Tombrello (1978, hereafter RPT). Each dissolved sample was split into two aliquots. The smaller one (~10%) was mixed with a ²⁵Mg spike and a ⁴², ⁴⁰Ca double spike and passed through an ion exchange column to separate Mg and Ca portions. The remaining large aliquot for isotopic composition determination was passed through a different column. Mg and Ca blanks of the entire procedure including fusion and one column pass are 22 ng and 140 ng, respectively. Most of the blank can be attributed to K₂S₂O₇. The column blank is 1–2 ng for Mg and 15 ng for Ca. The Mg added during fusion is comparable to the Mg in the hibonite sample. Two Mg blanks agreed within 5%. For hibonite, the Al/Mg ratio was determined by combining the Ca/Mg ratio measured for the concentration aliquot and the known stoichiometric ratio Al/Ca = 12. The Al/Mg reported for the hibonite sample includes the Mg blank introduced during sample processing. For the black rim the same method was used, except that the average of the slightly variable Al/Ca ratio based on several electron probe microanalyses was used. An upper limit of 50 for Al/Mg in the exterior can be established by assuming that it contains only Mg and Al.

The Ca and Mg isotopic compositions of various phases of HAL have been analyzed by using the Lunatic I mass spectrometer (Wasserburg et al. 1969). The Mg data are reported in Table 1 as δ²⁵Mg, the fractional deviation from the normal value of the ratio ²⁵Mg/²⁴Mg. The data have been corrected for mass fractionation effects by normalizing ²⁵Mg/²⁴Mg to a standard (Schramm, Tera, and Wasserburg 1970) to determine the fractionation (f) and then using a power law (1 + f)² to correct the measured ²⁵Mg/²⁴Mg ratios. All samples studied show δ²⁶Mg consistent with the normal value within uncertainties. Quantitative

### TABLE 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Mg (ng)</th>
<th>δ²⁵Mg/²⁴Mg* (%)</th>
<th>δ²⁶Mg† (%)</th>
<th>I(²⁵Al)/I(²⁴Mg)+</th>
<th>²⁵Al/²⁴Mg‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hibonite 1#</td>
<td>~3</td>
<td>−4.2, 11.2</td>
<td>−2 ± 10</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2#</td>
<td>~3</td>
<td>−6.9, 7.5</td>
<td>+6 ± 16</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3#</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>−0.4, 2.7</td>
<td>+0.9 ± 0.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4#</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3.6, 7.0</td>
<td>−0.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5#</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.6, 6.7</td>
<td>+2.4 ± 0.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black rim 1#</td>
<td>~9</td>
<td>−13.1, 2.0</td>
<td>+1 ± 8</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2**</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>−4.0, 3.0</td>
<td>+1.4 ± 2.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>~104††</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine exterior 1#</td>
<td>~20</td>
<td>−6.1, 9.1</td>
<td>+0 ± 4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terrestrial hibonite#</td>
<td>7400</td>
<td>−0.4, 3.5</td>
<td>+0.0 ± 0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.0, 2.2</td>
<td>−0.1 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

* Per mil range of raw measured ²³Mg/²⁴Mg relative to 0.12475.
† Per mil deviation of ²³Mg/²⁴Mg relative to 0.139805 after correcting for fractionation by normalizing ²³Mg/²⁴Mg to 0.12663; errors are 2 standard deviations.
‡ Ratio of intensities during analysis.
§ Al concentration is from Al/Ca = 12; Ca and Mg concentration are determined by isotopic dilution.
* Directly loaded crystals.
# Fused and chemically separated samples.
** HF dissolved and chemically separated samples.
†† Same sample load reanalyzed in Lunatic III.
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limits for $(^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al})_0$ can best be established for hibonites 4 and 5 which have the highest $^{27}\text{Al}/^{24}\text{Mg}$. Both samples have measured $\delta^{26}\text{Mg} \sim 0$, but the $^{27}\text{Al}$ signal was somewhat high for hibonite 5 analysis indicating a possible bias of $\sim 1.5\%_0$ in the measured $\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$ (LPW 1977a) and, for hibonite 4, the reanalysis of the same sample load in the Lunatic III mass spectrometer gave a discrepant $\delta^{26}\text{Mg} \sim 2\%_0$. Therefore we adopt a limit on $^{26}\text{Mg}$ excess of $2\%_0$, which, combined with the $^{27}\text{Al}/^{24}\text{Mg} \sim 1200$, corresponds to an upper limit on the initial $(^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al})_0$ for HAL of $2 \times 10^{-7}$. In Table 1 we also show the range of deviations of the measured $^{26}\text{Mg}/^{24}\text{Mg}$ ratios ($\delta^{26}\text{Mg}$) during each analysis relative to the mean ratio of normal standards. These deviations reflect mass fractionation effects in the laboratory and in nature. The laboratory fractionation effects on Mg have a well-defined range of $\pm 3\%_0$ for samples of standard size ($\sim 300$ ng; LPW 1976a). Values well outside this range have been attributed to fractionation effects intrinsic to the samples. Taking into account the smaller size of samples ($\sim 30$ ng) used for this study, we can set an upper limit of $\sim 10\%_0$ per mass unit for fractionation effects in the sample-blank mixture. This is the limit on the fractionation in all samples except the hibonite. Since the Mg blank is $\sim 50\%_0$ of the total Mg in the hibonite samples, the limit for the fractionation intrinsic to the hibonite is $20\%_0$ per amu.

The Ca results were obtained by the double-spike method, using spiked aliquots of each sample to determine the instrumental fractionation and the unsampled remainder to determine the isotopic composition (RPT). Calcium data are reported as deviations $\Delta_i$ defined by $\Delta_i = (R_{i,44}/R_{i,44}) - 1 \times 10^3$ where $R_{i,44}$ is the ratio of isotope $i$ to $^{44}\text{Ca}$ when corrected for laboratory fractionation and $R_{i,44}$ is the ratio for normal Ca (RPT). The correction for laboratory fractionation on $R_{i,44}$ was calculated using the exponential fractionation law from the $^{40}\text{Ca}/^{44}\text{Ca}$ data measured during the composition run and the reference value of $^{40}\text{Ca}/^{44}\text{Ca}$ in the sample determined by the double-spike run. The resulting $\Delta_i$ represent the fractional differences of the isotopic ratios corrected only for instrumental effects and indicate shifts in isotopic abundance due to natural fractionation and/or nuclear effects. The Ca isotopic composition was determined for "bulk" and microscopic samples of hibonite, black rim, and fine-grained exterior. The results (Table 2) show that all samples have large shifts for all isotopes and indicate that these samples are highly anomalous. The $\Delta_i$ values for different samples are in reasonable agreement but are not identical within errors, with $\Delta_{26}$ ranging from $-30$ to $-26$. There appears to be a correlation between $\Delta_{40}$ and the amount of Ca processed. As controls, terrestrial Ca and a total rock sample of Allende were analyzed and found to be identical. We also tabulate the previous results on C1, EK1-4-1, and WA in the same form for the purpose of a consistent and comprehensive discussion. From Figure 1 it is evident that HAL shows a regular shift in $\Delta_i$ as a function of mass which is approximately linear. The slope is $7.5\%_0$ per amu in favor of the heavy masses. From these data we conclude that the Ca in HAL has undergone extreme isotopic fractionation.

It is important to establish whether the deviations $\Delta_i$ are solely the result of mass fractionation or if they also show evidence of "nonlinear" effects. Let us assume that the observed value of $\Delta_40$ is the result of mass fractionation of normal material (without original isotope ratios $R_{i,44}$) following law $A$. Then with the assumed law we may calculate the values $A\Delta_i + a_\text{am}$ for all isotopes which the fractionated normal Ca would have if it had been shifted so that $A\Delta_i + a_\text{am} = 0$ (note $\Delta_40 = 0$ here). A graph of $A\Delta_i + a_\text{am}$ is shown in Figure 1 for both linear (L) and Rayleigh (R) laws (Rayleigh 1896). Deviations from the fractionation ratio $A\Delta_40$ are defined as $\delta_i$ (i.e., $\delta_i = \Delta_i - A\Delta_40$). Inspection of $\delta_i$ in Table 2 and Figure 1 shows that all samples of HAL have a distinct "nonlinear" effect at mass 42 and possibly 43. Effects may also be present at 48 but are highly dependent on the law used. Note that the deviations at 42 and 43 are preserved independently of our choice of the law. As a further check we normalized the raw composition data to a standard $^{40}\text{Ca}/^{44}\text{Ca}$ value using a linear fractionation law. This resulted in similar effects, demonstrating convincingly that the deviations are not artifacts due to the exponential law normalization to the reference values $R_{i,44}$. From the $\Delta_40$ values we conclude that there exist nonlinear effects in HAL in addition to the large fractionation effects. The extent to which the $\delta_i$ values are precisely given for such highly fractionated material must remain an open question. Furthermore, since there is no a priori way to choose the base isotopes ($^{40}\text{Ca}$ and $^{44}\text{Ca}$ were used), $\delta_i$ obtained through the above decomposition are not unique. A change of base would lead to different nonlinear effects which are related to the present results through a transformation formula similar to that given by McCulloch and Wasserburg (1978).

III. DISCUSSION

The Mg isotopic studies of the unusual Allende inclusion HAL showed that: (1) no Mg isotopic anomalies were present; (2) the initial $(^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al})_0$ for HAL when it crystallized was less than $2 \times 10^{-7}$; and (3) Mg mass fractionation effect in HAL must be less than $\sim 20\%_0$ per amu for the hibonite and $10\%_0$ per amu for other phases. Calcium studies revealed that: (1) large Ca mass fractionation effect of $\sim 7.5\%_0$ per amu were present; (2) additional small "nonlinear" effects of presumably nuclear origin at the level of $\sim 1\%_0$-2$\%_0$ were present in at least $^{42}\text{Ca}$; and (3) nearly identical Ca fractionation and nonlinear effects were found in macroscopic and microscopic samples of hibonite and in the adjacent layers containing much lower temperature mineral assemblages.

Although the presence of $^{26}\text{Al}$ in the early solar system seems to be reasonably well established (LPW 1977a), data for $(^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al})_0$ are still quite limited. In three Allende inclusions $(^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al})_0 \sim 5 \times 10^{-5}$ have been found using high-precision mass spectrometry (LPW 1976a,b, 1977a). Ion probe microanalysis (IPMA) confirmed this value for another Allende anorhite crystal (Hutcheon et al. 1978) and less
### TABLE 2

**CALCIUM ISOTOPIC RESULTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Ca(µg)</th>
<th>Δ40*</th>
<th>Δ42'</th>
<th>Δ42</th>
<th>Δ43</th>
<th>Δ44</th>
<th>Δ45</th>
<th>δ40†</th>
<th>δ42</th>
<th>δ43</th>
<th>δ44</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>HAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exterior 2...............</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>-30.0±0.2</td>
<td>-16.4±0.5</td>
<td>-16.4±0.2</td>
<td>-8.5±0.9</td>
<td>+13±7</td>
<td>+28.1±0.8</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hibonite 4</strong>..........</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>-26.6±0.4</td>
<td>-15.4±0.5</td>
<td>-15.2±0.3</td>
<td>-7.7±0.5</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>+24.4±0.7</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.......................</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>-27.8±0.3</td>
<td>-15.5±0.5</td>
<td>-15.8±0.3</td>
<td>-8.2±0.5</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>+24.0±0.7</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13:.................~0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>=-30.0</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>-16.4</td>
<td>+2±12</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>+1.4</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EK1-4-1 split SCA§</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>+7.3±0.1</td>
<td>+5.2±0.6</td>
<td>+5.3±0.2</td>
<td>+2.6±0.6</td>
<td>-26±13</td>
<td>+6.4±0.6</td>
<td>+1.7</td>
<td>+0.8</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>+13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1 split S1§............</td>
<td>-1.2±0.2</td>
<td>-0.8±0.3</td>
<td>-0.4±0.2</td>
<td>-0.6±0.5</td>
<td>-13±7</td>
<td>-1.7±0.2</td>
<td>+0.2</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA anorthite§...........</td>
<td>3.0±0.1</td>
<td>1.2±0.4</td>
<td>1.3±0.2</td>
<td>+0.9±0.3</td>
<td>-13±13</td>
<td>-2.9±0.5</td>
<td>+0.9</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allende total rock.......</td>
<td>0.2±0.2</td>
<td>+0.1±0.6</td>
<td>+0.2±0.3</td>
<td>+0.2±0.6</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>+0.2±0.6</td>
<td>+0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Δ₉ = [(R₉₁₄²/R₉₁₄⁰) - 1] x 10³ where R₉₁₄² is the ratio of isotope i to ⁴⁰Ca corrected for laboratory fractionation effects using the double-spike method; R₉₁₄⁰ is the corresponding normal ratio given by KPT (1978); R₉₁₄² = 47.153, R₉₁₄⁰ = 0.31221, R₉₁₄² = 0.31215, R₉₁₄⁻⁰ = 0.006486, R₉₁₄₀ = 0.00152, R₉₁₄₀ = 0.00071. Prime denotes the less precise data taken with the 10kΩ resistor; n.d. = not determined; errors are 2 standard deviations; no blank correction has been applied.

† "Nonlinear" effect obtained assuming the linear fractionation law and ⁴⁰Ca ⁴⁰Ca as base; i.e., x₁₉ = Δ₁₉ - (Δ₁₉ - Δ₄₄) [i - 44]/(40 - 44); values in parentheses are δ₁₉ calculated using the Rayleigh fractionation law; i.e., δ₁₉ = Δ₁₉ - 10³ x [1 + 1/10³ x 1/10³ + 1] using these definitions the error for δ₁₉ (not shown) can be calculated from errors for δ₁₉ and Δ₁₉.

† Directly loaded crystals.

§ Previously published data (LPW 1978) are δ₁₉ obtained using the exponential fractionation law which gives values very similar to δ₁₉ and δ₁₉.
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high levels in hibonite. The data for HAL represent HAL hibonite and the presence of 26 Al in less refractory where large effects are anticipated. The lack of 26 Al in HAL crystallized much later than Lorin and Christophe Michel-Levy (1978). These ratios the typical value in a presumed very early condensate the most extreme deviation from what is considered higher than the typical value. These data show that error limits (Bradley, Huneke, and Wasserburg 1977; and one inclusion for the Leoville meteorite also yield Allende inclusions. Thus two independent nuclear

precise IPMA data on three more Allende inclusions and one inclusion for the Leoville meteorite also yield \(^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al}\)\(_0\) consistent with this value within broad error limits (Bradley, Huneke, and Wasserburg 1977; Lorin and Christophe Michel-Levy 1978). These ratios of \(~5 \times 10^{-5}\) are based primarily on data for anorthite and grossular which have condensation or “appearance” temperatures several hundred degrees lower than hibonite. \(^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al}\)\(_0\) values much lower than the “typical” value of \(5 \times 10^{-5}\) have previously been observed in Allende inclusions B30 (LPW 1976a) and C1 (Esat et al. 1978). An important observation by Lorin and Christophe Michel-Levy (1978) using IPMA was that the \(^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al}\)\(_0\) of some hibonites is \(~10^{-3}\), much higher than the typical value. These data show that 26 Al was widespread in silicate materials and that it may also have been present locally at exceedingly high levels in hibonite. The data for HAL represent the most extreme deviation from what is considered the typical value in a presumed very early condensate where large effects are anticipated. The lack of 26 Al in HAL hibonite and the presence of 26 Al in less refractory phases of other Allende inclusions require that (1) HAL crystallized much later than “typical” Allende inclusions; or (2) the distribution of 26 Al in the solar system was nonuniform, and HAL formed in a region where this nuclide was in low abundance. If the lack of 26 Al in HAL is due to time delay, then the crystallization of HAL took place after the formation of typical Allende inclusions by at least \(5.6 \times 10^6\) years. This crystallization event must mark either the late onset of condensation from a gas at high temperature \((\geq 1700\) K) or the cooling of the last residue of a droplet which was originally Mg-rich and suffered large mass loss through evaporation during a late stage of intense heating. In either case, the simple model for sequential condensation in the solar nebula requires modification. Furthermore, the primitiveness of a sample cannot be inferred from mineralogical and chemical evidence alone. The alternative explanation for the lack of 26 Al in HAL is strong spatial variation of the Al isotopic composition similar to the isotopic heterogeneity observed for stable nuclides but greatly amplified. The Ca in HAL clearly has to come from a reservoir isotopically distinct from the parent reservoirs of typical Allende inclusions. If the heterogeneities are caused by the incomplete mixture of material from a special source which produced 26 Al and some stable nuclides including Ca, then the variations in the \(^{26}\text{Al}/^{27}\text{Al}\) ratio should be much larger than for the stable nuclides. This is because the stable nuclides from the last event would be diluted by those produced in all the previous events, while 26 Al would be entirely produced in the last event. A strong 26 Al heterogeneity could relax the constraint on the energetics for the 26 Al production in the solar system and permit a local proton irradiation model (Lee 1978). It would also limit the use of 26 Al as a heat source (Urey 1955) or ionization source (Consolmagno and Jokipii 1978) and as a chronometer.

No nuclear effect and only very small fractionation effects \((<0.6\%\) per amu) have been found among the terrestrial, lunar, and ordinary meteoritic samples studied by RPT (1978). Similar conclusions also apply to bulk Allende samples and to the usual Ca-Al-rich inclusions, but extensive data are lacking (LPW 1978). LPW (1978) discovered in inclusions C1 and EK1-4-1 distinct Ca isotopic anomalies which are not dominated by fractionation processes (see Table 2 and Fig. 1). After decomposition, following the same procedure used for HAL, EK1-4-1 shows a large excess in 48 Ca and a small excess of 44 Ca, while C1 shows a small deficiency in 44 Ca. Also EK1-4-1 shows a fairly large fractionation effect favoring the light isotopes while C1 shows a very small fractionation effect favoring heavy isotopes. The interpretation has been that normal solar system Ca is the result of the mixing between uncontaminated bulk material which was deficient in 44 Ca and a special nuclear component consisting of 44 Ca and 42 Ca in the ratio of 2.3. In this interpretation the deficiency in 44 Ca in C1 implies that the special nuclear component accounts for at least \(3 \times 10^{-3}\) of 44 Ca presently in the solar system. It would be difficult to explain the data for HAL using the same nuclear component, since it is unlikely that the nuclear effect in 44 Ca is much larger than that for 44 Ca in this inclusion. Thus two independent nuclear
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components, one rich in $^{44}$Ca and the other in $^{48}$Ca, may be required.

Based upon the isotopic and petrographic data, a plausible model for the evolution of HAL may be as follows: (1) condensation of refractory material which contains a substantial amount of normal Mg and some exotic nuclides from a special nucleosynthetic source including Ca and possibly also $^{26}$Al; (2) late heating ($>1000$ K) of this material after the decay of $^{26}$Al to evaporate away ~50% of the Ca and most of the Mg to produce the fractionation; (3) crystallization of HAL containing hibonite and possibly also other refractory minerals; (4) reaction of HAL with surrounding gas to produce the black rim and the relatively volatile fine-grained exterior layers at low temperature (<1000 K); and (5) fairly gentle incorporation into the Allende meteorite. In this model it is not clear whether the special nuclear component originated within the solar system or from a nearby star. It is necessary to assume that the gas for the back reaction is poor in Ca, so that Ca anomalies are preserved in the volatile reaction products in the exterior layers, but that normal Mg was added. This model is somewhat similar to the one proposed for inclusion C1 (Esat et al. 1978).

The results from HAL emphasize that the relation between $^{26}$Al and general nuclear anomalies is still an open question. They reinforce the scenario that the early solar system was chemically and isotopically heterogeneous and consisted of many distinct reservoirs. These reservoirs are the result of incomplete mixing between several nucleosynthetic components, and each has its own complicated thermal and chemical history. In this scenario there must also exist a mysterious linkage between certain efficient fractionation mechanisms and the preservation (or generation) of isotopically anomalous material. The discovery of HAL demonstrates that isotopically anomalous material is sufficiently abundant and can be located by using peculiar mineralogy and chemistry, in addition to large isotopic fractionation, as signposts.
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