Exactly soluble model of a three-dimensional symmetry-protected topological phase of bosons with surface topological order
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We construct an exactly soluble Hamiltonian on the $D = 3$ cubic lattice, whose ground state is a topological phase of bosons protected by time-reversal symmetry, i.e., a symmetry-protected topological (SPT) phase. In this model, excitations with anyonic statistics are shown to exist at the surface but not in the bulk. The statistics of these surface anyons is explicitly computed and shown to be identical to the three-fermion $Z_2$ model, a variant of $Z_2$ topological order which cannot be realized in a purely $D = 2$ system with time-reversal symmetry. Thus the model realizes a novel surface termination for three-dimensional (3D) SPT phases, that of a fully symmetric gapped surface with topological order. The 3D phase found here was previously proposed from a field theoretic analysis but is outside the group cohomology classification that appears to capture all SPT phases in lower dimensions. Such phases may potentially be realized in spin-orbit-coupled magnetic insulators, which evade magnetic ordering. Our construction utilizes the Walker-Wang prescription to create a 3D confined phase with surface anyons, which can be extended to other topological phases.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, there has been much progress in understanding topological phases of interacting bosons [1–10] that are short range entangled (SRE), i.e., that have a gapped bulk that is free of exotic excitations [11], but that are nevertheless distinct from the trivial phase in the presence of a symmetry. Such symmetry-protected topological phases (SPTs) are significantly simpler than intrinsically topologically ordered phases, such as fractional quantum Hall states and superconductors [14–16] to interacting bosonic systems. An experimental example is the Haldane bulk but does not apply to other similar phases discussed in Ref. [10]. BTSc could, in fact, be realized on the lattice (a worry that the conjecture of Ref. [10] is correct: its surface harbors boson modes [23]. Our exactly soluble (3D) model proves that the conjecture of Ref. [10] to realize the “three-fermion $Z_2$ state,” with $T$. This is a variation on $Z_2$ gauge theory in which all three particles, $e$, $m$, and $s$, are fermions with mutual $\pi$ statistics. A strictly 2D realization of this three-fermion $Z_2$ state always breaks $T$ since it is associated with $c_\pi = 4 \text{mod} 8$ chiral edge boson modes [23]. Our exactly soluble (3+1)D model proves that the conjecture of Ref. [10] is correct: its surface harbors precisely this three-fermion state while preserving $T$. The key idea is that only the self and mutual statistics of the anyons go into defining the exactly soluble model, and these are all real ($\pm 1$), allowing the (3+1)D model to be $T$ invariant. If $T$ is broken on the surface, then domain walls acquire $c_\pi = 8$ chiral edge modes, identical to the edge of the Kitaev $E_8$ state.

Our model is a special case of the Walker-Wang [27,28] prescription, applied to produce an SPT phase. However, rather
than using this formalism, we begin by defining our Hamiltonian at an elementary level and explicitly demonstrating its bulk and edge properties. Our Hamiltonian is a spin model with specially tuned interactions to allow for exact solvability. Time reversal is the only symmetry considered, which we show remains unbroken in the ground state. Hence this phase models a topological paramagnet (in an insulating system with magnetic moments), in which the spin symmetry is broken down to just time reversal, as would be expected with strong spin-orbit couplings. In contrast to quantum spin liquids, which have exotic deconfined excitations in the bulk, here the unusual physics appears only at the surface. An important future direction is the construction of more realistic magnetic Hamiltonians that lead to this topological paramagnet phase.

II. EXACTLY SOLUBLE MODEL OF A 3D SPT PHASE WITH SURFACE TOPOLOGICAL ORDER

Our model is built out of four-dimensional spin Hilbert spaces living on the links of a cubic lattice. We use the following ordered basis for the spin Hilbert space, $\{|1\rangle, |e\rangle, |m\rangle, |\bar{e}\rangle\}$, but also find it convenient to express it as the product of two 1/2 spins acted on by Pauli matrices $\sigma^x$ and $\tau^x$. In this notation, the ordered basis becomes $\{|++\rangle, |--\rangle, |+-\rangle, |-+\rangle\}$, where the first (second) sign corresponds to the eigenvalue of the Pauli matrix $\sigma^x$ ($\tau^x$). We will label the particular link with a subscript where necessary. The Hamiltonian is a sum of vertex ($A_V$) and plaquette ($B_P$) terms:

$$H = - \sum_V A_V - \sum_P B_P,$$

where the first sum is over all vertices $V$ and the second sum is over all plaquettes $P$. The vertex term is defined as

$$A_V = \prod_{i \in V} \sigma^x_i + \prod_{i \in V} \tau^z_i,$$

where $i \in V$ is the set of six links adjacent to the vertex $V$.

The plaquette term is more complicated. To define it, we fix a specific 2D projection of our 3D lattice once and for all, that is, has the property that each plaquette has one of the three forms shown in Fig. 1. For each such plaquette $P$, there are two links which end up in its interior under the 2D projection. These links, labeled $O$ and $U$ in Fig. 1, lie “over” and “under” $P$, respectively [29]. The plaquette term $B_P$ then acts on the four links that make up $P$ (we will denote this collection of four links by $\partial B$) but also depends on the labels of the associated $O$ and $U$ links. Specifically, $B_P = B_P^{(O)} + B_P^{(m)}$, where

$$B_P^{(O)} = \sigma^x_i \sigma^x_j \tau^x_U \prod_{i \in P} \sigma^z_i$$

and

$$B_P^{(m)} = \sigma^z_x \tau^z_x \tau^z_U \prod_{i \in P} \tau^z_i.$$

To gain some intuition for this Hamiltonian, we can view it as a “twisted” product of two $Z_2$ gauge theories. Indeed, $\sigma^z$ and $\tau^z$ define two independent $Z_2$ charges on each link, which we denote $Z_2^{(O)}$ and $Z_2^{(m)}$ respectively.

The vertex terms $A_V$ then simply enforce conservation of $Z_2^{(O)} \times Z_2^{(m)}$ charge at each vertex, whereas $B_P$ is the usual $Z_2$ gauge theory plaquette term twisted by some signs related to the occupation numbers of the $O$ and $U$ links.

An important point is that all of the terms in the Hamiltonian commute. Indeed, all the vertex terms $A_V$ clearly commute with each other, and since each $B_P$ can only change the $Z_2^{(O)} \times Z_2^{(m)}$ charge on an even number of links adjacent to each vertex (namely, zero or two), the plaquette terms also commute with all the vertex terms. To see that $[B_P^{(O)}, B_{P'}^{(m)}] = 0$, we note that this is clearly true if the $O$ and $U$ links of $P_1$ have no overlap with $\partial P_2$ (note that this is equivalent to the condition with 1 and 2 exchanged). When this condition fails, either the $O$ link of $P_1$ intersects $\partial P_2$ and the $U$ link of $P_2$ intersects $\partial P_1$, or we have this situation with 1 and 2 exchanged. In both cases, the minus signs from the commutators of the $x$ and $z$ Pauli matrices cancel in pairs, so $B_P^{(O)}$ and $B_{P'}^{(m)}$ commute.

Also, since the matrix elements of $H$ are real, the Hamiltonian is invariant under time reversal $T$, where $T$ is defined to be complex conjugation of the many-body wave function in our $1,e,m,\bar{e}$ basis. Note that this time-reversal operator satisfies $T^2 = 1$.

A. Trivial bulk

We now argue that our model has a unique ground state when defined on topologically nontrivial manifolds. For definiteness we will work with a 3D torus $T^3$, but our argument generalizes to any orientable 3D manifold. The first step is to introduce an auxiliary geometry, the “plumber’s nightmare” shown in Fig. 2, a genus $N$ surface which is topologically just the surface of a thickened version of the cubic lattice on which our model is defined (see also [30]). We consider a 2D gapped chiral state with the three-fermion topological order defined on this two-manifold $M$ (this 2D state does break $T$). The low-energy description of this theory is just a $U(1)$ Chern-Simons theory, with the $K$ matrix equal to the Cartan matrix of $U(1)$.

The vertex terms $A_V$ then simply enforce conservation of $Z_2^{(O)} \times Z_2^{(m)}$ charge at each vertex, whereas $B_P$ is the usual $Z_2$ gauge theory plaquette term twisted by some signs related to the occupation numbers of the $O$ and $U$ links.

An important point is that all of the terms in the Hamiltonian commute. Indeed, all the vertex terms $A_V$ clearly commute with each other, and since each $B_P$ can only change the $Z_2^{(O)} \times Z_2^{(m)}$ charge on an even number of links adjacent to each vertex (namely, zero or two), the plaquette terms also commute with all the vertex terms. To see that $[B_P^{(O)}, B_{P'}^{(m)}] = 0$, we note that this is clearly true if the $O$ and $U$ links of $P_1$ have no overlap with $\partial P_2$ (note that this is equivalent to the condition with 1 and 2 exchanged). When this condition fails, either the $O$ link of $P_1$ intersects $\partial P_2$ and the $U$ link of $P_2$ intersects $\partial P_1$, or we have this situation with 1 and 2 exchanged. In both cases, the minus signs from the commutators of the $x$ and $z$ Pauli matrices cancel in pairs, so $B_P^{(O)}$ and $B_{P'}^{(m)}$ commute.
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$SO(8)$:

$$4\pi S_{\text{TQFT}} = \int d^3x \sum_{I,J=1}^{4} K_{IJ}^{SO(8)} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda} a^I_{\mu} \partial_\nu a^J_{\lambda}. \quad (5)$$

$$K^{SO(8)} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

(See Appendix A for details). A key observation is that the low-energy sector of this 2D chiral theory defined on $M$, which is just a high-genus orientable 2D manifold, has a dimension that is exponentially large in $N$ and, in fact, maps exactly onto the subspace $\mathcal{H}_\nu \subset \mathcal{H}$ with all vertex terms $A_V$ imposed. Indeed, the $I,e,m,s$ label on any link just represents the topological charge flowing through the tube enclosing this link, and $A_V$ enforces conservation of the topological charge at vertex $V$.

However, this identification of the link label with the topological charge does not completely determine the identification of Hilbert spaces because of a phase ambiguity: specifying the topological charge flowing through each link only determines a ground state of the 2D chiral theory up to an overall phase. To fix this phase, we construct, for each choice of link labels, the corresponding state in the 2D chiral theory by starting with the trivial state (trivial topological charges through all links) and nucleating, transporting, and fusing and splitting anyons in the appropriate way through all the links. Some arbitrary choices have to be made in this procedure: Specifically, we use the 2D projection introduced above and take the process to proceed upward with respect to this projection, with fusion and splitting occurring on the top of the surface around each vertex, as in Fig. 3. These choices reflect the gauge ambiguities inherent in the Walker-Wang Hamiltonian. (Boundary conditions consistent with those of the lattice Hamiltonian also need to be imposed, but that is straightforward.)

The key feature of this seemingly complicated construction is that the plaquette terms in (1) take an extremely simple form in the 2D chiral theory: $B_{\mu}^{a,b}$ is given by nucleating a pair of $a$ anyons, transporting one on the minimal girth path around the hole corresponding to $P$, and reannihilating. Indeed, to

express this latter operator in the above basis amounts to fusing the purple path in Fig. 3 to the blue path, and this is accomplished with associativity and braiding phases (i.e., $F$ and $R$ moves), precisely as in the general definition of the Walker-Wang model [27]. In this case, these associativity and braiding phases just amount to the extra signs associated with the $O$ and $U$ links.

The uniqueness of the ground state in this system now readily follows. Indeed, we can equally well think of the plumber’s nightmare surface $M$ as being associated with the dual lattice (Fig. 2); the original plaquette terms simply measure the flow of topological charge along the dual links, and imposing all of them just determines the unique state where all of these topological charges are zero. In other words, imposing trivial topological charge through these dual links is tantamount to cutting them and reducing $M$ to a product of spheres, a topology which hosts a unique ground state.

B. No deconfined bulk excitations

In the previous section we argued that the bulk of our model has no topological order; in particular, this means that there should be no nontrivial deconfined bulk excitations. However, at least in two dimensions [31], string-net models possess string operators that commute with the Hamiltonian everywhere except at the end points and hence create deconfined excitations. To see how our 3D model evades this, consider creating a pair of $e$ charges at points 1 and 2, which for simplicity we take to be separated only along the $y$ direction, by acting with the operator $\prod_{i \in C_{12}} \sigma^z_i$, where $C_{12}$ is a path of links connecting points 1 and 2 (see Fig. 4). We immediately see that this operator fails to commute with certain $xz$ plaquettes whose $O$ or $U$ links lie in $C_{12}$; the number of affected plaquettes is proportional to the length of $C_{12}$, leading to a linear confinement energy.
FIG. 4. (Color online) Excitations in the bulk are confined. The path $C_{12}$ is shown in red; the displaced path used to determine $C_{12}^*$ is indicated with a dashed blue line. Links that cross under (over) this path are colored green (purple). The violated plaquettes (shaded blue) are those that are threaded by the dashed blue line.

One can attempt to do better with the modified string operator

$$S_i^b = \prod_{j \in C_{12}} \sigma_j^x \prod_{j \in C_{12}^{\text{red}}} \sigma_j^x \prod_{k \in C_{12}^{\text{blue}}} \sigma_k^x \tau_k^x,$$

where $C_{12}$ is the set of links that are crossed by a curve that runs parallel to $C_{12}$ but is offset infinitesimally in the $-\hat{x} + \hat{y} + \hat{z}$ direction and $C_{12}^{\text{red/under}}$ are the subsets of links that cross over and under path $C_{12}$ in our projection (colored purple and green, respectively, in Fig. 4). This new string operator fails to commute precisely with the blue shaded plaquettes in Fig. 4, and it is not possible to further reduce the number of violated plaquettes for a given $C_{12}$ [27,28]. Hence bulk excitations carrying nontrivial gauge charge are linearly confined.

C. Deconfined surface excitations

Observe that if we terminate the system at the $xy$ plane of the curve $C_{12}$ in Fig. 4, the defective (blue shaded) plaquettes would not be included in the 3D lattice. Indeed, retaining only the links below and including this $xy$ plane still gives an exactly soluble Hamiltonian, with surface vertex and plaquette terms involving only five links each, and now the string operator

$$S_{\text{surf.}} = \prod_{i \in C_{12}} \sigma_i^z \prod_{k \in C_{12}^{\text{red}}} \sigma_k^z \tau_k^z,$$

commutes with the Hamiltonian away from points 1 and 2, so that the $e$ charges it creates are deconfined. Similarly,

$$S_{\text{surf.}}^m = \prod_{i \in C_{12}} \tau_i^z \prod_{k \in C_{12}^{\text{red}}} \tau_k^z$$

create deconfined $m$ and $e$ excitations at the surface.

Let us examine the statistics of these excitations. For definiteness, consider first the full braid of $e$ and $m$. The statistical phase can be obtained by first nucleating a pair of $e$ particles and then a pair of $m$ particles, as shown in Fig. 5, and next annihilating first the $e$ particles and then the $m$ particles. It is readily seen, using the explicit form of the surface string operators constructed above, that the product of the four corresponding string operators is $-I$, demonstrating the mutual semionic statistics of $e$ and $m$.

Next, consider exchanging two anyons of the same type, which can be carried out as shown in Fig. 6. We begin with two anyons (labeled $a$ and $b$) of the same type at vertices $i$ and $i + x$, respectively. The first step in the exchange is to move anyon $a$ from $i$ to $i - y$; then move anyon $b$ from $i + x$ to $i - x$; next move anyon $a$ from $i - y$ to $i + x$; and finally move the anyon $b$ from $i - x$ to $i$. This process exchanges the two anyons. The whole procedure is realized by the string

FIG. 5. (Color online) Mutual statistics. The operation of braiding a pair of anyons (say, the $e$ and $m$ particles) is captured by first creating a pair of $e$ particles (red) followed by a pair of $m$ particles (blue) in the manner shown. We now annihilate first the $e$ and then the $m$ particles to return to the vacuum and examine the resulting phase.

FIG. 6. (Color online) Fermionic statistics: the sequence of operations described in the text to exchange a pair of fermions. The positions of the fermions are indicated by the red dots. [The open red circles in (b)–(d) indicate the original positions of the fermions.] Solid red arrows indicate the link acted on by a string operator to move the fermion at this step; the solid red lines show where the string operators have acted at previous steps. The links crossed by the dashed blue line are in $C$: there is a phase of $-1$ every time a dashed blue line crosses a solid red line.
operator
\[ \hat{S}_{e,i}^\mu \hat{S}_{m,i}^\nu \hat{S}_{x,i}^z = \hat{S}_{e,i}^\mu \hat{S}_{m,i}^\nu \hat{S}_{x,i}^z. \] (11)

We can explicitly check that this operator is equal to \(-I\) for \(\mu = e, m, \nu\). For example, when \(\mu = e\), \(\hat{S}_{e,i}^\mu = \sigma_x^e \tau_1^e \tau_2^e\), \(\hat{S}_{m,i}^\nu = \sigma_x^m \sigma_1^m \sigma_2^m \tau_1^e \tau_2^e\), \(\hat{S}_{x,i}^z = \sigma_x^z \sigma_1^z \sigma_2^z \tau_1^e \tau_2^e\). The total exchange string operator is then equal to \(-I\). Similar checks can be performed for \(\mu = m\) and \(\nu\).

We note that the existence of the three-fermion surface topological order can also be seen from the plumber’s nightmare picture of our system: applying the bulk plaquette operators on a system with a boundary leaves us with a made-out of disconnected spheres and a surface with precisely the three-fermion topological order. However, the time-reversal symmetry \(T\) cannot be easily understood in this picture.

III. DISCUSSION

We have shown how to construct an exactly solvable lattice Hamiltonian that realizes the topologically ordered three-fermion surface state in a time-reversal-invariant way. It is important to emphasize that any purely \((2 + 1)D\) realization of this surface state necessarily breaks time-reversal symmetry. This follows from the relation
\[ \frac{1}{\mathcal{D}} \sum_a d_a^2 \theta_a = e^{i2\pi c_-/8} \] (12)

between anyons and chiral central charge, which is valid for any gapped 2D bosonic system, where \(\mathcal{D} = \sqrt{\sum_a d_a^2}\). For the three-fermion state where \(d_a = 1\) and \(\theta_a = 1, -1, -1, -1\) this requires \(c_- = 4\) (mod 8), i.e., protected chiral edge modes. Likewise, our \((2 + 1)D\) surface realization of this state is an indication of the nontrivial nature of the bulk SPT phase. Indeed, we can destroy the topological order in a surface domain by adding a layer of a 2D \((T\)-breaking) realization and condensing pairs; doing so in the opposite \(T\)-breaking way on a bordering domain generates a \(c_- = 4\) \((-4 = 8)\) chiral mode, which is indicative of a \((3 + 1)D\) BTSc [10]. Equivalently, the \(T\)-broken surface displays a thermal analog of the quantized magnetoelectric effect [14–16].

More generally, realizing a topologically ordered phase which transforms under symmetry in a way that is forbidden in 2D necessarily leads to a protected surface state. Let us illustrate this for the three-fermion state by assuming the opposite is true, i.e., the three-fermion surface state can be eliminated without breaking \(T\) symmetry. Then, one can make a slab of the 3D phase with well-separated top and bottom surfaces and can eliminate the surface state on the bottom side. Now, consider shrinking the slab until the 2D limit is reached. Since the bottom side and bulk are gapped, it should be possible to retain the original surface state on the top surface without changing the symmetry. This produces a 2D realization of the “impossible” 2D state; therefore our assumption that it is possible to eliminate the surface state without breaking the symmetry must be false.

Our construction is one member of a general class of \((3 + 1)D\) models constructed by Walker and Wang [27,28]. As we explain in detail in Appendix B, their prescription allows one to turn a topologically ordered surface state encoded in a unitary modular tensor category (UMTC) [32] into a \((3 + 1)D\) bulk Hamiltonian and ground-state wave function. The latter is a superposition of loops (more precisely, “string nets” [31]) labeled by the anyons of the theory. The amplitude \(\Psi_{3D}(C)\) for a given string-net configuration \(C\) is determined by the expectation value of the corresponding Wilson loop operators in the \((2 + 1)D\) topological quantum field theory (TQFT); that is,
\[ \Psi_{3D}(C) = \langle W(C) \rangle_{2+1\text{TQFT}}. \] (13)

This is similar in spirit to, e.g., quantum Hall wave functions, which are related to the space-time correlations of their edge states. Here, since we demand a topologically ordered boundary state, the expectation values are taken in the boundary TQFT. We emphasize that, in general, these Walker-Wang models (built from a UMTC) have no bulk topological order, but as stressed in this paper, the imposition of a symmetry can turn such a model into a nontrivial SPT. Another example of such a construction is given in Ref. [33], where a chiral spin liquid with an anomalous realization of \(Z_2 \times Z_2\) symmetry is realized as the surface of a decorated Walker-Wang model.

The string-net picture also gives us intuition for linear confinement of bulk quasiparticles. Indeed, according to (13) a long string in the bulk will change the quantum fluctuation phase factors of small loops along its length by their relative braiding phase when the small loop encircles the long string. Because we have a UMTC, at least some of these braiding phases must be nontrivial, leading to a finite-energy cost. However, open strings lying on the surface, where no loops can encircle them, may give rise to deconfined excitations.

Note added. Recently, we learned of two preprints [34,35] on 3D SPT phases. The former utilizes the statistical Witten effect to cleverly constrain the surface topological order, while the latter uses an ingenious construction to obtain topologically ordered surface states for various SPT phases.
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APPENDIX A: THE 2D THREE-FERMION ANYON MODEL

Our lattice Hamiltonian is related to a \((2 + 1)D\) anyon model with three types of fermions, which we will describe in more detail here. We may think of these three fermions as fermionic \(Z_2\) charges \((\varepsilon)\), fermionic \(Z_2\) fluxes \((m)\), and a bound state of a charge and a flux \((\varepsilon)\). Because the charges acquire a \(\pi\) Berry phase upon encircling the \(Z_2\) fluxes, it can be checked that \(\varepsilon\) is also a fermion. Moreover, the three species of anyonic excitations all have mutual semionic statistics; that
is, braiding one around another induces a phase factor of $-1$. Due to the symmetry in these statistics, we will also refer to this topological state as the “three-fermion $\mathbb{Z}_2$ model”; in practice, it is irrelevant which one of the labels $\{e, m, \varepsilon\}$ we assign to the flux and which we assign to the “original” fermionic charge. We will use the label 1 to designate the vacuum.

An explicit field theory of this 2D state can be written using an Abelian Chern-Simons theory with four $U(1)$ gauge fields:

$$4\pi S_{\text{TQFT}} = \int d^3 x \sum_{I,J=1}^4 K_{ij}^{SO(8)}(e) \epsilon^{\mu \nu \lambda} A_\mu^I A_\nu^J A_\lambda^J.$$

This is the Cartan matrix of $SO(8)$. Note that the inverse matrix is

$$[K_{SO(8)}]^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

which clearly demonstrates the mutual statistics of the three-fermion model, obtained by inner products $\theta_{ij} = 2\pi l_i^T \cdot K^{-1} \cdot l_j$, where $l_i$ are integer vectors representing the quasiparticles. The eigenvalues of $K_{SO(8)}$ are all positive, indicating that all four edge modes are chiral (propagate in the same direction). Therefore, as emphasized in the main text, the three-fermion state explicitly breaks time-reversal symmetry when it is realized in 2D.

APPENDIX B: FROM 2D ANYON MODEL TO LATTICE HAMILTONIAN—TECHNICAL DETAILS AND PHYSICAL PICTURE

The lattice Hamiltonian presented in the main text is based on a general construction introduced by Walker and Wang [27,28], applied to the specific case of the three-fermion model discussed above. Here we will give a qualitative description of the ground states that result from the Walker-Wang construction and explain how it gives rise to the trivial bulk and topologically ordered surface of our lattice Hamiltonian.

A Walker-Wang model can be built from any anyon model. The Hilbert space consists of all ways of assigning an anyon label to each edge of the lattice; the Hamiltonian is chosen such that the ground-state wave function is a superposition of loops (more precisely, string nets, in the sense of [31]) labeled by the anyon types. The amplitude for a given configuration of these loops $C$ in the $(3+1)$D wave function $\Psi_{3D}(C)$ is determined by the expectation value of the corresponding Wilson loop operators in the $(2+1)$D TQFT; that is, we set

$$\Psi_{3D}(C) = \langle W(C) \rangle_{2+1 \text{TQFT}}.$$

This is similar in spirit to, e.g., quantum Hall wave functions, which are related to the space-time correlations of their edge states. Here, since we demand a topologically ordered boundary state, the expectation values are taken in the boundary

$$\Psi_{3D}(C) = \langle W(C) \rangle_{2+1 \text{TQFT}}.$$

These equations simply reiterate the fact that $e$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ charge, $m$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ flux, and $\varepsilon$ is the combination of these two.

Each loop configuration comes with a specific phase due to the twisting and intertwining of the fermion world lines. Since this twisting may depend on the angle of view, in order to calculate the phase, we need to pick a particular projection of the 3D world lines onto 2D ones. The projection we will use is shown in Fig. 7. Having fixed this projection, the phase factor can be obtained using the braiding rules (as shown in Fig. 8) given by the $R$ matrix [36]:

$$R_{\mu, \mu} = -1, \quad \mu = e, m, \varepsilon,$$

$$R_{e, m} = R_{m, e} = R_{e, \varepsilon} = -1,$$

$$R_{m, \varepsilon} = R_{e, m} = R_{e, \varepsilon} = 1.$$
FIG. 9. (Color online) Open strings in the bulk change the quantum fluctuation phase factors of loops along its length, which costs finite energy. Therefore, the ends of strings are confined in the bulk.

time-reversal operator $\mathcal{T}$ that acts by complex conjugation. This is even true for the wave function on a 3D manifold with boundary. Therefore no time-reversal symmetry breaking occurs either in the bulk or on the surface.

Understanding bulk and surface theories from the wave function

We can also gain an intuitive understanding of the bulk confinement and surface deconfinement of our lattice model by considering the relationship between the low-energy states of the Walker-Wang model and anyon world lines in the three-fermion model. We note in passing that the Hamiltonian given in the main text differs slightly from the Walker-Wang construction, which has a third component to the plaquette term. However, this only affects the relative energies of the excitations and is not important for any of the qualitative features discussed here.

Let us begin with the bulk. We can create excitations by adding open strings to the ground-state string net. In the bulk, however, the excitation energy grows linearly with the string length, leading to confinement of the particles at the ends of the strings. To see the confinement, consider an open string (for example, blue) in the bulk which is circled by a small ring of a different color (for example, red), as shown in Fig. 9. The braiding rules dictate that unlinking this ring from the open string will result in a minus sign in the wave function. That is, introducing the open string changes the phase factors associated with small fluctuating loops along its length, which costs finite energy. Therefore the string’s end points cannot be separated very far, and the fermionic excitations in the bulk are confined.

FIG. 10. (Color online) The anyonic excitations on the surface are created by open strings. At the ends of the strings are three species of fermions (corresponding to three colors of open strings) which have mutual semionic statistics. This can be seen from the braiding statistics of the strings generating (a) the exchange and (b) the braiding of the ends of the strings.

More generally, any set of strings with consistent braiding and fusion rules given by a unitary braided fusion category can be used to write 3D string-net wave functions in a similar fashion. As shown in Ref. [28], the bulk of the state has no deconfined excitations, and hence no nontrivial topological order, as long as each string has nontrivial statistics with at least one of the other strings. The corresponding category is said to be “modular.”

However, the above argument suggests that open strings lying on the surface, where no loops can encircle them, may give rise to deconfined excitations, as we have verified explicitly for the three-fermion model in the main text. The excitations at the end of these open strings have anyonic statistics. To understand this, note that in the presence of an open string the wave function becomes a superposition of all string configurations in which strings end at the positions of the excitations. We can therefore determine the statistics of the excitations by tracking these open strings. Suppose we exchange two string ends of the same color, say, red [as shown in Fig. 10(a)], by crossing two red string segments on the surface. [Figure 10(a) shows one possible configuration.] This twist in the string configuration (relative to the string configuration before the exchange) can be removed to bring the strings back to their original form, but this results in a minus sign. Therefore, exchanging the ends of strings of the same color adds a minus sign to the total wave function, which is equivalent to saying that the ends of the strings are fermions. Similarly, one can check that string ends of different colors have mutual semionic statistics by braiding them with linked loops on the surface, as shown in Fig. 10.


[13] This terminology differs slightly from that of Chen et al. [6, 7], who require a state to also be nonchiral to be short range entangled.


[29] Note that this notation differs from that of Refs. [27, 28], which refer to our O links as U edges and vice versa.


[36] The fusion rules of the three-fermion model are associative; therefore the $F$ tensor is trivial, and we do not need to worry about the order in which the strings are fused.