1. INTRODUCTION

Photopolymerization has a wide range of applications, including lithography and optical data storage. Low material cost, large index changes, and high sensitivity are attractive aspects of these materials. Recently, various spatial nonlinear optical effects, such as self-trapping, have drawn attention to these chemicals. In Ref. 1, self-trapping is used to create high-aspect-ratio structures. A holographic characterization technique is developed in accordance with a general photopolymerization model. The technique allows detailed quantification of the chemical parameters, including their variation from the Trommsdorff effect. The holographic procedure is especially suited for studying the diffusion of the chemical reactants. © 1999 Optical Society of America [S0740-3224(99)01408-3]
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Here the induction period owing to oxygen scavenging is assumed not to affect the polymerization reaction. This requires proper isolation of the monomer sample from the air.

3. CHARACTERIZATION TECHNIQUE AND LINEARIZED REGIME

The characterization technique involves illumination of the monomer with a constant strong beam. A much weaker beam is turned on sometime after the induction period, for a short time (compared with the polymerization dynamics) during which a weak grating is written. The above scenario can be modeled by a set of ordinary differential equations that can be derived from the starting equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
 r_{0s}(m_0) &= \sqrt{\frac{S_0(0)\phi_0}{2k_1(m_0)}}, \\
 \frac{dm_0}{dt} &= -k_p(m_0)r_{0s}(m_0)m_0, \\
 \Delta n_0 &= \beta(m_0(0) - m_0), \\
 \frac{dr_1}{dt} &= -4k_1(m_0)r_{0s}(m_0)r_1 + \Phi I_1 s_0(0), \\
 \frac{dm_1}{dt} &= -\left[D_m(m_0)k_2 + k_p(m_0)r_{0s}(m_0)\right]m_1 - k_p(m_0)r_1m_0, \\
 \frac{d\Delta n_1}{dt} &= \beta \left[k_p(m_0)r_{0s}(m_0) + \frac{d[k_p(m_0)r_{0s}(m_0)]}{dm_0}\right] \times m_1 + \beta k_p(m_0)r_1m_0,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( I = I_0 + I_1(t)\exp(i\kappa x) + \text{c.c.} \) is the incident intensity distribution. The spatially varying component, \( I_1(t) \), is turned on for a short time, \( \tau_{on} \). In the low-modulation regime \( I_0 \gg I_1 \), the first few Fourier amplitudes of the chemical concentrations are sufficient to describe the system:

\[
\begin{align*}
 r &= r_{0s} + r_1\exp(i\kappa x) + \text{c.c.}, \\
 m &= m_0 + m_1\exp(i\kappa x) + \text{c.c.},
\end{align*}
\]

where \( r_1 \) and \( m_1 \) are the complex amplitude of the radical and monomer concentration components with spatial frequency \( \kappa \). Similarly we define the complex-valued amplitudes \( \Delta n_0 \) and \( \Delta n_1 \).

Zero-order amplitudes follow simple dynamics. Very early on in the dynamics the average radical concentration achieves quasi steady state, \( r_{0s}(m_0) \). The presence of the radicals facilitates the depletion of the monomers with the polymerization rate \( 1/[\tau_p(m_0)] = k_p(m_0)r_{0s}(m_0) \) [Fig. 1(a)]. During polymerization the polymerization rate changes adiabatically with the slowly changing monomer concentration owing to the Trommsdorff effect; as a result the monomer-depletion dynamics deviate from a simple exponential decay. Simulations in Figs. 1 and 2 are carried out with the chemical parameters listed in Table 1.

Dynamics of the first-order amplitudes strongly depend on the monomer diffusion. For the purposes of characterization it is convenient to treat the monomer-diffusion-dominated regime separately. Figures 1, 2(a), and 2(b) show the typical dynamics observed in the absence of monomer diffusion. In an experiment this regime can be achieved by choice of a high-enough average intensity, \( I_0 \). The condition \( 1/[\tau_p(m_0)] \gg D_m(m_0)k_2 \) is a sufficient condition.

When the second beam is turned on, the spatially varying radical concentration, \( r_1 \), achieves a quasi-steady-state value \( r_1(m_0) = -I_1 s_0(0)\phi/[4k_1(m_0)r_{0s}(m_0)] \) quickly [Fig. 2(a)], with time constant \( \tau_r = 1/[4k_1(m_0)r_{0s}(m_0)] \). Note that \( \tau_r \ll \tau_p \); thus \( m_0 \) can be taken to be a constant during the radical dynamics. Buildup of a spatially varying radical concentration drives the formation of \( m_1 \) and \( \Delta n_1 \). The grating amplitude \( \Delta n_1 \) in phase with \( l_1 \) grows and achieves roughly an amplitude of \( \Delta n_{1m} = \beta k_p(m_0)r_{1m}(m_0)\tau_{on} \), as shown in Fig. 2(b). When the second beam is turned off, \( r_1 \) quickly decays with time constant \( \tau_r \). As a result, the growth of \( \Delta n_1 \) slows down, and the grating amplitude peaks shortly after \( \tau_{on} \), at time \( \tau_r' = \tau_{on}(Int_{p}(m_0)\tau_{on}) \) [Fig. 2(c)]. After the decay of \( r_1 \), a gradual decay of the grating to zero takes place [Fig. 2(c)]. Setting \( r_1 \) and \( r_0 \) to zero in Eqs. (2a)–(2f), we can show that the rate of change of the grating amplitude, \( \Delta n_1 \), is proportional to \( m_0/\tau_p(m_0) \), which is the rate of change of \( m_0 \). From this observation...
sured decay of the grating amplitude, construct the average monomer dynamics from the measures of (a) radical concentration, (b) monomer concentration, and (c) refractive-index change during and immediately after the grating writing stage. Grating writing is stopped at time $t_\text{on}$, and the grating amplitude peaks after a time of $t_\text{r}$.

**Table 1. Values and Functional Forms of Kinetic Parameters Used in Numerical Simulations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$l_0$ + $l_\text{e} \exp(i \omega t) + c.c.$</td>
<td>$0.5 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{25} \exp \left( -\frac{2\pi x}{0.32 \times 10^{-4}} \right) \right) + c.c.$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi s_\text{e}(0)$</td>
<td>$9.0 \times 10^{-9}$ cm$^2$ M$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_0(0)$</td>
<td>$1.0$ M$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_p(m_0)$</td>
<td>$3.0 \times 10^9 m_0^{1/4}$ l M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_i(m_0)$</td>
<td>$10^8 m_0^{1/2}$ l M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-10}$ M$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and knowing the initial monomer concentration, one can construct the average monomer dynamics from the measured decay of the grating amplitude, $\Delta n_1$.

$$m_0(t) = \frac{m_0(0) \int_{t_0}^{t} \Delta n_1(t') \, dt'}{\int_{0}^{t} \Delta n_1(t') \, dt'}, \quad (4)$$

where the zero time corresponds to the beginning of the polymerization reaction (end of the induction period). In a similar manner one can construct $\tau_p(m_0)$:

$$\frac{1}{\tau_p[m_0(t)]} = \frac{\Delta n_1(t)}{\int_{t_0}^{t} \Delta n_1(t') \, dt'}. \quad (5)$$

Notice that the above two constructions do not require knowledge of the multiplicative constants, such as $\beta$ or the thickness of hologram. This aspect is useful in realizing the above constructions from the experimental data. Figure 3 shows the construction carried out for the simulations shown in Figs. 1 and 2. The agreement between the constructed (solid curves) and the actual functions is good for the majority of the dynamics. The disagreement in Fig. 3(a) at long times arises from finite measurement time. The time, $\tau_1$, at which the difference starts becoming substantial [Fig. 3(a)] can be estimated from $\Delta n_1(\tau_1) = 10 \Delta n_1(\tau_m)$, where $\tau_m$ is total measurement time. In an experiment the measurement time is limited by the noise floor, $\Delta n_\text{noise}$, and $\Delta n_1(\tau_1) = \Delta n_\text{noise}$. In Fig. 3(b), finite measurement time manifests itself as an overestimation of the polymerization rate for small monomer concentrations. From the constructed $m_0$ one can determine the average monomer concentration near which the construction begins to fail: $m_0 = m_\text{c}(\tau_1)$ [Fig. 3(b)]. Early on in the polymerization dynamics the constructed functions also deviate from the actual functions owing to the finite time it takes for the grating buildup. For times larger than $\tau_1 = 3 \tau_r$, we find that the above construction works well. We expect the results to be accurate for $m_0 < m_0 < m_\text{cu}$, as depicted in Fig. 3(b).

The chemical constants $k_p(m_0)$ and $k_i(m_0)$ are determined by measurement of $\tau_p(m_0)$ and $\tau_r(m_0)$ at particular points of the polymerization reaction. The polymerization rate, $1/\tau_p(m_0)$, can be determined by a single measurement when the grating is written right after the induction period. On the other hand, $\tau_r(m_0)$ is deter-
mined by multiple measurements when the grating is written at different points of the polymerization reaction.

One interesting signature of this regime is scaling of both radical and monomer dynamics of $\sqrt{I_0}$. The scaling can be realized in Eqs. (2) by a coordinate transformation as $t \rightarrow t'(I_0'/I_0)^{1/2}$, where $I_0'$ is an arbitrary reference intensity level. The scaling for $m_0$ dynamics follows from the proportionality of the polymerization rate $1/\tau_0(m_0)$ to $r_0$. The scaling for $m_1$ only works in the regime where the monomer diffusion can be neglected. The proportionality of the polymerization rate and of the quasi-steady-state concentration $r_{15}$ to $\sqrt{I_0}$ facilitates the scaling in all stages of the characterization scheme. We utilized the scaling properties of the dynamics to construct $m_0$ dependency of the monomer-diffusion constant, $D_m(m_0)$.

Figure 4 shows the dynamics exhibited in the diffusion-dominated regime. The diffusion constant is taken to be $D_m(m_0) = 6 \times 10^{-11} m_0$, and all the other parameters are the same as in Table 1. The main difference in the presence of diffusion is the formation of a fixed grating at the end of the process. For the point of characterization it is convenient to measure the monomer-diffusion constant when the diffusion rate satisfies $\tau_1 < 1/D_m m^{-2} \ll \tau_0$. Starting from the high-intensity case in which the polymerization dynamics is fast compared with the monomer diffusion, one can achieve this condition by gradually decreasing the average intensity. In this regime, the polymerization rate again achieves roughly a value of $\Delta n_{1m} \approx \beta k_0 m_0 r_{15} m_0 \tau_0$ while the second beam is on. However, after the beam is turned off, spatially varying monomer concentration $m_1$ decays with the monomer-diffusion rate instead of the polymerization rate to zero. As a consequence, the grating amplitude decays at the diffusion rate to a final fixed value of $\Delta n_{1f} \approx \Delta n_{1m}(1 - 1/D_m m_0 m^{-2} \tau_1)$. Experimentally, a 1:10 ratio between the diffusion rate and the polymerization rate would correspond to a measurable 10% decay in the grating amplitude. In this regime, dependency of the diffusion constant on the local monomer concentration can be determined by carrying out multiple measurements when the grating is written at different points of the polymerization reaction (as in determining $\tau_1'(m_0)$).

Finally, a simple technique for determining an order of magnitude value for the monomer-diffusion constant is described. In chemicals where the monomer diffusion is small, as is the case for chemicals examined in this paper, the above technique may require long times for grating-decay measurements. A simpler way to look for monomer diffusion is to turn off both the strong and the weak beams after writing a weak grating. In this case, radicals quickly disappear, and the only way for the spatially varying monomer concentration to decay is through diffusion. The chemical is kept in the dark for some time $\tau_{dark}$, after which the strong beam is turned on. If the monomer diffusion time is less than or comparable to the dark time, $D_m m^{-2} \approx 1/\tau_{dark}$, then the grating does not disappear completely upon exposure to a single strong beam. Thus by observing the presence of a fixed grating after the uniform illumination, one can acquire an order of magnitude estimation of the monomer diffusion.

4. EXPERIMENTS

In this section we describe photopolymerization experiments carried out with a multifunctional monomer, TMPTA from UCB Radcure. Irgacure 369 from Ciba-Geigy is used as the photoinitiator. A monomer–photoinitiator solution is prepared with a 0.1 wt.% photoinitiator concentration. From this an initial initiator molar concentration of $s_0(0) = 3 \times 10^{-3}$ M/l can be calculated. Irgacure 369 exhibits bleaching upon photodeactivation, and thus a transmission experiment (at 325 nm from He–Cd laser) is carried out in order to determine the decay rate of the photoinitiators delta $I_0$ the. The monomer mixture is placed between two glass plates (no spacer is used). A monomer film thickness of typically 30–20 $\mu$m is obtained. Approximately a 5% change in transmission is observed upon photopolymerization, from which the absorption within the polymer film is concluded to be small. The transmission experiment gives a value of $\Phi = 0.003$ cm$^2$/mJ, which implies a much slower (an order of magnitude) decay rate for the photoinitiators than the typical polymerization rate.

The holography setup used in the experiments is shown in Fig. 5. Two coherent, expanded (collimate diameter 5 mm), extraordinarily polarized writing beams (at 325 nm) are incident on the polymer film. The intensity ratio of the two writing beams is chosen to be 25:1, which guarantees low-intensity modulation and a constant average intensity. The angle between the two writing beams is $40^\circ$, which corresponds to a grating period of 0.32 $\mu$m. A computer-controlled shutter is placed in the optical path of the weaker writing beam. The grating is monitored by a phase-matched beam at 632 nm, which does not initiate any photopolymerization. The diffracted red beam is measured with a photodiode, and the dynamics are recorded with a computer. Upon photopolymerization the phase-matching angle for the red beam is confirmed to remain the same. For small diffraction efficiencies, which is the case for these characterization experiments, no
significant distortion of the reading beams owing to non-uniform photopolymerization is observed.

In Fig. 6, typical dynamics exhibited by the square root of the diffraction efficiency is shown for an average intensity of 1 mW/cm². After a period of no polymerization owing to oxygen scavenging, a sharp threshold is observed. The fast increase in diffraction efficiency as described in the previous section is caused by fast radical dynamics. After a buildup of a small grating \( \tau_{\text{on}} \), the weak beam is turned off at \( t = t_{\text{on}} \). Subsequently the growth of the grating amplitude slows down and peaks shortly after at time \( \tau \) [Fig. 6(a)]. Then a slow decay at the polymerization rate follows, as shown in Fig. 6(b). The decay of the grating does not follow a simple exponential function. The increasing viscosity of the material as the polymerization proceeds (Trommsdorff effect) results in the slowing down of the polymerization rate.

Since the diffraction efficiency is erased completely in Fig. 6(b), we conclude that the diffusion of monomers is not observable in this regime. We can carry out the construction of \( m_0 \) and \( \tau_0(m_0) \) as described in Eqs. (4) and (5). The results of the construction is shown in Fig. 7. From the considerations of the noise floor and the finite grating buildup time, \( \tau_0[m_0(0)] \), we expect the construction to be accurate for \( 0.4 \leq m_0 \leq 0.8 \). From Fig. 7(a) an initial value for \( \tau_0[m_0(0)] \) is determined to be 200 ms. From these two measurements we calculate the initial values of \( k_p[m_0(0)] \) and \( k_t[m_0(0)] \) to be \( 2 \times 10^5 \) and \( 10^6 \) l/(M s), respectively.

Next, the above measurement is carried out for various intensities ranging from 1 to \( 10^{-2} \) mW/cm². In Fig. 8 the square roots of the diffraction efficiencies for seven different intensities are shown in logarithmic scale. The linear transformation \( t \rightarrow t'(I_0/\tau_0)^{1/2} \) is carried out, where the reference intensity \( I_0' \) is equal to 1 mW/cm². Clearly for the most part of the dynamics, scaling works extremely well. This is a strong proof of the bimolecular termination reaction that is expected to take place in these chemicals. It also agrees with the assumption that the kinetic constants are only functions of the local monomer concentration. In Fig. 8(a) the dynamics right after the writing is shown. The time it takes for the diffraction efficiency to peak signifies the radical dynamics \( \tau_{\text{on}} \), and, as shown, the scaling works for the radical dynamics as well. Note that in these figures the quantity of interest is the slope of the logarithmic decay curves. Parameters that affect the final results as multiplicative constants (such as film thickness, proportionality constants between index change, and monomer concentration \( \beta \)) are expected to result in vertical shifts of the curves. In Fig. 8, such shifts are accounted for by alignment of the decay curves at long times.

Lastly, the technique to determine an order of magnitude for the monomer diffusion is carried out in two other difunctional acrylate monomers, HDODA (UCB Radcure)

![Fig. 5. Setup for the holography experiments: PD, photodiode; ICF, chromatic filter; BS, beam splitter; LP Filt., low-pass filter; D/A, digital-to-analog; A/D, analog-to-digital.](image)

![Fig. 6. Dynamics exhibited by the grating amplitude in the experiments: (a) during and immediately after the grating writing \((t \leq \tau_{\text{on}})\); (b) gradual decay of the grating under constant illumination. The decay does not follow an exponential law.](image)

![Fig. 7. Constructions of (a) average monomer concentration dynamics and (b) average monomer concentration dependence of the polymerization rate. Constructions are carried out with the experimental data shown in Fig. 6. The results are expected to be accurate for \( 0.4 \leq m_0 \leq 0.8 \).](image)
and ethylene diacrylate with 0.1 wt.% Irgacure 369. The dark time in each experiment was 30 min. As shown in Fig. 9 for each of the multifunctional monomers, the grating very quickly decays to zero when the erasure beam is turned on, signifying that the monomer diffusion is small. Considering the amount of time that was waited in the dark, one can calculate an upper limit for diffusion constants in these materials, \( D_m \leq 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^2/\text{s} \).

This is a surprising result considering that typical monomer-diffusion constants are of the order of \( 10^{-7} \) to \( 10^{-9} \) \( \text{cm}^2/\text{s} \). This may be due to the multifunctional nature of the monomers that were used. Multifunctionality of the monomer results in strong crosslinking, which forms a polymer network early on in the polymerization dynamics, and is expected to result in considerable decrease in monomer diffusion. Also, since each molecule has in principle two or three functional groups, once one of the monomers (a functional group) reacts with a propagating radical, the remaining unreacted monomers on the molecule become part of a larger molecule (although they have not yet reacted). From this argument, by the time 10% conversion is completed, 30–40% of the remaining monomers may already be part of a larger molecule and may not be able to diffuse.

5. CONCLUSIONS AND SUMMARY

The holographic characterization technique is simulated with a general photopolymerization model. The model accounts for the Trommsdorff effect through dependence of the kinetic parameters on the local degree of polymerization. Under such an assumption a proper scaling of the dynamics is predicted and shown to exist in the experiments. The sole dependence on the local monomer concentration makes it possible to retrieve the kinetic parameters as functions of the monomer concentration. The construction carried from experiments exhibits a dramatic slowing down of the dynamics during the polymerization reaction. The presence of monomer diffusion strongly influences the final state of the test grating. A suitable average intensity range is suggested for proper quantification of the diffusion constant. The scaling of the dynamics enables one to determine the influence of the Trommsdorff effect on the diffusion constant. Finally a very simple technique is suggested for acquiring an order-of-magnitude estimate of the diffusion constant. Using this technique, we are able to determine a minuscule upper limit for the diffusion constant in the multifunctional acrylates.
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