ENERGETIC ELECTRON ANISOTROPIES IN THE MAGNETOTAIL: IDENTIFICATION OF OPEN AND CLOSED FIELD LINES
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Abstract. Unidirectional anisotropies in the energetic electron fluxes (E \(\geq 200\) keV) have been observed in the earth's magnetotail with the Caltech Electron/Isotope Spectrometer on IMP-8. The anisotropies occur during periods of enhanced fluxes and provide essential information on the topology (open or closed) of the magnetotail field lines which are associated with recently identified acceleration regions.

Introduction

The plasma and energetic particle populations in the earth's magnetotail have been studied extensively during the last decade. In contrast to the observation of anisotropies in the low energy proton fluxes (E \(< 50\) keV) which are related to the bulk plasma flow patterns in the magnetotail, the observation of anisotropies in the energetic electron fluxes (E \(\geq 45\) keV) may provide critical information on the large scale configuration of the magnetic fields associated with possible local acceleration processes.

Earlier studies of energetic electrons within the magnetotail have, for the most part, either assumed a lack of anisotropy or else have emphasized the observed isotropy of the fluxes, with only brief mention of any observed anisotropies (see e.g., Bame et al., 1967). Haskell (1969) found no statistically significant anisotropy for electrons with E \(\approx 375\) keV and suggested that isotropy might be the result of either diffusive propagation or stochastic behavior of the field lines in the magnetotail. Siner and Bame (1970) studied a large set of Vela passes through the magnetotail at \(\sim 18\) R_E and their conclusions for electrons E \(\approx 33\) keV were as follows: (1) Strong energetic electron streaming, sporadic in nature, is present only in the magnetosheath, never in the magnetotail; and (2) Strong electron spin-modulation is observed inside the magnetotail, but this is the second-order (double-lobed) variety and is commonly seen only near the magnetopause at \(\sim 18\) R_E.

On the other hand, previous studies of magnetotail particles have shown that large bursts of highly anisotropic protons E \(\geq 0.3\) MeV are present within the magnetotail. Armstrong and Krimigis (1968) found strong apparent streaming for such protons with flow only in the tailward direction. Hence it was concluded that the magnetotail field lines were open, based upon these proton anisotropy measurements. Later Fennell (1970) studied a larger set of proton burst data using similar instrumentation to that used by Armstrong and Krimigis (1968). The protons were often found to be highly anisotropic, but streaming was found to be both earthward and tailward, which would be unexpected if proton anisotropies were associated only with open field lines. In both the Armstrong and Krimigis (1968) and Fennell (1970) studies, energetic electrons accompanied the proton bursts, the electrons were found to be isotropic.

These general findings have been recently emphasized by Sarris et al. (1976) who have used IMP-7 data to show: (1) Unidirectional streaming of energetic protons and electrons (E \(\geq \) 0.5 MeV, E \(\geq 0.22\) MeV) is commonly observed in the magnetosheath with flow in the tailward direction; (2) Strong proton streaming is observed within the magnetotail, both earthward and tailward in direction; and (3) Energetic electrons are essentially isotropic everywhere within the magnetotail. Although not discussed by Sarris et al. (1976), there is some indication in their data of occasional electron streaming with J_{max}/J_{min} \sim 1.5.

The new observations reported here are focused on a specific period of enhanced electron fluxes which is typical of only two periods (with a possible third example) observed in the magnetotail on IMP-8 during 1974. These periods have been identified by Frank et al. (1976) as encounters with regions of acceleration. We find significant unidirectional anisotropies in the energetic electrons (E \(\geq 200\) keV) during these periods.

Observations

The Caltech Electron/Isotope Spectrometer (EIS) on IMP-8 (Mewaldt et al., 1976) provides a high temporal resolution, low background instrument for measuring electrons (E \(\geq 0.2\) MeV) at \(\sim 30\) R_E geocentric distance. Angular distributions are measured in eight sun-fixed, 45° sectors in the ecliptic plane. Each angular distribution is averaged over \(\sim 20\) seconds, which corresponds to seven consecutive spacecraft rotations. Substantial fluxes of electrons with E \(\geq 200\) keV are ordinarily measured in the plasma sheet, the boundary layer, and the magnetosheath on each pass through the magnetotail. These energetic electron fluxes often have significant anisotropies associated with them. The 1974 data have been studied extensively and were the basis of preliminary reports (Baker et al., 1975, 1976).

We report here on the period shown in Figure 1 which illustrates features observed in the pitch angle distributions of energetic electrons. The data were obtained on April 18, 1974, near the center of the magnetotail at \(\sim 33\) R_E. The flux of electrons E \(\geq 200\) keV during this period, shown in the top panel of Figure 1, is not unu-
The parameters $z_1$ and $z_2$ represent the phase of the first- and second-order modulation, respectively. The brackets represent the spin modulation with $S$ the averaged number of counts. The expression in azimuthal direction, while $C_0$ is the spin-average number of counts observed in the sector angular distributions using a simple Fourier expansion,

$$C(\phi) = C_0 \left[ 1 + S \cos(\phi - \phi_1) + K \cos(2(\phi - \phi_2)) \right].$$

Here $C$ is the number of counts observed in the azimuthal direction $\phi$, while $C_0$ is the spin-averaged number of counts. The expression in brackets represents the spin modulation with $S$ being the first-order (streaming) amplitude and $K$ being the second-order modulation amplitude. The parameters $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$ represent the phase of the first- and second-order modulation, respectively. According to our definitions, $S$ (or $K$) lies in the range $0 \leq S, K \leq 1$ corresponding to the range of modulation from complete isotropy to extreme unidirectional (bidirectional) anisotropy. Fits are made according to Equation (1) for all sample periods in which the spin-averaged flux exceeds 1 (cm$^{-2}$-sec$^{-1}$-sr$^{-1}$). For fluxes below this limit, $< 3$ counts per sector are ordinarily present for the 20 second samples.

As is evident in Figure 1, $S$ and $K$ are both small ($< 0.1$) for substantial portions of the period shown, indicating near isotropy. This is commonly observed near the center of the magnetotail at $\sim 30$ RE. However, during the course of several of the flux enhancements significant apparent modulation amplitudes are present, usually with $S$ larger than $K$. $S$ values $\geq 0.3$ indicate streaming with $J_{\text{max}}/J_{\text{min}} \geq 10$. Near $\sim 1100$ UT, $S$ values are $\sim 0.8$, indicating detection of $\sim 10:1$ unidirectional anisotropy. The figure shows that streaming amplitudes $S \geq 0.3$ during periods of high fluxes ($> 10$ cm$^{-2}$-sec$^{-1}$-sr$^{-1}$) are usually associated with regions of southward $B_Z$. This is particularly clear near 1100 UT and after 1300 UT.

Figure 2 shows plots of five series of angular distributions. Such data were the basis of the computed parameters in portions of Figure 1. One angular distribution is assembled in $\sim 20$ seconds and is read out every $\sim 82$ seconds. Appropriate averages of magnetic field vectors are used for each single second sample (hence employing finer time resolution than in Figure 1).

The first series from 0957 to 1007 UT was taken when $B_Z$ was consistently positive. From 0957 to 0958 the flux is seen to increase by a factor of $\sim 75$. A slower decline in flux is evident in the subsequent samples. The point to note, however, is that throughout this series, over a wide range of absolute intensities, the data are consistent with isolated bidirectional unidirectional anisotropy. Fits are made according to Equation (1) for all sample periods in which the spin-averaged flux exceeds 1 (cm$^{-2}$-sec$^{-1}$-sr$^{-1}$) are usually associated with regions of southward $B_Z$. This is particularly clear near 1100 UT and after 1300 UT.
observed, such electrons, by virtue of their presence or absence, have been used extensively to indicate the large scale character of the plasma sheet and its magnetic topology. For example, Hones et al. (1974) have argued that their observation of relatively low fluxes of electrons \((E \approx 43 \text{ keV})\) indicated that the Vela satellite at \(\sim 18 \text{ R}_\oplus\) was on open field lines tailward of a magnetic neutral line. The electron flux was hypothesized to remain low because the electrons could escape relatively quickly along open field lines. These escaping electrons were found, however, to be not detectably spin-modulated. Hence it was suggested by Hones et al., that turbulence restrained the flow of electrons and randomized their pitch angle distributions.

Intensive investigation of the 1974 IMP-8 EIS data has shown that near-isotropy is, indeed, a common feature of electrons \(E > 200 \text{ keV}\) deep within the magnetotail at \(\sim 30 \text{ R}_\oplus\) but both moderately strong bidirectional ("cigar") and bimodal ("pancake") distributions are often found in association with intense plasma heating events (Baker et al., 1975, 1976). Strong unidirectional streaming of energetic electrons is a common feature of the magnetosheath and boundary layer (Singer and Bame, 1970; Sarris et al., 1976; Baker et al., 1976), but as summarized in the introduction, there have been no previous published reports of strong (\(> 2:1\)) electron streaming within the magnetotail.

The almost complete absence of previous observations of streaming electrons causes one to consider other possible explanations of the kinds of data shown in Figures 1 and 2. Many examples of strong streaming occur as the electron flux is undergoing large variations and, thus, the possibility of time-aliasing has been considered. The effect that absolute intensity variations have can be modeled since the spacecraft spin period and the sector sampling sequence are known. Flux changes by a factor of 50 in 20 seconds and having an exponential time variation, for example, give front-to-back sector ratios of \(\sim 1.3\), corresponding to a small streaming amplitude \((S < 0.1)\).

Furthermore, for the IMP-8 EIS, an even-numbered sector \((0, 2, 4, \text{ or } 6)\) is sampled first in a given sequence. Hence large, rapid intensity increases would produce the highest number of counts in the last sector sampled and the lowest number of counts in the first (even-numbered) sector sampled. The converse is true for rapid intensity decreases. Figure 2, in which the sectored data are always plotted left to right in the sector sequence 0 to 7, shows relatively smooth variations from one sector to the next without the large discontinuities between adjacent sectors expected for time-aliasing.

Other general indications of the reality of the observed anisotropies include: (1) The maximum and minimum fluxes track the azimuthal field direction; (2) Extreme intensity variations during the very distended field lines at \(30 \text{ R}_\oplus\) in the magnetotail plasma sheet. Unidirectional anisotropies identify open field lines through the absence of mirroring particles, while closed field lines may, by this argument, be identified by the presence of isotropic or other symmetric pitch angle distributions.

Even though strong energetic \((E \approx 40 \text{ keV})\) electron streaming has not in the past been directly

**Figure 2.** Sequences of angular distributions of electrons \(E > 200 \text{ keV}\) for portions of the same day shown in Figure 1. The sample time (given as the nearest second UT at the beginning of the \(\sim 20\) second sample period) and the measured value of \(B_z\) (in gammas) for the sample period are shown for each distribution. The azimuthal direction of \(B\) is shown by vertical arrows for \(B_+\) and \(B_-\) \(-180^\circ\) \(-\). The number of counts per sector and the one-sigma error bars are plotted versus solar ecliptic longitude.

Here has been identified by Frank et al., (1976) as a localized region in which strong acceleration is occurring, probably due to magnetic field line merging. In regions where \(B_z\) is southward (\(\sim 1100\) and \(\sim 1300\) UT) tailward plasma jetting is reported by Frank et al., with speeds often in excess of \(1000 \text{ km sec}^{-1}\). In regions where \(B_z\) is northward, the plasma flow is frequently strongly earthward and we find electrons \(E > 200\) keV to show no strong streaming.

**DISCUSSION**

Energetic electrons have been frequently used as probes of magnetic field geometry near the earth. Electrons \(E \approx 200 \text{ keV}\) seen well suited for this purpose since their velocity \((\approx 0.7c)\) allows rapid traversal and bounce-stabilization of angular distributions on closed field lines, but for the very distended field lines at \(30 \text{ R}_\oplus\) in the magnetotail plasma sheet. Unidirectional anisotropies identify open field lines through the absence of mirroring particles, while closed field lines may, by this argument, be identified by the presence of isotropic or other symmetric pitch angle distributions.

Even though strong energetic \((E \approx 40 \text{ keV})\) electron streaming has not in the past been directly

observed, such electrons, by virtue of their presence or absence, have been used extensively to indicate the large scale character of the plasma sheet and its magnetic topology. For example, Hones et al., (1974) have argued that their observation of relatively low fluxes of electrons \((E \approx 43 \text{ keV})\) indicated that the Vela satellite at \(\sim 18 \text{ R}_\oplus\) was on open field lines tailward of a magnetic neutral line. The electron flux was hypothesized to remain low because the electrons could escape relatively quickly along open field lines. These escaping electrons were found, however, to be not detectably spin-modulated. Hence it was suggested by Hones et al., that turbulence restrained the flow of electrons and randomized their pitch angle distributions.

Intensive investigation of the 1974 IMP-8 EIS data has shown that near-isotropy is, indeed, a common feature of electrons \(E \approx 200 \text{ keV}\) deep within the magnetotail at \(\sim 30 \text{ R}_\oplus\) but both moderately strong bidirectional ("cigar") and bimodal ("pancake") distributions are often found in association with intense plasma heating events (Baker et al., 1975, 1976). Strong unidirectional streaming of energetic electrons is a common feature of the magnetosheath and boundary layer (Singer and Bame, 1970; Sarris et al., 1976; Baker et al., 1976), but as summarized in the introduction, there have been no previous published reports of strong (\(> 2:1\)) electron streaming within the magnetotail.
The large earthward anisotropy of protons $E > 0.3$ MeV is indicative of the importance of the Compton-Getting effect for protons. The energetic electrons discussed here are not subject to similarly large effects.

Our observations indicate that in rarely observed events, such as shown in Figure 1, the IMP-8 spacecraft encounters a region in the plasma sheet where strong energetic electron streaming is present. This tailward, field-aligned electron streaming is accompanied by tailward plasma jetting in excess of 1000 km sec$^{-1}$ (Frank et al., 1976) and by southward $B_y$. When plasma jetting is earthward and $B_y$ is northward the $E > 200$ keV electrons show no strong streaming. It seems probable that in such localized regions of particle acceleration, possible field line merging mechanisms and plasma sheet field line connection to the interplanetary medium can be most readily studied.
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