Rate of osmotic downshock determines bacterial survival probability
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ABSTRACT

Mechanosensitive (MS) channels allow cells to sense and respond to environmental changes. In bacteria, these channels are believed to protect against an osmotic shock. The physiological function of these channels has been primarily characterized by a standardized assay, where aliquots of batch cultured cells are rapidly pipetted into a hypotonic medium. Under this method, it has been inferred many types of MS channels (MscS homologs in E. coli) demonstrate limited effectiveness against shock, typically rescuing less than ~10% of the cells when expressed at native levels. We introduce a single-cell based assay which allows us to control how fast the osmolarity changes, over time scales ranging from a fraction of second to several minutes. We find that the protection provided by MS channels depends strongly on the rate of osmotic change, revealing that, under a slow enough osmotic drop, MscS homologs can lead to survival rates comparable to those found in wild-type strains. Further, after the osmotic downshift, we observe multiple death phenotypes, which are inconsistent with the prevailing paradigm of how cells lyse. Both of these findings require a re-evaluation of our basic understanding of the physiology of MS channels.

INTRODUCTION

Mechanosensation is a ubiquitous phenomenon found across all domains of life. In bacteria, one of the manifestations of such processes is in the context of osmoprotection where it has been proposed that the presence of mechanosensitive (MS) channels in the cell membrane allows these cells to survive immersion into
hypotonic environments. These channels gate in response to an increase in membrane tension and prevent membrane rupture by mediating net flux of water and small molecules. The first bacterial mechanosensitive channels were discovered in 1987 (1) and in the intervening period several more channels have been discovered. For example, seven different types (MscL and 6 MscS homologs) have been demonstrated in *E. coli* (2). More generally, the trend of having multiple MscS homologs seems to occur in many other bacterial species as well (3, 4). One of the puzzles left unresolved in the wake of the discovery of this mechanosensitive protein diversity is why there are so many distinct mechanosensitive channels and the nature of their significance for cell physiology. Perhaps cues can be taken from examining the cell’s native environment, but at present, it is not known (at least to the authors) which environmental factors are crucial.

The physiology of MS channels has been studied extensively over the last 20 years. Specifically, until now, the *in vivo* function of these channels has mainly been characterized by “hypo-osmotic challenge” assays, where an aliquot from batch culture is suddenly diluted into a lower osmolarity medium, typically by hand pipetting. The resulting survival fraction is inferred several hours after the shock by counting colonies of plated dilutions or monitoring the optical density from the resulting mixture. The comparison of the batch survival rate for various deletion mutant strains has made it possible to study the contribution of a particular channel to average cell survival. Based on the challenge assay, studies have demonstrated that cells which have several or all of their MscS homologs can survive osmotic shocks at levels comparable to those found for wild type cells. For example, *B. subtilis* shows less than a ~10% difference in
survival rates after deleting all three of its MscS homologs (5). In *E. coli*, the native
expression of just MscL or MscS is sufficient to provide survival rates of ~80% or
higher against a 0.5 M NaCl shock (6). On the other hand, the remaining five channels
in *E. coli*, expressed at native levels in various combinations, can lead to survival rates
of 5%-10% (2, 6), calling into question the physiological significance of these channels.
A summary of these results, including the error and presumed resolution, can be found
in Table S1.

These assays, although very informative, reflect the population average. They cannot
reveal individual cell behavior, e.g., if there is a variety of lytic responses among cells
from a given population. Further, they do not have a well-controlled rate of change in
the medium osmolarity. One exception is recent work that uses the stopped-flow
technique, where two media are injected into a chamber and then the flow is stopped,
allowing for controlled rapid mixing of media (7). All these approaches are used to
create a sudden change in osmolarity – a so-called “shock”, presumably on sub-second
timescales. These conditions are on the extreme end of what bacteria may experience
in their habitat. For example, when drinking water, one can imagine oral bacteria
experience a true shock, whereas, bacteria deeper in the intestinal tract would be
exposed to osmotic changes comparable to the intestinal water movement rate, which
can be several minutes (8, 9). Given the wide variation of time scales, it is not even
clear how fast a downshift needs to be before it is considered a shock. Further, any
physiological properties of channels which occur on the several-second timescale may
not be detected by the standard downshock assay. For example, the inactivation of
MscS channels in patch clamp measurements can be observed only when the pressure
is applied gradually (7) over tens of seconds. Finally, there are studies that demonstrate cell survival depends on the time scale over which the external water potential is changed (10-12). However, to our knowledge, no work has analyzed how the presence or absence of various MS channels impacts cell survival under time-dependent conditions.

To address these issues, we have developed a single-cell video microscopy approach in which bacteria that are in exponential growth phase (Materials and Methods) are immobilized in a microfluidic flow cell and subjected to highly controlled osmotic shocks (Figure 1A). Afterwards, their resulting changes in morphology and growth are monitored for hours afterward. Using a more sensitive assay has allowed us to detect previously unappreciated subtle contributions to survival by various channels.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Strains, media, and growth conditions. Strains MJF367, MJF451, MJF429, MJF465, MJF612, and MJF641 (Table S1) were a generous gift from Ian Booth and Samantha Miller. Strain Frag1 was purchased from The Coli Genetic Stock Center (CGSC). As a base medium, L-Broth (MP Biomedicals, 3001-032) supplemented with NaCl (Sigma, S7653) to 5g/l was used. Strains were grown in the base medium supplemented with NaCl to 0.5M above the base level of salt. Starter cultures were grown aerobically in 2 ml of 0.5M L-Broth in the presence of appropriate antibiotic (except Frag1 strain) at 37°C overnight. The following morning, fresh media were inoculated at 1:500 and grown to OD₆₀₀ 0.2-0.3. Aliquots of this culture were immediately loaded into the flow cell.
Flow cell. The experiments are performed in a simple flow cell mounted on the microscope (Figure 1A). The chamber was primed with a 1:400 dilution of polyethylenimine to attach cells to the bottom of the chamber (glass coverslip), and then washed with water. Two input ports were primed with the media of different osmolarity: one with a 0.5 M NaCl LB, the second one with a 0 M NaCl LB. Constant flow of medium through the experimental system is maintained by an attached syringe pump. Cells were loaded into a chamber at a constant speed of 100 µl/min through the input port primed with high salt medium. Flow was stopped for ~5 minutes to allow the cells to adhere. The excess of unattached cells was removed by flushing with high salt medium and quickly passing a small (~20 µl) air bubble through the chamber. The addition of the bubble leveled the cells into one viewing plane and did not affect cell survival rates. In the absence of a shock, the cells begin growing, doubling every ~30 min, comparable to a control sample of cells grown under an agarose pad (Figure S1). Over our observation period of 2-3 hours, we typically find that unshocked cells divide 4 to 6 times in the flow chamber.

Imaging conditions. The imaging was performed at 32° C with an inverted Nikon TI Eclipse microscope equipped with a Perfect Focus System and enclosed in a Hasion environmental chamber (~ 1° C regulation accuracy). The microscope was outfitted with wide-field 532nm laser excitation and a custom optical filter set (532/10 excitation, 605/55 emission). The number and condition of cells before the shock were imaged by phase contrast microscopy at 20 different positions in a chamber. Next, the real time medium exchange calibration (described below) was recorded using laser excitation fluorescence microscopy for one of the positions. To avoid laser excitation of the cells,
the laser light was passed and imaged through a slit mask to only allow illumination at the edge of the field of view (Figure 1 B). For our typical operating conditions, we verified the fluorescence and, thus, the spatial variation of the osmolarity was less than 5% over the entire field view, contributing a negligible error to the total error budget. The recovery of cells was recorded by taking a phase contrast snapshot at every previously chosen position every minute for 2 – 3 h. In order to supply enough nutrients and oxygen to recovering cells, the medium was pumped throughout this period at a constant speed of 10 µl/min.

**Real time calibration.** In order to monitor the rate of the medium exchange, both high and low salt media were supplemented with 250 nM of a low affinity version of the calcium-sensitive dye Rhod-2 (TEF Labs 0244). The shock medium (0 M NaCl LB) was also supplemented with 100 µM CaCl₂ to create a difference in the fluorescence signal between these two media. The fluorescence signal of the medium in the flow cell was recorded in real time during cell exposure to osmotic challenge. The rate of medium exchange was measured based on the signal intensity change as the high salt medium (low signal) was substituted by the low salt medium (high signal). The quantitative measurement of the rate exchange was performed by curve fitting to the recorded signal (fluorescence) to three straight line regions (Figure 1C). The minimum (0.5 M NaCl medium) and the maximum (0 M NaCl medium) signal values were calculated from the average of the corresponding points from their respective region. The difference between these two values was taken as the fluorescence signal change corresponding to a 0.5 M NaCl osmolarity drop. Next, a linear fit was performed to the middle part of the trace. The uncertainties in determining the slope and the intercept of
the fitted curve were obtained from the fitting as well. The correlation coefficient $R^2$ was kept higher than 0.95 (if the correlation coefficient of the linear fit was lower than 0.95, the fit was performed to only a part of the middle of the trace). The rate was calculated by dividing the slope of the fitted curve by the value of the recorded signal. The uncertainty in the rate determination was calculated by error propagation. After the calibration, the shock medium (0 M NaCl LB) was substituted with a medium of the same osmolarity, but without the dye and CaCl$_2$.

**Data analysis.** The fate of each individual cell was determined from the data collected during the recovery phase. A cell was counted as a survivor based on its division (Figure S2, cells marked with an arrow). The rest of the cells were classified as dead (Figure S2, cells marked with a star) or intact, non-dividing cells (Figure S2, cells marked with a triangle). The dead cells were further divided into subclasses (described later). The percentage of the population which survived the shock was calculated as the ratio of the number of dividing cells to the total number of cells from twenty fields of view. The error in the calculated survival rate was taken as the fraction of intact, non-dividing cells with respect to the total number of cells. The resolution of these experiments is about 5% to 10%, based on the day-to-day variation for identical conditions.

"Time of failure" fitting details. We fitted the function $abundance = a_0 \exp(-\nu^t)$ to the histograms (See Results, “Bacteria die over several minutes implying cell wall failure” section), where $t$ is time. We define $t = 0$ as the beginning of the movie showing cell recovery process. However, some of the cells are already dead at this point. They might have died during the medium exchange or during the time needed to move between the
fields of views. In the analysis, these cells are treated as if they died at \( t = 0 \), even though they were dead earlier. To avoid the errors due to an inaccurate death time assignment for these cells, we decided to neglect the first bin.

**RESULTS**

Our method allows the control and quantitative measurement of the medium exchange rate, i.e., how fast the osmolarity changes during downshift. We monitor the instantaneous osmolarity with a fluorescence signal generated by an osmolyte-sensitive dye (Figure 1B and C). The observation of single cells as a function of recovery time after the osmotic challenge allows the accurate determination of the fate of the individual cells (death or division), as well as the time interval between the osmotic challenge occurrence and cell death.

**MS channels contribute to survival based on the medium exchange rate.** One of our principal findings is that the kinetics of medium exchange is an important factor in determining the survival probability of cells subjected to osmotic challenge, and it varies for different MS channel deletion mutants (see Table S1 for a summary of the mutants used in this work). Specifically, the percentage of cells surviving an osmotic shock depends on the rate of medium exchange as well as the genetic background (Figure 2). To allow for comparison with previous studies, we fixed the magnitude of the osmotic drop to a change of 0.5M NaCl. The strains Frag1 (wild-type), MJF367 (\( \Delta mscL \)), and MJF451 (\( \Delta mscS \)) were used as positive controls and, as expected, survive at 90% or higher for the whole range of medium exchange rates tested (dashed line, Figure 2).
Strains MJF429 ($\Delta mscS \Delta mscK$), MJF465 ($\Delta mscL \Delta mscS \Delta mscK$), and MJF612
($\Delta mscL \Delta mscS \Delta mscK \Delta ybdG$) show various levels of survival (0% - 90%) depending
on the kinetics of medium exchange. The strain MJF641, which has all seven
mechanosensitive channels deleted, did not demonstrate significant survival rates
(<1%), even at the slowest exchange rate tested (Figure 2 orange circles), establishing
the unprotected survival level for the MJF series strains (Frag1 background).

Previously, the native expression of either MscL or MscS was reported to provide nearly
complete protection from osmotic shock (6). The other types of channels were shown
experimentally to provide protection from a full-scale 0.5M shock only if overexpressed
from a plasmid (2, 13). To our knowledge, our results are the first to demonstrate that
the native expression of MscS homologs, namely YbiO, YnaI, and YjeP together, can
lead to survival rates comparable to those found in wild-type strains, provided the
exchange rate is slow enough.

The mutant strains MJF429, MJF465 and MJF612 have similar rate-dependence
patterns. Their survival rates start over ~90% and then decrease linearly with increasing
osmotic exchange rate. In Figure 2, these linear regions are marked by solid reference
lines. The slope of the linear region progressively steepens as channels are deleted,
indicating increasing sensitivity to the rate of osmotic medium exchange. The exchange
rate where a given strain shows ~50% survival (dashed line, Figure 2) provides a
convenient quantitative measure of how well the various channels protect on different
time scales. For example, for exchange rate less than 0.16 s$^{-1}$, the native expression of
YbiO, YjeP, and YnaI (MJF612, Figure 2 cyan diamonds) is sufficient to ensure survival
rates greater than 50%. The addition of YbdG to the previous set of channels (MJF465,
Figure 2 green triangles) increases the exchange rate where there is 50% survival to 0.4 s⁻¹. The comparison between the MJF429 (Figure 2 red squares) and MJF465 strains suggests that the presence of MscL channels protects ~50 - 60% of the cells against exchange rates greater than 0.6 s⁻¹, since the comparable strain without MscL (MJF465) demonstrates less than 10% survival over the same range. For exchange rates greater than 0.5 s⁻¹, the strain MJF451 (Figure 2 magenta diamonds) has survival rates that are ~20% higher than the comparable strain without MscK (MJF429), which suggests that the presence of MscK channels is needed for true wild-type survival levels.

For comparison of our results with previously published work that used the traditional hypo-osmotic shock assay see Table S1. Our data roughly agrees with the previous reports at the highest exchange rates (>0.6 s⁻¹), which we take to be the shock limit. Generally, our survival rates in the shock limit are 5% - 15% lower than those shown in Table S1. This may be due to differences between the two assays: the way cells are handled, the normalization, culturing, etc. However, we note, when we perform the traditional hypo-osmotic challenge assay on these same strains, in our hands, we observe intrinsic variation as high as ~20% (Figure S3).

**Bacteria do not just “pop” during shock.** Direct observation allowed us to systematically study various changes in cell morphology and behavior leading to cell death (Figure 3). The cells were classified in our assay as dead when they failed to fully divide during our observation time (2-3hrs) and showed one of the following death phenotypes. The most commonly observed phenotype was the formation of a membrane bleb (Figure 3 A), which we call blebbing. We hypothesize that these blebs
form because of ruptures in the peptidoglycan layer, based on the resemblance of these
cells to those treated with antibiotics that cause defects in the peptidoglycan structure
(14). After formation, a bleb typically pops, thus completely lysing the cell leading to a
rapid loss of phase contrast. Another similar, but rarer, phenotype is where cells show a
fast step-like change in phase contrast (Figures 3 B and S4), but there are no observed
blebs or cell envelope changes. We call this phenotype bursting. The second most
common phenotype was a slow loss of phase contrast without clear signs of cell
envelope disruption (Figures 3 C and S4), which we call fading. The fading was
interpreted as a loss of the cytoplasmic content and we hypothesize may be caused by
a slow leak of the small molecules. The final phenotype we observe is where the cell
develops a rupture or extrusion of the envelope after the shock (Figures 3 D and S5)
and there is no clear bleb formation, which we call rupture. This type of cell death was
hypothesized as a localized loss of cell envelope integrity. The phase contrast loss for
this phenotype can occur over a wide range of time scales, possibly reflecting a
distribution of hole sizes in the cell envelope. The relative occurrences of these
phenotypes may show some trends with medium exchange rates (Figure 4). For
MJF465, the blebbing phenotype decreases, whereas the exploder phenotype
increases, with increasing medium exchange rate. For MJF612, if the data point for rate
0.03 s\(^{-1}\) is ignored, the blebbing phenotype increases whereas fading phenotype
decreases with increasing rate of medium exchange. In all cases the blebbing
phenotype is the most prevalent and the rupture phenotype is the rarest. Given the non-
quantitative nature of the classification criteria and the possibility of misclassification,
these trends should be taken as provisional and not having much statistical significance.
Bacteria die over several minutes implying cell wall failure. Interestingly, we find that in most cases cells do not die due to an instantaneous cell envelope rupture occurring during the osmotic challenge. In our assay the majority of cells “fail” long after the osmotic challenge took place, about 10-20 minutes later. Based on image analysis, the criteria for determining exactly when the cells structurally fail after the osmotic downshift are unclear. In some cases, the failure process appears to be continuous (e.g. the fading away cells). However, in the case of bursting or blebbing cells, we can determine the time when a sudden change (less than one video frame) occurs, e.g., when the phase contrast drops or the bleb first appears. We define the moment of this event as the “time of failure”. It can be taken as a rough, if somewhat arbitrary, indicator of when the cell starts to lose its integrity. Such an analysis was performed for blebbing cells of strain MJF465. The histograms of the number of blebbing cells vs. their time of failure were constructed for samples shocked at various downshift rates (see Figures 5 A-C for representative histograms).

As discussed earlier, the rate of medium exchange does not seem to influence the mechanism by which the cells die. However, we observe the downshift rate does influence the time interval between the osmotic challenge and bleb failure. To quantify this dependence, we fit the histograms to a decaying exponential function (Figures 5 A-C), where the fitted exponential decay rate \( \nu \) can be taken as the failure rate of blebbing cells. Interestingly, the failure rate monotonically increases with the rate of the shock, consistent with a linear dependence (Figure 5 D).
DISCUSSION

Prior to this work, the survival rates of cells after osmotic downshift had been studied only after exposure to a step-like change in the medium osmolarity. Our results examine the behavior of MS channels over a broader range of times scales and reveal the rate dependent nature of osmoprotection. There are results, prior to the discovery of MS channels, which hint at rate dependence, where it was reported that the amount of the osmolytes released, post-osmotic shock, depended on the rate of shock (15-17); however, none of these studies directly looked at survival rates. As determined by the challenge assay, the effectiveness of some of MS channels (YbdG and the set of YbiO, YnaI and YjeP) may have been underappreciated, since they had been evaluated in one extreme limit of osmotic change. We find these channels can be quite effective, leading to survival rates exceeding 50% and approaching 90%, when examined on the appropriate time scale. MscS homologs were previously described as having “threshold” behavior, where they provided high protection against modest magnitude shocks, typically four or five fold less than a drop of 0.5M NaCl (13). In contrast, we find it is not the magnitude of the shock but the rate of osmotic exchange that is the actual determining factor for how well the channels protect. There is no threshold for each type of channel per se. Instead, we propose the cell’s sensitivity to downshift, i.e. the slope of survival vs. shock rate in Figure 2, is a more revealing and sensitive measure of how much a given type of channel contributes to osmoprotection. For example, using the slope as a figure of merit, our results showed the presence of YbdG in MJF465 strain reduced its sensitivity to osmotic shock by more than a factor of 2 over the comparable strain lacking YbdG (MJF612).
Channels like MscL and MscS in *E. coli*, solely by themselves, are expected to provide high protection at all rates. However, even these channels may be aided by presence of MscS homologs, as appears to be the case for a strain with MscL and MscK present (MJF451) vs a strain with MscL and no MscK present (MJF429), under our conditions. Perhaps the most relevant test is to examine how much the individual homologs contribute with both MscS and MscL present, which is closer to the true wild type condition. This can be inferred from shocking single channel deletion strains, where just a single MscS homolog is deleted. We have done this using our flow cell technique and find that the survival rates of the various single deletion strains are 90% or higher (Figure S6), placing an upper bound on the contribution from all the homologs at less than 10%, roughly at the resolution of our experiment. While this may not seem like a much of a contribution, it is possible that even a few percent enhancement in survival rate may be sufficient evolutionary incentive for these channels to evolve. Also, there may be more subtle differences associated with the homologs other than changes in survival rate, such as the length of the lag phase or other phenotypic responses to various different environmental conditions (18). These are topics for future studies.

The mechanisms responsible for the observed rate dependence are not entirely clear. It is possible that for the slower times scales, the cell could adapt to the shock by inserting membrane proteins or exchanging lipids (19), since these things can occur over a several second time scale. It is, however, perhaps more tractable to first explore theoretically how physical processes may contribute to the rate dependence, such as the time it take to transport water through activated channels (20) and how long it takes for the membrane to relax its tension. Simple biophysical models based on these...
processes have been proposed (21) or are in development. A more complete picture should consider each channel’s critical properties such as conductance, abundance (22, 23) (Table S2), and gating tension in order to understand the origin of rate dependence and the interplay of the various channels.

The paradigmatic explanation of how cells die during an osmotic downshift is that cells lyse due to the sudden rupture of all three layers of cell envelope (24). It is only recently that alternative types of death phenotypes have been observed (25). Similar to our findings, Reuter et al. observed there are various classes or phenotypes of cells after osmotic shock, with cells that lyse immediately and cells that slowly fade away. They draw similar conclusions that many of the cells have damaged cell walls, as opposed to being completely lysed, and are able to stay intact for several minutes after the shock before the cell wall loses integrity and fails. A key finding of our work is that the time of failure is exponentially distributed and depends on the rate of osmotic shock, which has implications about material damage. Consider the case of blebbing cells, which represent roughly half of the cells that die. If we ignore any kind of biological response from the cell, we can make a first approximation of the bacteria, or parts of it, as purely a material object. For example, a simple model of the cell membrane is that of a lipid bilayer vesicle. The rupture tension and defect rate of synthetic lipid bilayer vesicles have been demonstrated to increase with the rate of membrane tension increase, the so-called loading rate (26). To explain the multi-minute delays (Figures 5 A-C) between the osmotic challenge and ensuing membrane failure, we hypothesize the downshift introduces fracture-like damage to the cell wall (most likely the peptidoglycan layer). The membrane remains intact during the downshift. Over the course of cellular growth,
the damage to the cell wall might cause larger-scale integrity failure, leading to the deleterious formation of membrane blebs. Cast in the language of material science, we can view our osmotic shock and the ensuing lysis results as a demonstration of a material failure rate vs loading rate (and magnitude). The increasing dependence of failure rate vs media exchange (loading) rate of Figure 5D lends credence to this view, as it is a typical response of a material (27). An upper bound of the loading rate can be estimated from the effective osmotic pressure difference between the two exchanged media divided by the timescale of the drop. The true osmotic pressure difference and associated tension will likely be less than this estimate due to transport rate of water and osmolytes through channels activated during the osmotic downshift. Thus, the actual loading rate experienced by the cell envelope will most likely depend on the combination of channels present. A possible explanation for the hierarchy of responses we see in Figure 2 is that as various types of channels are added to a cell, they progressively mitigate the loading rate during osmotic downshift by relieving more of the driving pressure difference, which in turn, leads to a lower failure rate and improved survival.

The observation of previously unnoticed various morphology changes leading to cell death suggest that the exposure to an osmotic shock may damage various parts of the cell envelope. The damage of various parts of the cell envelope have been previously reported due to efflux (28), pressure treatment (29), and dehydration-rehydration (30). To address the possible damage modes in greater detail, there needs to be a better understanding of the mechanical properties of all layers of the cell envelope. However,
the measured values of the basic parameters vary substantially (31). This motivates further studies on the role of cell envelope in the protection from the osmotic challenge.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

FIGURE 1. Overview of the experiment. (A) A schematic picture of the experimental setup. A flow cell is connected to reservoirs with high (0.5 M NaCl) and low (0 M NaCl) osmolarity media. Valves are used to select the medium to be loaded and the speed of medium exchange is set by a syringe pump. (B) In situ fluorescence read out of the osmolarity as a function of time during the medium exchange. A calcium sensitive dye (250 nM Rhod2) is added to both media. The viewing chamber medium is changed from 0.5M NaCl LB with no added calcium (dark) to 0M NaCl LB supplemented with 100µM CaCl$_2$ (bright). The edge of the field of view is illuminated by a laser beam used to excite fluorescence. (C) The osmotic exchange rate is calculated by fitting a straight line to three regions of the fluorescence signal: minimal fluorescence level, maximal fluorescence level and the middle of the calibration curve.

FIGURE 2. Survival as a function of the rate of medium exchange. Strains Frag1 (wild-type), MJF367 (ΔmscL), MJF451 (ΔmscS), MJF429 (ΔmscS ΔmscK), MJF465 (ΔmscL ΔmscS ΔmscK), MJF612 (ΔmscL ΔmscS ΔmscK ΔybdG), and MJF641 (all seven mechanosensitive channels knocked-out) were exposed to a 0.5 M NaCl shock performed at various rates of medium exchange. The survival depends on the rate of the osmotic challenge as well as on the type of MS channels present. The solid lines represent a fit to the initial linear region of the survival response curves. The dashed lines at 90% and 50% represent reference lines for wild-type-like survival and an
arbitrary survival percentage used for strain comparison, respectively. The medium exchange rates where survival is ~50% for the various strains are listed to the right to provide a gauge of rate sensitivity. For further details see Materials and Methods.

FIGURE 3. Image sequences showing representative time courses of death after exposure to osmotic challenge. The scale bar is 2 µm. For movies showing these morphological changes of the cells see Videos S1-S4. (A) Bleb formation, arrows indicate the region of the cell where the blebs (hemispherical bulges of the plasma membrane) were formed; (B) Bursting cell. The phase contrast changes suddenly (between t = 2 and t = 3 min), which we interpret as a fast cytoplasmic content release followed by a slow leakage of the remaining content. Arrow indicates the cell of interest; (C) Cell slowly (over ~ 20 minutes) losing phase contrast, which is interpreted as releasing its content (“fading”) without a clear sign of envelope damage. Arrow indicates the cell of interest; (D) Morphological change interpreted as a membrane rupture without formation of a bleb. The arrow indicates the location of irregularly shaped, small element, which we interpret as a fragment of a plasma membrane.

FIGURE 4. The percentage of cells showing a given morphology change as a function of the rate of medium exchange for three strains: strain MJF465 (ΔmscL ΔmscS ΔmscK), MJF612 (ΔmscL ΔmscS ΔmscK ΔybdG), and MJF641 (all seven mechanosensitive channels deleted). In all cases the death mechanism does not appear to be significantly correlated with the rate of the osmotic challenge.

FIGURE 5. Distribution of failure times of MJF465 for different medium exchange rates: (A) 0.35 s⁻¹, (B) 0.62 s⁻¹, and (C) 1 s⁻¹. An exponential decay function (abundance ~
$a_0e^{-\nu t}$ was fit to the histograms, where the first bin was neglected (See Materials and Methods for details). (D) The failure rate $\nu$ versus the medium exchange rate.
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