CO₂ on Titan
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A sharp stratospheric emission feature at 667 cm⁻¹ in the Voyager infrared spectra of Titan is associated with the ν₂ Q branch of CO₂. A coupling of photochemical and radiative transfer theory yields an average mole fraction above the 110 mbar level of f_{CO₂} = 1.5 ± 0.4 × 10⁻⁹, with most of the uncertainty being due to imprecise knowledge of the vertical distribution. CO₂ is found to be in a steady state, with its abundance being regulated principally by the −72 K cold trap near the tropopause and secondarily by the rate at which water-bearing meteoritic material enters the top of the atmosphere. An influx of water about 0.4 times that at the top of the terrestrial atmosphere is obtained by the Voyager 1 infrared spectrometer (IRIS) [cf. Hanel et al., 1981; Maguire et al., 1981; Kunde et al., 1981]. The great majority have sharp Q branches associated with the hydrocarbons CH₄, C₂H₂, C₂H₄, C₃H₄, C₃H₈, C₄H₂, and nitriles HCN, HC₃N, C₂N₂. An exception is the broad ν₂ band of C₂H₆ centered at 821 cm⁻¹. Of these organic species, the five least abundant (CH₄, C₂H₂, HCN, HC₃N, C₂N₂) all show a rapid decrease in abundance in progressing from high to mid-northern latitudes. HC₃N and C₂N₂, in fact, fall below detection limits by about latitude 60⁰N. Emission features of HCN and the two hydrocarbons become steadily weaker down to about 60⁰S, beyond which reliable data are not available.

With one exception, the few remaining unidentified sharp line features in the spectra also display the same decreasing strength from north to south. This is physically inevitable if they are signatures of products chemically derived from the higher hydrocarbons and nitriles that exhibit the latitudinal behavior described above. The single exception is a weak but well-defined sharp feature at 667 cm⁻¹ (Figure 1), the latitudinal variation of strength of which closely mimics that of the 1304 cm⁻¹ ν₂ band of CH₄. Because CH₄ should be uniformly mixed, the molecule responsible for the 667 cm⁻¹ feature should also be uniformly distributed globally, and any variation of appearance of the two bands with latitude would be the result of latitudinal temperature variations. This apparent uniformity across the disk, implied by the 667 cm⁻¹ feature, is inexplicable if the associated molecule is chemically derived from either the higher hydrocarbons or nitriles. Thus the 667 cm⁻¹ feature cannot correspond to an organic molecule of low abundance.

It is equally improbable that the feature is the signature of a high abundance organic. Photochemical studies by Strobel [1974] and Y. L. Yung et al. (unpublished manuscript, 1982) do not predict any organic molecule with a feature at 667 cm⁻¹. Our own laboratory spectra of hydrocarbons and nitriles have included all the identified species as well as CH₃CN (methyl cyanide), C₂H₆ (propene), C₃H₆ (bivinyl), C₃H₈ (1-butene), and C₄H₈ (butane). None exhibits a feature near 667 cm⁻¹. Laboratory simulation studies by Chang et al. [1978] and Gupta et al. [1981] have reproduced the molecules identified in the IRIS spectra, but little else. The latter group had the advantage of knowing the correct initial conditions from the Voyager 1 results [Hanel et al., 1981; Tyler et al., 1981; Broadfoot et al., 1981], enabling them to restrict their simulated Titan atmospheres to 1–4% CH₄, with N₂ comprising the remainder. They carried out experiments using UV radiation, electric discharges, an electron beam, γ ray radiation, and a proton beam as energy sources. In addition to the molecular species identified by IRIS, they found only two other molecules in trace amounts: CH₃CN and C₂H₆; neither has a feature at 667 cm⁻¹.

IDENTIFICATION AND RATIONALE

By this process of elimination we have been led to consider seriously the identification of the 667 cm⁻¹ feature as the ν₂ Q branch of CO₂. The position of the feature is correct to within the accuracy of reading the data (see Figure 2). The band is very strong and readily observable for concentrations as low as 10⁻⁹ (mole fraction). On the other hand, CO₂ cannot be vertically homogeneous throughout the atmosphere with an abundance regulated solely by the −72 K cold trap at the tropopause. Radiative transfer calculations indicate that the strength of the 667 cm⁻¹ feature would be below the detection threshold under these conditions. If the cold trap does operate to condense CO₂, there must also be a relatively effective means of chemically producing CO₂ in the stratosphere or above. The resulting stratospheric enhancement of CO₂ requires a source of oxygen in a basically reducing atmosphere.

Two plausible sources suggest themselves. One is primordial CO trapped in the lattice structure of a water ice clathrate as it formed from the solar nebula. According to Prinn and Fegley [1981], the primordial CO/N₂ ratio predicted for such a clathrate at Jovian distances from the sun is...
between 0.007 and 0.3, depending upon whether there was, respectively, negligible or extensive radial mixing of the circumplanetary nebula at the time of clathrate formation. Comparable ratios should be valid at Saturnian distances. If a significant fraction of the N$_2$ presently found in Titan's atmosphere is primordial in origin [Owen, 1982; Strobel, 1982] (as opposed to photochemically derived from NH$_3$, for example, Atreya et al. [1978]), it is probable that significant amounts of CO would also be contained in the present atmosphere, even after allowing for photochemical and charged-particle destruction of both N$_2$ and CO over geologic time. Because of the high vapor pressure, a CO mole fraction as large as 0.2 could exist, despite the cold trap at the tropopause, and the gas would become uniformly mixed throughout the atmosphere. The recent detection of CO on Titan by Lutz et al. [1983] demonstrates its availability and provides further support for our identification of CO$_2$.

A second potential source of oxygen is associated with a steady state water influx from outside the atmosphere. In addition to the water contained in chondritic meteorites, a certain amount might also be available from sputtering and collisions connected with the icy satellites and rings.

These two sources are expected to contribute to the production of CO$_2$, mainly through the reaction

$$\text{CO} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}$$  \hspace{1cm} (R5)

where the OH radical is produced in part from

$$\text{H}_2\text{O} + h\nu \rightarrow \text{H} + \text{OH}$$  \hspace{1cm} (R26)

and partly from

$$\text{CO} + e \rightarrow \text{C} + \text{O}(1\text{D}) + e$$  \hspace{1cm} (R18b)

$$\text{O}(1\text{D}) + \text{CH}_4 \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{CH}_3$$  \hspace{1cm} (R18a)

where O(1D) is the excited state of atomic oxygen.

In order to test these ideas quantitatively it is necessary to adopt an iterative procedure: first, guessing the abundance of CO (and the H$_2$O influx rate) and then calculating the vertical distribution of CO$_2$ with the aid of a photochemical model. This distribution is then used in conjunction with the IRIS data and a radiative transfer model to infer a total CO$_2$ abundance, after which the photochemical model is iterated to derive the corresponding abundances of CO and H$_2$O. Fortunately, the CO$_2$ vertical distribution is not particularly sensitive to its total abundance, and further iteration is not required.

### Abundance Determination

Because the P and R branches blend into the observed continuum, only that part of the $Q$ branch of the $\nu_2$ band that projects above the tops of the P and R branches is available for a radiative transfer analysis. Synthetic spectra were generated from a line-by-line program for isothermal atmospheres of 140 K and 170 K, with an underlying base at 75 K to provide contrast. About 85% of the $Q$ branch projected above the P and R branch continuum. The inferred reduced band strength was $S^Q = 3.4 \times 10^{-18}$ cm mol$^{-1}$ at 140 K and about 23% greater at 170 K.

A model atmosphere was then constructed by using the temperature profile shown in Figure 3 and the shape of the CO$_2$ abundance profile derived from our photochemical model, discussed in the following section. The weak line approximation formulated by Maguire et al. [1981] was adopted for preliminary radiative transfer calculations. An iterative procedure for repeated solution of the transfer equation was constructed along lines developed by Samuelson et al. [1981]; each iteration produces a multiplier by which the assumed vertical distribution of CO$_2$ is adjusted until convergence to the observed band intensity is reached. The weak line solution was then corrected to the exact solution with the complete line-by-line program [Kunde and Maguire, 1974]. The mole fraction derived for CO$_2$ is

$$f_{\text{CO}_2} = 1.5 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-9} \text{ (estimated error)}$$

where $f_{\text{CO}_2}$ is averaged over a column extending from the tropopause at about 110 mbar (cf. Figure 3) to the top of the atmosphere. The corresponding column density of CO$_2$ is $2.9 \times 10^{16}$ molecules cm$^{-2}$. Most of the error is due to uncertain-
Fig. 3. CO₂ abundance profile (dashed curve) and temperature profile (solid curve) of Titan's atmosphere. The CO₂ mole fraction \( f_{\text{CO}_2} \) at any pressure level can be inferred by interpolating among curves of constant mole fraction. The weighted average above 110 mbar is \( f_{\text{CO}_2} \approx 1.5 \times 10^{-9} \), obtained by dividing the column number density of CO₂ above that level by the corresponding atmospheric column density. Tangency of the temperature and abundance curves near the tropopause implies a CO₂ steady state regulated by (1) chemical production and (2) loss through condensation and attendant precipitation.

Photochemistry of CO₂

In the presence of ultraviolet radiation, carbon dioxide readily dissociates, according to the reactions [Okabe, 1978; Yung and DeMore, 1982]

\[
\text{CO}_2 + h\nu \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{O}(^1\text{D}) \quad \lambda < 1670 \text{ Å} \quad (R1a)
\]

\[
\rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{O} \quad 1670 \text{ Å} < \lambda < 2060 \text{ Å} \quad (R1b)
\]

where \( \text{O}(^1\text{D}) \) and O denote the excited \((^1\text{D})\) and ground \((^3\text{P})\) states of oxygen, respectively. In addition to photolysis, CO₂ can also be removed by reaction with the ground-state methylene radical

\[
\text{CO}_2 + \text{CH}_2 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{CO} + \text{CO} \quad (R19)
\]

[Laufer, 1981]. The primary fate of \( \text{O}(^1\text{D}) \) produced in (R1a) is either quenching by \( \text{N}_2 \)

\[
\text{O}(^1\text{D}) + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{O} + \text{N}_2 \quad (R17)
\]

or reaction with a hydrocarbon

\[
\text{O}(^1\text{D}) + \text{CH}_4 \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{CH}_3 \quad (R8a)
\]

Thus the oxygen atom derived from CO₂ photolysis either remains as an oxygen atom (in the ground state) or is converted into a hydroxyl radical OH.

The most likely fate of an oxygen atom in the upper atmosphere of Titan is to participate in the formation of CO. Abstraction of a hydrogen atom from either \( \text{H}_2 \) or an alkane by an oxygen atom is possible in principle, as is illustrated by

\[
\text{O} + \text{CH}_4 \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{CH}_3 \quad (R10)
\]

\[
\text{O} + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{H} \quad (R11)
\]

but these reactions have high activation energies and are exceedingly slow at temperatures appropriate for Titan. Abstraction of a hydrogen atom by oxygen from the alkenes and alkynes is endothermic. The oxygen atom, however, reacts rapidly with the hydrocarbon radicals via

\[
\text{O} + \text{CH}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO} + 2\text{H} \quad (R12)
\]

\[
\text{O} + \text{CH}_3 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{CO} + \text{H} \quad (R13)
\]

Formaldehyde is not stable and dissociates by absorption of visible sunlight in accordance with the reactions

\[
\text{H}_2\text{CO} + h\nu \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{CO} \quad (R3a)
\]

\[
\rightarrow \text{H} + \text{HCO} \quad (R3b)
\]

\[
\text{HCO} + h\nu \rightarrow \text{H} + \text{CO} \quad (R4)
\]

A search for a pathway to convert O to OH via reactions with the hydrocarbons and their radicals has been made (see the more extended table of reactions in Y. L. Yung et al., unpublished manuscript, 1982), but no simple and convincing reaction has been discovered. This conclusion is in essential agreement with those of Herron and Huie [1973], Strobel and Yung [1979], and Prather et al. [1978].

The chemistry of OH is crucial for restoring CO₂. The radical reacts readily with CO to form CO₂ via

\[
\text{CO} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H} \quad (R5)
\]

If the OH radical in (R5) is derived from (R1a) followed by (R8a), the net result of (R5) is to mitigate the impact of CO₂ photolysis. However, for (R5) to be important, CO must be abundant enough to compete with reactions such as

\[
\text{OH} + \text{CH}_4 \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{CH}_3 \quad (R14)
\]

\[
\text{OH} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{CO} + \text{H} \quad (R15)
\]

\[
\text{OH} + \text{CH}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2 + \text{H} \quad (R16)
\]

\[
\text{OH} + \text{CH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CO} + 2\text{H}_2 \quad (R17)
\]

Note that reaction (R5) offers a simple scheme for generating CO₂ if an independent source of oxygen is provided in the form of OH.

The above discussion shows that carbon dioxide is not stable in the atmosphere of Titan. There is a strong tendency for the molecule to lose an oxygen atom. Reactions (R1a), (R1b), (R10), (R12), (R13), (R16), (R17), and (R19) can be summarized by the overall reaction

\[
\text{CO}_2 + \text{hydrocarbon} \rightarrow 2\text{CO} + \text{products}
\]

The oxygen atom derived from CO₂ photolysis ultimately ends up in CO, an extremely stable molecule. In the absence of a comparable chemical source, the observed CO₂ on Titan would be destroyed in as short as \( 5 \times 10^4 \) years, an insignificant period of time compared to the age of the solar system. For initially large amounts of CO₂ the depletion rate would only be exacerbated by continuous condensation at the tropopause, leading to even shorter depletion times. Thus to maintain the observed abundance of CO₂ in steady state, a photochemical source must be invoked. Production of CO₂ by (1) requires two conditions: (a) the abundance of CO in the atmosphere must be sufficiently high, and (b) a source of oxygen in the form of OH must be provided. We shall defer the question of the origin of CO until later and briefly address the source of OH.
Destruction of CO by electron impact could lead to the production of OH by

\[ \text{CO} + e \rightarrow \text{C} + \text{O} + e \]  
\[ \rightarrow \text{C} + \text{O}^*(1D) + e \]  
\[ \text{O}^*(1D) + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{O} + \text{N}_2 \]  
\[ \text{O}^*(1D) + \text{CH}_4 \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{CH}_3 \]

(R18a) \hspace{1cm} (R18b) \hspace{1cm} (R7) \hspace{1cm} (R8a)

According to Strobel and Shemansky [1982], electron impact dissociation of N\(_2\) occurs readily in the thermosphere of Titan, the energetic electrons being most probably of magnetospheric origin. The rate of N\(_2\) destruction was estimated to be \(1 \times 10^9\) molecules cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) (referred to the planetary surface). The rate of destruction of CO in relation to that of N\(_2\) in a dominantly N\(_2\) atmosphere is 1.2 (J. Fox, private communication, 1982). The quantum yield for O(1D) is unknown, but based on analogy with dissociation of N\(_2\) [Strobel and Shemansky, 1982; Lee and McKoy, 1982], we estimate the value to be 50%. The flux of OH can be expressed by

\[ \phi_{\text{OH}} = q_1 \times q_2 \times f_{\text{CO}} \times 1.2 \times 1 \times 10^9 \text{ molecules cm}^{-2}\text{ s}^{-1} \]

where \(q_1\) is the quantum yield of O(1D) in (R18) (0.50 in the standard model); \(f_{\text{CO}}\) is the mixing ratio of CO relative to N\(_2\) in the bulk atmosphere; and \(q_2\), the quantum yield of deriving an OH radical from O(1D), is given by

\[ q_2 = \frac{k_8\text{[CH}_4\text{]} + k_9\text{[H}_2\text{]}}{(k_8 + k_9)[\text{CH}_4] + k_9[\text{H}_2] + k_7[\text{N}_2]} \]

where the numerator consists of reactions that produce OH from O(1D), and the denominator includes all the major reactions that destroy O(1D). The numerical value of \(q_2\) is ~0.47. Because the atmosphere is mostly N\(_2\), we shall henceforth treat \(f_{\text{CO}}\) as the mole fraction of CO with little loss of accuracy.

Another potential source of OH is H\(_2\)O derived from the abrasion of micrometeoroids in the upper atmosphere. Dissociation of H\(_2\)O yields OH radicals from the reaction

\[ \text{H}_2\text{O} + h\nu \rightarrow \text{H} + \text{OH} \]  
\[ \text{h} \nu \]

Meteoritic Source

Meteoritic H\(_2\)O provides OH through photolysis, which in turn reacts with the CO available to produce CO\(_2\). Some CO\(_2\) is destroyed, principally by photolysis and by reaction with the methylene radical, but at a combined rate that is insufficient to overcome CO\(_2\) production. However, once the CO\(_2\) vapor pressure reaches saturation near the tropopause, condensation and attendant precipitation will occur, effectively halting a further CO\(_2\) buildup.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

A qualitative overview is useful for revealing the essential physics. Meteoritic H\(_2\)O provides OH through photolysis, which in turn reacts with the CO available to produce CO\(_2\). Some CO\(_2\) is destroyed, principally by photolysis and by reaction with the methylene radical, but at a combined rate that is insufficient to overcome CO\(_2\) production. However, once the CO\(_2\) vapor pressure reaches saturation near the tropopause, condensation and attendant precipitation will occur, effectively halting a further CO\(_2\) buildup.

---

**Fig. 4.** Schematic diagram showing the reaction pathways and ultimate fate of the oxygen atom derived from meteoritic H\(_2\)O.
TABLE 1. Simplified List of Reactions Related to Photochemistry of CO2 on Titan

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Rate Coefficient</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CO}_2 + \nu \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{O}(^1\text{D}) )</td>
<td>( J_{\text{la}} = 5.5 \times 10^{-9} )</td>
<td>Shemansky [1972]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CO}_2 + \nu \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{O} )</td>
<td>( J_{\text{la}} = 7.4 \times 10^{-11} )</td>
<td>Allen et al. [1981]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{H}_2\text{O} + \nu \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{O}(^1\text{D}) )</td>
<td>( J_{\text{2,a}} = 2.0 \times 10^{-8} )</td>
<td>Allen et al. [1981]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{H}_2\text{O} + \nu \rightarrow \text{H} + \text{O}_2 )</td>
<td>( J_{\text{2,b}} = 5.1 \times 10^{-8} )</td>
<td>Allen et al. [1981]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{H}_2\text{CO} + \nu \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{CO} )</td>
<td>( J_{\text{3,a}} = 1.8 \times 10^{-7} )</td>
<td>DeMote et al. [1982]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{H} + \text{HCO} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{CO} )</td>
<td>( k_{\text{3,b}} = 1.0 \times 10^{-4} )</td>
<td>DeMote et al. [1982]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{HCO} + \nu \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{CO} )</td>
<td>( k_{\text{4}} = 1.4 \times 10^{-13} )</td>
<td>DeMore et al. [1982]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CO} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H} )</td>
<td>( k_{\text{5}} = 1.4 \times 10^{-13} )</td>
<td>DeMore et al. [1982]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{H} + \text{HCO} \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{CO} )</td>
<td>( k_{\text{6}} = 3.0 \times 10^{-10} )</td>
<td>DeMore et al. [1982]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{O}(^1\text{D}) + \text{N}_2 \rightarrow \text{O} + \text{N}_2 )</td>
<td>( k_{\text{7}} = 1.8 \times 10^{-11} )</td>
<td>DeMote et al. [1982]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{O}(^1\text{D}) + \text{CH}_4 \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{CH}_3 )</td>
<td>( k_{\text{8,a}} = 1.4 \times 10^{-10} )</td>
<td>Baulch et al. [1980]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{O}(^1\text{D}) + \text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{H} )</td>
<td>( k_{\text{9}} = 3.0 \times 10^{-10} )</td>
<td>DeMote et al. [1982]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{O} + \text{CH}_4 \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{CH}_3 )</td>
<td>( k_{\text{10}} = 3.5 \times 10^{-11} )</td>
<td>DeMote et al. [1982]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{O} + \text{CH}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO} + 2\text{H} )</td>
<td>( k_{\text{11}} = 8.3 \times 10^{-11} )</td>
<td>DeMote et al. [1982]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{O} + \text{CH}_3 \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H} + \text{H} )</td>
<td>( k_{\text{12}} = 5.0 \times 10^{-12} )</td>
<td>DeMote et al. [1982]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{OH} + \text{CH}_2 \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CO} + \text{H} )</td>
<td>( k_{\text{13}} = 2.4 \times 10^{-12} )</td>
<td>DeMote et al. [1982]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{H}_2\text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{CO} )</td>
<td>( k_{\text{14}} = 6.7 \times 10^{-12} )</td>
<td>DeMote et al. [1982]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CH}_3\text{CO} + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{C} + \text{O}(^1\text{D}) + \text{H}_2 )</td>
<td>( k_{\text{15}} = 6.7 \times 10^{-12} )</td>
<td>DeMote et al. [1982]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{OH} + \text{CH}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO} + 2\text{H} )</td>
<td>( k_{\text{16}} = 5.0 \times 10^{-12} )</td>
<td>DeMote et al. [1982]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{OH} + \text{C} = \text{O} + \text{H}_2 )</td>
<td>( k_{\text{17}} = 6.7 \times 10^{-12} )</td>
<td>DeMote et al. [1982]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CO} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{H} )</td>
<td>( k_{\text{18,a}} = 6.7 \times 10^{-12} )</td>
<td>DeMote et al. [1982]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CO} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{CO} + \text{H} )</td>
<td>( k_{\text{18,b}} = 6.7 \times 10^{-12} )</td>
<td>DeMote et al. [1982]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These ideas appear to be borne out by the observed abundance of CO2. According to Figure 3 the CO2 abundance curve is very nearly tangent to the temperature profile at the point where condensation should occur. This suggests the CO2 abundance is in a steady state. Any increase in CO2 would lead immediately to supersaturation and removal by condensation, the major sink under these circumstances. On the other hand a decrease in CO2 would lead to undersaturation and eliminate condensation as a sink altogether. In this case our photochemical model predicts a net increase in CO2 production. Thus, as long as the meteoritic influx rate is constant, the CO2 abundance will return to its steady state value. The response time for the system is about \( 4 \times 10^3 \) yr.

The situation with respect to the evolution of CO is somewhat different. Because CO does not condense, it should be uniformly mixed throughout the troposphere and lower stratosphere where photochemistry is relatively unimportant. In the absence of a steady state the influx of meteoric H2O could be either a source or sink of CO, depending on the relative magnitudes of the production \( P_{\text{CO}} \) and loss \( L_{\text{CO}} \) rates. Explicitly,

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \int_0^\infty [\text{CO}] \, dz = P_{\text{CO}} - L_{\text{CO}}
\]  

(1)

and

\[
L_{\text{CO}} \sim \int_0^\infty k_5 [\text{CO}] [\text{OH}] \, dz
\]  

(3)

where \( k_{\text{5}} \) denotes the concentration of species \( x \) in molecules cm\(^{-3}\), and the approximate expressions for \( P_{\text{CO}} \) and \( L_{\text{CO}} \) are valid for \( F_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} > 0.3 \). These relations are illustrated schematically in Figure 4.

From the above equations it is seen that the CO production rate is independent of the abundance of CO, whereas the CO loss rate is proportional to it. As a result the system acts as a negative feedback loop, with \( P_{\text{CO}} \) remaining constant (for a given \( F_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \) influx rate) and \( L_{\text{CO}} \) increasing or decreasing as \( [\text{CO}] \) increases or decreases, respectively. The system tends toward a steady state (\( P_{\text{CO}} \sim L_{\text{CO}} \)) with \( f_{\text{CO}} \) approaching an asymptotic limit.

On the other hand both \( P_{\text{CO}} \) and \( L_{\text{CO}} \) are proportional to \([\text{OH}] \) (and hence to \( F_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} \)) as long as \( F_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} > 0.3 \). It follows from (1)-(3) that the rate at which \( f_{\text{CO}} \) approaches its limit is proportional to the influx rate of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \), whereas the limiting value itself is essentially independent of this latter rate.

These ideas can be quantified by using our photochemical model. A simplified set of reactions related to the chemistry of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere of Titan is summarized in Table 1, and a schematic diagram showing the fate of oxygen derived from \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) is shown in Figure 4. The concentrations of the hydrocarbons have been calculated in a more detailed model described by Y. L. Yung et al. (unpublished manuscript, 1982). Because the concentrations of the active
oxygen species O, O(1D), and OH are low it should not be necessary to modify the hydrocarbon abundances.

The discussion here is limited to the most important oxygen species: CO, CO2, and H2O. The emphasis is on the overall budget and evolution of CO and CO2 in the atmosphere. A discussion of the detailed photochemical model and the chemistry of H2CO and CH2CO is deferred to Yung et al.

Requiring CO to be in a steady state yields f^co = 1.1 \times 10^{-4}, a value which, as previously indicated, is largely independent of the H2O influx rate. Once the CO mole fraction is established the observed value for f^CO determines this rate unambiguously. In particular a value f^CO = 1.5 \times 10^{-9} yields FH2O = 0.42. This defines our standard model. Figure 5 presents altitude profiles for the important oxygen-containing species CO2 and H2O in this steady state model. The concentrations of the important radicals O, OH, CH2, and CH3 are shown in Figure 6, while the production and loss rates relevant to the chemistry of CO2 are indicated in Figure 7. As noted earlier, the production of CO, mainly by (R16) and (R17), roughly balances the major loss of CO by (R5), leading to the formation of CO2. The net source of oxygen is meteoritic water, with an input rate 6.1 \times 10^5 atoms cm^{-2} s^{-1} (referred to the surface). The atmosphere loses oxygen through downward transport of CO2 and H2CO at the tropopause and escape of oxygen from the exosphere, with rates equivalent to 5.8 \times 10^5, 0.1 \times 10^5, and 0.2 \times 10^5 O atoms cm^{-2} s^{-1}.

An important check of the model is provided by comparing the steady state calculation of f^CO with the observed value. Lutz et al. [1983] have inferred a value between 6 \times 10^{-4} and 1.5 \times 10^{-4} that brackets our theoretical value of 1.1 \times 10^{-4}. The good agreement is probably fortuitous, as neither the model nor the observations are certain to within a factor of 3. Furthermore, we must entertain the possibility that CO is not in a steady state on Titan, as its doubling time in the model is ~10^9 years. We would also like to add a word of caution about the preliminary results of the hydrocarbon model used in the calculations. There are indications that the concentration of CH3 radicals shown in Figure 6 are probably too high by a factor of 2-3. A downward revision of CH3 would result in a lower concentration of CO in the steady state model.

Our current understanding implies that there may be little information available regarding the original CO content of Titan's atmosphere. If the water influx rate has been approximately constant (FH2O ~ 0.4) over a substantial fraction of the age of the solar system, an initial CO abundance of zero would have increased by now to its steady state value, f^CO ~ 1.1 \times 10^{-4}. On the other hand, if the conversion of CO to CH4 and N2 to NH3 was inhibited during the time of formation of Saturn's circumplanetary nebula [as is true for certain of Prinn and Fegley's [1981] models for the Jovian system], Titan might have accreted substantial quantities of CO and N2. Thus, if most of the present N2 in Titan's atmosphere is primordial in origin, large amounts of CO should also have been in the initial atmosphere [Owen, 1982].

This initially large amount would have been depleted over geologic time, however. For example, a CO mole fraction of...
0.2 implies a CO destruction rate of $\sim 10^8$ molecules cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$. This would generate a CO$_2$ vapor pressure at the tropopause greatly in excess of saturation, and rapid removal by condensation would result. Depletion to the present steady state value would be accomplished well within the time frame available. A tenth of a Titan atmosphere of CO could be irreversibly destroyed over the age of the solar system, converted to about a meter of CO$_2$ ice on the surface. This compares with about a kilometer of heavy hydrocarbons deposited on the surface over the same time scale.

The identification of CO$_2$ on Titan opens up a new vista in the chemistry of reducing atmospheres. A complete understanding of this chemistry may ultimately be as significant as the chemistry of reduced compounds such as CH$_4$ and H$_2$ in an oxidizing atmosphere [cf. Logan et al., 1978, 1981; Aikin et al., 1982]. Abundance determinations of such gases as H$_2$O, CH$_4$, and H$_2$CO will provide crucial tests in further developments of the theory. Additionally, if the large influx of water postulated for Titan is borne out by further observation, H$_2$O vapor would have to be considered a potentially important source of oxygen for Saturn as well. Thus a search for CO in Saturn’s upper atmosphere might yield positive results.
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