Objective-type dark-field illumination for scattering from microbeads

Ido Braslavsky, Roee Amit, B. M. Jaffar Ali, Opher Gileadi, Amos Oppenheim, and Joel Stavans

We introduce a method for detecting and tracking small particles in a solution near a surface. The method is based on blocking the backreflected illumination beam in an objective-type total internal reflection microscope, leaving unhindered the light scattered by the particles and resulting in dark-field illumination. Using this method, we tracked the motion of 60-nm polystyrene beads with a signal-to-noise ratio of 6 and detected 20-nm gold particles with a signal-to-noise ratio of 5. We illustrate the method’s use by following the Brownian motion of small beads attached by short DNA tethers to a substrate. © 2001 Optical Society of America
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1. Introduction

The detection of the motion of particles near a surface is a powerful method for monitoring a variety of physical, chemical, and biological processes. Examples include measurements of colloidal forces, DNA elasticity, DNA–protein interactions at the single-molecule level, and the unfolding of proteins. A common feature of these studies is the large size of the particles used, which ranged between 2 and 20 μm. Within this size range, particles such as polystyrene beads scatter light strongly, and therefore their motion can be detected easily with simple devices such as photodiodes.

In many applications, particularly in biology, one is interested in tracking the motion of much smaller particles attached to a molecule of interest. An example is the recent study of protein-mediated DNA looping, in which changes in the Brownian motion of 0.22-μm polystyrene beads tethered to a surface by short DNA molecules were followed. The need to use small particles stems from the requirement that particles interfere as little as possible with the phenomenon under study. One problem posed by the use of small particles is their detection: The scattering cross section decreases as the sixth power of the bead size in the Rayleigh–Gans approximation. This precludes the detection of small beads with bright-field illumination. More sophisticated schemes such as fluorescence detection, or differential interference contrast (DIC), which allows the observation of particles of tens of nanometers, are then necessary.

In this paper we demonstrate a fluorescenceless method for detecting particles tens of nanometers in size. The method, an alternative to DIC, involves simple modifications to an objective-type total internal reflection microscope (TIRM) similar to that developed by Stout and Axelrod. These authors discussed in detail the advantages of an objective to create evanescent illumination, as opposed to the traditional method in which a prism is employed. An objective-type TIRM microscope takes advantage of the large angles of incidence of rays produced by illuminating the back aperture of a large numerical aperture (NA) objective either with annular illumination or with off-axis rays. A drawback of this arrangement is that rays that are totally reflected by the glass–water interface can enter back into the objective. Without use of a filter as in fluorescence applications, these rays may wash out the scattering
signal of a small bead. We therefore blocked these rays by inserting a field stop at an appropriate location along the optical path. This resulted in dark-field illumination that allowed us to detect with a high signal-to-background ratio polystyrene beads as small as 60 nm and gold particles 20 nm in diameter with a simple CCD camera. Since we detect the light scattered by objects in the sample, we call the method objective-type dark-field scattering microscopy (ODFSM). We point out that various oblique-ray illumination schemes and beam blocks have been used in the past to improve image contrast.\textsuperscript{10,11} ODFSM constitutes an improvement over these schemes.

In Section 2 we present two different schemes for implementing ODFSM and also describe in detail the necessary modifications for achieving ODSFM conditions in a commercial microscope. Use of the technique is illustrated by measurement of the Brownian motion of small beads tethered to a glass slide by short DNA molecules of different lengths.

2. Materials and Methods

In their setup Stout and Axelrod illuminated the back aperture of a large NA objective with a beam of annular cross section, produced by blocking the central portion of an expanded laser beam with an opaque disk. This latter was placed along the optical path at a plane conjugate to the back focal plane (BFP) of the objective, the equivalent back focal plane (EBFP). The diameter of the disk was chosen so as to allow only those rays through that are totally reflected at the glass–water interface. These rays entered back into the objective but were rejected by an emission filter that allowed the fluorescent signal through.

In ODFSM we also take advantage of a high NA objective and annular illumination to detect light scattered by the particles of interest. The scattered light is of the same wavelength as the light reflected back by the glass–water interface into the objective. Since the intensity of the latter is much higher, it washes out the scattered light we want to detect. To eliminate the reflected rays, we placed a field stop at a second EBFP formed on the return path of the image by an imaging lens in such a way as to let through the light scattered by the particles alone. Note that in contrast with the objective-type TIRM, annular illumination is primarily used in ODFSM to eliminate reflected rays with ease and not necessarily to produce evanescent illumination.

We illustrate these ideas in Figs. 1 and 2 where we show two possible ways to implement our method around an inverted microscope. In Fig. 1 a diffractive element made of a binary surface with a radial phase grating is used to convert the laser beam cross section into a thin expanding annulus.\textsuperscript{12} Lens L1a forms an image of the annulus at its focal plane. This annulus is imaged on the back focal plane of the objective, BFP, by a second lens, L2a. Therefore the focal plane of lens L1a is an equivalent back focal plane, EBFP1. Residual traces of zeroth-order illumination from the diffractive element are eliminated by an opaque disk at this plane, whose diameter is smaller than the annulus's inner diameter. The relative distances between the four optical components, L1a, L2a, the diffractive element, and the objective, are set so that the outer diameter of the annular illumination impinging on the BFP of the objective is of the same size or slightly larger than the objective's back aperture. The focus of lens L2a is conjugated to the image plane because the sample is located at the focus of the infinity-corrected objective. To get nonannular illumination at the sample plane, the distance between the diffractive element and L1a is adjusted so that a spot (an image of the beam impinging on the diffractive element) is formed at the focus of L2a. We emphasize that the distance between the diffractive element and L1a does not change the size or the location of the annulus at the focus of L1a. However, it changes both the location and size of the image of the impinging beam at the diffractive element plane. This feature is used in the aligning process of the system as explained above. Proper beam steering into the objective is achieved by means of a beam splitter, BS. To block the rays reflected at the glass–water interface, part of which is transmitted through the beam splitter, a field stop is placed at a plane, EBFP2, conjugate to the BFP, formed by lens L3a. This field stop, a hole in a screen, blocks the annular-shaped image of the rays emerging from the objective, creating a dark-field effect. Finally, lens L4a is used to form an image of the scattering objects on the CCD. The need for L4a arises from the fact that the location of EBFP2 lies beyond the focal plane of L3a, in which an image of the sample plane is formed. Note that if the diameter and width of the annular illumination are chosen appropriately, total internal reflection conditions can be attained. We point out, however, that pure evanescent illumination is hard to achieve by objective-type methods (see Section 4).

Note that in this configuration a spot of limited size on the sample is illuminated. The size of the illumination spot, of \( \sim 30 \mu m \) in our case, is proportional to the cross section of the laser beam as it impinges on the diffraction element. This is because the cross section determines the size of the spot at the focus of lens L2a, since the location of the diffraction element and the focus of L2a are conjugated by L1a. We illustrate this in Fig. 1 by displaying their positions \( u_1 \) and \( v_1 \) relative to L1a, where these two quantities and focal length \( f_1 \) are related by \( u_1^{-1} + v_1^{-1} = f_1^{-1} \). Since the focus of L2a and the sample plane are conjugate, the illuminated spot is circular and proportional to the impinging beam cross section at the diffraction element. The size of the illumination spot can therefore be controlled by expanding the spot size on the diffraction element itself. This differs from the way the illumination-spot size is controlled in the case of Stout and Axelrod in which a diffusive element is used.

An alternative way of implementing ODFSM is depicted in Fig. 2. This setup is based on the original arrangement of Stout and Axelrod. However,
instead of a full annulus, we illuminated the BFP of the objective with a beam of crescent-shaped cross section, created by blocking a laser beam at the EBFP with an opaque, slightly off-axis disk. The beam entered the objective from one side, while the reflected rays emerged from the diametrically opposed side, where they were blocked by an opaque screen covering less than half of the objective’s back aperture. Although the block’s position does not coincide with the BFP, complete blocking of the reflected beam was achieved. No appreciable reduction in resolution was observed owing to the presence of the block. Therefore light scattered by particles in the sample exited from the same side of the objective as the rays entering for illumination, achieving the same effect as in Fig. 1. A diffusing screen between lenses L1b and L2b, at a plane conjugate to the sample plane, converted the laser beam into an extended source, thereby illuminating a wide area on the sample. Lens L4b was finally used to form an image of the sample plane onto the CCD. This configuration also can be used for spotlike illumination of the sample by removal of the diffusing screen.9

We emphasize that these two methods are different in many aspects regarding the positioning of the lenses. However, the net result is the same, namely, only part of the BFP is illuminated and the reflected illumination beam is blocked at the EBFP. Furthermore we point out that one can get a dark-field effect without conjugating EBFP1 and EBFP2 with BFP. However, it is beneficial to do so: At the BFP there is a field stop inherent in the system, because the NA of the objective is limited. In the illumination part of the ODFSM scheme, a field stop that is not located at the EBFP would result in further blocking of illumination rays and therefore to a loss of illuminating intensity. At the collection path a field stop not located at the EBFP would block more scattered rays coming from the sample plane compared with the same block at the EBFP. Hence conjugating EBFP1 and EBFP2 with BFP yields the best conditions.

Both of the configurations shown in Figs. 1 and 2...
were implemented around a home-built inverted microscope with a Zeiss 63× objective with a nominal NA of 1.4. For illumination, light from a 10-mW He–Ne laser at 633 nm or a 30-mW argon laser at 488 nm was used, but these sources can be substituted by other well-collimated monochromatic noncoherent sources. Images were captured with a CCD camera (Cohu 4710) and recorded on video for further analysis.

ODFSM conditions can also be achieved with a commercial epi-illumination microscope. This can be done, for example, on a Zeiss Axiovert 135 microscope by following the procedures outlined by Stout and Axelrod for the illumination path and by choosing the front port for the detection path. To find an appropriate equivalent BFP to place the field stop and block reflected rays on the detection path, it is necessary to add lens L2c as illustrated in Fig. 3. L2c forms a new equivalent back focal plane, EBFP*, outside the microscope body, conjugate to the EBFP formed by the lenses inside the microscope body. In a Zeiss Axiovert 135 microscope, there are three lenses after the objective. The first one images the sample in its focus and further down on the EBFP. The other two lenses are located between the sample image and the EBFP. These lenses form a new image of the sample outside the microscope body. We denote the last lens as L1c. L2c was placed just at the outport between the EBFP and the image formed by L1c. To find the EBFP* after L2c, we illuminated the objective with parallel illumination by introducing a pinhole in the BFP of the condenser and adjusted the objective into its working position by focusing on a sample by using the eyepiece. Then we looked for the plane where light emerging from the front port was focused by L2c into a point. The field stop was placed at this position, which is the EBFP*. Finally, another lens, L3c, was placed beyond EBFP* to form an image for detection with a CCD camera. The image plane was determined by matching the features observed beyond L3c to those that were observed by the eyepiece simultaneously. For our Zeiss Axiovert 135, we used two lenses with a focal length of 50 mm for L2c and L3c. The distance between L2c and the block was 100 mm. The overall length between the microscope outport and the CCD chip was 225 mm.

Experiments in which we followed the Brownian motion of beads tethered to glass slides by DNA molecules of different length were carried out at room temperature in a buffer consisting of 10-mM Tris Cl, 0.1-mM ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid (EDTA), 200-mM KCl, 5% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), 0.2-mM DTT, and α-casein at 0.2 mg/ml to keep the

Fig. 2. Configuration for implementation of ODFSM: The blocking disk method. This method can produce either spotlike illumination in which a focused beam is conjugated to the image plane or large-field illumination. In the latter case a rotating diffusing screen is placed at a plane conjugate to the sample plane at the focus of lens L1b. The screen converts the laser beam into an extended source. A large block placed at the equivalent back focal plane, EBFP1, blocks everything aside for a small crescent-shaped cross section. The arc is incident on one edge of the back aperture of the objective, and the backreflected light is blocked on the diametrically opposed side at an equivalent back focal plane, EBFP2, created by lens L4b. The scattered light is imaged by lens L5b on the CCD.
DNA molecules or beads from sticking to the glass surface. The lengths of the molecules were 891, 1073, 1199, 1298, 1433, and 1495 base pairs. (A single base pair corresponds to 0.34 nm.) To stick the DNA molecules to both the glass slide and beads, we followed published procedures.7

3. Results
We show in Fig. 4 typical images of three beads with diameters of 290, 490, and 810 nm stuck to the glass surface, obtained both with ordinary bright-field illumination and ODFSM, using the configuration in Fig. 1. Each image comprises a single video frame. The 290-nm bead is hardly visible with bright-field illumination, whereas it can be visualized clearly with ODFSM. Removing the block at the EBFP2 washes out the images of the beads, preventing their detection.

Figure 5 shows a series of images of beads of different sizes stuck to the glass surface, ranging in diameter from 60 to 810 nm. No contrast enhancement or average has been performed on any of these images, except for the autogain of the camera. We measured the signal-to-noise ratio ($S/N$) in these images by taking the ratio of the average intensity of the bead image $I_{\text{bead}}$ minus the average intensity of the background $I_{\text{background}}$.

Fig. 3. Modifications in the detection path for the implementation of ODFSM in a Zeiss Axiovert 135 microscope. Lenses L2c and L3c and the beam block are added between the microscope's output and a CCD camera.

Fig. 4. Images of beads of diameters of 290, 490, and 810 nm (top to bottom) with, left, bright-field illumination and, right, ODFSM. The scale bar corresponds to 1 μm.

Fig. 5. Images of beads of different sizes obtained with ODFSM with the configuration shown in Fig. 1: a, 60 nm; b, 72 nm; c, 86 nm; d, 110 nm; e, 160 nm; f, 200 nm; g, 290 nm; h, 490 nm; i 810 nm. The scale bar corresponds to 1 μm.
background $I_{\text{background}}$ divided by the standard deviation of the background $\sigma_{\text{background}}$:

$$S/N = \frac{I_{\text{bead}} - I_{\text{background}}}{\sigma_{\text{background}}}.$$ 

This definition of $S/N$ is robust with respect to linear transformations such as autogain in the camera. Figure 6 shows the measured $S/N$ as a function of the bead diameter both for bright-field illumination and for ODFSM. Clearly, the $S/N$ with ODFSM is higher than with bright-field illumination. Furthermore the graph shows that with ODFSM it is possible to detect beads below 300 nm with high values of $S/N$. In contrast, the same beads are not detectable by using bright-field illumination, precluding measurement of $S/N$. In the dark-field mode the beads were so bright compared with the background that images of the beads whose size is above 110 nm were saturated. For this reason the value of their $S/N$ was not calculated. Nonetheless we estimate a lower bound of $S/N > 25$. In comparison the $S/N$ for bright-field visualization did not exceed 6, even for 800-nm beads.

We were also able to detect small 20-nm gold particles stuck to a glass surface by using the setup described in Fig. 1 and an argon laser for illumination. The image (not shown) appears as a bright spot with a $S/N$ of 5. We were not able to observe gold beads in motion, even when we used a solution that contained an equal amount of glycerol and water by volume to increase viscosity. We believe this to be due to the large diffusion coefficient of the beads that prevented them from staying localized during the integration time of the camera. We now illustrate the use of ODFSM by studying the Brownian motion of 290-nm beads tethered to a glass slide by single DNA molecules of different length. This experiment was carried out by using the configuration of Fig. 1, and illumination was provided by an argon laser (488 nm) with a beam intensity of 30 mW.

The motion of the beads was recorded in video for 20 min at a rate of 10 Hz. To record continuously for that period, it proved important to stabilize the temperature of the cell and the objective within 5 mK. We estimated the amplitude of their Brownian motion $A_{BM}$ as the width at half-maximum of radial density distribution functions $f(r)$ extracted from the recordings. The analysis was done with IDL routines. A Gaussian mask was applied to each image in a recording for contrast enhancement, and then the $x$ and $y$ coordinates of the center of mass of the resulting object were estimated. After subtracting from each coordinate its average throughout the run, we plotted the $x$ and $y$ coordinates against each other as shown in Fig. 7. Next the number of events at a given distance $r$ from the center of mass was counted, and this value was divided by $r$ to obtain $f(r)$. The result is shown in Fig. 8. The width of this distribution function at half-height is a measure of the amplitude of the Brownian motion $A_{BM}$. The
dependence of $A_{BM}$ on tether length $L$ is shown in Fig. 9. Each experimental data point comprises independent measurements on at least five different beads. The error bars that represent one standard deviation of the data reflect differences in the micro-environment of each molecule. To interpret these data, we follow the work of Qian and Elson\textsuperscript{14} who regarded a bead connected to a DNA tether as an extra segment of the tether, albeit of a different segment size. In their model the average end-to-end distance of the DNA tether $D$ and the bead radius $\rho$ add up in quadratures:

$$A_{BM} = (\rho^2 + D^2)^{1/2}.$$

This equation is valid only if the bead is free to fluctuate. If the tether is too short, the proximity of the bead to the surface will prevent the bead from fluctuating. In our case $\rho$ and $D$ are comparable, when we approximate $D$ as the average radius of gyration $R_g$ of a free molecule in the solution by using the equation\textsuperscript{14} $R_g = aN^{0.6}$. Here $a$ is the segment length and $N$ is the number of segments. We take $a$ to be the persistence length whose value we assume to be 50 nm (Ref. 4) and $N$ to be the number of persistence lengths in the chain. In Fig. 9 we compare these calculation with the experimental values of $A_{BM}$. There is good agreement between our measurements and the model. Nonetheless we point out that the DNA molecules in our experiments cannot fluctuate freely, because they are constrained by being attached to both the surface and the bead. Thus this model represents only a first approximation to the experimental situation.

Yin \textit{et al}.\textsuperscript{15} performed similar experiments using DIC to measure the amplitude of Brownian motion. Their definition of this quantity is, however, different from ours. Nonetheless their results and ours are in agreement within the framework of Qian and Elson’s model\textsuperscript{14}.

### 4. Discussion

The key idea in ODFSM is to block the rays reflected by the water–glass interface in a microscope in which objective-type annular illumination is implemented. In general, dark-field illumination methods block the zeroth order of the illumination by introducing an opaque disk. This prevents direct transmission of light not scattered in the sample, thereby enabling the observation of phase objects\textsuperscript{16} and enhancing contrast, thus increasing the $S/N$ ratio.

The use of ODFSM to detect particles in the proximity of an interface offers several advantages over other detection schemes. First, objects can be viewed and tracked continuously and indefinitely. Long times are hard to achieve with other techniques such as fluorescence, where photobleaching places a practical limit of an hour or less for detection. Second, the dark-field illumination inherent in ODFSM allows for detection of scattered light from very small particles ($<100$ nm), unlike other scattering schemes designed for large particles. Third, using ODFSM with completely evanescent illumination may allow for three-dimensional tracking by use of the sensitive exponential drop in intensity as a function of height, which is characteristic of total internal reflection techniques. We point out that purely evanescent illumination is hard to achieve with objectives with a nominal NA of 1.4, since in practice the latter is in fact slightly smaller (new objectives with a higher NA are becoming available). Furthermore the presence of macromolecules and other solutes may increase slightly the index of refraction of the sample, restricting further the condition for total internal reflection.

To satisfy the condition of purely evanescent illumination, the beam at the objective’s back aperture must be very thin and is therefore hard to collimate precisely with the objective rim.

In applications such as ours, where only the transverse bead displacement is monitored, a small portion of nonevanescent light can be tolerated, with the additional advantage that objects that are farther out of the surface can be detected. ODFSM can be used in a variety of applications, in particular where single-molecule techniques are called for. For example, ODFSM has been used to study the compaction of short single DNA molecules tethered to a surface by the integration host factor, a histonelike protein that plays a fundamental role in shaping the genetic material in \textit{E. coli}.\textsuperscript{17} The use of dark-field methods to track small beads has been demonstrated recently in a beautiful study of single kinesin molecules bound to 200-nm gold particles, moving along microtubules.\textsuperscript{18} Displacements below 8 nm were measured with a bandwidth of 20 kHz. This implementation of the dark-field effect involved two microscope objectives. One could use ODFSM for similar purposes by using only one high numerical objective for both illumination and detection.

The idea of using oblique illumination has been implemented in the past to enhance image contrast and observe phase objects without using special-
purpose objectives. In ODFSM we create an additional EBFP far away from the objective, which gives us the flexibility to block unwanted light as desired. Furthermore, by illuminating through the objective, which then also plays the role of a condenser, we make use of its high numerical aperture and benefit from all the advantages of objective-type illumination. These features constitute improvement over other schemes proposed in the past.

ODFSM can be implemented easily in most epi-illumination microscopes after simple modifications. It provides a good alternative to other schemes for tracking small particles such as differential interference contrast as well as other light-scattering methods.
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