The Excitation of the Far Ultraviolet Electroglow Emissions on Uranus, Saturn, and Jupiter
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We propose that the diffuse FUV emissions of H and H$_2$ in excess of photoelectron excitation observed from the sunlit atmospheres of Uranus, Saturn, and Jupiter are produced by electric field acceleration of photoelectrons and ions locally in the upper atmospheres. This in situ acceleration is required to satisfy the many observational constraints on the altitude distribution, exciting particle energy, and total input energy requirements of the electroglow mechanism. We further suggest that a primary mechanism leading to this acceleration is an ionospheric dynamo, which is created in the same manner as the Earth's dynamo. The calculated altitude of charge separation by the neutral wind drag on ions across magnetic field lines is consistent with the observed peaks in electroglow emissions from the Voyager ultraviolet spectrometer limb scan data on both Saturn (near the homopause) and Uranus (just above the homopause). This dynamo action therefore appears to initiate the acceleration process, which must have the form of field-aligned potentials to accelerate the magnetized electrons. We propose that these field-aligned potentials are due to anomalous resistivity, which results from sufficiently high field-aligned currents in the ionosphere to generate plasma instabilities and therefore runaway electrons and ions above some critical lower initial energy. There are multiple candidate processes for inducing these currents, including polarization in the equivalent F regions and inner magnetospheric convection, and each of these processes should exhibit latitudinal structure. The acceleration of low-energy electrons in an H$_2$ atmosphere preferentially results in FUV radiation and further ionization, whereas electron acceleration in a nitrogen/oxygen atmosphere such as the Earth's is dominated by elastic scattering and thus results in electric currents. Individual electron and proton collisions with H$_2$ molecules will result in excitation, ionization, and heating, so that considerable enhancement of the ionospheric density and heating of the upper atmosphere will accompany the FUV emission.

1. INTRODUCTION

The interpretation of the H and H$_2$ FUV emissions observed from the sunlit atmospheres of the outer planets has followed an interesting (and evolving) course over the period of time since the launch of the International Ultraviolet Explorer (IUE) in January 1978, which covers the Voyager encounters with Jupiter, Saturn, and Uranus. Initially, interpretations of the H Ly $_{a}$ line emission from Jupiter and Saturn were all in terms of resonantly scattered solar radiation, and charged particle–excited emissions of H$_2$ bands and H Ly _{a} were believed to be confined to the bright polar aurora on both planets. It became apparent, however, that more diffuse H$_2$ band emissions were present on both planets [Broadfoot et al., 1979, 1981; Clarke et al., 1982] at wavelengths where the solar flux for scattering by H$_2$ is minimal, implying particle excitation of the H$_2$. In addition, Clarke et al. [1979], in an observation made by a sounding rocket telescope, first showed that Jupiter displays a magnetically confined equatorial H Ly _{a} bright region, subsequently known as the H Ly _{a} bulge and confirmed by Voyager [Sandel et al., 1980]. These additional emissions were initially interpreted as being due to soft precipitating particles and/or an enhanced reflectivity to solar H Ly _{a}, with at most a partial contribution from photoelectrons. The idea of precipitating soft electrons seems to have been first independently proposed by Hunten and Dessler [1977] to explain the high thermospheric temperature of Jupiter observed by Pioneer 10 and 11. However, the mechanism for producing these electrons was not investigated.

Following more detailed analyses of the Voyager ultraviolet spectrometer (UVS) spectra of Jupiter [Shemansky, 1985] and Saturn [Yelle et al., 1986], it became apparent that this diffuse emission is largely restricted to the sunlit atmosphere and that it is generated by soft (10–50 eV) particle excitation with a peak near or just above the homopause in altitude and extending through the higher atmosphere. The observations by IUE [Clarke et al., 1986] and then by the UVS [Broadfoot et al., 1986] of an unexpectedly high brightness in these emissions from Uranus reemphasized the important clue that the radiated energy is greater than the solar EUV (i.e., photoelectron) energy input into the atmosphere. Although photoelectrons can act as a trigger for the process (which decays rapidly in the absence of sunlight), the energy generation must occur locally in the atmosphere. This process for producing both H Ly _{a} and H$_2$ emissions was named "electroglow" by Broadfoot et al. [1986].

In this paper we will briefly summarize the observational characteristics of the previously unexplained diffuse emissions, outline our chain of logic in deducing the nature of the excitation process, and discuss how the dynamo action of the
ionosphere might lead to the required excitation. It is important to keep in mind that some of this emission is certainly produced by direct photoelectron excitation and that the theory developed here is to explain the additional energy source. Because of the many similarities between the diffuse emissions from the different planets, we assume that there must be one mechanism which applies to the outer planets in general, and we accept this as an additional constraint on our theory.

2. Observational Summary

Jupiter

The sunlit atmosphere produces copious amounts of H Ly α emission, measured at the subsolar point to be 8–15 kR by IUE [Skinner et al., 1983, personal communication, 1987] and 15–20 kR by the UVS [Shemansky, 1985]. Nearly simultaneous observations during the Voyager encounters by the UVS and IUE indicate that the difference in measured brightness is due to calibration differences rather than real variability. The sunlit atmosphere H Ly α displays limb darkening by roughly a cosine function, indicating optically thick resonant scattering of solar emission. There is sufficient solar H Ly α at Jupiter to explain all of the observed emission by resonant scattering, and this makes it difficult to separate the contributions by diffuse particle excitation [Yung and Strobel, 1980]. However, there is a superimposed equatorial excess (the H Ly α bulge) above the modeled spatial distribution of the resonant scattered component at all longitudes [Clarke et al., 1981a] and peaking near λeq = 100°. On the dark hemisphere the intensity is 800 R, and the equatorial “H Ly α bulge” is also observed at a level of roughly 200 R.

McConnell et al. [1980] point out that the dark side emission is greater than can be produced by backscattered solar H Ly α from interplanetary H atoms, and they conclude that a fraction of all the dark side emission is produced by charged particle excitation. McConnell et al. also present data showing equatorial H Ly α emission at levels of several kilorays extending nearly 20° in longitude onto the dark hemisphere at the dawn terminator (the dusk terminator was not observed), although they were unable to explain this phenomenon as a result of scattering processes. Thus the electroglow process may occur in the dark atmosphere also, albeit at a reduced level, in contrast with earlier assumptions that it is restricted to the sunlit atmosphere. The equatorial regions between ±30° latitude and within 20° of the terminator, observed by McConnell et al., are connected to conjugate magnetic points in the dayside atmosphere at most longitudes due to the significant declination angle of Jupiter’s magnetic field [Acuña et al., 1983]. Photoelectrons and ions can freely flow into these shadowed regions from the conjugate illuminated regions with correspondingly high ionospheric ion and electron densities. The observation therefore indicates that electroglow can operate in the absence of sunlight but in the presence of substantial ionospheric densities. Finally, the bulge emission region rotates with the same period as, and is centered on the equator of, the magnetic field rather than the atmosphere (i.e., it is fixed in system III longitude, not systems I or II, [Clarke et al., 1981a; Dessler et al., 1981]). This implies a magnetic dependence of the electroglow mechanism.

The subsolar point integrated brightness of the H_2 Lyman band emissions observed with IUE is 1.4 kR [Clarke et al., 1982], and the integrated Lyman and Werner band brightness observed with UVS is roughly 3 kR [Shemansky, 1985]. The H_2 band emissions occur at wavelengths where the incoming solar flux is too weak to produce the observed emission levels by scattering, and therefore they are a direct signature of charged particle excitation. The observed spectrum of these band emissions is a sensitive function of the energy of the exciting charged particles, and the equatorial emission spectrum is consistent with excitation by electrons with energies in the range 10–50 eV, as opposed to the 10– to 100-keV excitation of the polar aurora [Shemansky, 1985]. The measured atomic carbon emission C I 1657 Å is 300 R, which is at least 140 R greater than the reflected solar line. A large abundance of C atoms (and thereby efficient resonant scattering of the solar line) is unlikely, since C atoms react rapidly with H_2 to form simple hydrocarbon radicals and molecules. This suggests particle excitation (probably of CH_4) near the homopause, i.e., well below the photoelectron production peak [Clarke et al., 1982].

Saturn

The subsolar H Ly α brightness has been measured to be 1–2 kR by the IUE (correcting the measurement of Clarke et al. [1981a] for the effects of interplanetary hydrogen emission and absorption) and 3 kR by the UVS [Broadfoot et al., 1981]. This emission can also be explained in magnitude by a reasonable (but very high) atmospheric reflectivity to direct solar H Ly α. There has been no claim of detection of a Saturn H Ly α bulge, although we note that there appears to be an enhancement of 10–15% at 20° latitude in the H Ly α data of Yelle et al. [1986]. The dark side H Ly α brightness at 350 R is, as at Jupiter, inconsistent with resonant scattering of interplanetary background radiation as the sole mechanism and is reported to have a component due to charged particle excitation. The H_2 bands appear at a disk-average brightness of roughly 350 R from the IUE [Clarke et al., 1982] and a subsolar brightness of 1000 R from the UVS [Yelle et al., 1986], with no emission detected from the dark side at an upper limit of 0.015 times the subsolar brightness. The sunlit H_2 emissions are consistent with excitation by 10– to 50-eV electrons as at Jupiter. The C I 1657-Å emission is 50 R, which is close to unit albedo in that line [Clarke et al., 1982]. The emissions also decrease as compared to the sunlit atmosphere in the shadow of Saturn’s rings, where the H_2 bands decrease by at least 85% and the H Ly α by a comparable amount [Broadfoot et al., 1981]. It is significant that a reduced level of emission may still be present, just as bright H Ly α emission was observed from beyond the dawn terminator on Jupiter. Ionospheric charged particles will also be supplied to the ring-shadowed regions along magnetic field lines from conjugate illuminated regions despite the absence of direct solar EUV radiation.

Especially significant is the measurement of the altitude of the emissions in the UVS limb scan data [Yelle et al., 1986], showing peaks for both H Ly α and the H_2 bands around the homopause level (roughly equivalent to the Earth’s E region) with a decrease toward higher altitudes. Both H Ly α and the
H₂ bands show strong limb brightening indicative of optically thin emissions. This is not surprising for the H₂ bands, since the atmosphere above the emission peak is optically thin to the majority of these emissions, but the vertical optical depth at the center of the H Ly α line above the observed peak altitude is of the order of 10⁴. The atmosphere is optically thin, however, to photons emitted with a Doppler shift of a few times 0.1 Å from the center of the H Ly α line. The interpretation of Yelle et al. is that the H Ly α is produced by fast protons and/or fast H atoms, which radiate following charge exchange with enough velocity to Doppler-shift their emission from the line center. Only a few kilometers per second motion is required to accomplish this. We agree with the Doppler shift interpretation, although the magnetospheric particle source assumed by Yelle et al. is not essential to the argument. The interpretation of proton-excited H Ly α contrasts with an earlier interpretation of electron excitation near the exobase, as proposed for Jupiter by Shemansky [1985]. However, no brightening in the exosphere has been observed in the limb scan data on either Saturn or Uranus. Electron impact can dissociate H₂, producing fragments in the 2S and 2P states. The kinetic energy of the fragments is roughly 0.1 eV, or 5 km/s, sufficient to Doppler-shift the H Ly α out of self-absorption by H atoms [Lee et al., 1982]. However, the contribution from dissociative excitation of H₂ at the electroglow peak altitude on Uranus has been limited to 200 R or less by the observed brightness of the H₂ emission [Broadfoot et al., 1986].

There are several reasons to reject the idea of electroglow excitation by precipitating particles, some of which have been discussed by Yelle et al. [1986]. For electrons, energies of 1–100 keV are required to penetrate to the observed peak emission altitude, and these particles would produce an easily identified signature through excitation of H₂ band emissions similar to the polar aurora, yet these are not observed [Yung et al., 1982]. In addition, along low-latitude magnetic field lines which are occulted by Saturn’s rings there is a measured depletion of trapped charged particles, but a corresponding decrease in low-latitude electroglow brightness is not observed. However, the optically thin H Ly α emission suggests that a large fraction of that emission may be proton excited. Finally, we note that there is an observed decrease in all emissions on Saturn from dawn to dusk (opposite to the sense of the solar terminator) at a level of 20–30%, but this may also be consistent with a solar-controlled optically thin emitting layer appearing to vary from dawn to dusk due to the geometry of the observation. The intrinsic emission brightness (assuming an optically thin layer) decreases with increasing latitude with roughly a cosine dependence [Yelle et al., 1986].

Uranus

Although the H Ly α brightness of Uranus is comparable to that of Saturn, it is once again difficult to separate the contributions from scattering processes and particle excitation. The sunlit disk average H Ly α brightness has averaged 1.4 kR over 4 years of IUE observations (which includes the auroral emission [Clarke et al., 1986]) and was measured at 1.5 kR by the UVS during the Voyager encounter (not including auroral emission [Broadfoot et al., 1986]). The dark hemisphere H Ly α brightness is 170 R, again suggesting some charged particle excitation. The subsolar H₂ brightness is 400–1800 R depending on the assumed contribution of the H₂ a-b continuum, and no detection of diffuse H₂ emission from the dark side was reported, although without a specified upper limit [Broadfoot et al., 1986].

3. ELEMENTS OF THE REQUIRED EMISSION PROCESS

In view of the preceding observations, the required characteristics of the emission process are (1) the existence of a sunlight "trigger" plus an additional energy source, (2) a diffuse low- and mid-latitude process, which may include weak H Ly α emission from the dark hemisphere, (3) charged particle excitation, with an energy of 10–50 eV, by both electrons (for H₂ band emissions) and protons (for optically thin H Ly α emission).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planets</th>
<th>H Ly α</th>
<th>H₂</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jupiter</td>
<td>3–5</td>
<td>1.4–3.0</td>
<td>4.4–8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturn</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>0.35–1.0</td>
<td>1.35–3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uranus</td>
<td>0.5–1.0</td>
<td>0.40–1.8</td>
<td>0.9–2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Units are kilorayleighs.
tion), (4) peak excitation at or just above the homopause, at neutral densities of $10^{12}$ to $10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$, where the mean free path for a low-energy electron is of the order of 1 m, and (5) some sort of magnetic control, if the magnetic-field-related H Lyα bulge on Jupiter is interpreted as electroglow.

Since the peak in emission is observed near the altitude of maximum photoelectron production, the emission region covers the sunlit hemisphere, and decreases rapidly in the absence of sunlight, it is natural to interpret the production of photoelectrons (and ions) as providing the sunlight trigger. Given the preceding constraints on precipitating particles, the particle acceleration processes must occur in situ and accelerate both electrons and ions, i.e., electric field acceleration in a region of neutral density and magnetic field strength comparable to the Earth's E and F regions. This interpretation is very different from previous ones involving precipitating particles, in the sense that low-energy particles are accelerated in the presence of collisions with neutrals, rather than high-energy particles being slowed down by collisions.

Strong electric currents in the E and F regions of the Earth's ionosphere are produced by an atmospheric dynamo, whose driving force stems from charge separation by the interaction of neutral winds with ionospheric plasma. A derivation and review of dynamo theory and its manifestations is given by Rishbeth and Garriott [1969]. If $\Omega_e$ is the electron gyrofrequency and $v_n$ is the collision frequency of electrons with neutrals,

$$\Omega_e = eB/m_e \quad v_n = v_en$$

then the ionosphere divides into two regions. At high altitudes (low densities) $\Omega_e \gg v_n$, and individual electron motions are confined to magnetic field lines. At low altitudes (high densities) $v_n \gg \Omega_e$ and the electrons are dragged across magnetic field lines with the wind motion of the neutral atmosphere. Similar quantities $\Omega_i$ and $v_n$ can be defined for ions, except

$$\Omega_i = (m_e/m_i)\Omega_e \quad v_n = \frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_e} \left( \frac{m_i}{2m_e} \right)^{1/2} v_n$$

for ions and electrons of equal energy (i.e., assuming that $T_i = T_e$). The ratio of collision frequency to gyrofrequency is therefore higher for ions than for electrons by the ratio

$$\frac{\sigma_i}{\sigma_e} \left( \frac{m_i}{2m_e} \right)^{1/2}$$

and neutral collisions dominate particle motions at higher altitudes for ions than for electrons. In the intermediate altitude range where

$$v_n/\Omega_e \ll 1 \quad v_n/\Omega_i \gg 1$$

the ions will be dragged along by a neutral wind while the electrons remain fixed on magnetic field lines, resulting in charge separation in the direction of the neutral wind at dawn/dusk boundaries where the winds reverse. The numerical values for $v_n/\Omega_e$ and $v_n/\Omega_i$ at the homopause on the three outer planets are listed in Table 2a (assuming the ions to be protons) and compared with corresponding properties of the Earth's ionosphere (Table 2b). Collision frequencies are calculated using the relations given by Banks and Kockarts [1973] and electron scattering cross sections from Trajmar et al., [1983], and Earth D region ion frequencies are calculated for $\Omega_i \approx \Omega_e$.

On the Earth the peak dynamo currents are measured at altitudes near 110 km (somewhat higher than the altitude of maximum charge separation [cf. Rishbeth and Garriott, 1969]) and extend to much higher altitudes [Pfaff, 1986]. From Table 2 the equivalent conditions on Uranus, Saturn, and Jupiter occur just above the homopause. We know that dynamos will operate in the outer planet atmospheres, subject to the neutral wind velocity, the strength of the magnetic field, and the charged particle density. The question is how much of the energy will go into FUV radiation.

Acceleration of the magnetized electrons requires field-aligned potentials. Collisional drag of protons at 100 m/s gives only $5 \times 10^{-5}$ eV, which is not sufficient for excitation of UV emissions and suggests that the ions are also accelerated by field-aligned potentials. Field-aligned (Birkeland) currents in the Earth's F and E regions result from current closure along the highly conductive magnetic field lines driven by E and F region polarization due to the dynamo action [Rishbeth, 1971]. The basic ionospheric circuit is shown in Figure 1 for mid-latitude and equatorial cases. The conductivity for electron flow along field lines is extremely high, and the Pederson ($\sigma_p$) conductivity in the E region is also high and carried mainly by protons. Ion Pedersen conductivity provides cross-field line current closure in both the E and F region legs of the circuit. The E region conductivity is greatly reduced at night by recombination, so dynamo-driven Birkeland currents are larger during the day relative to a fixed neutral wind velocity [Rishbeth, 1971]. Sufficiently high Birkeland currents can give rise to plasma instabilities which inhibit the bulk of the electron drift at the expense of accelerating high-energy electrons to superthermal energies, a phenomenon known as anomalous resistivity. Anomalous resistivity has long been thought to contribute to the acceleration of auroral particles on Earth up to tens of keV [Swift, 1965]. We propose that a weaker version of this phenomenon at low and middle latitudes accelerates photoelectrons (and ions) above some critical energy along hundreds of kilometers parallel to B in the ionosphere to produce the electroglow emissions. The excited charged

---

**Table 2a. Ionospheric Dynamo Conditions on the Planets**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Planet</th>
<th>Homopause Density</th>
<th>$v_n/\Omega_e$</th>
<th>$v_n/\Omega_i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jupiter*</td>
<td>$n_{H_2} = 5 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saturn*</td>
<td>$n_{H_2} = 1 \times 10^{12}$</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uranus*</td>
<td>$n_{H_2} = 5 \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earth**</td>
<td>$n_{H_2} = 4 \times 10^{13}$</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Here, $v_n$ is the electron-neutral collision frequency, and $\Omega_i$ is the electron gyrofrequency.

*Assuming atmospheric model of Festou et al. [1981], where $T_{	ext{homopause}} = 200$ K.

*Assuming atmospheric model of Smith et al. [1983], $T_e = 400$ K.

*Assuming atmospheric model of Broadfoot et al. [1986], $T_e = 500$ K.

**Assuming $T(D\text{region}) = 200$ K.
particles therefore represent a nonlocal thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) population in the ionosphere, since anomalous resistivity preferentially accelerates particles in the high-energy portion of the distribution.

We emphasize that it is the presence of Birkeland currents in the ionosphere, rather than direct dynamo action, that leads to FUV emissions by the mechanism of anomalous resistivity. Any contributions to Birkeland currents from magnetospheric processes or from magnetic conjugate regions with relatively higher ionospheric densities will lead to locally enhanced FUV emission. It is the observation of electroglow throughout the sunlit atmospheres that indicates substantial excitation by the more global dynamo processes. The detailed calculation of the generation of field-aligned potentials by neutral winds is discussed in a paper by M. K. Hudson et al. (A Dynamo theory of Electroglow Emissions from the Outer Planets, submitted to Journal of Geophysical Research, 1987), hereinafter referred to as H87. They show that for the case of Uranus, assuming a wind velocity of twice the maximum observed cloud velocity (400 m/s [Smith et al., 1987]), total energies in excess of collisional losses of 10–20 eV may be gained by photoelectrons and ions over reasonable path lengths in the ionosphere. In the next sections we explore the consequences of accelerating low-energy electrons and protons and of dynamo-produced field-aligned potentials in an H₂ atmosphere.

4. The Linear Acceleration of Low-Energy Electrons and Protons

Photoelectrons are produced in the outer planet atmospheres by absorption of solar ionizing radiation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2 + h\nu &\rightarrow \text{H}_2^+ + e \\
&\rightarrow \text{H}^+ + \text{H} + e \\
\text{H} + h\nu &\rightarrow \text{H}^+ + e \\
\text{He} + h\nu &\rightarrow \text{He}^+ + e
\end{align*}
\]

For simplicity we shall ignore the photoionization of CH₄ and other hydrocarbons. The fate of photoelectrons in a pure hydrogen atmosphere has been investigated by Cravens et al. [1975]. Photoelectrons lose energy in collisions with H₂ by electronic and vibrational excitation, ionization, and dissociation and are eventually thermalized. Figure 2 shows the overall loss function \(L(E)\) for electrons in H₂ and the contributions to \(L(E)\) from the various processes. The effect of elastic collisions is not included in computing \(L(E)\); we will address this issue later. Given the initial energy of an electron, the subsequent energy cascade [Dalgarno et al., 1969] can be described by the equation

\[
dE/dx = -n L(E)
\]
Inspection of Figure 4 of Broadfoot et al. [1986] shows that the electroglow emissions decay rapidly across the dark limb, indicating a photoelectron time constant of the order of an hour or less. From Figure 2b we note that the cross section for elastic scattering is much larger than that for inelastic scattering. However, there is the complication that elastic scattering can be highly anisotropic and tends to be forward peaked at larger energies. A convenient measure of the anisotropy of elastic scattering is the asymmetry parameter

$$g = \frac{\int_{-1}^{1} \sigma(\mu) d\mu}{\int_{-1}^{1} \sigma(\mu) d\mu}$$

(7)

where $\sigma(\mu)$ is the differential cross section and $\mu = \cos \theta$ ($\theta$ is the scattering angle). The asymmetry $g$ is a strong function of energy. Using the data from Table 4 of Trajmar et al. [1983], we compute

$$g = 0 \quad E \leq 3 \text{ eV}$$

$$g \geq 0.8 \quad E \geq 10 \text{ eV}$$

(8)

where the range of $g$ is $-1$ to $1$, with $0$ representing no asymmetry and $1$ complete forward scattering. For low-energy (a few electron volts) electrons, elastic scattering is isotropic, while at higher energies the scattering is highly anisotropic. Thus for an electron at low energy, elastic scattering is nearly an isotropic random walk, and electrons do not gain energy from any electric field. The high cross section for vibrational excitation of H$_2$ near 3 eV (see Figure 2b) will act as an additional sink for the electron energy. At higher energy where elastic scattering is forward peaked, the gas is effectively transparent, and the effects of elastic collisions can be ignored. This combination of circumstances will also lead to the relaxation of high-energy photoelectrons. A random walk in energy space may place the energy of the photoelectron sufficiently low that it is lost from the acceleration process. It therefore appears that the production of high-energy photoelectrons is necessary.
TABLE 3. Numerical Values of the Critical Force $a_c$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E$, eV</th>
<th>$n = 10^{11}$ cm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>$n = 10^{12}$ cm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>$n = 10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are in millielectron volts per meter.

to permit any acceleration process to function and that the particle acceleration will rapidly decrease in the absence of EUV sunlight.

It is also clear from Figure 2b that electrons accelerated in the range 1–6 eV will preferentially lose their energy in the excitation of vibrational transitions of $H_2$, which will contribute to heating the local neutral atmosphere. Since roughly one in five collisions results in vibrational excitation at 3 eV and elastic scattering is largely isotropic at the lower energies, particles below roughly 5 eV contribute to heating with a high efficiency. The ratio of heating to UV excitation in the electroglow process then depends on the lower cutoff energy above which anomalous resistivity will accelerate electrons (i.e., the lower the cutoff, the more heating compared to UV radiation). Linear acceleration of the entire photoelectron distribution would result in too much heat compared to UV radiation to satisfy the observations, and this has been a contributing factor in leading us to propose a non-LTE process for the electroglow excitation. Estimates of very high temperature thermal distributions of electrons also indicate too much heating compared to radiation (J. H. Waite, personal communication, 1987). The vibrational excitation is potentially capable of heating the upper atmospheres of all three planets to the observed temperatures of 400–1200 K, although the magnitude of this contribution compared to other heating processes (such as inertial gravity waves) has yet to be established.

The fate of those electrons that are accelerated can be seen in Figure 2. At an energy of roughly 10–15 eV a steep increase in $L(E)$ is encountered, representing cross-section increases for dissociation, ionization, and excitation of the Lyman and Werner band systems of $H_2$. Near 10 eV the threshold for $H_2$ dissociation is reached, and just above 11 eV is the threshold for resonant excitation of excited state $H_2^+$ leading to Lyman band emission (J. Ajello, personal communication, 1987). This process, in particular, produces vibrational features observed in the UVS spectra of Uranus that are not produced by direct excitation of the $B$ state. In addition, the cross section for elastic scattering is decreasing toward higher energies and will be exceeded by the combined (ionization plus excitation) cross section at roughly 40–50 eV. At this energy an electron will be more likely to directly excite or ionize an $H_2$ molecule with each collision, and it thus represents a practical upper limit to the energy an electron will achieve before losing 10–15 eV to one of these processes. This is the $H_2$ equivalent of the classic Franck-Hertz experiment, which demonstrated the existence of quantum levels in atoms through a decrease in current and the initiation of 2537 A radiation from $H_2$ gas at the 4.9-eV potential of the first excited state [Franck and Hertz, 1965]. This phenomenon does not occur with the same magnitude in the Earth’s atmosphere, because the elastic scattering cross sections for $N_2$ and $O_2$ remain several times greater than those for excitation at all energies. In an $H_2$ atmosphere, relatively more of the energy from linearly accelerated electrons is dissipated as radiation and relatively less as Joule heating, and the $H_2$ electroglow will naturally exhibit a spectrum characterized by 10– to 50-eV excitation if it is excited by electrons accelerated slowly ($VE/E \ll 1/x$, where $x$ is the mean free path) from lower energies. A Monte Carlo program is being developed to model the processes described here in detail.

It is more difficult to estimate the excitation of $H\,\lambda\,\alpha$ emission by accelerated protons than to estimate electron excitation of $H_2$ band emissions, mainly because of a lack of laboratory cross-section data on the interaction of protons with $H_2$ molecules at low energies ($E < 50$ eV). At a neutral density of $10^{12}$ cm$^{-3}$ and charge exchange cross section of $10^{-17}$ cm$^2$ (extrapolating the data of Cramer [1961]), the proton mean free path with respect to charge exchange is 1 km, as opposed to a mean free path with respect to elastic scattering of roughly 10 m. Given an electric field of 1–10 mV/m, the energy gained by the proton before charge exchange will be 1–10 eV, and there will be a very significant population of these fast H atoms. Since a Doppler shift of a few electron volts is sufficient to produce optically thin $H\,\lambda\,\alpha$ emission, electron excitation of these fast H atoms will contribute to the observed optically thin emission as well as H atoms which are formed by charge exchange into the first excited state. In either event, the Doppler shift will be in the direction of the proton acceleration. These fast H atoms will also resonantly scatter incident $H\,\lambda\,\alpha$ photons in the wings of the solar line, thereby enhancing the atmospheric albedo to solar $H\,\lambda\,\alpha$ and broadening the planetary emission line. The contribution of these fast H atoms will be limited by their loss of energy in collisions with $H_2$ molecules in a distance of 10–100 m. Finally, Doppler-shifted $H\,\lambda\,\alpha$ may be produced by electron impact dissociation of $H_2$ in cases where the electron has sufficient energy to impart a few electron volts in kinetic energy to the resulting H atom(s), in addition to electronic excitation of the resulting H atom(s).

### 5. Consequences of the Dynamo Theory

In this section we will discuss the expected FUV radiation distribution which can arise from dynamo-produced electric fields on the outer planets largely by analogy with what has previously been observed on the Earth. Although the applicability of such an analysis has limits, many of the general characteristics of electroglow are directly analogous to phenomena observed on the Earth.

The brightness of dynamo-produced electroglow emission will depend on the photoelectron and ion densities and energy spectra, on the direction and magnitude of the neutral wind, on the dip angle of the magnetic field, and on the contribution to Birkeland currents from magnetospheric convection. The brightness distribution will also depend on global circulation patterns of both the neutral atmosphere and the ionospheric plasma. The general characteristics expected for purely dynamo-driven emission (as discussed also by H87) are a decreasing level of excitation toward higher latitudes, possible dawn-dusk asymmetries depending on dawn-dusk variation in neutral wind speed, and the existence of an equatorial electrojet as observed on the Earth. Contributions to the excitation process from magnetospheric convection would reflect the plasma conditions along the path of the magnetic field lines through the magnetosphere, which would vary from planet to planet, although low- and mid-latitude effects would
In summary, the Earth's dynamo also leads to an equatorial fountain [Hanson and Moffett, 1966] and tropical arc emission by recombination of ions, which can explain H Ly α production by proton recombination without H2 emission by electron excitation. There are several lines of evidence for an equatorial anomaly on Jupiter at the location of the observed H Ly α bulge from Pioneer and Voyager radio occultation observations [Mahajan, 1981], and there has been preliminary modeling of a solar-driven dynamo [Tan, 1986]. H Ly α emission by recombination would be accompanied by Ly β emission at 1025.72 Å, and a strong feature appears at this wavelength in the UVS spectra of the bulge region. However, this line can be absorbed by (and confused with emission from) a coincident H2 Lyman band at 1025.94 Å [see Shepansky, 1985]. The present unknown altitude and Doppler profile of the recombination emission line would be necessary to estimate the magnitude of this absorption. Since the magnetic polarities of Jupiter and Saturn are opposite to the originality of the Earth, the E and F region winds must also be reversed from the Earth for an upward fountain [Hanson and Moffett, 1966]. We note finally that there is a marginal indication of a double peak in the H Ly α emission from Jupiter's bulge region in the data of Dessler et al. [1981], suggesting that the H Ly α emission has a spatial distribution similar to the Earth's tropical arc emissions.

Thermospheric winds are driven in part by near-UV solar heating of the lower atmosphere and in part by in situ FUV absorption. Since the near-UV solar flux is relatively constant (both short term and long term), this component will not vary greatly with time. Auroral heating will also contribute to thermospheric winds at high latitudes and may dominate the energetics over much of the atmosphere, at least on Jupiter. The auroral component will, of course, be much more variable than the solar component. The sum of these components will determine the global neutral wind patterns, and their estimation will be an important step in evaluating the potential for the dynamo mechanism to produce the electroglow. In view of the large sizes, rapid rotation rates, intense auroral emissions, and decreased solar input at the outer planets, their thermospheric wind patterns should be very different from those on the Earth. Unfortunately, there are presently no observational data on the thermospheric winds from any outer planet. The peculiar magnetic geometries, especially on Uranus, will also complicate the generation of dynamo electric fields. In particular, the extended regions on Jupiter and Uranus of nearly horizontal magnetic field are conducive to the generation of extended regions of tropical arc H Ly α emission, as discussed above. The position of Jupiter's H Ly α bulge region is consistent with the most extended region of horizontal magnetic field along Jupiter's equator [Acuna et al., 1983], and preliminary modeling of the surface field of Uranus indicates an even more extended region of nearly horizontal magnetic field on the presently illuminated hemisphere [Connerney et al., this issue].

Fortunately, several tests of the proposed mechanism should be possible with existing or upcoming instruments. Much can be learned from measurements of the Doppler broadening of the H Ly α line which will result from charge exchange by fast protons and fast H atom excitation. Since a proton energy of only a few electron volts is required to Doppler-shift the emission to an optically thin distance from the center of the atmospheric line, a spectral resolution of the order of 0.1 Å will be necessary to resolve these motions. This technique can verify the excitation mechanism and distinguish fast proton and H atom energies parallel and perpendicular to field lines. Limb scan observations on Jupiter to measure the altitude of the emissions can discriminate between exobase excitation and an ionospheric phenomenon similar to Saturn and Uranus. Finally, future planetary encounter missions may include instrumentation to measure the thermospheric winds and/or ionospheric electric fields.
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