Real-time dynamics of clusters. III. $I_2Ne_n$ ($n=2-4$), picosecond fragmentation, and evaporation
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In this paper (III) we report real-time studies of the picosecond dynamics of iodine in Ne clusters $I_2^*Ne_n (n=2-4) \rightarrow I_2^* + nNe$. The results are discussed in relation to vibrational predissociation (VP), basic to the $I_2X$ systems, and to the onset of intramolecular vibrational-energy redistribution (IVR). The latter process, which is a precursor for the evaporation of the host atoms or for further fragmentation, is found to be increasingly effective as the cluster size increases; low-energy van der Waals modes act as the accepting (bath) modes. The reaction dynamics for $I_2Ne_2$ are examined and quantitatively compared to a simple model which describes the dynamics as consecutive bond breaking. On this basis, it is concluded that the onset of energy redistribution is observed in $I_2Ne_2$. Comparison of $I_2Ne$ and $I_2Ne_2$ to larger clusters ($n=3,4$) is accomplished by introducing an overall effective reaction rate. From measurements of the rates and their dependence on $v_i^*$, the initial quantum number of the $I_2$ stretch, we are able to examine the dynamics of direct fragmentation and evaporation, and compare with theory.

I. INTRODUCTION

The reaction dynamics of size-selected van der Waals (vdW) clusters form a basis for understanding the interplay between intramolecular vibrational-energy redistribution (IVR) and the direct fragmentation by vibrational predissociation (VP). For the case at hand, halogen–rare gas clusters, there are two interesting regimes for the dynamics which are determined by the effective size of the cluster. For small clusters, the “small-molecule” description of the dynamics may apply as in the case of $I_2He$, $I_2Ne$, and $I_2Ar (n=1)$, discussed in Papers (I) and (II). In this case, the dynamics of VP are governed only by the coupling between the initially prepared metastable bound state and the final dissociation continuum (see Fig. 1).

For the larger clusters, the density of states plays an important role in the dynamics, perhaps reaching the statistical limit of the “large-molecule” case. The initially prepared state in this case couples not only to the mode(s) of the reactive coordinate(s), but also to nonreactive “bath modes” whose number increases with $n$. The transition from one regime to the other is interesting to explore, both theoretically and experimentally.

An important question concerning the larger clusters ($n>1$) relates to the mechanism: Do the rare gas atoms directly fragment one at a time (a sequential mechanism), or do they indirectly fragment following energy redistribution? And if indirect fragmentation is the pathway, does it occur by VP or evaporation? Consider, for example, $I_2^*Ne_2$. The two possibilities for direct fragmentation by VP are

\[ I_2^*Ne_2(v_i^* - 1) \rightarrow I_2^* + v_i^* - 2 + 2Ne \tag{1} \]

or

\[ I_2^*Ne_2(v_i^*) \rightarrow I_2^*Ne(v_i^* - 1) + Ne \tag{2a} \]

where $v_i^*$ is the vibrational quantum number of the initial iodine stretch. These direct pathways in Eqs. (1) and (2) contrast a path in which energy is dissipated to the modes of the surrounding atoms/I$_2$ cluster. Because of the presence of the two neons, energy redistribution from the $I_2$ stretch to nonreactive modes could compete with direct VP, leading to a new channel

\[ I_2^*Ne_2(v_i^*) \rightarrow I_2^*Ne_2(v_i^* - 1). \tag{3} \]

The thermally excited cluster (denoted by $\dagger$) may then lose the Ne atoms by VP or by evaporation. For the latter, perhaps, statistical theories could be used to calculate the rates.

Frequency domain studies of electronically excited neutral dihalogen rare gas clusters have been carried out on the systems $I_2Ne_m$, $I_2Ne_m$, $Br_2Ne_m$, $Cl_2Ne_m$ and $IC1Ne_m$, where $n>1$. To resolve the dynamics in real-time and separate the different channels, the state-to-state rates of VP and vibrational relaxation must be measured. As shown in (I) and (II), linewidth measurements can give an upper limit of the rate for the first step of the dynamics. A goal which can, in principle, be reached by the time-resolved studies is the determination of the rates of the individual steps by probing the reaction intermediates directly.

This paper focuses on studies in real-time of the state-to-state rates in $I_2Ne_n (n=2,3,4)$ and extends the earlier results reported in (I) and (II). To probe the dynamics, we use the following pump–probe/molecular beam methodology: A picosecond pump pulse prepares the cluster under consideration in a well-defined vibrational state $v_i^*$ of the $I_2$ stretch mode (in the electronic B state). The decay of this metastable state into the final dissociation continuum,

\[ I_2^*Ne_n(v_i^*) \rightarrow I_2^*(v_i^* = v_i^* - m) + nNe \tag{4} \]
is followed by monitoring the $I_2$ final state, using a temporally delayed picosecond probe pulse in resonance with the final quantum state. This way, the absolute rates are measured for the different channels [denoted by $m$ in Eq. (4)] of each cluster, and also their dependence on the initial quantum number of the $I_2$ stretch is larger than the vDW binding energy. The middle panels show the reverse case where intermediate discrete states may give rise to IVR processes; the panel on the left describes the dependence of IVR on the initial preparation (see the text). Finally, the panels at the bottom describe IVR and VP in larger clusters where two types of modes (reactive, $I_2$ and nonreactive, $Q$) are available.

This paper is organized as follows: In Sec. II we give a very brief description of the experimental methodology; for more details see (I) and (II). In Sec. III we give an account of the results and discuss them in relation to the mechanism of fragmentation and evaporation. Comparisons with recent theoretical calculations are also discussed. We conclude this contribution in Sec. IV.

II. EXPERIMENT

A. Apparatus

The picosecond laser system and the molecular beam apparatus used in these experiments were described in (I) and (II). Here the experiments were performed by selectively exciting the cluster under consideration from the ground state $X(v'' = 0)$ to the desired $B(v')$ state by tuning the pump laser pulse. Similarly, the probe was tuned to the transition of interest and laser-induced fluorescence was detected as a function of the delay between the pump and probe pulses. The pump pulse energy was $\sim 5 \mu J$, and that of the probe pulse, after frequency doubling, was $\sim 0.2 \mu J$. Both pulses had approximately Gaussian profiles with a bandwidth (FWHM) of $\sim 3$ cm$^{-1}$. The cross-correlation measured between both laser pulses (the probe pulse was not frequency doubled) was fitted to a Gaussian function with a width (FWHM) of $40 \pm 3$ ps over the entire frequency range used in these studies.

Selective excitation of a given cluster was possible because of the relatively large spectral shift between the $B(v')$ $\leftrightarrow X(v'' = 0)$ transition of $I_2Ne_m$ and $I_2Ne_{m-1}$. The shift is larger than the bandwidth of our laser pulses; for $n < 7$, the shift, for $I_2Ne_m$ is approximately $n \times 6.5$ cm$^{-1}$ ($v' = 20$).$^{4,12}$

The $I_2Ne_m$ clusters were formed by seeding a free jet expansion of Ne (99.996% purity, Spectra Gases) with iodine (99.999% purity, Aldrich). The backing pressure was typically about 75 psig and $X/D$ was $\sim 75$ ($X$ is the distance downstream from the nozzle). For the pulsed solenoid valve used here, the diameter was $150 \mu m$ and the repetition rate was 100 Hz.

B. Data analysis

In order to obtain the rates of the different steps involved, care had to be taken in fitting the data to a single or double exponential rise. The fitting routine we used$^{13}$ was
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FIG. 2. An experimental transient of $I_2Ne_2 (v'_2 = 21, v'_4 = 19)$ together with fits to a single exponential rise convoluted with a 40 ps system response (A) and a double exponential rise convoluted with a 40 ps system response (B). The robustness of these fits are discussed in the text.

based on a nonlinear-least-squares-fit Marquardt algorithm. The fitting parameters contained the inverse rate constant(s), the zero of time ($T_0$), the baseline height, the signal intensity, and the FWHM of the cross correlation ($\Delta$). Depending on the individual transients, we also took into account the possible existence of long time signal sloping at the asymptote. The parameter $\Delta$ was fixed at the measured value and the other parameters were obtained from the fits. As these observed slopes (due to slight misalignment of the pump and probe overlap over very long distances, i.e., very long times) varied randomly within groups of scans of the same $v'_2$, they were not believed to reveal any additional dynamical features.

For $I_2Ne_2$, the fits to single exponential rise functions led to consistent rates within each group of scans, but as shown in Fig. 2, the results fitted the actual transients poorly (see discussion in Sec. III). Forcing the fits to single exponentials showed only little changes with parameters. For example, varying $\Delta$ from 27 to 53 ps, the inverse rate constant $\tau$ was fitted between 98 and 104 ps and $T_0$ shifted within 2.5 ps for these $\Delta$ variations. However, the residuals showed systematic deviations of the transient from a single
exponential behavior: $T_0$ was shifted to rather high values relative to the origin of the experimental transient (more than 25 ps) and the single exponential rate values lacked a physically sound interpretation [see Fig. 2(A) and Sec. III].

Fits to double exponential rise functions, however, reproduced the measured transients well. The double exponential fits, which showed good signal-to-noise ratios and essentially no pronounced slopes at long times, yielded two different rate constants whose values could be interpreted in line with what is known about the $^{12}\text{Ne}$ ($n = 1$) results and the theoretical predictions. When the transients exhibited poor signal-to-noise ratio and/or considerable slopes, the deduced rates approached each other in value. As expected, the uniqueness of the fits was eroded. There are four reasons which support the exclusion of fits exhibiting almost identical rate values for a two step reaction:

(i) The statistical correlation between both inverse rate constants was very high compared to those fits that showed different inverse rate constants;

(ii) the calculated deviations of the fitted inverse rate constants were very large (>100%) compared to those fits with different rates;

(iii) the fitted VP time for the second step deviates strongly from the corresponding VP time measured for $^{12}\text{Ne}$ and reported in Paper (I) (see the discussion in Sec. III); and

(iv) theoretical calculations predict that the first step of the consecutive bond breakage is much faster than the second one (see the discussion in Sec. III).

In order to show that the double exponential fits are robust with respect to changes in the fixed parameters (i.e., $\Delta$), we chose two transients and varied $\Delta$ consistently between 27 and 53 ps (note that the measured cross correlation gives $\Delta = 40$ ps). A double exponential fit to the transient shown in Fig. 2(B) ($\nu' = 21$) using $\Delta = 40$ ps gave the two inverse rate constants $\tau_1 = 42$ ps and $\tau_2 = 80$ ps. Varying $\Delta$ led to changes in $T_0$ between $-3 + 4$ ps, i.e., only about 10% of $\Delta$. $\tau_1$ was between 33 ps ($\Delta = 53$ ps) and 49 ps ($\Delta = 27$ ps), a quite acceptable range, particularly when considering that $\tau_1$ is close to the width of the cross correlation. $\tau_2$ was between 75 ps ($\Delta = 27$ ps) and 84 ps ($\Delta = 53$ ps), well within the experimental error bar and within 10% of the above 80 ps value. As can be seen from Fig. 2(B), this transient shows an upward slope, so fixing the slope parameter to a value of zero should give an unreasonable fit. This is indeed the case, as doing so led to $\tau_1 = 15$ ps and $\tau_2 = 115$ ps.

In order to check for any effects of the slope parameter on the fitted inverse rates, we performed another check on a transient showing hardly a noticeable slope. This transient belonged to the $\nu' = 17$ group. Inclusion of the slope parameter and setting $\Delta = 40$ ps led to values of $\tau_1 = 82$ ps and $\tau_2 = 155$ ps. Varying $\Delta$ as above and retaining the slope parameter led to $T_0$ changes between $-2 + 3$ ps, $\tau_1$ between 75 ps ($\Delta = 53$ ps) and 86 ps ($\Delta = 27$ ps), and $\tau_2$ between 160 ps ($\Delta = 27$ ps) and 165 ps ($\Delta = 53$ ps). All these values are well within our experimental error bars and demonstrate the reliability of the accepted double exponential fits.

For the larger clusters ($n = 3$ and $n = 4$) we fitted all of our transients to an effective single exponential rise function as discussed in Sec. III. Even though these results did not fit the actual transients well (the higher $n$ the larger the discrepancy of the simulation), they consistently provided an effective rate and showed a late onset (on the order of 30 ps) of the rise in comparison to the experimental transients. The fitted inverse rate constants were consistent and stable against variations in $\Delta$ as described above.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 3 shows some of the transients we obtained for the $^{12}\text{Ne}_n$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, 4$) clusters. Transients are presented for $^{12}\text{Ne}$ ($\nu' = 17, 19, 21$), $^{12}\text{Ne}_2$ ($\nu' = 19, 21, 23$), $^{12}\text{Ne}_3$ ($\nu' = 22, m = 5$ channel), and $^{12}\text{Ne}_4$ ($\nu' = 21, m = 4$ channel). By visual inspection of these transients, it is observed that the temporal behavior within the same cluster size changes significantly with $\nu'$. The measured rate increases as $\nu'$ increases for all clusters studied. Furthermore, it can also be seen that the effective rates (vide infra) decrease with increasing cluster size for each vibrational level $\nu'$ studied. The latter observation would appear to be in contradiction with the lifetime deduced from linewidth measurements, the authors reported a shortening of the lifetime when going from $^{12}\text{Ne}$ to $^{12}\text{Ne}_2$ (cp. Table IV in Ref. 4).

The above-mentioned contradiction, however, is easily resolved (vide infra) when one considers the fact that rates deduced from linewidth measurements, if homogeneous, only reflect the first step of a complicated multistep process, e.g., the fast component in a biexponential process. Such complications in large clusters have been discussed by Levy and co-workers.

The following conclusions can be drawn from the time-resolved studies:

(1) The overall rates (not only the first step) depend strongly on $\nu'$.

(2) The overall rates slow down with increasing cluster size in $^{12}\text{Ne}_n$.

(3) The dynamics in larger clusters involve multistep processes which cannot be resolved by linewidth measurements.

In what follows, we shall consider the process of direct fragmentation and focus on the $^{12}\text{Ne}_2$ system. We then shall consider this and the larger clusters to address the issue of direct fragmentation and energy redistribution as $n$ increases.
A. Direct fragmentation, redistribution, and evaporation

Sequential bond breaking in a cluster can be first considered by assuming a local mode description of the reaction coordinate. With this model, Kellman derived propensity rules of VP in I₂Neₙ clusters.\textsuperscript{15} If bond breaking occurs mainly sequentially, the VP process is described within the framework of this model simply by neglecting intermode coupling. Kellman separated the Hamiltonian as follows:

\begin{equation}
H = H₀ + V + V_{mc},
\end{equation}

where

\begin{equation}
V = \sum_{i=1}^{n} V(r_i, R_i).
\end{equation}

\(H₀\) is the zero-order local mode Hamiltonian. \(V_{mc}\) gives the correction to the local mode approximation, i.e., the correlation effects due to mode coupling. The coupling \(V\) is between the I₂ stretch mode along the intramolecular coordinate \(r\) and the vdW stretch modes along the “intermolecular” reaction coordinates \(R\).

The zero-order eigenfunctions of \(H₀\) are the bound states \(|v′, l'_0(1), l'_2(2), ..., l'_n(n)\rangle\), where \(v′\) denotes the quantum number of the I₂ stretch mode and \(l'_n(k)\) represents the local vdW vibrational state \(i\) with respect to the \(k\)th Ne atom (it essentially denotes the vdW stretch mode, possibly including bending modes). If correlation is included (e.g., by applying perturbation techniques), the corresponding wave function, according to its leading term, is written as\textsuperscript{15} \(|Ψ[v′, l'_0(1), ..., l'_n(n)]\rangle\).

For a sequential process, a change of one quantum in the I₂ stretch mode at a time will be considered. For the first step, one such quantum has sufficient energy to dissociate one Ne atom, assuming that the binding energy of \(n\) Ne atoms (\(n < 7\), see Ref. \(4\)) is \(n\) times as large as that of one Ne atom. In the local mode picture, the metastable bound state \(|Ψ[v′, l'_0(1), ..., l'_n(n)]\rangle\) can couple to \(n\) zero-order continua:

\[|Ψ[v′ - 1, ε'(1), l'_1(2), ..., l'_n(n)]\rangle,\]

\[|Ψ[v′ - 1, l'_0(1), ε'(2), l'_2(3), ..., l'_n(n)]\rangle, ...\]

\[|Ψ[n′ - 1, l'_0(1), ..., l'_{n-1}(n - 1), ε'(n)]\rangle,\]

where \(ε'\) denotes the kinetic energy of the recoiling fragments, i.e., I₂Neₙ₋₁ + Ne (see Fig. 1).

There is also the possibility of nonreactive energy redistribution to the cluster modes which results in heating. The redistribution can lead to VP or to evaporation of the Ne atoms, (probably) by a statistical energy channeling to the reaction coordinate. In order to avoid dissociation, the energy has to be shared by the different cluster modes, below the dissociation energy. As the coupling operator is assumed to be a one particle operator, correlated bound wave functions have to be considered to allow for excitation of more than one local mode. The coupling for nonreactive energy redistribution is then between the initial...
metastable state and the bound levels. In a golden rule description, the rate for nonreactive energy redistribution from \( I_2 \) to the cluster modes in \( I_2 Ne_n \) can be written as

\[
k'(n|v'_i,{\ell'}) \propto \left| \langle \Psi[v'_i, l'_1(1), \ldots, l'_n(n)] | V \Psi(v'_i - 1, e'(1), l'_2(2), \ldots, l'_n(n)) \rangle \right|^2,\]

where \( \rho \) is the relevant density of states. \( \langle v'_i | v', \ell' \rangle \) denotes the initially excited (final) \( I_2 \) stretch level and \( \{\ell'\} \) describes all vdW vibrations involved.

\[
k_{VP}(n,n-1|v'_i,{\ell'}) \approx \langle \langle \langle \Psi[v'_i, l'_1(1), \ldots, l'_n(n)] | V \Psi(v'_i - 1, e'(1), l'_2(2), \ldots, l'_n(n)) \rangle \rangle \rangle \propto \left| \langle \langle \langle \Psi[v'_i, l'_1(1), \ldots, l'_n(n)] | V \Psi(v'_i - 1, e'(1), l'_2(2), \ldots, l'_n(n)) \rangle \rangle \rangle \right|^2.
\]

Assuming that correlation effects are negligible (i.e., a pure local mode picture), Eq. (8) reduces to

\[
k_{VP}(n,n-1|v'_i,{\ell'}) \approx \left| \langle \langle \langle \Psi[v'_i, l'_1(1)] | V(r,R_1) | v'_i - 1, e'(1) \rangle \rangle \rangle \right|^2 + \cdots + \left| \langle \langle \langle \Psi[v'_i, l'_n(n)] | V(r,R_n) | v'_i - 1, e'(n) \rangle \rangle \rangle \right|^2.
\]

In writing Eq. (7), we have assumed that IVR is not restricted, i.e., dissipative, and that coherence effects are absent. This has been shown not to be the case even for large molecules, but perhaps is justified here, if we assume that the low-energy modes of the clusters form a quasicontinuum (see Fig. 1). This “kinetic limit description” of IVR has been discussed elsewhere. The rate for the direct one-quantum VP, \( I_2 Ne_n \rightarrow I_2 Ne_{n-1} \), from the \( v'_i \) state can now be expressed as follows:

\[
K(n|v'_i,\ell') = k_{VP}(n,n-1|v'_i,\ell') + k'(n|v'_i,\ell').
\]

Although some approximations have been invoked in obtaining Eq. (10), it leads to a simple concept concerning the first step of VP: If no nonreactive energy redistribution is present, the VP time for the first step will roughly scale as \( 1/n \) that of the corresponding VP process in \( I_2 Ne \). Thus by comparing the rate of the first step of VP in \( I_2 Ne_n \) with \( n \) times that of the corresponding VP rate in \( I_2 Ne \), one should obtain an estimate of the importance of the nonreactive channel. In the quasiclassical trajectory calculations of Delgado-Barrio and co-workers, discussed below, the difference between \( K \) and \( k' \) is attributed to IVR, the energy redistribution that describes \( K' \). It should be noted that in nonreactive energy dissipation, it is possible to have energy redistribution maintaining the total energy of the \( I_2 X_n \) systems constant (IVR) or lowering the \( I_2 \) energy with the solvent acquiring kinetic energy (vibrational relaxation (VR), in this case equivalent to VP).

The above discussion considered the two initial pathways: direct coupling to the reaction coordinates or dissociation continua (or to modes that possess a component along the reaction coordinates) and coupling to modes that are not part of the reaction coordinates or dissociation continua. The latter energy redistribution is IVR, and if IVR is involved, the energy transferred to these nonreactive modes can still be channelled into the reaction coordinates and we have IVR, possibly preceding “statistical evaporation.” Also, IVR can precede another VP fragmentation as discussed by Semmes et al. (see also Fig. 1). Finally, we notice that in Eq. (8), if all \( n \) atoms are simultaneously fragmented by VP, the \( I_2 \) vibrational energy must be changed by at least \( n \) quanta at once, a highly improbable process, certainly for harmonic and even anharmonic systems. That is why in these VP systems, unlike chemical bond breakage, the propensity is toward a sequential mechanism.

In order to obtain the dynamics of VP for the first step let us now consider the first member of this cluster family, \( I_2 Ne_2 \).

\[\begin{align*}
\text{B. The } I_2 Ne_2 \text{ cluster} & \\
\text{In this case, the scheme for direct VP and energy redistribution can be written as} & \\
I_2^* Ne_2(v'_1) & \rightarrow I_2^* Ne(v'_1 - 1) \rightarrow I_2^* (v'_1 - 2) \text{ (m = 2 channel)} \\
& \downarrow k' \\
I_2^* Ne_2^*(v'_1 - 1) & \rightarrow I_2^* Ne(v'_1 - 2) \rightarrow I_2^* (v'_1 - 3) \text{ (m = 3 channel)} \\
& \downarrow \langle k \rangle \\
\text{Evaporation} & \\
\end{align*}\]

Here the redistribution leads to a “hot” cluster and IVR precedes VP for the \( m = 3 \) channel. The number of \( m \) channels in this scheme should be sufficient as the yield of higher channels is negligible. Note that the fact that we detect \( I_3 \) in \( m = 3 \) for \( I_2 Ne_2 \) indicates that direct VP [Eq. (12a)], which involves the expected two quanta change for two neon atoms, must not be the only channel; \( k' \) is also significant, as discussed below.

According to Eq. (12a), the transient buildup of \( I_2 \) from \( I_2 Ne_2 \) should exhibit a biexponential behavior, and for the \( m = 2 \) channel this buildup is simply given by
TABLE I. The rates and time constants for the $I_2Ne_2$ system. $I_2Ne_2(v'_f) \rightarrow I_2(v_f' = v'_f - 2) + 2Ne; m=2.\textsuperscript{a}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$v'_f$</th>
<th>$\tau_1/2$ (ps)\textsuperscript{b}</th>
<th>$\tau_1$ (ps)</th>
<th>$\tau_2$ (ps)</th>
<th>$k_1$ ($10^5$s\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>$k_2$ ($10^5$s\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>84 ± 8</td>
<td>165 ± 12</td>
<td>12 ± 2</td>
<td>6 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>65 ± 5</td>
<td>139 ± 9</td>
<td>15 ± 2</td>
<td>7 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>47 ± 4</td>
<td>97 ± 4</td>
<td>21 ± 2</td>
<td>10 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>41 ± 2</td>
<td>91 ± 4</td>
<td>24 ± 2</td>
<td>11 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>38 ± 5</td>
<td>86 ± 3</td>
<td>26 ± 4</td>
<td>12 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>23 ± 3</td>
<td>76 ± 5</td>
<td>43 ± 6</td>
<td>13 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>19 ± 3</td>
<td>44 ± 5</td>
<td>53 ± 9</td>
<td>23 ± 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}The specific values reported for $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ are the averages measured for a number of transients; the reported errors are one standard deviation.

\textsuperscript{b}These are half the vibrational predissociation lifetimes for $I_2Ne(v'_f)$, reported in Paper (I); see the text for further explanation.

$\text{Signal(t)} \propto \left[1 - \frac{1}{k_2^VP - k_1} \left[ k_2^VP \exp(-k_1t) - k_1 \exp(-k_2^VPt) \right] \right] \times \exp(-k_2^VPt), \quad (13)$

where $K_1 = k_2^VP + k'$. If the simple relation in Eq. (10) holds with $k' = 0$, then $K_1 = k_2^VP = 2k_0^VP(1)$, where $k_0^VP(1)$ refers to the corresponding VP time of $I_2Ne$ reported in (I). To obtain the rate constants of both steps, it is important to achieve experimental data with a high signal-to-noise ratio (see Sec. II).

Figure 2 shows a representative transient ($v'_f = 21$) for the $m=2$ channel of $I_2Ne_2$, fitted to a single exponential rise (A) and to a double exponential rise (B). As discussed in Sec. II, a comparison of these fits shows that a one-step mechanism (single exponential) is not appropriate for describing the dynamics of VP in this system. The single exponential fit in Fig. 2(A) gives $\tau = 101$ ps. The double exponential fit is in better accord with the experimental transient and gives $\tau_1 = 42$ ps and $\tau_2 = 80$ ps. Similar comparisons for all of our experimental transients ($v'_f = 17-21$) exhibit good signal-to-noise ratios confirm this observation; the results are shown in Table I. The fits for $v'_f > 21$ did not prove to be very reliable due to the fact that the time constant of the first step is considerably shorter than the measured cross-correlation width (see Sec. II). It is concluded that the mechanism of VP in $I_2Ne_2$ involves at least a two-step process and sequential bond breaking is dominant.

In Table I the results for various $v'_f$ values are shown and in Table II these values are compared with the predictions of the model. The expected rate constant for the first step is $2k_0^VP(1)$ (for $v'_f = v'_f - 1$) and for the second step it is $k_2^VP(1)$ (for $v'_f = v'_f - 2$). The tabulated rate constants of $2k_0^VP(1)$ for $v'_f$ and $k_0^VP(1)$ for $v'_f - 1$ are derived according to the sequential bond breaking mechanism [Eq. (10) for step 1, and VP of $I_2Ne$ from $v'_f - 1$ for step 2], using the values reported in (I) for $I_2Ne (n=1)$.

From Table II, it can be seen that the simple considerations leading to Eq. (10) appear to be in line with these results; however, for $v'_f = 18$, a slight (and for $v'_f = 17$, a significant) slow down of the first step is observed. The fitted rate constants of the second step agree fairly well with the rates measured for $I_2Ne$. (It should be noted that in comparing the time constants of the second step with the values reported in (I), $v'_f$ must be stepped down by one, as predicted from the above analysis). A comparison of these findings is shown in Fig. 4. The agreement, especially for $v'_f = 19-21$, is rather good.

TABLE II. A comparison of the measured rate constants with those predicted by the model described in the text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$v'_f$</th>
<th>$k_1$ ($10^5$s\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>$k_2^I$ ($10^5$s\textsuperscript{-1})\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>$k_1$ ($10^5$s\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>$k_2^I$ ($10^5$s\textsuperscript{-1})\textsuperscript{a}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>12 ± 2</td>
<td>16 ± 1</td>
<td>6 ± 1</td>
<td>6 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>15 ± 1</td>
<td>19 ± 1</td>
<td>7 ± 1</td>
<td>8 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>21 ± 2</td>
<td>23 ± 1</td>
<td>10 ± 1</td>
<td>9 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>24 ± 2</td>
<td>26 ± 1</td>
<td>11 ± 1</td>
<td>11 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>26 ± 4</td>
<td>29 ± 1</td>
<td>12 ± 1</td>
<td>13 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>43 ± 6</td>
<td>34 ± 1</td>
<td>13 ± 1</td>
<td>14 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>53 ± 9</td>
<td>37 ± 3</td>
<td>23 ± 3</td>
<td>17 ± 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}If $k_i$ is calculated by Eq. (10) using the results for VP of $I_2Ne$ from (I); $k_i(v_f') = 2k_0^VP(v_f')$. $k_1$ is derived from the results of (I); $k_1(v_f') = k_0^VP(v_f' - 1)$. For details, see the text.
The time constants we obtained for the first step (see Tables I and II) would imply that the m = 3 channel is relatively unimportant. As discussed above, the first step in I₂Ne₂ is about twice as fast as the corresponding VP in I₂Ne, which implies that k' should be negligible. This finding would be in contradiction with our detection of nascent I₂ in the m = 3 channel and the results of Levy and coworkers4 who found that the branching into the channels m = 2 and m = 3 occurs with about equal probability for u' = 21,22. It is not expected that this branching ratio will change by orders of magnitude when going to the other u' levels under study. Thus according to Eq. (16), k' and k₁ should be equal in magnitude and K₁ should have a value about four times as large as the corresponding VP rate constant of 1Ne₂ [see Eqs. (10) and (11)].

The discrepancy can be understood by considering a slight revision of the above scheme (12). The local mode picture restricts the extent of the energy flow from the I₂ stretch coordinate into the reactive vdW coordinates. What must also be considered, however, are the cluster modes, taking into account the fact that the motions of the atoms are correlated. I₂Ne₂ now becomes a "large" system with six modes. These clusters are quite "floppy" with low-frequency, large amplitude modes. In fact, Janda's group24 described Cl₂He₂ as a liquidlike cluster, and using the instantaneous normal mode analysis, Adams and Strat25 obtained a phonon spectrum for Ar₂. Therefore, instead of the two local mode reaction coordinates, R₁ and R₂, for the two I₂–Ne distances, we consider the reactive and nonreactive coordinates with possible IVR to either of them (see Fig. 1).

For I₂X (n = 1) there is only one VP pathway. For I₂X in general, e.g., X = Ar, there is a possibility for IVR preceding VP, depending on the Δν energy release relative to the energy of the vdW bond. In the situation depicted in Fig. 1 for I₂Ar, a coherent pulse can prepare a coherent superposition of states and energy can flow (IVR) first from |0,0⟩, the zero-order state, which carries some oscillator strength, to |ν = 1, l⟩ and/or |ν = 2, l⟩, which in turn undergo VP to the |ν = 3, e⟩ continuum. If, on the other hand, an eigenstate is prepared, these sequential dynamics will not be observed and VP from a mixed state α |ν = 0, 0⟩ + β |ν = 1, l⟩ + γ |ν = 2, l⟩ will be observed. Such a dynamical behavior of coherent energy redistribution has been observed in IVR experiments, even in large molecules17,18 (Incoherent preparation of states can lead to averaging out of these IVR resonance effects17,26). Janda's group27 has shown the importance of this issue of the preparation of state in Cl₂Ar and Gray28 has found (theoretically) such an IVR process in I₂Ar. For the I₂Ne cluster (Fig. 1), the energetics (Δν = −1 gives < 100 cm⁻¹, D₀ ≈ 66 cm⁻¹) do not allow for such a mechanism, in contrast to I₂Ar or Cl₂Ar where there are bound intermediate (ν = k) states [see (II), Figs. 6 and 7 and here, Fig. 1]. For I₂Ar, Δν = −1 gives < 100 cm⁻¹, D₀ ≈ 235 cm⁻¹ (Ref. 11); for Cl₂Ar, Δν = −1 gives < 200 cm⁻¹, D₀ ≈ 178 cm⁻¹ (Ref. 29).

In the case of I₂Ne₂ (or larger clusters) reactive (mixed) modes are not necessarily pure local (l) modes and their energetics may allow for such a behavior. Some can have the required dissociation energy. We will consider IVR in these clusters to be direct, if such modes form intermediate bound or quasibound levels for the initial state to interact with. Thus IVR could precede direct VP and this is now evident in a number of cases, e.g., IVR prior to isomerization30 and IVR prior to VP in stilbene vdW clusters.

Let us now expand on this description and consider I₂Ne₂. The correlated cluster modes (as opposed to local modes) with high-lying energy levels can serve as accepting modes for one quantum of the I₂ stretch from the initial state |ν = 0⟩. Some of these cluster modes will contain the reaction coordinate(s), i.e., be strongly coupled to either or both of the energetically accessible translational continua (loss of one Ne atom). Other modes will not efficiently couple to the reaction coordinates and thus be able to "trap" one quantum of the I₂ stretch and possibly undergo further coupling to other cluster modes. In a simplified picture, in analogy to an ABA potential hypersurface, one may think of a reactive mode as containing an antisymmetric stretch motion of the Ne atoms with respect to the center of the I₂ bond, which is connected to the continuum by "overstretching" to one side. A nonreactive mode may, for example, contain the corresponding symmetric stretch motion of the two Ne atoms and be able to trap one quantum of the I₂ stretch (resonance). A (symmetric) cluster mode involving both rare gas atoms can also explain a nonvanishing probability for multiple continua access (dissociation of two Ne atoms at one in a two quantum process) and formation of some Ne₂ as calculated in Ref. 21.

In this total mode picture, the first step of the I₂Ne₂ cluster dynamics will involve IVR into either reactive modes (kₙr) or nonreactive modes (kₙnr)

\[
\begin{align*}
I₂Ne₂(u') & \rightarrow \begin{array}{c} \text{kₙr} \\ \text{kₙnr} \end{array} \\
\text{I₂Ne₂}(u'_{r-1, r}) & \rightarrow \text{I₂Ne₂}(u'_{r-1, nr})
\end{align*}
\]  

(The asterisk on I₂ used previously has been dropped for simplicity). This would then give the branching into the reactive (r) and nonreactive (nr) channels. If the reactive mode is excited to an energetically high lying level, dissociation into the continuum could occur extremely fast (k₃) (which is actually excited in I₂Ne into the B state (1)). We note that the system is no longer one-dimensional in R and a highly excited and "bound" reactive mode can "see" a translational continuum, the second dimension. Again, the situation is reminiscent of ABA systems on electronic (here, vibrational) potential hypersurfaces with a trajec-
FIG. 5. Two $^{12}\text{Ne}_2$ ($v_f = 21$) transients showing the $m$ dependence of VP.

The $m = 3$ channel in $^{12}\text{Ne}_2$ should show a multiple-exponential behavior and be effectively slower than the $m = 2$ channel. The overall rate will depend on $v_f'$ through $k_{VP}$ as discussed for the reactive channel. The branching will be determined by $k''/k_{VP}$, and according to the above discussion both rate constants will be about equal. This is plausible as both describe similar processes, vibrational/rotational energy redistribution.

An example of our results for the $m = 3$ channel is displayed in Fig. 5 which shows a pair of transients for $v_f' = 21$; the top one corresponds to the $m = 2$ channel of $^{12}\text{Ne}_2$, whereas the bottom one is for $m = 3$. The results indicate that the kinetics for both channels are very different. The $m = 3$ channel shows a significantly slower overall rate than the $m = 2$ channel (for $m = 2$, $r'' = 103 \pm 4$ ps, while for $m = 3$, $r'' = 145 \pm 11$ ps; the transients were “fitted” to single exponential rise functions). Hence, it can be concluded that the higher $m$ quantum channels of VP in $^{12}\text{Ne}_2$ clusters are slower with respect to the lower $m$ quantum channels, consistent with the above model of reactive and nonreactive division of the cluster modes. Further femtosecond studies are planned in order to map out the early time behavior of this system and test the details of this picture, particularly the importance of coherence and the separation of the intermediates.

Calculations of the vibrational predissociation of $^{12}\text{Ne}_2$ have been performed by two groups, Schatz, Buch, Ratner, and Gerber (SBRG)\textsuperscript{31} and Garcia-Vela, Villarreal, and Delgado-Barrio (GVD).\textsuperscript{21} Both groups performed their calculations for the $v_f' = 28$ level. The calculations of SBRG were restricted to $^{12}\text{Ne}_2$ in a collinear configuration. These authors did quasiclassical trajectory (QCT) calculations as well as classical time-dependent self-consistent field (TDSCF) calculations which agreed fairly well with each other. SBRG obtained the lifetimes from a simple two-step VP process. For each step, a single exponential decay was assumed.

The QCT calculations yielded a lifetime of 5 ps for the first step and 13 ps for the second step. The fact that the first step was found to be more than twice as fast as the second step (cp. the simple estimate for a two-step VP in Sec. III A) was interpreted in terms of an acceleration of the first step, mediated by $I_2$ recoiling from the second Ne atom and hitting the first Ne atom hard, such that it leaves the cluster faster than if no second Ne atom was present. SBRG also calculated the action losses during VP whose values divided by $\hbar$ can be related to the number of $I_2$ stretch quanta lost. For the first step, SBRG found action losses of $2.29\hbar$ corresponding to nascent $^{12}\text{Ne}(v_f' = 2)$. For the second step, they observed non-transient fragments $I_2\text{Ne}$ losing $0.98\hbar$ [corresponding to the product $I_2(v_f' = 3)$] which have the above quoted lifetime of 13 ps and transient fragments $I_2\text{Ne}$ which dissipate immediately.
with an action loss of 0.002 \hbar [corresponding to the product \( I_2(v' = 2) \)]. Thus according to SBRG, the \( m=2 \) channel should be almost single exponential and correspond to a double continuum process where both Ne atoms are lost more or less simultaneously.

The \( m=3 \) channel should then show double-exponential kinetics corresponding to sequential bond breakage. We also observe a slow down of the first step with respect to the second, which, however, can easily be explained even by the simple considerations discussed in Sec. III A (the simple two-step process). The first step involves twice the rate of \( I_2 \)Ne prepared in \( v'_1 \) and the second rate is the one for VP of \( I_2 \)Ne prepared in \( v'_2 = 1 \). As shown in (I), VP of \( I_2 \)Ne increases with increasing \( v'_2 \), thus the first step must be faster than the second step. An impulsive picture using a collinear geometry is not necessary to explain these results, especially since the structure of \( I_2 \)Ne is most likely nonlinear as discussed in Ref. 4. \( I_2 \)He is known to be nonlinear, sec Ref. 32 and (II). As the kinetics we observe are at least double exponential, a simultaneous bond breakage as inferred from the calculations of SBRG does not seem to play a major role for the \( m=2 \) channel.

GVD,\(^{21}\) on the other hand, performed QCT calculations on the first VP step of \( I_2 \)Ne \((v'_1 = 28)\) in a nonlinear configuration confined to the following motions: \( I_2 \) stretch, Ne-center-of-mass \( I_2 \) stretch, and van der Waals bend along a plane perpendicular to the \( I_2 \) moiety. GVD calculated a VP time of 7.36 ps for the first step, approximately 1.5 times longer than the result obtained by SBRG. In addition, this lifetime is 2.85 ps larger than expected by Eq. (10). Thus GVD observe a slow down. They interpret this slow down in terms of IVR processes occurring in larger clusters due to the presence of accepting cluster modes. This interpretation is consistent with our model discussed above, however, the slow down they observe is quite large compared to our experimental findings (we observe a significant slow down only for \( v'_1 = 17,18 \)). GVD did not report any action losses during VP for their calculations; a distinction between the \( m=2 \) and the \( m=3 \) channel does not seem to have been made in obtaining the lifetimes. Thus the calculated slow down could be exaggerated by the fact that both channels are somehow mixed in these calculations, and the \( m=3 \) channel leads to longer lifetimes. GVD also found some probability for double continuum transitions (loss of two Ne atoms at once) and formation of Ne2 which is in line with our cluster mode discussion above.

C. The \( I_2 \)Ne\(_n\) \((n=3,4)\) clusters

In order to compare the dynamics of the various clusters \( I_2 \)Ne\(_n\), we have introduced an effective overall rate of VP as, thus far, we have only probed the nascent \( I_2 \) fragment in the dominant channel and the number of possible pathways increase with \( n \). The simplest way of defining such an effective rate is by fitting all measured transients to single exponential rise functions, which leads to an effective overall rate constant \( k_{\text{eff}} \). Such a fit cannot, of course, describe any subtleties of the detailed kinetics, but should at least provide a rate determining step to the transition from the initially excited metastable cluster state \( v'_1 \) to the product state \( u'_1 \) of nascent \( I_2 \). The \( k_{\text{eff}} \) values along with the corresponding times \( \tau_{\text{eff}} \) are listed in Table III. Values which are calculated from Eq. (10) and then fitted to a single exponential rise \((k_{\text{eff}}, \tau_{\text{eff}})\) are also given. These values refer to a simple \( n \)-step sequential bond breakage and the necessary data for VP of \( I_2 \)Ne are taken from (I). Figure 6 shows the experimental rate constants \( k_{\text{eff}} \) vs \( v'_1 \) for the different clusters. For \( I_2 \)Ne\(_2\), both the \( m=2 \) and the \( m=3 \) channels are shown. Included for comparison are the results for \( I_2 \)Ne from Paper (I). The calculated values \( k_{\text{eff}} \) are also plotted in this figure.

In Table III(a), the results for \( I_2 \)Ne are shown for comparison, and in Table III(b), the data for \( I_2 \)Ne\(_2\) \((m=2 \) channel\) are presented. As discussed in Sec. III B, the calculated and observed values agree well and do not show evidence for a significant slow down of the rates, except for \( v'_1 = 17,18 \).

Table III(c) shows the corresponding results for \( I_2 \)Ne\(_3\) \((m=3 \) channel\). All rates measured for this channel are significantly smaller than the calculated ones. From the table and Fig. 6, it can be seen that a simple two-step mechanism is not appropriate in describing the \( m=3 \) channel dynamics. The slow down of the measured rates with respect to those calculated is evident, and can be understood using the concepts discussed above. As with \( I_2 \)Ne\(_2\), the slow down becomes more significant with lower \( v'_1 \).

In Table III(d), the results for \( I_2 \)Ne\(_3\) \((m=4 \) channel\) and for \( I_2 \)Ne\(_4\) \((m=5 \) channel\) are shown. The trend observed in \( I_2 \)Ne\(_2\) is continued for the higher clusters; the slow down of the measured rates with respect to those calculated implies that IVR steps precede the actual bond breakage and overall VP becomes relatively slow (Fig. 6). Increasing the cluster size provides an increasing density of bath states, making IVR processes increasingly efficient, but the time spent for enough energy to get into the reactive vdW coordinates becomes longer, as would be predicted by statistical theories when \( \rho \) increases. In addition, higher VP channels are observed\(^4\) with increasing cluster size; more and more energy is dissipated into the internal cluster modes. Furthermore, as the number of bath states of the intermediate fragments becomes larger with increasing size of the parent cluster, additional IVR processes within these fragments lead to an efficient slow down of the overall VP rate.

QCT calculations for the higher clusters \( I_2 \)Ne\(_n\) \((n \) up to \( 9)\) have also been performed for the first step of VP \((v'_1 = 28)\) by GVD.\(^{21}\) \(^{21}\) Comparing with the simple \( n \)-step process [cp. Eq. (10)], the authors found decreasing rates with increasing cluster size which they interpreted in terms of increasing IVR due to the increasing number of storage modes. These results are in line with our observations for the overall rates of the higher clusters. It should be mentioned, however, that GVD did not distinguish between the different channels of VP; hence, their lifetime results should be viewed as channel averaged.

Since IVR increases with \( n \), the larger clusters may be described by a statistical \( k(E) \) for the evaporation of Ne.
TABLE III. The effective rate and time constants measured for a number of \( \text{I}_2\text{Ne}_n \) systems: \( \text{I}_2\text{Ne}_n(v'_i) \rightarrow \text{I}_2(v'_j) + n\text{Ne} \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( v'_i )</th>
<th>( \tau_{\text{eff}} ) (ps)</th>
<th>( \tau_{\text{eff}} ) (ps)</th>
<th>( k_{\text{eff}} (10^9 \text{ s}^{-1}) )</th>
<th>( k_{\text{eff}} (10^9 \text{ s}^{-1}) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) ( \text{I}_2\text{Ne}, m=1^b )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>216±16, 216±16</td>
<td>4.6±0.4, 4.6±0.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>196±12, 196±12</td>
<td>5.1±0.5, 5.1±0.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>182±10, 182±10</td>
<td>5.5±0.6, 5.5±0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>160±15, 160±15</td>
<td>6.3±0.6, 6.3±0.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>126±12, 126±12</td>
<td>7.9±0.8, 7.9±0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>107±9, 107±9</td>
<td>9.3±0.9, 9.3±0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>87±4, 87±4</td>
<td>11.5±0.9, 11.5±0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>78±4, 78±4</td>
<td>12.8±1.0, 12.8±1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>69±6, 69±6</td>
<td>14.3±0.7, 14.5±0.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>58±3, 58±3</td>
<td>17.2±0.9, 17.2±0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>53±3, 53±3</td>
<td>18.9±1.0, 18.9±1.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) ( \text{I}_2\text{Ne}_2, m=2 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>209±19</td>
<td>4.8±0.5, 5.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>177±10</td>
<td>5.8±0.4, 6.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>124±6</td>
<td>8.1±0.4, 8.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>112±4</td>
<td>8.9±0.4, 9.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>103±4</td>
<td>9.7±0.4, 10.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>81±10</td>
<td>12.3±1.6, 12.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>51±3</td>
<td>19.6±1.2, 14.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) ( \text{I}_2\text{Ne}_3, m=3 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>230±30</td>
<td>4.3±0.6, 8.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>162±13</td>
<td>6.2±0.5, 9.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>145±11</td>
<td>6.9±0.6, 10.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>114±7</td>
<td>8.8±0.6, 12.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) ( \text{I}_2\text{Ne}_4, m=4 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>173±18</td>
<td>5.8±0.6, 7.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>156±9</td>
<td>6.4±0.4, 9.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>130±7</td>
<td>7.7±0.5, 10.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>118±7</td>
<td>8.5±0.5, 11.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) ( \text{I}_2\text{Ne}_5, m=5 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>5.7, 9.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a*The values of \( \tau_{\text{eff}} \) reported in this table were obtained by fitting the measured transients to a single exponential function convoluted with a 40 ps Gaussian system response. The value reported for \( \tau_{\text{eff}} \) is the average of a number of transients; the reported errors are one standard deviation. The values of \( \tau_{\text{eff}} \) are obtained from fitting a calculated transient for a \( n \)-step sequential dissociation [based on the results for \( \text{I}_2\text{Ne} \) and using Eq. (10)] to a single exponential rise.

*b*For \( \text{I}_2\text{Ne} \) we have a true single exponential rise, see (1), and \( \tau_{\text{eff}} = \tau_{\text{eff}} = \tau \).

One can use standard RKKM theory, phase space theory (PST) or the Engelking model to obtain \( k(E) \). The problem, however, is that even in the simplest RRK expression

\[
k'(E) = n \nu v_{\text{eff}}^{s-1},
\]

one needs to know the effective number of modes \( s_\nu \) for the different clusters. The energy threshold \( E_0' \) and the frequency factor \( \nu \) are easier to obtain. The transition state should be close to the product (exit channel), and PST may be more adequate. It is interesting to note that for \( n=1 \) [taken to be the vdW stretch frequency, 11 corresponding to 25 cm\(^{-1}\), \( E_0'=D_0'=66 \text{ cm}^{-1}, E'=91 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) (\( v_i'=20, \Delta \nu=-1 \)], we obtain \( k(E)=(18 \text{ ps})^{-1} \) when the I\(_2\) stretch is included (\( s_\nu=3 \)), and \( k(E)=(5 \text{ ps})^{-1} \) when the I\(_2\) stretch is excluded (\( s_\nu=2 \)).

For any serious estimate of \( k(E) \), the density of states must be considered carefully in such "floppy" systems. Amar has addressed these points in his calculation of evaporation rates in Br\(_2\)(CO\(_2\))\(_n\). Since the rates are measured and the rare gas/I\(_2\) potential parameters have been deduced, the neutral clusters \( \text{I}_2\text{Ne}_n \) should be ideal systems to compare theory with experiments in hope of quantifying the nature of the modes involved in IVR, VP, and evaporation.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper, we presented real-time studies of the picosecond fragmentation and evaporation in the \( \text{I}_2\text{Ne}_n \) \( (n=2-4) \) clusters. We have examined the pathways for direct vibrational predissociation (VP) and for the onset of energy redistribution (IVR) as the cluster size increases. The elementary VP process and its dependence on the quantum number of the I\(_2\) (\( \nu_{\text{eff}} \)) stretch has been studied. The process can be understood as a direct coupling, employing the repulsive force between I\(_2\) and Ne, between the I\(_2\) stretch (in \( v_i' \)) and the continuum of states of (\( v'_j-1 \)).

As the cluster size increases, we observe the onset of IVR with the overall rate of I\(_2\) appearance decreasing with \( n \). IVR accesses reactive and nonreactive modes and this
leads to direct fragmentation or statistical-type evaporation. Similar to previous IVR studies in real time, the question of the preparation of state becomes important in establishing IVR as a precursor to VP. The evidence comes from careful examination of I$_2$Ne$_2$ steps whose transient results show a double exponential buildup of nascent iodine. With this simple model, we can understand the rates, their dependence on $v_i'$, and the product state distributions.

As $n$ increases further, the situation is more complex, and instead of analyzing all steps, we introduce an effective rate which slows down (experimentally) as $n$ increases and $v_i$ decreases. Although IVR increases with $n$ (and this leads to more channels \( m' \) in nascent iodine with $m > n$), the statistical “average” rate decreases as energy takes more time to find the reaction coordinate (larger effective density of states).

These halogen–rare gas systems are quite interesting and there remain a number of theoretical and experimental problems to be addressed. Experiments on a shorter time scale are in progress. Also of interest are comparisons with results for the same system, but at high rare gas densities.\(^{34,35}\) Little is known about the theoretical predictions of the structure and dynamics for large $n$, and hopefully with studies of the elementary nuclear motion coordinate.\(^{36}\)

Note added in proof: Studies of the elementary nuclear motion on the femtosecond time scale has been reported recently for iodine in large argon clusters.\(^{37}\)
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