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SUMMARY
We have examined the source spectra of all shallow subduction zone earthquakes from 1992 to 1996 with moment magnitude 7.0 or greater, as well as some other interesting events, in the period range 1–20 s, by computing moment rate functions of teleseismic $P$ waves. After comparing the source spectral characteristics of 'tsunami earthquakes' (earthquakes that are followed by tsunamis greater than would be expected from their moment magnitude) with regular events, we identified a subclass of this group: 'slow tsunami earthquakes'. This subclass consists of the 1992 Nicaragua, the 1994 Java and the February 1996 Peru earthquakes. We found that these events have an anomalously low energy release in the 1–20 s frequency band with respect to their moment magnitude, although their spectral drop-off is comparable to those of the other earthquakes. From an investigation of the centroid and body wave locations, it appears that most earthquakes in this study conformed to a simple model in which the earthquake nucleates in a zone of compacted and dehydrated sediments and ruptures up-dip until the stable sliding friction regime of unconsolidated sediments stops the propagation. Sediment-starved trenches, e.g. near Jalisco, can produce very shallow slip, because the fault material supports unstable sliding. The slow tsunami earthquakes also ruptured up-dip; however, their centroid is located unusually close to the trench axis. The subduction zones in which these events occurred all have a small accretionary prism and a thin layer of subducting sediment. Ocean surveys show that in these regions the ocean floor close to the trench is highly faulted. We suggest that the horst-and-graben structure of a rough subducting oceanic plate will cause contact zones with the overriding plate, making shallow earthquake nucleation and up-dip propagation to the ocean floor possible. The rupture partly propagates in sediments, making the earthquake source process slow. Two factors have to be considered in the high tsunami-generating potential of these events. First, the slip propagates to shallow depths in low-rigidity material, causing great deformation and displacement of a large volume of water. Second, the measured seismic moment may not represent the true earthquake displacement, because the elastic constants of the source region are not taken into account in the standard CMT determination.
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INTRODUCTION
In the last 10 years, several shallow subduction zone earthquakes have excited destructive tsunamis, causing more than 1500 casualties in the period 1992–1994 alone. In general, tsunamis are caused by large shallow earthquakes beneath the ocean floor. Thus, the size of the event is one of the most important parameters that determines its tsunamigenic potential.

A great shallow earthquake beneath the ocean floor should always be expected to be followed by a substantial tsunami caused by the large displacement of water near the ocean floor. However, a subclass of shallow subduction zone earthquakes, 'tsunami earthquakes', poses a special problem. A tsunami earthquake was originally defined as an earthquake that generates a tsunami larger than one would expect from its conventional magnitude (Kanamori 1972). Typical
examples are the 1896 Sanriku, Japan, and the 1946 Aleutian Island earthquakes. The source spectra of these tsunami earthquakes were more enhanced at long periods than ordinary earthquakes. Thus, when a moment magnitude scale, $M_w$, was introduced, a tsunami earthquake was generally identified as an event with a larger $M_w$ than ordinary earthquakes with the same surface wave magnitude, $M_S$. Since surface wave magnitude is determined by the energy in surface waves with a period of 20 s and the moment magnitude measurement is based on longer periods for large events, this is an indication of the greater energy release in longer periods (or the ‘slow’ character) of these tsunami events. However, since $M_S$ saturates, very large earthquakes with an ordinary source process tend to exhibit the same $M_w$–$M_S$ disparity as tsunami earthquakes, which caused some confusion. Furthermore, some anomalously large tsunamis originate from a source other than a tectonic earthquake (i.e. faulting). Such sources include submarine or land slumping and volcanic activity. Also, in some cases, a tsunami can be amplified by focusing during propagation because of bathymetric features. In these cases, the causative earthquake itself is an ordinary event, but it exhibits the characteristic of a tsunami earthquake, namely a tsunami that is disproportionately large with respect to its moment magnitude.

Our primary interest in this paper is to examine shallow subduction zone earthquakes and their inherent tsunamiogenic potential. Thus, we will focus our attention on a subset of tsunami earthquakes for which the large tsunami is due to an anomalous source faulting process. For these events, the development of a seismic discriminator for tsunami early warning purposes may be possible, whereas for the remainder an early warning system will probably have to involve a more direct approach, the measurement of water waves with pressure gauges, for example. In this paper we investigate the source spectra of shallow subduction zone earthquakes in a quantitative way, using broad-band teleseismic $P$-waveform recordings of worldwide seismic stations to determine the source spectra up to 1 Hz. We then compare these source spectra with the moment found by centroid moment tensor (CMT) inversion of very long-period surface waves. We will also discuss the mechanism, rupture direction, hypocentral location, tectonic setting and aftershock sequences of these earthquakes in an attempt to investigate more closely the origin of the tsunamiogenic potential of these events.

A tsunami earthquake is usually described as having a long source time function and a slow and smooth rupture. Several explanations have been proposed concerning the more efficient (with respect to its $M_S$) tsunami-generating mechanism for these events, for example, the anomalously long source process time, which causes a large discrepancy between true seismic moment and conventional magnitude measurements made at shorter periods (Kanamori 1972), poor excitation of surface waves by nearly horizontal faults (Ward 1982) and more efficient excitation of tsunami waves by sources in sedimentary rocks than those in typical crustal rocks with the same seismic moment under specific geometries (Fukao 1979; Okal 1988).

Of particular interest here are five recent earthquakes that were followed by devastating tsunamis and have been termed tsunami earthquakes in previous studies: the 1992 Nicaragua event, the 1992 earthquake near Flores Island, the 1994 Java earthquake, the February 1996 event near the coast of Peru and the 1998 event near Papua New Guinea. The Nicaragua earthquake was the first tsunami earthquake to be captured by modern broad-band seismic networks, caused about 170 casualties and caused significant damage to the coastal areas of Nicaragua. In Flores, a 1992 field survey of the island showed that the first wave attacked the coast within five minutes at most of the surveyed villages. In total approximately 2000 people were killed by the local tsunami, which reached as high as 26 m (Tsuji et al. 1995). The Java earthquake occurred off the southeastern coast of Java and generated a devastating tsunami that took the lives of more than 200 East Java coastal residents. Measured run-ups ranged from 1 to 14 m (Synolakis et al. 1995). The 1996 Peru earthquake struck approximately 130 km off the northern coastal region of Peru and created a tsunami that reached Peru, centred on the city of Chimbote (Bourgeois et al. 1999; International Survey Team 1997). We have also included the 1998 earthquake near New Guinea, which caused an even more destructive tsunami. Run-up heights for this event exceeded 10 m and thousands of people were killed.

**METHOD AND DATA**

In our analysis, we use teleseismic $P$-waveforms recorded at worldwide broad-band stations, which we obtained from the IRIS Data Center. The method to determine the source spectra is described in detail in Houston & Kanamori (1986) and we will only briefly outline it here.

The moment rate (source) spectrum is given by

$$|M(f)| = \frac{4\pi \rho \alpha R_E \exp(\pi f t^*(\Delta)) \tilde{a}(f)}{g(\Delta) R_{eq} C \tilde{I}(f)},$$

(1)

where $\rho$ and $\alpha$ are the density and $P$-wave velocity at the source, $R_E$ is the radius of the Earth, $g(\Delta)$ is the geometrical spreading factor, $R_{eq}$ is the radiation pattern factor, $C$ is the free surface receiver effect, $t^*(\Delta)$ is the attenuation parameter, $\tilde{I}(f)$ is the instrument response and $\tilde{a}(f)$ is the spectrum of the observed $P$ waveform.

We correct for station response (usually only the gain needs to be considered because of the broad-band nature of the stations) and for attenuation with a distance-dependent $t^*$, with $t^* = 0.7$ at 50°. The correction for the radiation pattern is applied to $P$, $P$' and $s$ phases, but since these three phases are usually difficult to separate for shallow large earthquakes, the correction is applied to the combined phases following the method of Booer & Boatwright (1984) and Houston & Kanamori (1986). The receiver site correction is computed for $P$-wave incidence at the free surface. These corrections are appropriate only at shorter periods (e.g. shorter than around 20 s). At the long-period end, we will use the seismic moment we determined by a centroid moment tensor inversion, which uses very long-period (3–7.5 mHz) surface wave data. To obtain the average moment rate function of the event, we average the corrected spectra in a logarithmic sense and obtain the standard deviation.

We examined the moment rate spectra for 38 shallow subduction zone events with moment magnitude greater than 7.0 (initially Harvard CMT moments were used in the selection process) in the time period 1992–1997 (Table 1 and Fig. 1) and added some other interesting/unusual events that occurred during the course of our study. Most of these earthquakes were thrust events, but several had a normal mechanism and...
less shallowly dipping reverse mechanisms. We limited the time period of our investigation to start after the Nicaragua earthquake of 1992.

As an illustration of our method, we present the results for the 1994 June 2 event that occurred south of Java, Indonesia. This event caused hardly any damage due to ground shaking, but excited a devastating tsunami. Data were available from more than 20 broad-band seismic stations from the IRIS Data Center in a suitable distance range (30–100°). The azimuthal and distance coverage as well as the quality for this event were very good (Fig. 2). The source spectra for the different stations agree very well (a sample is shown in Fig. 3a), and we obtained the averaged moment rate spectrum shown in Fig. 3b. As these figures show, after the corrections described in eq. (1) only minor variations of source spectrum with azimuth remain. Some of this may be due to directivity effects (especially for larger earthquakes), and in some cases there is a local station contribution. We checked all the single-station spectra and removed those that were in clear error because, for example, of an incorrect gain or interference with another event. We can clearly see from Fig. 3(b) that the moment rate spectrum and total CMT moment of the Java event cannot be fitted by a standard $\sigma^2$ model, as shown by the grey reference lines. In the $\sigma^2$ model the moment rate function can be expressed as

$$M(f) = \frac{M_0 f^2}{(f^2 + f_0^2)} \cdot \omega^6$$

Here $f_0$ represents the corner frequency, which can be expressed as

$$f_0 = c\beta \left( \frac{\Delta \sigma}{M_0} \right)^{1/3}$$

The particular form of the moment rate spectrum (2) is not important. We use (2) simply as a reference spectrum and a guide for comparison of the observed source spectra for different events. Here $\beta$ represents the shear wave velocity near the source, taken to be $3.75$ km s$^{-1}$ in the calculation of the reference curves and $c = 0.49$. The parameter $\Delta \sigma$ should be considered simply as a scaling parameter, rather than a specific source parameter. In this sense, we call $\Delta \sigma$ a stress parameter,
Figure 1. Earthquakes examined in this study. Focal mechanisms shown were computed using the CMT inversion of very long-period surface waves.

Figure 2. (a) Event and station locations for the 1994 Java event. (b) Examples of P-wave data used for this event, aligned on the predicted IASPEI91 traveltime.

following Boore & Boatwright (1984). The 'misfit' of the data to the reference model can be the result of many different factors, one obvious problem being the constants we have to choose in (1) and (2) (e.g. density and S-wave velocity near the source, as well as the stress parameter). To minimize this problem, we compare moment rate spectra for different events.

RESULTS: SOURCE SPECTRAL CHARACTERISTICS

To facilitate direct comparison of the different events, we plot the moment rate spectrum at four different frequencies (2, 5, 10 and 22 s) as a function of the total moment as determined...
Figure 3. (a) Sample of moment rate spectra determined from P-wave recordings for the 1994 Java earthquake. (b) Averaged moment rate spectrum for the 1994 Java earthquake. The star indicates the CMT moment determined in this study and the triangle shows the Harvard CMT moment. Grey reference curves are calculated for an $\omega^2$ model with a stress drop of 30 bar and an S-wave velocity of 3.75 km s$^{-1}$. 
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in the CMT inversion for all events in Fig. 4 (moment rate functions for all analysed events can be found in Appendix A).

As a reference, we again plot curves computed for an $\omega^2$ model, for different stress parameters, which are shown by the numbers to the right of the corresponding grey curves. We also plot the 'average' energy release for all four periods in Fig. 5. These values correspond to the average of the log value of the moment rate at these four frequencies, or $(\log M_2 + \log M_10 + \log M_5 + \log M_2)/4$.

The five earthquakes that are of particular interest, because of the anomalously high excitation of tsunamis, are the Java, Nicaragua, Flores, Peru_1 and New Guinea events, which are marked by the numbers 8, 2, 4, 26, 36 and closed black circles. Of this group of tsunami earthquakes, the 1992 Flores event and the 1998 New Guinea event do not show 'anomalous' behaviour of the moment rate function; that is, these earthquakes have an average release of energy for all periods as a function of its total moment (Figs 4 and 5). This observation for the Flores event is consistent with the hypothesis that the tsunami following this earthquake was not directly caused by the earthquake itself, but was an effect of landslides triggered by the quake (Tsuji et al. 1995; Hidayat et al. 1995). The evidence for this is in the observation of collapsed cliff faces and landslides on the island itself and its neighbouring islands. Our results for the New Guinea event suggest that the origin of its unusual tsunami excitation is more likely to be related to local bathymetric effects, or a landslide, and is probably not associated with the source character of the earthquake itself, which is confirmed by early results from other studies implying that a sediment slump may be the cause (Tappin et al. 1999). The other three events in this group of five tsunami earthquakes, however, all show a low-energy release at shorter periods with respect to their total moment as measured at longer periods or 'a small stress parameter' (Fig. 5) and could be called 'slow' earthquakes. These results agree with the energy analysis of Newman & Okal (1998). In the case of Nicaragua and Java, this deficiency in energy is consistent for all periods shown in Fig. 4; for the Peru_1 event this is less pronounced at the shorter periods, but is obvious for moment release at a period of 22 s (this may be caused by the relatively poor data for this event).

In Fig. 5 we have thus identified a subclass of tsunami earthquakes, namely the group of slow tsunami earthquakes, that includes the Peru_1, Nicaragua and Java events. The anomalous tsunami excitation for this group seems to be directly related to the slow character of the earthquake source, since no related process such as a landslide, for example, has been identified as being the cause. Also of interest is the comparison between Peru_1 (826) and Peru_2 (833). These two events were located close to the coast of Peru, but show clearly different frequency contents. As we can see from Fig. 5, our analysis can be used as an accurate discriminant for slow tsunami earthquakes, and could be available within hours of an event, making it possible to release a tsunami warning in time for the teleseismic arrival of the water waves.

Another parameter in our analysis is the value of spectral drop-off. To determine this value, we fit the moment rate values (not including the CMT moment) by a curve of the form

$$M(f) = \frac{M_0 f_0^n}{f^n + f_0^n},$$

where $n$ represents the spectral drop-off. In general, the value of $M_0$ was poorly constrained by this fit because for most events the corner frequency was not reached within the measured frequency window, making the abscissa difficult to predict. However, the value for $n$ (spectral drop-off) was generally well determined. We have plotted the values for spectral drop-off thus obtained for all earthquakes in Table 1 in Fig. 6.

The Nicaragua, Java and Peru_1 events, which were previously identified as having an anomalously low energy release at higher frequencies, do not show any evidence for an anomalous value of spectral drop-off. In fact, these events are located very close to the median value for all shallow subduction zone earthquakes. This indicates that the energy release is consistently low for the examined frequency band, and there is no general difference in the spectral drop-off between tsunami earthquakes and regular earthquakes. In general, the drop-off values for all examined shallow subduction zone events lie between 1.25 and 2.60, with an average value of 1.8, demonstrating that an $\omega^2$ approximation is fairly appropriate for most events. From Fig. 6 there also seems to be a regional trend in the spectral drop-off values; for example, the events in the Central American subduction zone (2, 7, 19, 20, 27) tend to have a relatively rapid drop-off, and the values for events in the Kurils (9, 10, 22, 24) are all close to 1.6.

Another parameter of interest for tsunami earthquakes is the source duration. In our CMT inversion the centroid time gives a value comparable to half of the source duration. Although the resolution of this calculation is not high for such long-period surface waves (3–7.5 mHz), and will also be influenced by errors in origin time and location, it can still give a good indication of anomalous behaviour of an event. In Fig. 7 we
Figure 4. Moment rate values for the chosen periods (2, 5, 10, 22 s) as a function of total moment as determined by CMT inversion of long-period surface waves. Reference curves were calculated for an \( \omega^2 \) model. Values next to the grey curves indicate the stress drop used to calculate the reference curve. Event numbers (Table 1) are given next to the corresponding moment rate values. Tsunami earthquakes are indicated with closed circles.

have plotted the centroid time minus origin time as a function of total moment. Although there is a large scatter in values, we can see a clear correlation of total moment with source duration, as is expected from the standard scaling relationships. For reference, we show the line \( \log M = 3 \log r \), and this relation seems to be appropriate for this data set. Also obvious is the long source duration of both the Nicaragua and the Java events. An approximately 100 s source duration for the Nicaragua event has been found by many previous studies (e.g. Kanamori & Kikuchi 1993; Imamura et al. 1993; Ide et al. 1993 and Ihmle 1996). The Peru event, however, does not have an anomalously long source time function.

Since slow tsunami earthquakes have both a relatively long source duration and a low energy release in the 20 s range, an anomalously high ratio of these two values (duration/energy) could be used as an indicator of a high tsunami-generating potential of an event, and thus serve as a fast discriminant.

**PROXIMITY TO TRENCH AND RUPTURE DIRECTION**

To examine the event location and rupture direction, we plotted the centroid location from both our and Harvard's inversions, and the ISC/PDE location on maps of seafloor topography. Ship soundings constrain the long-wavelength bathymetry and Geosat–ERS-1 gravity anomalies constrain the short-wavelength bathymetry (Smith & Sandwell 1997). We consider that the Harvard CMT location is the more accurate of the two because of its use of shorter-period (45–135 s) waves and application of tomographic models for phase corrections. For some events there are fairly big differences in the two CMT locations. This may be partly due to a true physical difference in the centroid location of long-period versus shorter-period energy, but we believe it is largely due to the lack of a well-defined maximum in variance reduction for
Figure 5. Average log value for moment rate for four periods (2, 5, 10, 22 s). Annotation is as in Fig. 4.

Figure 6. Spectral drop-off values as a function of CMT total moment determined by fitting the measured moment rate values by a function of the form of eq. (3).

Figure 7. Centroid time as determined by long-period CMT inversion minus origin time plotted as a function of CMT moment. The dashed reference line has slope of power 3, indicating a $\log M \approx 3 \log t$ relation.

the long-period surface waves. When available we used the relocated ISC hypocentre data (Engdahl et al. 1998), and for more recent events we used the PDE event location.

Fig. 8 shows one of these maps for the Central American subduction zone and includes the locations of six earthquakes that were investigated in this study. Since the ISC location is
determined using short-period body waves (mostly direct $P$ and $S$ waves), we interpret this location as representative of the start of the rupture. The centroid locations, measured at longer periods, show the centroid of moment release. The direction from the ISC to the centroid location indicates the rupture direction of the events.

As can be seen from Fig. 8, all earthquakes in this region ruptured trenchwards, with the centroids for Guerrero_2 and Nicaragua located close to the axis of the trench. The same two events were depleted in high-frequency energy (numbers 35 and 2 in Fig. 5), although the moment of the Guerrero event was probably too small to generate a sizeable tsunami. There is also some indication of the Jalisco and Oaxaca centroids being near the trench, but the two centroids do not agree here. Work by Pacheco et al. (1997) indicates that the Jalisco earthquake ruptured unusually close to the trench, but has an overall NW directivity. Fig. 9 shows similar maps for the South American and Java trenches and the events in these regions. Again, the tsunami earthquakes (Peru_1 and Java) both ruptured up-dip and their final centroids were located close to the trench. The other events in these figures clearly do not rupture as close to the deepest part of the trench. Another interesting observation is that all three tsunami events studied in this paper were located in areas where the trench is far removed from the coastline.

Most earthquakes in Table 1 were determined to have ruptured up-dip or trench-parallel, or the locations were too close to each other to give a clear indication of rupture direction. The one exception is the Honshu event (number 11 in Table 1) of December 1994, which clearly ruptured in the down-dip direction, as confirmed by other studies (Hartog & Schwartz 1996; Nakayama & Takeo 1997; Sato et al. 1996; Tanioka et al. 1996). In conclusion, the three tsunami earthquakes showed a relatively strong directivity and their centroids were all located unusually close to (basically in) the deepest part of the trench compared to the 'normal' events. The shallow depth of these events can be related to the slow character that was demonstrated in the previous section of this paper through the observation of Bilek & Lay (1999) that there is a systematic decrease in source duration with increasing depth along the subduction zone interface, which they attributed to variations in sediment properties on the plate contact. Also, the distance from the trench where the events occurred to the coastline is unusually large.

**AFTERSHOCK SEQUENCE**

In addition to the location of the centroids, these slow tsunami earthquakes seem to have another remarkable characteristic. In Fig. 10 we show the Harvard CMT aftershock mechanisms for the two largest tsunami earthquakes: the Nicaragua event (Fig. 10a) and the Java earthquake (Fig. 10b). Both of these events have a large number of normal fault aftershocks; for the Java earthquake, the clear majority of the aftershocks show a normal fault mechanism. In the case of the Nicaragua event the normal faulting events seem to be located in the outer rise region, judging from their centroid locations. This picture is not so clear for the Java aftershocks. The Peru_1 event is of much smaller magnitude and had only two aftershocks large enough to be included in the Harvard catalogue. One of these mechanisms was a shallow thrust and the other showed a normal faulting mechanism.

There are various possible explanations for the relatively great number of normal faulting aftershocks. Because the location of the tsunami events is very close to the trench axis itself, stress triggering of normal faulting events in the outer rise would be more likely than for 'normal' subduction events, which are located further landwards. Another explanation involves the generally aseismic nature of shallow subduction zones near the trench. Because of the proximity of the rupture zone of slow tsunami earthquakes to the trench, there are relatively few aftershocks with thrust mechanisms. This results
in an increase in the ratio of normal to thrust aftershocks. We will discuss this in more detail later in this paper. Alternatively, the main event may have triggered slump events in the accretionary prism or forearc region, although the CMT depths of these events do not seem to be that shallow. Another possibility is that these events are related to the structure of the subducting plate, which, as we will show later in this paper, in these regions seems to be highly faulted into a horst-and-graben structure. Several modelling studies of stress alterations caused by large subduction earthquakes also suggest that, as a result of the subduction slip, the whole area towards the trench and outer rise experiences an increase in tensional

Figure 9. Contour maps of seafloor topography in metres for the South America (a) and Java (b) trenches. Symbols as in Fig. 8. The box in (b) indicates the area shown in Fig. 10(b).
Figure 10. (a) Aftershock sequence of the Nicaragua earthquake. Harvard CMT solutions for a time period of 100 days after the main shock are shown, with the focal spheres plotted on the Harvard CMT location. Dotted lines connect the CMT locations to the original PDE locations. (b) Same for the Java event.
stresses, which would favour normal events in zones towards the ocean from the upper limit of the rupture (Taylor et al. 1996; Dmowska et al. 1996). More definite conclusions can only be drawn after a detailed investigation of the location, depth and source mechanisms of these aftershocks, which is beyond the scope of this paper, and will be the subject of a future paper.

SEEDIMENT THICKNESS AND STRUCTURE OF THE SUBDUCTING PLATE

Because of the slow rupture of tsunami earthquakes, several researchers have suggested that sediments may play an important role in the tsunamigenic potential of these events (Okal 1988; Kanamori & Kikuchi 1992; Ihmlé 1996; Tanioka et al. 1997). We obtained a global grid of sediment thickness from the National Geophysical Data Center (NGDC) to examine the relationship between tsunamigenic potential and sediment thickness more closely. However, this data set has significant inaccuracies and parts of it have been determined by extrapolation and interpolation, so conclusions based on this data set have to be tentative. To supplement this database we will use more local data sets, described in e.g. von Huene & Scholl (1991) and Hilde (1983).

The Nicaragua earthquake occurred near the Mid-American trench, on the subduction interface between the Cocos and North American plates. This area is located between the Costa Rica and Guatemala trenches, which are described as Type 2 margins (Fig. 11) according to the classifications of convergent margins by von Huene & Scholl (1991). From the NGDC sediment thickness database, a small accretionary prism seems to be present locally near the centroid of the Nicaragua event, between two local minima in sediment thickness. The suggestion that this event occurred near a margin with only a small accretionary prism (<5 km wide) and a thin sedimentary cover on the subducting oceanic crust is confirmed by the preliminary work of Walthier & Flueh (1998), which was part of the PACOMAR 3 project. This project conducted a ship survey along the Pacific coast of Nicaragua in the spring of 1996. The resulting geophysical data set also shows slide scars at the edge of the shelf, which may have formed during tsunamiogenic earthquakes, and a highly faulted oceanic crust adjacent to the trench (von Huene et al. 1998). This extensive horst-and-graben structure of the oceanic plate, which even cuts up the seamounts as the crust is flexed (von Huene, personal communication, 1999), provides a lot of space for sediments to subduct (Walthier, personal communication, 1999).

Both the Peru and the Java continental margins near the centroid of the studied tsunami earthquakes are described as Type 1 margins by von Huene & Scholl (1991). The existence of small accretionary prisms is confirmed by the sediment thickness maps of these regions and local studies of the area. Long-range sidescan sonar swath images of the Java trench show normal faults in the ocean floor near the trench, resulting from the tension related to the bending of the oceanic lithosphere into the subduction zone (Masson et al. 1990) and areas of small seamounts being subducted. There is evidence for a small accretionary prism and a thin subducting sedimentary section (Masson et al. 1991). Studies of the Peru margin near the 1996 tsunami event showed large subducted grabens containing trench deposits (Hilde 1983; Kulm et al. 1981). The trench has a small accretionary prism (13 km width) and a thin layer of subducting sediments (500 m) (von Huene et al. 1996). Studies to the south of this region show a highly developed horst-and-graben structure of the oceanic plate near the trench, possibly related to the subduction of the Nazca ridge.

INTERPRETATION

The tsunami earthquakes described in this paper seem to have several important factors in common:

1. a long source duration (slow rupture velocity) with relatively low energy release at high frequencies;
2. the rupture propagates up-dip to very shallow depths (or possibly even the ocean floor surface);
3. the distance from the trench where the events occurred to the coastline is unusually large;
(4) a relatively high percentage of normal faulting aftershocks;
(5) a subducting sedimentary layer and only a small accretionary prism in the trench;
(6) the ocean floor near the trench is highly faulted, indicative of strong horst-and-graben structures in the subducting slab.

In general, shallow-dipping subduction zones with a relatively young (<100 Ma) oceanic crust, where there exists strong coupling between the downgoing slab and the upper plate, can be described by the following model (Fig. 12). Earthquakes only nucleate over a limited depth range, which is called the seismogenic zone, and constitutes a frictionally unstable region. No nucleation takes place in the seaward up-dip portion and landwards down-dip of a critical point (e.g. Byrne et al. 1988; Hyndman et al. 1997; Scholz 1998). No earthquake can nucleate in the seaward shallow zone (which is commonly called ‘aseismic’) as a consequence of the presence of unconsolidated, granular sediments, which form a stable sliding (velocity strengthening) zone. In this zone, plate motion is accommodated in the form of creep. If earthquakes propagate into this stable region, a negative stress drop will occur, resulting in a rapid stop to the rupture propagation (Scholz 1998). The third frictional stability regime is the conditionally stable regime; earthquakes can propagate into such a region but no earthquake nucleation can take place.

The transition from the upper stable zone to the unstable zone, which marks the up-dip limit of the seismogenic zone, occurs when either the ‘backstop’ (or core buttress, see Fig. 12) is reached (that is, the thrust fault plane first contacts overlying continental or island arc crust) or the temperature reaches the dehydration temperature of the smectite–illite transition for smectite clays (100–150 °C), present in most accreted sediments. Illite clays may exhibit stick-slip behaviour after most free water is lost, whereas smectites will exhibit stable sliding even after most free water is expelled (Wang & Mao 1979).

Two different factors may determine the down-dip limit of the seismogenic zone. At some depth a temperature is reached on the fault where the rocks start to behave plastically, and this critical temperature is about 300–350 °C. Large earthquakes, initiated at less than this critical temperature, may still extend to a depth with a temperature of about 450 °C with conditional stability, but below this depth stable sliding behaviour takes over. An alternative down-dip limit could be the forearc mantle, where the presence of serpentinites exhibits conditionally stable behaviour (Hyndman et al. 1997).

Most earthquakes examined in this study agree with this simple model. The events nucleate in the seismogenic zone at about 20 or 30 km depth, propagate up-dip through the conditionally stable region of compacted, dehydrated sediments, but cannot penetrate into unconsolidated sediments, where either stable sliding or creep-like volumetric deformation occurs. Thus, most earthquakes do not produce large shallow seafloor deformation and the volume displacement of water is not very large. As a result, no anomalously large tsunamis are generated by these events. Since the rupture does not propagate a long distance through sediments, these earthquakes are not slow. This could be termed a ‘regular’ shallow subduction zone earthquake.

The events analysed in this study have demonstrated that there are several exceptions to this simple model of shallow subduction earthquakes, where no or little very shallow slip occurs. The Jalisco earthquake, for example, did rupture close to the trench. However, this region of the middle American subduction zone seems to be depleted in sediments (Pacheco et al. 1997). This would make it possible for an earthquake to rupture all the way to the ocean floor surface, unhindered by stable sliding sediments (Fig. 13a). This is in contrast to the Nicaragua, Java and Peru earthquakes. These events also ruptured up to the trench although there are sediments being subducted. In these cases, an explanation may be found in the model that Tanioka et al. (1997) proposed for the Japan trench region. In all three regions, the ocean floor close to the trench was found to be highly faulted, suggesting that the subducting plate is rough and exhibits a well-developed horst-and-graben structure. As these structures are subducted with sediments in the graben part (but not covering the horsts), the horsts can create enough contact with the overriding block to cause an earthquake in the shallower part of the interface zone (Fig. 13b). Since there is only a small accretionary prism present near the

Figure 12. A simplified model of the seismogenic zone of subduction thrust faults. The seaward up-dip part of the fault exhibits stable sliding behaviour, as does the part of the fault that is down-dip of a critical point.

Figure 13. Models for (a) shallow earthquakes in sediment-starved subduction zones and (b) tsunami earthquakes with rough subducting ocean floor.
trench in these regions, the earthquake can breach the surface and generate great tsunamis. Although a large amount of slip at shallow depths at the plate interface occurred during the Nicaragua main shock, very few aftershocks are located in this region (Ihmle 1996; Piatanesi et al. 1996). This suggests that although the rupture could propagate through this area, there is no or little nucleation of events possible in this region, similar to conditionally stable friction behaviour. This may be because, in the shallowest part of the subduction zone, a thin layer of sediments still covers the top of the horsts, which would possibly support the propagation of a rupture, but will not nucleate earthquakes, and will also enhance the smoothness of the rupture. This thin layer may be scraped off and deposited in the grabens further down the trench or may quickly be compressed or dehydrated.

The presence of sediments in the rupture zone also explains the slow character of these tsunami events. This is only possible in regions where the sediment transport is not so great as to cover the horsts with a thick layer of sediments, which would completely remove the contact zone with the overriding plate. Also, if the accretionary prism were large, the earthquake would not be able to break through to the surface. Of course, in the case of a large accretionary prism there would be the risk of massive slumping, which would also generate a great tsunami. Since these slow tsunami earthquakes are really exceptional events, in a part of the subduction zone where usually no earthquakes can propagate, there will be few shallow thrusting aftershocks, and normal faulting events in the outer rise, in the overriding or subducting plate or in the accretionary prism will dominate the aftershock sequence. If we assume that the seismic slip of these earthquakes starts further down-dip but is much smaller in amplitude than the shallower slip close to the trench, this would also explain the aftershock zones being larger than the area of concentrated slip close to the trench needed by tsunami modellers (Satake & Tanioka 1999).

This model explains many of the seismic and tectonic signatures of slow tsunami earthquakes that were mentioned at the start of this section. However, it does not explain why the tsunamis caused by these events, for example the Nicaragua earthquake, are often found by tsunami modellers to be underestimeted by their seismic moment (Imamura et al. 1993; Satake 1994), whereas other shallow earthquakes such as the event near Jalisco produce tsunamis seemingly more consistent with their moment magnitude. We suggest that the sea-bottom displacement calculated from the seismic moment for these slow events might be underestimated. In the process of determining moment from seismic amplitudes and subsequently deter-

mining displacement from this moment, the soft sedimentary structure in the fault zone of these earthquakes may not be (correctly) taken into account. In the conventional seismic source inversion method, a source structure with a simple laterally uniform crust without thick sediments is used. Tsunami modellers may thus underestimate the tsunami caused by a slow tsunami earthquake because the displacement calculated from the seismic moment using a standard rigidity model is not representative of the true ocean floor deformation (Satake 1995). Although it is difficult to treat this problem rigorously, we can illustrate this situation by the simplified cartoon shown in Fig. 14. For all three panels in this cartoon the observed displacement at the observing station has the same value, $u_0$. In the two left-hand side cases the model is a homogenous half-space with different rigidities but identical sources. Since displacement is proportional to source moment over rigidity, the rigidity drops out of the equation and the two displacements at the station are the same. In this simplified case, the moment determined from this displacement would be the same if the same standard reference model was used in the computation. However, the true 'source moment' is different, although the source displacements (the determining factor for tsunami generation) are in fact identical. In the rightmost case the model consists of two different rigidities adjacent to each other. Here, if the displacement at the station is $u_0$, the true displacement at the source in material with rigidity $\mu_2$ is $D_T = D_I (\mu_1/\mu_2)$, which is larger than $D_I$ if the source material is of lower rigidity than the receiver-side rigidity. Alternatively, if we put in the same source as in the two panels to the left, with displacement $D_I$ and area $A_0$, the measured displacement at the surface will be smaller than $u_0$. Thus, if this observed displacement at the station is translated into a moment using the same standard reference model, it will underestimate the source displacement.

The actual depth of the slip is another important factor in the efficiency of tsunami generation. Although a simple dissiocation model of a shallow thrust fault produces similar or even somewhat greater vertical displacements for a buried fault (slip stops at 5 km depth) as for a fault that reaches the surface, the horizontal displacement for the latter is four times greater than the horizontal displacement of the buried fault, which is of the same order as the vertical displacement. The wavelengths of the deformation are comparable for both cases. Since the topography in the trench region is pronounced, horizontal displacement of the ocean floor should still be an important factor in the excitation of tsunami waves (Satake & Kanamori 1990).

![Figure 14. Simplified cartoon of the effect of rigidity variations on calculated moment. (a), (b) Identical sources in different homogenous rigidity models produce the same displacement at the station, which would result in the same moment being determined for both, although their 'source moments' are different. (c) In this inhomogeneous rigidity model, source I will produce the same displacement at the receiver as source II. However, if $\mu_2$ is smaller than $\mu_1$, the true displacement of source II is larger than that of source I.](image)
CONCLUSIONS

We have examined the source spectra of all recent large shallow subduction zone earthquakes. From the moment rate values calculated from teleseismic P waves for the 1992 Nicaragua and Java earthquakes as well as the 1996 event offshore Peru, we demonstrated that these events released relatively little energy in the high frequencies with respect to their seismic moment. These three earthquakes also caused tsunamis greater than would be expected from their moment, and can thus be called ‘tsunami earthquakes’. Other earthquakes in this data set that were followed by great tsunamis either did not cause them directly (the Flores Island tsunami was most likely caused by a triggered landslide, the New Guinea one by a sediment slump) or did not cause disproportionately great tsunamis (the 1994 Kuril Island event). Therefore, we classify the Java, Nicaragua and Peru events as slow tsunami earthquakes, a subclass of tsunami earthquakes, for which the efficiency of tsunami excitation is directly related to the earthquake source process itself.

Examination of the centroid locations and epicentres of all these events showed that almost all ruptured up-dip and that the three slow tsunami events ruptured unusually close to the trench axis, possibly even to the ocean floor itself. Ocean floor survey results suggest that in all three regions the ocean floor close to the trench is highly faulted, there exists only a small accretionary prism and a thin layer of sediments is being subducted.

Most earthquakes examined seem to conform to the simple model of shallow subduction thrust faulting: the rupture is initiated in the unstable friction regime, where the sediments have been dehydrated and compacted, and ruptures up-dip, where its propagation is stopped by the stable region of unconsolidated sediments. In the case of sediment-starved subduction zones, it is possible for the earthquake to rupture all the way to the surface, and in the case of a thick accretionary prism has to be nucleated at shallower depths. In the case of tsunami earthquakes, the rough surface of the subducting ocean floor (the horsts) makes contact with the overriding plate. Sediments are subducted in the grabbing. This makes it possible for the earthquake to nucleate at a shallow depth and propagate up to the trench. These earthquakes will propagate through sediments, making the rupture process slow. The amount of sediment being subducted and that being scraped off to form the accretionary prism has to be relatively small for this model to work. If a large accretionary prism is formed, the stable sliding part of the shallow subduction zone might be too great for the earthquake to be able to break through to the surface, and in the case of a thick layer of subducting sediments, the horst structures might be completely covered, so that there are no contact zones with the overriding plate.

We suggest that the relatively great tsunami excitations with respect to their moments of these slow tsunami earthquakes can be explained by two factors. First, because the slip occurs at shallow depth, the water displacement will be relatively great. Second, these earthquakes may excite greater tsunamis than earthquakes with the same moment and slip distribution with depth in sediment-starved trenches because the moment as determined with a standard model for the elastic parameters does not represent and underestimates the true displacement of the ocean floor because of the sediment-rich source region of the event.
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APPENDIX A: SOURCE SPECTRA FOR ALL EVENTS IN TABLE 1
Annotations are as in Fig. 2(b).

1992 5 15 7 5 2 EASTERN NEW GUINEA REG., P.N.G.

1992 12 12 5 29 27 FLORES REGION, INDONESIA

1993 9 10 12 54 NEAR COAST OF CHIAPAS, MEXICO

1994 6 21 17 37 SOUTH OF JAWA, INDONESIA

1994 10 4 13 22 58 KURIL ISLANDS

1994 10 9 7 55 30 EAST OF KURIL ISLANDS

1994 12 26 12 19 24 OFF EAST COAST OF HONSHU, JAPAN

1995 2 5 22 01 7 OFF E. COAST OF N. ISLAND, NZ.