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ABSTRACT

We present the most complete study to date of the X-ray emission from star-formation in high redshift (median $z = 0.7$; $z < 1.5$), IR-luminous ($L_{IR} = 10^{10} - 10^{13} L_\odot$) galaxies detected by Herschel’s PACS and SPIRE instruments. For our purpose we take advantage of the deepest X-ray data to date, the Chandra deep fields (North and South). Sources which host AGN are removed from our analysis by means of multiple AGN indicators. We find an AGN fraction of 18±2 per cent amongst our sample and note that AGN entirely dominate at values of $\log [L_X/L_{IR}] > -3$ in both hard and soft X-ray bands. From the sources which are star-formation dominated, only a small fraction are individually X-ray detected and for the bulk of the sample we calculate average X-ray luminosities through stacking. We find an average soft X-ray to infrared ratio of $\log (L_{SX}/L_{IR}) = -4.3$ and an average hard X-ray to infrared ratio of $\log (L_{HX}/L_{IR}) = -3.8$. We report that the X-ray/IR correlation is approximately linear through the entire range of $L_{IR}$ and $z$ probed and, although broadly consistent with the local ($z < 0.1$) one, it does display some discrepancies. We suggest that these discrepancies are unlikely to be physical, i.e. due to an intrinsic change in the X-ray properties of star-forming galaxies with cosmic time, as there is no significant evidence for evolution of the $L_X/L_{IR}$ ratio with redshift. Instead they are possibly due to selection effects and remaining AGN contamination. We also examine whether dust obscuration in the galaxy plays a role in attenuating X-rays from star-formation, by investigating changes in the $L_X/L_{IR}$ ratio as a function of the average dust temperature. We conclude that X-rays do not suffer any measurable attenuation in the host galaxy.

1 INTRODUCTION

From their onset, X-ray surveys have provided the most complete census of the population of luminous ($L_X > 10^{38} \text{erg s}^{-1}$) active galactic nuclei (AGN; e.g. Comastri et al. 1995; 2011; Page et al. 1997; Hasinger et al. 2005; Brandt & Hasinger 2005; Tozzi et al. 2006; Tueller et al. 2010). Nevertheless, the X-ray detected population also includes a non-negligible fraction of star-forming galaxies (SFGs), whose X-ray emission is comparable to that of low luminosity AGN ($10^{38} \lesssim L_X \lesssim 10^{42} \text{erg s}^{-1}$; e.g. Griffiths & Padovani 1990; David, Jones & Forman 1992; Ranalli, Comastri & Setti 2004; Franceschini et al. 2003; Rosa-González et al. 2007). Although even the most luminous starburst galaxies are at least 3 and up to 5 orders of magnitude less luminous in the X-rays than they are at optical and infrared wavelengths (e.g. Franceschini et al. 2003; Georgantopoulos et al. 2005; U et al. 2012), X-rays allow us to probe high energy processes in the interstellar medium (ISM) associated with stellar evolution which are not accessible at other wavelengths. X-ray emission is linked to a galaxy’s star-formation history, chemical evolution and ISM conditions (e.g. Ghosh & White 2001; Fabbiano et al. 2004), although it is neither dominated by direct starlight (like the UV and optical), nor reprocessed starlight (like the infrared). The soft, lower energy X-rays ($\lesssim 2\text{keV}$) are primarily thermal emission from gas in the ISM heated to X-ray temperatures by stellar winds and supernovae, making them a good overall tracer of the star-forming activity are in one’s favour, star-forming galaxies is particularly well studied in the X-rays (e.g. Fabbiano & Trinchieri 1984; Fabbiano 1988; 1989; Fabbiano et al. 1997; Strickland et al. 2004; Grimes et al. 2005), even down to individual X-ray sources. As a result, X-ray emission has been evaluated as a tracer of star-formation against other indicators such as infrared and radio (e.g. eg. Griffiths & Padovani 1990; David et al. 1992; Ranalli et al. 2003; Franceschini et al. 2003; Rosa-González et al. 2007; Vattakunnel et al. 2012), although less often against the UV and optical because of dust extinction which plagues that part of the spectral energy distribution (SED). After the first infrared astronomical satellite (IRAS) all sky survey (Soifer et al. 1987) and the discovery of the most luminous star-forming galaxies in the nearby Universe (infrared-luminous galaxies; Soifer et al. 1984a; Sanders & Mirabel 1996), it was established that IR emission is an excellent tracer of the total star-formation rate (SFR) in these infrared-bright galaxies as it corresponds to reprocessed emission of UV and optical starlight by interstellar dust (e.g. Kennicutt 1998). Since then, there have been a plethora of studies targetting the link between the X-ray and infrared emission in such luminous starburst systems at low redshifts (e.g. Grimm et al. 2002; 2003; Persic et al. 2004). In particular, X-ray and infrared emission from the host galaxy share the advantage of being largely impervious to attenuation from dust and gas in the line of sight as well as being orientation independent, unlike optical and UV star-formation tracers.

Studies have shown that the X-ray/IR correlation for star-forming galaxies is linear at high SFRs (e.g. Gillianov et al. 2004), where both the X-rays and infrared emission directly trace the total SFR, with a minimum contribution from the older stellar population. The picture is less clear at
lower SFRs, i.e. for normal star-forming galaxies, where both the X-ray and IR emission are thought to have a significant contribution from the older stellar population, linking emission at those wavelengths to the stellar mass (e.g. Grimm et al. 2003; Gilfanov et al. 2004; Colbert et al. 2004; Lehmer et al. 2010; Bendo et al. 2010; Lo Faro et al. 2013). As a result, there is evidence of non-linearity in the X-ray/IR relation at low SFRs. Although for the hard X-ray/IR relation this can be often attributed to higher contribution from LMXBs at low SFRs. Although for the hard X-ray/IR relation this can be often attributed to higher contribution from LMXBs at low SFRs.

The picture is even less clear at high redshift, where only the deepest X-ray surveys (>1 Ms) detect X-ray emission from star-forming galaxies and only from the most luminous of those (e.g. Ranalli et al. 2005; S11). A significant complication is also AGN contamination, as at high redshift it is currently not possible to distinguish whether X-rays originate from a low luminosity AGN or a starburst galaxy. Moreover, comparisons between local well-studied samples and high redshift samples is not trivial: (i) IR-luminous galaxies are relatively rare at low redshifts, whereas their number density is higher at high redshift; (ii) for the same \( L_{\text{IR}} \), high redshift sources display different dust properties to their local equivalents (e.g. Coppin et al. 2008; Sajina et al. 2008; Farrah et al. 2008; Symeonidis et al. 2009; 2013); (iii) weakly star-forming galaxies are easily detected at low redshift, but often below the detection threshold of high redshift surveys; (iv) we do not know how much of the X-ray luminosity in \( \log [L_{\text{IR}}/L_\odot] \gtrsim 12.5 \) sources originates in the host galaxy, rather than an AGN, as these sources are too rare at \( z < 0.1 \) where we could potentially resolve the two components.

In S11, we performed the first study of X-ray emission from star-formation at \( z \sim 1 \) with a far-IR selected sample of galaxies detected by Herschel (Pilbratt et al. 2010) in GOODS-N. Combining the small number of detections and retrieving average X-ray luminosities through stacking for the remaining sources, we were able to evaluate the X-ray to IR luminosity ratio \( L_X/L_{\text{IR}} \) of high redshift SFGs against studies of equivalent sources in the local Universe. We found that for luminous and ultraluminous infrared galaxies (LIRGs and ULIRGs; \( L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{11} L_\odot \)), \( L_X/L_{\text{IR}} \) is consistent with values characteristic of local \( (z < 0.1) \) equivalent sources, indicating no evident evolution with redshift. In addition, we found that the X-ray/IR correlation for star-forming galaxies could be taken as linear in the high \( L_{\text{IR}} \) regime \( (L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{11} L_\odot) \).

In this paper, we aim to re-visit this topic and advance the work we presented in S11, by using a much larger sample of galaxies (520) over both Chandra deep fields, as well as the deepest X-ray data in those fields (2 Ms in CDFN and 4 Ms in CDFS). We aim to probe the whole range of IR-luminous galaxies \( L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{10} L_\odot \) and hence a large range of SFRs from \( \sim 2 \) to 2000 M_\odot yr\(^{-1}\) (assuming the conversion from \( L_{\text{IR}} \) to SFR by Kennicutt 1998). As this sample is large and consists of the most intensely star-forming sources probed by Herschel, we expect to detect a non-negligible fraction of them in the X-rays but also to achieve very high signal to noise through X-ray stacking. Our goals are two-fold: (i) we intend to constrain the slope of the X-ray/IR correlation over a large range in SFR and (ii) we intend to link their X-ray properties to their infrared properties in order to gain a better understanding of the physical nature of these systems.

The paper is laid out as follows: Section 2 outlines the data and sample selection, including the identification of AGN. In section 3 we present our results and analysis. Finally our summary and conclusions can be found in Section 4. Throughout we adopt a concordance cosmology of \( H_0 = 70 \) km s\(^{-1}\) Mpc\(^{-1}\), \( \Omega_M = 0.3 \).

## 2 SAMPLE SELECTION

### 2.1 Infrared observations

This work is based on Herschel observations of the Great Observatories Origins Deep Survey (GOODS)-North and South (Giavalisco et al. 2002) by Herschel/PACS (Poglitsch et al. 2010) as part of the PACS Evolutionary Probe survey (PEP; Lutz et al. 2011) and by Herschel/SPIRE (Griffin et al. 2010) as part of the Herschel multi-tiered extragalactic survey (HerMES; Oliver et al. 2012). Source extraction in the PACS (100 and 160\( \mu \)m) and SPIRE (250, 350 and 500\( \mu \)m) bands is performed on the IRAC-3.6\( \mu \)m images, we are able to extract 'clean' photometry for each galaxy, even for those which appear blended in the Herschel bands, particularly for SPIRE where the beam is large (18.1, 24.9 and 36.6 arcsec FWHM at 250, 350 and 500\( \mu \)m respectively; Nguyen et al. 2010). By using prior information to identify galaxies in the Herschel images, we are able to extract 'clean' photometry for each galaxy, even for those which appear blended in the PACS and SPIRE bands. The 3\( \sigma \) sensitivity limits of the PACS 100 and 160\( \mu \)m catalogues respectively are 3 and 6\( \mu \)Jy for GOODS-N and 1 and 2\( \mu \)Jy for GOODS-S. For both GOODS fields, a 3\( \sigma \) detection in SPIRE using prior positions and the cross-identification method of Roseboom et al. (2010) is approximately 8, 11 and 13\( \mu \)Jy at 250, 350 and 500\( \mu \)m. In the case of the PACS bands \( \sigma \) is only the photometric error, whereas for the SPIRE bands, \( \sigma \) includes confusion error (see Nguyen et al. 2010 for the SPIRE confusion limits).

### 2.2 X-ray observations

The X-ray data for GOODS-N are from the 2 Ms Chandra Deep Field North (CDFN) survey (Alexander et al. 2003), with on-axis sensitivity limits of \( \sim 7.1 \times 10^{-17} \) ergs cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) in the full (0.5-8 keV) band, \( \sim 2.5 \times 10^{-17} \) ergs cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) in the soft (0.5-2.0 keV) band and \( \sim 1.4 \times 10^{-16} \) ergs cm\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\) in the high energy (2.0-8 keV) band. As a result, the local \( z < 1 \) X-ray/IR correlation appears overall non-linear over 4 orders of magnitude in SFR (0.1-1000 M_\odot yr\(^{-1}\); e.g. see Symeonidis et al. 2011, hereafter S11).
the hard (2-8 keV) band. The X-ray data for GOODS-S are from the 4Ms observations of the CDFS presented in Xue et al. (2011), with on-axis sensitivity limits of $\sim 3.2 \times 10^{-17}$, $\sim 9.1 \times 10^{-18}$ and $\sim 5.5 \times 10^{-17}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ for the full (0.5-8 keV), soft (0.5-2 keV) and hard (2-8 keV) bands respectively.

For uniformity purposes we use the final data products made available by the Imperial College (IC) team[1] — see Laird et al. (2009) for details on the methodology for data reduction, source detection and photometry estimates. The IC catalogues consist of X-ray sources with a Poisson probability that the source is the result of random fluctuation of the background of $< 4 \times 10^{-6}$ (equivalent to $> 4.5\sigma$ detections in the case of a normal distribution), detected independently in four energy bands, full (0.5-7 keV), soft (0.5-2 keV), hard (2-7 keV) and ultra-hard (5-7 keV). We obtain fluxes and rest-frame luminosities in the 0.5–10 (full), 0.5–2 keV), hard (2–7 keV) and ultra-hard (5-7 keV). We obtain fluxes and rest-frame luminosities of the infrared luminosities and dust temperatures of galaxies, the infrared luminosities and dust temperatures of

2.3 Initial sample selection

The GOODS samples used in this work are taken from Symeonidis et al. (2013; hereafter S13). The selection comprises all 24 $\mu$m sources that have detections (at least 3$\sigma$) at [100 and 160 $\mu$m] OR [160 and 250 $\mu$m] (where ‘OR’ is the operator representing disjunction in Boolean logic; i.e. it returns ‘true’ if either or both conditions are satisfied), thus obtaining a sample composed of dusty, infrared-bright galaxies, the infrared luminosities and dust temperatures of which can be robustly measured.

The redshifts we use are a combination of spectroscopic and photometric, assembled from various catalogues: Berta et al. (2011) for GOODS-N and Cardamone et al. (2010) and Santini et al. (2009) for GOODS-S. The optical positions of sources in these catalogues are cross-matched to the 24 $\mu$m positions within 1″. The excellent photometric coverage of these fields and high quality photometric redshifts available, result in >90 per cent of the sources in our sample having a usable redshift. There are a total of 849 sources fulfilling the aforementioned selection criteria (this is our IR-selected parent sample), 242 from GOODS-S, 62 per cent of which have spectroscopic redshifts, and 607 from GOODS-S, 60 per cent with spectroscopic redshifts — note that there are about 2.5 times more sources in GOODS-S as the Herschel/PACS data are deeper. Total infrared luminosities (8–1000 $\mu$m; $L_{IR}$) and average dust temperatures for the sample are calculated as described in S13. $L_{IR}$ is converted to SFR using the Kennicutt (1998) relation. Stellar masses are determined with FAST (Kriek et al. 2009) using Bruzual & Charlot (2003) delayed exponentially declining star formation histories (SFHs, $\psi(t) \propto \frac{1}{\tau} \exp(-t/\tau))$ with 0.01 $< \tau < 10$ Gyr, solar metallicities, Salpeter initial mass function, and the Calzetti 2000 reddening law with log $H$ $< 4$ mag) — more details in Pannella et al. in prep.

We cross-match the positions of X-ray sources in the

---

1 http://astro.ic.ac.uk/research/data-products-chandra-surveys

---

2.4 Identification of AGN

To identify AGN in the IR-selected parent sample we use the following criteria:

- $f_{FX}/f_{R}$ ratio: The full band X-ray to R-band flux ratio ($f_{FX}/f_{R}$) has been extensively used to separate AGN and starburst systems since early observations of spectroscopically identified AGN have shown them to dominate the -1 < log[$f_{FX}/f_{R}$] < 1 parameter space, with star-forming galaxies having values of log[$f_{FX}/f_{R}$] < 1 and typically < 2 (e.g. Hornschemeier et al. 2002, 2003; Akiyama et al. 2003; Georgantopoulos, Georgakakis & Koulouridis 2005; Georgakakis et al. 2007). In this work, X-ray detected sources with log[$f_{FX}/f_{R}$] > 1 are assumed to be AGN hosts.

- Hardness ratio: Hardness ratio (HR) is defined as $H=S$, where $H$ and $S$ refer to the hard (2-7 keV) and soft (0.5-2 keV) count rates (counts s$^{-1}$). Although both star-forming systems and unabsorbed AGN are characterised by

---

CDFN and CDFS IC catalogues to the 3.6 $\mu$m IRAC positions of our Herschel sample within 2 arcsec, finding an X-ray detection rate (detection in at least one X-ray band) of 31 per cent in CDFN and 22 per cent in CDFS; in total 25 per cent of our sample is X-ray detected at the $> 4.5\sigma$ level. Note that although the GOODS-S survey is deeper in the X-rays, it is also deeper in the infrared, hence we do not necessarily expect a higher X-ray detection rate in the former.

---

Figure 1. The full band X-ray luminosity versus redshift for the IR-selected parent sample, AGN are indicated in red. The horizontal line marks $L_{SX} = 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$; all sources with $L_{SX} > 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$ are identified as AGN. The vertical line marks the redshift ($z = 1.5$) above which these X-ray surveys are broadly insensitive to X-rays from star-formation. There are two sets of upper limits as the CDFS and CDFN surveys reach different depths.
soft X-ray spectra and hence low hardness ratios (HRs), absorbed AGN have hard spectra and high values of hardness ratio, as low frequency X-rays are more severely attenuated by gas in the line of sight. Measured photon indices ($\Gamma$) of starburst galaxies range between 3 and 1.2 (e.g. Franceschini et al. 2003; Lehmer et al. 2010), corresponding to hardness ratios between -0.65 and -0.1 and therefore sources with a hard band detection and $HR > -0.1$ are considered to host absorbed AGN.

- **IRAC colours**: *Spitzer*/IRAC (3.6, 4.5, 5.8, 8 $\mu$m) colours have been extensively used to identify AGN which are powerful enough to dominate the near/mid-IR emission of their host. Their signature emerges as a power-law continuum in the near/mid-IR over what is normally an inflection in the SED of a star-forming galaxy. We use the Donley et al. (2012) IRAC colour ($f_{5.8}/f_{3.6}$) - colour ($f_{8}/f_{4.5}$) criteria to identify AGN dominating the near/mid-IR part of the SED — see also S13.

- **Optical variability**: Optical variability detected on timescales from hours to decades originates from the nuclear region and is thus used as an AGN criterion (e.g. Ulrich et al. 1997; Sarajedini et al. 2003). Optically variable AGN were identified using the catalogues of Villforth et al. (2010 and 2012) for the GOODS fields. Villforth et al. selected variable sources through their flux in deep ACS F850LP imaging and further analyzed the candidate AGN using multiwavelength data.

- **X-ray variability**: Although individual X-ray sources in star-forming galaxies are variable, the integrated X-ray emission is not (e.g. Young et al. 2012) and thus for unresolved galaxies X-ray variability is an AGN signature. We use the variability catalogues of Paolillo et al. (2004; 2014 in prep.) and Young et al. (2012), to select X-ray variable sources at the > 95% probability level.

- **Spectroscopic identification**: Narrow-line or broad-line AGN were isolated according to the spectral classifications in Szokoly et al. (2004), Mignoli et al. (2005), Treister et al. (2006), Ravikumar et al. (2007), Vanzella et al. (2005; 2006; 2008), Silverman et al. (2010) and Balestra et al. (2010) for GOODS-S and Barger et al. (2005) and Treister et al. (2006) for GOODS-N.

- **Radio-loudness**: For sources which are detected in the VLA 1.4GHz surveys of GOODS-N and GOODS-S, we identify the ones which are 3$\sigma$ above the radio-IR correlation shown in Seymour et al. (2011), as hosting radio-loud AGN.

A total of 177 AGN are identified. Table 1 shows the AGN recovered by each criterion as a fraction of the 216 X-ray detected sources and out of the IR-selected parent sample.

Table 1. Table showing the fraction of AGN recovered by each of the 8 criteria, amongst the 216 X-ray detected sources (column 1) and amongst the IR-selected parent sample (column 2). The last row shows the total AGN fraction out of the X-ray detected sources (column 1) and the IR-selected parent sample (column 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>X-ray detected sources</th>
<th>Parent sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$f_{FX}/f_{R}$ ratio</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hardness ratio</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IRAC colours</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical variability</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-ray variability</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio-loudness</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optical spectra</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4. Plot of soft X-ray luminosity ($L_{\text{SX}}$) versus total infrared luminosity ($L_{\text{IR}}$) for our working sample. As also shown in the legend: black filled circles denote sources which are detected in both soft and hard bands, whereas blue filled circles denote sources which are only soft-band detected. AGN are identified according to the criteria in section 2.4 and shown with different symbols here — see legend. Grey upper limits are for sources with no X-ray detection and black upper limits are for sources with a hard-band detection. Red upper limits are X-ray undetected AGN hosts (AGN identified by other means). The black solid and dashed lines are the local soft X-ray-IR star-formation correlation for $L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{11}$ sources from S11 (log $L_{\text{X}}$=log $L_{\text{IR}}$-4.55) and ±2σ boundaries (±0.74 dex), here extrapolated to $L_{\text{IR}} = 10^{10}$.

2.5 Final sample

In order to select the final sample used in this work we need to minimise contamination from AGN. The obvious start is to remove all identified AGN. However, since most galaxies are not detected in the X-rays, our work will rely heavily on X-ray stacking (see section 2.6 for details) and thus we also aim to minimise contamination from luminous AGN in the X-ray undetected sources which will form the bulk of our final sample. Fig. 1 shows the $L_{\text{FX}} - z$ parameter space of our IR-selected parent sample. We see that (i) above $L_{\text{FX}}=10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, all X-ray detected sources are classified as AGN with our criteria and (ii) at $z > 1.5$, the surveys' limits are around $L_{\text{FX}} \sim 10^{42} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, suggesting that at $z > 1.5$, ≤4Ms X-ray surveys are broadly insensitive to X-ray emission from star-formation. A similar conclusion emerges from Fig. 2 which shows the log [$f_{\text{FX}}/f_{\text{R}}$] ratio as a function of redshift. Above $z\sim1.5$, this criterion has identified AGN but
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Figure 5. Plot of hard X-ray luminosity ($L_{HX}$) versus total infrared luminosity ($L_{IR}$) for our working sample. As also shown in the legend: black filled circles denote sources which are detected in both soft and hard bands, whereas blue filled circles denote sources which are only hard-band detected. AGN are identified according to the criteria in section 2.4 and shown with different symbols here — see legend. Grey upper limits are for sources with no X-ray detection and black upper limits are for sources with a soft-band detection. Red upper limits are X-ray undetected AGN hosts (AGN identified by other means). The black solid and dashed lines are the local hard X-ray-IR star-formation correlation for $L_{IR} > 10^{11}$ sources from S11 ($\log L_X = \log L_{IR} - 4.38$) and $\pm 2\sigma$ boundaries ($\pm 0.68$ dex), here extrapolated to $L_{IR} = 10^{10}$.

no SFGs, as the limiting X-ray flux of these surveys scatters around $\log \left(\frac{f_{FX}}{f_{R}}\right) \sim -1$, which is the value used to isolate the high luminosity AGN (see section 2.4). Figs 1 and 2 indicate that many of the AGN that can be identified at low redshift, would be just below the detection threshold at high redshift and as a result it is possible that they will contaminate or even dominate the stacking signal. In addition, the $f_{FX}/f_{R}$ criterion which is most effective in separating AGN and SFGs (see table 1) at low redshift, does not constitute a fair test above $z \sim 1.5$, as due to the surveys’ sensitivity most sources have $\log \left(\frac{f_{FX}}{f_{R}}\right) > -1$. In order to mitigate these issues, we exclude sources at $z > 1.5$ from our final sample.

The final cut is related to $L_{IR}$: we remove sources with $L_{IR} < 10^{10} L_\odot$ in order to focus on IR-luminous galaxies, for which the bolometric energy output peaks in the infrared. This ensures high star-formation rates and thus less contamination from LMXBs in the hard X-rays. Fig. 5 shows the distribution in specific SFR (sSFR) of our sample. According to Mineo et al. (2012a) above an sSFR of $\sim 10^{-10}$ yr$^{-1}$ ($\equiv \log [\text{sSFR (Gyr}^{-1})]=-1$), HMXBs which are tracers of star-formation, are expected to dominate the hard X-ray emission. Lehmer et al. (2010) report a similar value for the sSFR of $5.6 \times 10^{-11}$ yr$^{-1}$ ($\equiv \log [\text{sSFR (Gyr}^{-1})]=-1.25$). In this context, the sSFR distribution for our sample (Fig. 5)
shows that the vast majority of sources can be considered HMXB-dominated in the hard X-rays.

Our working sample now consists of 640 sources at \( z < 1.5 \) and with \( L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{10} L_\odot \); Figs 4 and 6 show the soft and hard X-ray luminosity as a function of total infrared luminosity. We note the following; most AGN are identified by more than one criterion, (ii) there are some AGN which are not X-ray detected, (iii) the majority of X-ray detected sources are classified as hosting AGN and (iv) most X-ray detected SFGs, are only detected in the soft band, as the hard band flux limit is much shallower for both CDF surveys.

Within the errors, the fraction of AGN in our working sample (18±2 per cent, where the error is binomial at 68 per cent) is consistent with the fraction of 27±10 per cent we obtained in S11, where we examined the AGN fraction of a small sample of IR-luminous galaxies in GOODS-N. Note that here, better statistics have allowed us to decrease the uncertainty and hence more accurately constrain the AGN fraction. However, as our AGN selection criteria are not complete, it is expected that some AGN have been missed and hence this fraction is a lower limit.

Our final sample, on which most of the ensuing analysis is based, consists of the 524 star-forming galaxies (SFGs) which have not been identified as AGN hosts by any criterion.

2.6 Stacking in the X-rays

For the sources not individually detected in the X-rays, we use stacking analysis to retrieve mean X-ray observed fluxes; below we briefly outline our stacking methodology, but refer the reader to Georgakakis et al. (2008) for more details. Stacking is performed in bins which contain 10 or more sources; see section 3 and Figs 7 and 10 for the binning of the sample. We use an aperture radius of 2′′ to extract X-ray photons at the IRAC 3.6μm positions of our sample. To account for the remaining flux outside the 2 arcsec radius, we compute a mean aperture correction by averaging the exposure-time weighted PSF corrections for individual sources. X-ray sources in the IC CDF X-ray catalogues (see section 2.2) were excluded, as were sources that were close to an X-ray detection, i.e. by less than 1.5 times the local 90 per cent encircled energy fraction (EEF) radius, where photons associated with the wings of the PSF of the X-ray detections would contaminate the signal. Moreover, as mentioned earlier, sources which are not X-ray detected but identified as AGN are also excluded. The significance of the stacked signal is calculated relative to the background within a 50 arcsec radius, subsequently scaled to the area within the extraction aperture. For the background estimation and to avoid contamination we masked regions around X-ray detections using a radius 1.5 times larger than the 90 per cent EEF. Subsequently, in all figures we show the stacked luminosities as stars if they are > 3σ and as upper limits otherwise.

To verify that luminous but X-ray undetected AGN are not significantly altering the signal in the stacking, we repeated the stacking after first removing all detections down to 3σ (as opposed to 4.5σ which is the depth of our X-ray catalogue, see Section 2.2), i.e. performed stacking on the < 3σ sources. We found that the stacking signal did not change significantly, as might have been the case if there were many AGN just below the 4.5σ threshold. As a result, for our analysis we revert to the stacking as described above where only \( \gtrsim 4.5 \sigma \) sources are removed as this leaves a larger number of sources per bin and hence better signal to noise.

3 RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

3.1 The distribution in \( L_X / L_{\text{IR}} \)

Fig. 6 shows the distribution in \( L_X / L_{\text{IR}} \) for our working sample of Herschel objects, including the AGN (for the X-ray undetected sources we use the flux limit to convert to a luminosity). Interestingly, the average luminosities from stacking are much closer to the detection limit in the soft band than in the hard band, suggesting that we would only need a small increase in sensitivity in the soft band in order to detect these sources. The AGN (detected and undetected) extend over the entire available range in \( L_X / L_{\text{IR}} \), whereas the X-ray sources not identified as AGN hosts, span a smaller range dropping to zero above \( \log L_X / L_{\text{IR}} = -3 \). At low \( L_X / L_{\text{IR}} \), there is significant overlap in the distributions of AGN hosts and X-ray detected sources not identified as AGN, however at \( \log L_X / L_{\text{IR}} > -3 \) (in both bands), the balance tips to favour AGN making it possible to select ‘clean’, AGN-dominated samples in the X-rays, setting the X-ray/IR ratio as an additional AGN/SFG criterion to select luminous AGN. On the other hand, it is not possible to disentangle the AGN and star-formation components in sources hosting low luminosity AGN, particularly in samples such as ours where galaxies are a priori known to be strongly star-forming. As a result, it is likely that some sources not classified as AGN hosts by our criteria, do host AGN, although the balance between the star-formation and AGN emission in these sources is unclear.

Including the stacking and detections for the SFGs, we measure the log of the (weighted) average \( L_{\text{SX}} / L_{\text{IR}} \) ratio to be -4.3 and the log of the (weighted) average \( L_{\text{HX}} / L_{\text{IR}} \) ratio to be -3.8 (see also table 4). Using the Kennicutt (1998) relation to convert from \( L_{\text{IR}} \) to SFR, this translates to \( 1.2 \times 10^{39} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) per unit SFR (M\(_\odot\)/yr) in the soft band and \( 3.3 \times 10^{39} \) erg s\(^{-1}\) per unit SFR (M\(_\odot\)/yr) in the hard band. Mineo et al. (2012a; 2012b) find for local, SFR<20 M\(_\odot\)/yr sources, \( L_{\text{SX}} / \text{SFR} \sim 8.3 \times 10^{38} \) and \( L_{\text{HX}} / \text{SFR} \sim 2.6 \times 10^{39} \) erg/s per unit SFR (M\(_\odot\)/yr). They compare their measurements to other studies and report that there exists a scatter of a factor of 2-5 in the values reported, likely of physical origin. Note that although our values are consistent with those emerging from other studies, we are probing much higher SFRs.

| Table 2. Table summarising the properties of the SFGs in our sample; the median redshift, the median total IR luminosity, the average soft X-ray/IR ratio and the average hard X-ray/IR ratio. |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| median \( z \) | median \( \log L_{\text{IR}} \) | \( \log (L_{\text{SX}} / L_{\text{IR}}) \) | \( \log (L_{\text{HX}} / L_{\text{IR}}) \) |
| 0.73 | 11.2 | -4.3 | -3.8 |
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3.2 The X-ray/IR correlation for star-forming galaxies

Fig. 6 shows the $L_{\text{IR}} - z$ parameter space probed in this work. The shaded bins are the ones used to examine the X-ray/IR correlation (Fig. 5). The X-ray/IR correlation at high redshift was also previously examined in S11, however only in the $L_{\text{IR}} \sim 10^{11} - 10^{13} \, L_\odot$ range and with a sample roughly 10 times smaller than our current sample. In S11 we showed that the X-ray/IR correlation for local galaxies is non-linear in the $L_{\text{IR}} \sim 10^{10} - 10^{12} \, L_\odot$ range (see grey line in Fig. 5), but can be considered linear when focusing on the higher luminosity sources $L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{11.5} \, L_\odot$ regime. We also found that the X-ray/IR ratio for $L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{11} \, L_\odot$ sources at $z \sim 1$ was consistent with that of their local counterparts. Here, with a much larger sample, we can probe lower infrared luminosities ($L_{\text{IR}} < 10^{11} \, L_\odot$) and SFRs, obtain more reliable mean X-ray luminosities through stacking and revisit the X-ray/IR correlation.

Fig. 7 shows that the locus of most sources (individual detections and stacking) in the $L_{\text{SX}} - L_{\text{IR}}$ and $L_{\text{HX}} - L_{\text{IR}}$ plane is within the range covered by the local sample, however there are some discrepancies in the average $L_X$ per bin. Local ULIRGs have a lower $L_X/L_{\text{IR}}$ ratio than normal IR galaxies (NIRGs, $10^{10} < L_{\text{IR}} < 10^{11}$), whereas the high redshift sample does not display the same behaviour. The Herschel SFGs follow a quasi linear $L_X - L_{\text{IR}}$ relation over 3 orders of magnitude, offset from the local relation at low $L_{\text{IR}}$ in the soft band and at high $L_{\text{IR}}$ in the hard band. Indeed, when computing the weighted arithmetic average of the stacking and detections in each $L_{\text{IR}}$ bin and fitting a log-linear relation to the average values, the log $L_{\text{SX}}$-log $L_{\text{IR}}$ relation has a slope of $0.93 \pm 0.04$ and the log $L_{\text{HX}}$-log $L_{\text{IR}}$ relation a slope of $0.98 \pm 0.09$. In both cases, these numbers are different from those obtained for the local sample, where the slope is calculated to be $0.48 \pm 0.1$ in the soft band and $0.67 \pm 0.10$ in the hard band.

In the local Universe, discrepancies in the hard X-ray emission between galaxies of different SFRs is attributed to additional LMXB contribution boosting the X-ray luminosity of low SFR sources (e.g. see extensive analysis in Lehmer et al. 2010). However, some authors argue that obscuration could also play a role in lowering the X-ray emission of the most actively star-forming sources, where denser gas in the
relations are log-log diamond) in 5 bins. The equations of the local X-ray/IR correlation luminosities are in erg/s. The grey small diamonds representing a log-linear fit to the arithmetic mean (large grey are the local (\(z < 0.1\)) sample taken from S11, with the grey line.

Figure 8. Upper panel: soft X-ray luminosity (\(L_{\text{SX}}\)) versus \(L_{\text{IR}}\). Lower panel: hard X-ray luminosity (\(L_{\text{HX}}\)) versus \(L_{\text{IR}}\). In both panels, the coloured symbols are our sample of Herschel SFGs, blue for \(0.1 < z < 0.5\), green for \(0.5 < z < 1\) and red for \(1 < z < 1.5\). Large filled stars correspond to the stacked values if they are \(> 3\sigma\) (otherwise shown as upper limits), whereas the points correspond to the detections. The orange line is a log linear fit to the arithmetic weighted mean (in 5 \(L_{\text{IR}}\) bins) of the stacking and detections for the Herschel SFGs. Note that because there are many more X-ray undetected sources per \(L_{\text{IR}}\) – z bin, the slope and normalisation of the best fit line are mainly determined by the stacks. For the Herschel sample, the best fit equation of the soft X-ray/IR correlation (orange line in upper panel) is \(\log L_{\text{SX}} = 0.90 \log L_{\text{IR}} - 0.82\) and of the hard X-ray/IR correlation (orange line in lower panel) is \(\log L_{\text{HX}} = 0.96 \log L_{\text{IR}} - 3.14\). For both relations luminosities are in erg/s. The grey small diamonds are the local (\(z < 0.1\)) sample taken from S11, with the grey line representing a log-linear fit to the arithmetic mean (large grey diamonds) in 5 bins. The equations of the local X-ray/IR correlations are \(\log L_{\text{HX}} = 0.48 \log L_{\text{IR}} + 19.4\) in the soft band (grey line in upper panel) and \(\log L_{\text{HX}} = 0.67 \log L_{\text{IR}} + 10.6\) in the hard line of sight might attenuate even the hard X-rays (e.g. see Iwasawa et al. 2009; Lehmer et al. 2010). In the soft band, these differences could arise because of large dispersion in stellar ages, as younger systems are expected to show decreased X-ray luminosity per unit SFR (e.g. Mas-Hesse et al. 2008). On the other hand, changes in the distribution and emissivity of the hot X-ray gas could also be responsible for the X-ray deficiency of local ULIRGs (e.g. Grimmes et al. 2005). However, there is also the caveat that the local samples are not selected homogeneously (the selection varies from optical to IR to X-ray) and they are between 60 and 100 per cent complete. This makes them somewhat biased towards the brightest X-ray sources, particularly in the low SFR regime where galaxies are less X-ray luminous, and could potentially serve to flatten the slope of the local relation.

Now let us discuss the behaviour of the high redshift Herschel sample, with the local sample as our baseline reference. At low \(L_{\text{IR}}\), we note a discrepancy in soft X-ray emission between the local and high redshift samples. If the reason behind these discrepancies is physical then it could be related to younger stellar ages or more extended soft X-ray emission in the high redshift sources; perhaps \(z \approx 0.1 - 0.5\) IR-luminous galaxies are already different to their \(z < 0.1\) counterparts, at least with respect to their X-ray properties. However, the reason could instead be due to a selection bias, and we refer back to the caveat mentioned above. In contrast to the local samples, our sample is complete, as the X-ray luminosity from all our sources is accounted for and hence our analysis includes a large fraction of faint X-ray emitters perhaps missing from some of the local studies. Another reason that could account for these discrepancies is that, due to the redshift range covered by the Herschel sample, our X-ray data probes harder rest-frame energies. Although for the local sample the soft band mainly probes emission from hot gas, for the Herschel sample, even in the low redshift bin, we are likely missing a large part of this hot gas emission and probing more of the HMXB contribution. However, this does not explain why there is agreement in the \(L_{\text{SX}}/L_{\text{IR}}\) ratio for the high \(L_{\text{IR}}\) sources but not for the low \(L_{\text{IR}}\) sources.

In the hard band the differences between the two samples are mainly seen at high \(L_{\text{IR}}\). Since in the hard band we probe the same rest-frame energies for the local and high redshift samples, as the simple power-law \(K\)-correction performed is sufficient in this case, the discrepancy is perhaps due to AGN contamination in the Herschel sample. In principle this would be more of a problem in the high redshift bin, where the survey detection threshold selects more X-ray luminous sources (see Fig. 1). In the Appendix we present a simple way to investigate the AGN impact and conclude that the AGN contribution is minimal in the soft band, but more pronounced in the hard band. In essence, we predict that \(L_{\text{HX}}\) for the \(z > 1\) bins could be up to 0.26 dex lower, under the assumption that AGN could be boosting the average \(L_{\text{HX}}\). Although this would result in better agreement between the high redshift and local relations, it would also make the \(L_{\text{HX}} - L_{\text{IR}}\) relation for the Herschel sample similar non-linear to the local one. This is surprising, because one would expect a linear relation if HMXBs trace star-formation, unless there is an additional contribution from LMXBs (e.g. Lehmer et al. 2010; Mineo et al. 2012a). Hence,
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3.3 Is there evolution in $L_X/L_{IR}$ with redshift?

Fig. 9 shows the $L_{SX}/L_{IR}$ and $L_{HX}/L_{IR}$ ratio as a function of redshift for the 3 IR luminosity classes of SFGs. There is no obvious change in $L_X/L_{IR}$ amongst the Herschel sample, especially since the scatter in $L_X/L_{IR}$ is likely to be similar to that of the local sample, of the order of 0.5–1 dex. However, there seems to be an overall decrease in the average $L_{SX}/L_{IR}$ for NIRGs from $z < 0.1$ to $z \sim 0.8$ and an increase in the average $L_{HX}/L_{IR}$ for ULIRGs from $z < 0.1$ to $z \sim 1.4$. We believe these trends are likely due to the issues discussed in Section 3.2 rather than an intrinsic change in the $L_X/L_{IR}$ ratio with redshift, particularly since we do not see a trend in the LIRGs. Specifically for the NIRGs this is reinforced by the fact that (i) the trend is not gradual with redshift, i.e. not seen amongst the Herschel sample and (ii) it is only seen in the soft band. In the case of the ULIRGs it is likely that, as previously discussed in Section 3.2, the signal in the hard band is boosted by AGN.

Our findings are consistent with recent results from Mineo et al. (2014) who find that the total X-ray emission per unit SFR does not show any significant change with redshift. On the other hand, models predict some moderate evolution and suggest that the $L_X$ per unit SFR should exhibit an increase of about a factor of 2–6 from $z = 0$ to $z \sim 2$ (e.g. Dijkstra et al. 2012; Fragos et al. 2013). However, this evolution is thought to be the result of a change in galaxy properties such as metallicity, with more metal poor systems showing an increase in $L_X/SFR$ (see also Linden et al. 2010; Kaares et al. 2011). Although there is evidence that the mass-metallicity relation evolves with redshift (e.g. Savaglio et al. 2005; Maiolino et al. 2008), this is perhaps not strong enough to translate to a measurable change in the $L_X$ per unit SFR.

3.4 Do X-rays suffer attenuation in dusty galaxies?

Our aim here is to examine whether dust obscuration affects the X-ray emission from star-formation. For this purpose, we assume that for each $L_{IR}$ bin (see Fig. 10 for binning), i.e.

Figure 9. The X-ray/IR luminosity ratio as a function of redshift for the Herschel SFGs and local sample. For the Herschel sample, large filled stars correspond to the stacked values if they are $> 3\sigma$ (otherwise shown as upper limits) and filled circles are the X-ray detections. For the local sample we plot small diamonds at an arbitrary redshift of $< 0.1$ with the large diamonds corresponding to the arithmetic mean. The colour-coding corresponds to the hard X-ray band (black) and the soft X-ray band (green). The 3 panels are the different $L_{IR}$ luminosity classes, NIRGs, LIRGs and ULIRGs.

Figure 10. Plot of dust temperature versus total infrared luminosity for the Herschel sample. X-ray stacking is performed in the grey-shaded $L_{IR}$ bins which contain 10 or more undetected sources. X-ray detected SFGs in each bin are not included in the stacking.
for a given SFR, the average dust temperature of galaxy is related to physical conditions, such as gas/dust distribution. Thus lower dust temperatures are assumed to represent more compact dust distribution/star-forming regions, whereas high dust temperatures are taken to represent more extended dust distribution/star-forming regions. Within this framework, we test the hypothesis that in galaxies with a more compact configuration, X-rays are attenuated more severely. Lehmer et al. (2010) also investigate the effect of dust obscuration on the X-ray emission using the severity of the deficit in the X-ray emission at the smallest SFRs in local galaxies, such as dust obscuration. Consequently, our results more plausibly favour reason (i), i.e. that obscuration does not significantly affect the X-rays.

4 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We have examined the X-ray properties of a Herschel-selected sample of 640 IR-luminous (L_{IR} > 10^{10} L_\odot) galaxies at z < 1.5. As the aim of this work was to examine X-ray emission from star-formation, AGN hosts were excluded from our analysis (18±2 per cent of the sample) using a set of well-established AGN criteria. Consequently, our final sample consisted of sources which were considered to be star-formation dominated at all wavelengths. From these only a small fraction (9 per cent) were detected in the X-rays. For the remaining galaxies we calculated average luminosities through stacking. Our aims were three-fold: (i) to revisit the X-ray/IR correlation from star-formation, with a larger sample, extending to lower L_{IR} and higher redshift using the deepest X-ray data available, (ii) compare the X-ray properties of high redshift star-forming galaxies to their local counterparts and (ii) identify whether X-ray emission from star-formation is affected by the physical properties of galaxies, such as dust obscuration.

The soft and hard X-ray/IR correlation of star-forming galaxies was examined over 3 orders of magnitude in L_{IR}, corresponding to 2 ≤ SFR ≤ 2000 M_\odot/yr, and at z < 1.5. It was found to be approximately linear, indicating that X-ray emission is a good tracer of star-formation, at least for sources with sSFR ≥ 0.1 Gyr^{-1}. In contrast the local (z <
0.1) relation displays a much flatter slope, although as was discussed, it is possible that selection biases, particularly at low SFRs have contributed to that effect.

We find that log $L_{\mathrm{SX}}/L_{\mathrm{IR}}$ for the Herschel sample ranges from -5.6 to -3.2, with log $(L_{\mathrm{SX}}/L_{\mathrm{IR}}) = -4.3$, whereas log $L_{\mathrm{SX}}/L_{\mathrm{IR}}$ extends to higher values between -4.8 to -3, with log $(L_{\mathrm{HX}}/L_{\mathrm{IR}}) = -3.8$. In both the hard and soft bands, the log $L_{\mathrm{SX}}/L_{\mathrm{IR}} > -3$ parameter space was found to be entirely AGN dominated. We found that the typical $L_{\mathrm{SX}}/L_{\mathrm{IR}}$ ratios of the Herschel sources were broadly consistent with local ($z < 0.1$) SFGs, apart from discrepancies at low $L_{\mathrm{IR}}$ and low-redshift, which we partly associate with selection biases in the local sample. Our results showed no evidence for evolution in the $L_{\mathrm{SX}}/L_{\mathrm{IR}}$ ratio with redshift.

Finally, we addressed the question of whether X-rays are significantly attenuated by gas/dust in the host galaxy, which would be of particular importance in more dust-rich or compact systems. We used the average dust temperature as a proxy, assuming that a decrease in the average dust temperature is coupled with more extended dust/gas distribution and star-forming regions. Interestingly, we found no evidence that dust/gas obscuration affects X-ray emission from star-forming regions, suggesting that gas column densities in the host galaxy are not high enough to attenuate X-rays, unlike those seen in AGN tori.
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APPENDIX A: AGN IMPACT

As our AGN selection is not complete, in the sense that we cannot find all AGN in the sample, there is a possibility that some of our ‘SFG’-classified sources host an AGN. Here we investigate whether this would have an impact on the derivation of the X-ray/IR correlations investigated in Section 3.2, see also Fig. 8.

The left panels of Figs A1 and A2 show the soft and hard X-ray luminosity of the sample as a function of redshift. Note that because of the surveys’ detection limits, X-ray detected sources in the high redshift bin are invariably more luminous than those in the low redshift bin. In addition, low luminosity AGN identified in the low redshift bin, are below the detection threshold at high redshift and it is likely that they would creep into our sample of X-ray undetected SFGs and contaminate the average X-ray luminosity of the high redshift bin. To investigate this effect we perform the following simple experiment: for the soft X-rays, we re-examine the X-ray/IR correlation including all AGN with $\log L_{\text{SX}} < 41.4$, which is the detection threshold of the highest redshift bin used in our analysis ($z < 1.5$), as shown in the left panel of Fig. A1. Similarly, we re-examine the hard X-ray/IR correlation by including all AGN with $\log L_{\text{HX}} < 42.1$ (see left panel of A2). The right panels of Figs A1 and A2 demonstrate how the X-ray/IR correlation, shown in Fig. 8 would change. For the soft band (right panel of Fig. A1), there is only a marginal increase in the X-ray luminosity for the low redshift bin, not surprising, as the AGN now included have comparable luminosity to X-ray detected sources classified as SFGs, so they are not expected to increase the average X-ray luminosity. For the hard band (right panel of Fig. A2), there is a more significant increase in the average X-ray luminosity for the low redshift bins; the average $L_{\text{HX}}$ per $L_{\text{IR}}$ increases by up to 0.26 dex. The larger increase in $L_{\text{HX}}$ per $L_{\text{IR}}$ is to be expected as the hard band is lacking in X-ray detected SFGs. In line with these results, we can now assume that for the high redshift bin, the soft band luminosity is only slightly boosted, and the hard-band luminosity is potentially boosted by up to 0.26 dex. This means that the hard X-ray/IR correlation slope is potentially flatter than what we measured in section 3.2, with the slope changing from 0.98 to 0.75.
**Figure A1.** Left panel: soft X-ray luminosity ($L_{SX}$) versus redshift. The vertical dotted lines indicate the 3 redshift bins. The horizontal dotted line is at $\log L_{SX} = 41.4$ roughly corresponding to the sensitivity threshold of the shallowest X-ray survey at $z \sim 1.5$. Right panel: soft X-ray luminosity ($L_{SX}$) versus $L_{IR}$, a remake of Fig. 8 upper panel including AGN. SFGs are denoted with filled circles and AGN with $\log L_{SX} < 41.4$ as filled circles with circular outline. The colour coding is: blue for $z < 0.5$, green for $0.5 < z < 1$ and red for $1 < z < 1.5$. Large filled stars correspond to the stacked values if they are $> 3\sigma$ (otherwise shown as upper limits), whereas the points correspond to the detections. A linear fit to the arithmetic weighted mean (in 5 $L_{IR}$ bins) of the stacking and detections is shown as a solid orange line for the Herschel SFGs only and a dotted orange line for SFGs plus AGN with $\log L_{SX} < 41.4$.

**Figure A2.** Left panel: hard X-ray luminosity ($L_{HX}$) versus redshift. The vertical dotted lines indicate the 3 redshift bins. The horizontal dotted line is at $\log L_{HX} = 42.1$ roughly corresponding to the sensitivity threshold of the shallowest X-ray survey at $z \sim 1.5$. Right panel: hard X-ray luminosity ($L_{HX}$) versus $L_{IR}$, a remake of Fig. 8 lower panel including AGN. SFGs are denoted with filled circles and AGN with $\log L_{SX} < 42.1$ as filled circles with circular outline. The colour coding is: blue for $z < 0.5$, green for $0.5 < z < 1$ and red for $1 < z < 1.5$. Large filled stars correspond to the stacked values if they are $> 3\sigma$ (otherwise shown as upper limits), whereas the points correspond to the detections. A linear fit to the arithmetic weighted mean (in 5 $L_{IR}$ bins) of the stacking and detections is shown as a solid orange line for the Herschel SFGs only and a dotted orange line for SFGs plus AGN with $\log L_{SX} < 42.1$. 
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