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ABSTRACT

The most powerful blazars are the flat spectrum radio quasars whose emission is dominated by a Compton component peaking between a few hundred keV and a few hundred MeV. We selected two bright blazars, PKS 2149–306 at redshift z=2.345 and S5 0836+710 at z=2.172, in order to observe them in the hard X-ray band with the NuSTAR satellite. In this band the Compton component is rapidly rising almost up to the peak of the emission. Simultaneous soft-X-rays and UV-optical observations were performed with the Swift satellite, while near-infrared (NIR) data were obtained with the REM telescope. To study their variability, we repeated these observations for both sources on a timescale of a few months. No variability was detected during a single observation, both sources were found to be variable in the X-ray band, up to 50%, between the two observations, with larger variability at higher energies. No variability was detected in the optical/NIR band. These data together with Fermi–LAT, WISE and other literature data are then used to study the overall spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of these blazars. Although the jet non-thermal emission dominates the SED, it leaves the UV band hidden, allowing us to detect the thermal emission of the disc and to estimate the mass of the black hole. The non-thermal emission is well reproduced by a one-zone leptonic model. The non-thermal radiative processes are synchrotron, self-Compton and external Compton using seed photons from both the broad-line region (BLR) and the torus. We find that our data are better reproduced if we assume that the location of the dissipation region of the jet, $R_{\text{diss}}$, is in-between the torus, (at $R_{\text{t}}$), and the BLR ($R_{\text{t}} > R_{\text{diss}} > R_{\text{BLR}}$). The observed variability is explained by changing a minimum number of model parameters by a very small amount.

Subject headings: galaxies: active – quasars: general – X-rays: general — blazar: individual: PKS 2149–306, S5 0836+710

1. INTRODUCTION

Blazars are a sub-class of AGN whose emission is dominated by a relativistic jet pointing toward us. Their emission extends from the radio band to the γ-ray and TeV band, and is dominated by non-thermal processes. Their spectral energy distribution (SED) is dominated by two humps. The first, spanning the infrared to the X-ray band, is usually attributed to synchrotron emission, while the second, located from the X-ray to the γ-ray band, is attributed to the inverse Compton scattering process. This second component can be produced by energetic electrons scattering only their own synchrotron photons (Synchrotron Self-Compton, SSC for short), or also scattering radiation produced externally to the jet (i.e. External Compton, EC for short). The latter process is likely to be important especially in those sources for which the second component is largely dominating the SED. This usually occurs for flat spectrum radio quasars (FSRQ), which are among the most luminous persistent sources of the Universe. As such, they can be detected in almost all bands also at high redshifts, well above $z > 5$ (Romani et al. 2004, Sbarrato et al. 2012).

The most powerful FSRQ are therefore very bright hard X-ray and γ-ray sources, with the hard X-ray band (20–150 keV) more effective in selecting bright FSRQ at $z > 4$ (Ajello et al. 2009, Ghisellini et al. 2010a), while the γ-ray band (0.1–10 GeV) is very effective up to $z = 2$–3 (Ghisellini et al. 2011, Shaw et al. 2013, Giommi et al. 2013). Hard X-ray and γ-ray surveys recently obtained with the Burst Alert Telescope (BAT, onboard the Swift satellite, Gehrels et al. 2004) and the Large Area Telescope (LAT, on board Fermi, Atwood et al. 2009) instruments (Ajello et al. 2009, Cusumano et al. 2010, Nolan et al. 2012, Ajello et al. 2014) provide us the opportunity to see if the jet properties evolve with cosmic time (Volonteri et al. 2011, Ghisellini et al. 2011, 2013). Moreover, the non-thermal...
SED of these powerful sources leaves the UV band unhidden (the synchrotron hump peaks at much smaller frequencies, and the high-energy hump at much larger energies; Sbarrato et al. 2013), and at these frequencies the thermal accretion flux can emerge and be detected. This allows us to study the relation between the jet power and the accretion luminosity.

It is clear from the above that the hard X-ray and γ-ray bands are crucial to characterize the properties of these sources. In particular, the hard X-ray band (10–100 keV) is where the Compton component is rapidly rising, but it is also the band in which up to now we did not have very sensitive instruments (e.g. compared to those for softer X-rays). Thanks to the advent of the Nuclear Spectroscopic Telescope Array (NuSTAR) satellite (Harrison et al. 2013), it is now possible to obtain detailed X-ray spectra in the 3–79 keV band for moderately bright X-ray sources. Therefore we selected two bright blazars, PKS 2149–306 at redshift z = 2.345 and S5 0836+710 at z = 2.172, and organised simultaneous observing campaign with the NuSTAR and Swift satellites plus on-ground optical/NIR observations for PKS 2149–306. Both are detected in the γ-ray band by Fermi–LAT.

Both sources are high-redshift, bright blazars with a SED dominated by the Compton component. PKS 2149–306 was detected up to 100 keV with the PDS detector on board the BeppoSAX satellite with a very flat hard X-ray spectrum (energy spectral energy index α ∼ 0.4, Elvis et al. 2000). A flat hard X-ray spectrum up to 100 keV was later also detected with INTEGRAL (Bianchin et al. 2009) and Swift/BAT (Samburuna et al. 2007). This source is detected in the γ-ray band by the Fermi–LAT detector (Nolan et al. 2012). S5 0836+710 has been detected up to 100 keV with the PDS detector on board the BeppoSAX satellite with a very flat hard X-ray spectrum (energy index α ∼ 0.4, Tavecchio et al. 2000). The source has also been detected both by INTEGRAL (Beckmann et al. 2006) and Swift/BAT (Samburuna et al. 2007). In particular, the BAT detection shows a steeper spectrum, α ∼ 0.8, and a flux a factor of ∼ 5 weaker than the one recorded with BeppoSAX (Samburuna et al. 2007). This blazar is a bright and variable γ-ray source already detected by the Energetic Gamma-ray Experiment Telescope (EGRET, onboard the Compton Gamma Ray Observatory, Hartman et al. 1999), with flare activity recently seen with the Fermi–LAT detector (Akyuz et al. 2013).

Here we present the results of simultaneous observations obtained in the X-ray band with NuSTAR and with the X-ray Telescope (XRT, Burrows et al. 2005) on board Swift for the two blazars. For both sources we repeated these observations over a time scale of a few months to check for source variability. The X-ray data are complemented with simultaneous optical/UV data taken with the Ultra-Violet Optical Telescope (UVOT, Roming et al. 2005) on board Swift and, for PKS 2149–306, with optical/NIR data from the robotic Rapid Eye Mount (REM) telescope (Zerbi et al. 2004) located in La Silla, Chile. For both sources we also analyze the Fermi–LAT data over a 1 year time scale centered on our NuSTAR and Swift observations. While we were in the process of submitting this work, a paper appeared on astro-ph presenting these data of S5 0836+710 (Paliya 2015). The paper of Paliya is more concentrated on the γ-ray variability, but it also analyses and discusses the SED of this source. We will briefly compare our results with the one of that paper in the discussion.

In this work, we adopt a flat cosmology with $H_0 = 70$ km s$^{-1}$ Mpc$^{-1}$ and $\Omega_M = 0.3$.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND DATA ANALYSIS

The NuSTAR, Swift (and for PKS 2149–306 also REM) observations are integrated with data from the Wide–field Infrared Survey Explorer (WISE satellite (Wright et al. 2010) and with archival data from NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED) and the ASI Science Data Center (ASDC).

2.1. NuSTAR observations

The NuSTAR satellite carries two co-aligned hard X-ray telescopes, each consisting of a mirror module focusing high-energy X-ray photons in the band $3 − 79$ keV onto two independent shielded focal plane modules (FPMs), referred to here as FPMA and FPMB (Harrison et al. 2013).

The NuSTAR satellite observed PKS 2149–306 on 2013 December 17 (obsID 60001099002) and on 2014 April 18 (obsID 60001099004). The total net exposure times were 38.5 ks and 44.1 ks, respectively. S5 0836+710 was observed by NuSTAR on 2013 December 15 (obsID 60002045002) and on 2014 January 18 (obsID 60002045004), for total net exposure times of 29.7 ks and 36.4 ks, respectively.

The FPMA and FPMB data sets were first processed with the NuSTARDAS software package (v.1.4.1) jointly developed by the ASI Science Data Center (ASDC, Italy) and the California Institute of Technology (Caltech, USA). Event files were calibrated and cleaned with standard filtering criteria with the napipeline task using the version 20140414 of the NuSTAR CALDB.

For all four observations the FPMA and FPMB spectra of the sources were extracted from the cleaned event files using a circle of 20 pixel ($\sim 49''$) radius, while the background was extracted from two distinct nearby circular regions of 50 pixel radius. The ancillary response files were generated with the nukarf task, applying corrections for the point spread function (PSF) losses, exposure maps and vignetting. All spectra were binned to ensure a minimum of 20 counts per bin. Both sources are quite bright and well detected by NuSTAR up to 79 keV.

2.2. Swift observations

Swift (Gehrels et al. 2004) observed PKS 2149–306 on 2013 December 16–17 (obsIDs 00031404013 and 00031404014) and on 2014 April 18 (obsID 00031404014) and S5 0836+710 was observed on 2013 December 16 (obsIDs 00080399001) and on 2014 January 18 (obsID 00080399002).

2.2.1. XRT observations

The XRT on board Swift is sensitive to the $0.3 − 10$ keV X-ray energy band (Burrows et al. 2004). All XRT observations were carried out using the most sensitive Photon Counting (PC) readout mode. The XRT data sets were first processed with the XRTDAS software package (v.3.0.0) developed at the ASI Science Data Center (ASDC) and distributed by HEASARC within the HEASoft package (v. 6.16). Event files were calibrated and cleaned with standard filtering criteria with the xrtpipeline task using the calibration files available in the version 20140709 of the Swift–XRT CALDB.

The two individual XRT event files for the 2013 December observations of PKS 2149–306 were merged together using the XSELECT package for a total net exposure time of 8.0 ks. The net exposure time of the 2014 April observation was 6.4 ks.

Data retrieved from the WISE All–Sky Source Catalog: \[ \text{http://irsa.ipac.caltech.edu/} \]
For the two observations of S5 0836+710 the total net exposure times were 1.9 ks and 4.7 ks, respectively. For all observations the energy spectra were extracted from the summed cleaned event files. Events for the spectral analysis were selected within a circle of 20 pixel (≈ 47″) radius, which encloses about 90% of the PSF, centered on the source position. The background was extracted from a nearby circular region of 50 pixel radius. The ancillary response files were generated with the xrtmkarf task, applying corrections for the PSF losses and CCD defects using the cumulative exposure map. The source spectra were binned to ensure a minimum of 20 counts per bin.

2.2.2. UVOT observations

UVOT observations were performed with all six optical and UV lenticular filters (namely W2, M2, W1, u, b, v) (Roming et al. 2005). We performed aperture photometry for all filters in all observations using the standard UVOT software distributed within the HEAsoft package (version 6.15.1) and the calibration included in the latest release of the CALDB. Counts were extracted from aperture of 5 arcsec radius for all filters and converted to fluxes using the standard zero points (Poole et al. 2008). The fluxes were then de-reddened using the appropriate values of E(B − V) taken from Schlegel et al. (1998) and Schlafly et al. (2011) with A_ν/E(B − V) ratios calculated for UVOT filters using the mean Galactic interstellar extinction curve from Fitzpatrick (1999). These fluxes were then used to build the SEDs of both sources (see Fig. 5). No variability was detected within single exposures in any filter. The processing results were carefully verified checking for possible contamination from nearby objects within the source apertures and from objects falling within background apertures. Both sources are well detected in all filters. The values of the magnitudes in the Vega system are given in Table 1.

2.3. REM observations

We observed PKS 2149–306 with the Rapid Eye Mount Telescope (REM, Zerbi et al. 2004), a robotic telescope located at La Silla Observatory (Chile). It performed photometric observations in the optical gri and near-infrared (NIR) JHK filters in the nights of 2013 December 15 and 19. REM has a Ritchey–Chretien configuration with a 60 cm f/2.2 primary and an overall f/8 focal ratio in a fast-moving alt-azimuth mount that provides two stable Nasmyth focal stations. The two cameras, REMIR (Conconi et al. 2004) for the NIR and ROS2 (Molinari et al. 2014) for the optical, both have the same field of view of 10 × 10′. The telescope is able to operate in a fully autonomous way (Covino et al. 2004) and data are reduced and analyzed following standard procedures. Aperture photometry was derived by means of custom tools and calibration was based on objects in the field of view reported in the APASS and 2MASS catalogues in the optical and NIR, respectively.

Table 2 reports the observed gri (AB) and JHK (Vega) photometry measured on the two nights, not corrected for the Galactic extinction of E(B − V) = 0.02 from Schlegel et al. (1998).

2.4. Fermi–LAT observations

Both sources are also bright γ-ray emitters and are regularly detected by Fermi–LAT. We analyzed the data collected for one year between 2013 June 01 – 2014 June 01 (MJD 56444 – 56809) following the standard procedure using the Fermi–LAT analysis software ScienceTools v9r3p4l with the P7REP_SOURCE_V15 instrument response functions. Events in the energy range 100 MeV–300 GeV were extracted within a 15° acceptance cone of the Region of Interest (ROI) centered on the location of each source. Gamma-ray fluxes and spectra were determined by an unbinned maximum likelihood fit with gtlike. The background model included all known γ-ray sources within the ROI from the 2nd Fermi–LAT catalog (Nolan et al. 2012). Additionally, the model included the isotropic and Galactic diffuse emission components. Flux normalization for the diffuse and background sources were left free in the fitting procedure.

The Fermi–LAT light-curves above 100 MeV binned over a time scale of seven days are shown in Fig. 1 for both sources. We mark with vertical dotted lines the dates of the NuSTAR observations. For bins with test statistic TS < 4, 95% confidence level upper limits are plotted (for the meaning of TS see Mattox et al. 1996). We fitted the 1–year Fermi–LAT spectrum for each source with a power–law model, finding a best-fit photon spectral index of Γ = 2.89 ± 0.09 (TS=210) and Γ = 2.69 ± 0.10 (TS=284) for PKS 2149–306 and S5 0836+710, respectively (errors are at 68% confidence interval for one parameter of interest). The corresponding average 1–year fluxes are F(> 100 MeV)= (8.4 ± 0.9) × 10^{-8} ph cm^{-2} s^{-1} and F(> 100 MeV)= (5.6 ± 0.8) × 10^{-8} ph cm^{-2} s^{-1}.

In the case of S5 0836+710 we have enough statistics to extract a 1–month (2014 January 1 – 2014 February 1) source spectrum centered around the second NuSTAR observation. The fitting result yielded Γ = 2.7±0.2 (TS=46) with an average flux above 100 MeV of (8.7±1.9) × 10^{-8} ph cm^{-2} s^{-1}. From Fig. 1 it is also apparent that a couple of months later the source became much more active in the Fermi–LAT band.

2.5. X-ray spectral analysis

For all observations of both sources simultaneous fits of the XRT and NuSTAR spectra were performed using the XSPEC package. In both cases a broken power-law model with an absorption hydrogen-equivalent column density fixed to the Galactic value was adopted (N_H = 1.6 × 10^{20} cm^{-2} and N_H = 2.8 × 10^{20} cm^{-2} for PKS 2149–306 and S5 0836+710, respectively, Kalberla et al. 2005). To allow for the cross-calibration uncertainties between the three telescopes (two NuSTAR and one Swift), a multiplicative constant factor has been included, kept equal to 1 for the FPMB spectra and free to vary for the FPMB and XRT spectra. In the case of FPMB the difference is in the range of 2–4%, while for XRT it is somewhat larger but always less than 10%. This is consistent with the values usually found for other sources. We find that this model provides a good description of the observed spectra in the 0.3–79 keV energy band. The results of the spectral fits are in Table 3 while Figure 2 shows an example of the XRT and NuSTAR spectra together with the best-fit model for the observation of PKS 2149–306 on 2014 April 18. Besides using a broken power-law model we tried a simpler power-law model, but this did not provide a
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$v$</th>
<th>$b$</th>
<th>$u$</th>
<th>W1</th>
<th>$M_2$</th>
<th>W2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17 Dec 2013</td>
<td>17.83 ± 0.11</td>
<td>17.86 ± 0.06</td>
<td>17.14 ± 0.06</td>
<td>18.22 ± 0.10</td>
<td>20.46 ± 0.07</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Apr 2014</td>
<td>17.61 ± 0.05</td>
<td>17.75 ± 0.02</td>
<td>17.14 ± 0.02</td>
<td>18.06 ± 0.04</td>
<td>20.00 ± 0.11</td>
<td>20.12 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>...</th>
<th>17.45 ± 0.03</th>
<th>...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 Dec 2013</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Jan 2014</td>
<td>16.95 ± 0.03</td>
<td>17.12 ± 0.02</td>
<td>16.22 ± 0.02</td>
<td>16.92 ± 0.03</td>
<td>17.53 ± 0.04</td>
<td>17.91 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1**
UVOT Vega $v, b, u, W1, M2, W2$ observed magnitudes of PKS 2149–306 and S5 0836+710 (magnitudes not corrected for Galactic extinction).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$g$</th>
<th>$r$</th>
<th>$i$</th>
<th>$J$</th>
<th>$H$</th>
<th>$K_s$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15 Dec 2013</td>
<td>17.82 ± 0.05</td>
<td>17.58 ± 0.06</td>
<td>17.40 ± 0.06</td>
<td>16.56 ± 0.06</td>
<td>16.00 ± 0.08</td>
<td>15.10 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Dec 2013</td>
<td>17.75 ± 0.05</td>
<td>17.36 ± 0.06</td>
<td>17.38 ± 0.06</td>
<td>16.58 ± 0.07</td>
<td>15.89 ± 0.07</td>
<td>15.22 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2**
REM AB (gri) and VEGA (JHK) observed magnitudes of PKS 2149–306 (magnitudes not corrected for Galactic extinction).

**Fig. 1.**— Gamma-ray light curves (> 100 MeV) of S5 0836+710 (top panel) and PKS 2149–306 (bottom panel) as observed by Fermi/LAT. The vertical dotted lines mark the dates of the NuSTAR observations. Upper limits correspond to $TS < 4$ (see text).
PKS2149–306

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$\Gamma_1$</th>
<th>$\Gamma_2$</th>
<th>break</th>
<th>$F_{2-10\text{keV}}$</th>
<th>$F_{10-40\text{keV}}$</th>
<th>$\chi^2$/dof</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013 Dec 17</td>
<td>$0.94^{+0.01}_{-0.08}$</td>
<td>$1.35^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$</td>
<td>2.61$^{+0.06}_{-0.46}$</td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>$5.0 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>1095.8 / 1108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014 Apr 18</td>
<td>$0.97^{+0.07}_{-0.09}$</td>
<td>$1.46^{+0.02}_{-0.02}$</td>
<td>3.25$^{+1.36}_{-0.63}$</td>
<td>$1.9 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>$3.7 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>936.2 / 952</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S5 0836+710

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>$\Gamma_1$</th>
<th>$\Gamma_2$</th>
<th>break</th>
<th>$F_{2-10\text{keV}}$</th>
<th>$F_{10-40\text{keV}}$</th>
<th>$\chi^2$/dof</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013 Dec 15</td>
<td>$1.03^{+0.20}_{-0.32}$</td>
<td>$1.66^{+0.04}_{-0.02}$</td>
<td>$1.73^{+1.47}_{-0.48}$</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>$2.3 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>642.6 / 611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014 Jan 18</td>
<td>$1.18^{+0.08}_{-0.10}$</td>
<td>$1.66^{+0.02}_{-0.01}$</td>
<td>$2.84^{+1.03}_{-0.62}$</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>$3.6 \times 10^{-11}$</td>
<td>896.4 / 892</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3
Parameters of the X-ray spectral analysis for the simultaneous fit of the NuSTAR and Swift/XRT data. The errors are at 90% level of confidence for one parameter of interest. Fluxes are corrected for the galactic absorption.

![Graph](image-url)

**Fig. 2.**— X-ray spectrum of PKS 2149–306 as observed by Swift/XRT and NuSTAR on 2014 April 18, together with the broken power-law best-fit model. NuSTAR data are filled circles and triangles (black and red, in the electronic version), while Swift/XRT data are empty squares (green in the electronic version).
good fit to the data for either source. In fact, in all cases the reduced $\chi^2$ was larger than 1.1–1.2 with more than 900 d.o.f. The F-test shows that the improvement of these values obtained with the broken power-law model is significant with a probability smaller than $10^{-30}$ in three cases and smaller than $10^{-11}$ in one case. Moreover, when using the power-law model the constant value included to take into account the cross-calibration uncertainties was too large or too low (e.g. 20–30% difference) with respect to the values usually found, another indication that the single power-law model is not correct.

In Table 3 we also provide the fluxes in the two bands 2 – 10 and 10 – 40 keV for the four observations. Both sources have varied between the two observations, spanning a time scale of one month for S5 0836+710 and of four months for PKS 2149–306. No fast variability is observed during the single observations. However, while for S5 0836+710 there is an increase by a factor of $\sim 1.5$ over the full 0.3–79 keV band, with a hint of lower variability below $\sim 1$ keV, for PKS 2149–306 a variability of $\sim 30\%$ is present essentially only above 10 keV. To better show this we plot all XRT and NuSTAR spectra in Figure 5.

3. OVERALL SPECTRAL ENERGY DISTRIBUTION

Fig. 4 shows the X-ray data of both sources, and compares them with archival observations. For S5 0836+710 the two observations correspond to different flux levels, and slightly different spectra. The XRT spectrum smoothly joins the NuSTAR data points in both observations. The two data sets differ mainly around 10 keV, by a factor $\sim 1.6$, with less variations at both ends of the observed X-ray band. On the contrary, for PKS 2149–306 the variability amplitude monotonically increases at higher frequencies, while the two spectra are very similar in the soft X-ray band. The archival data (orange symbols) indicate that both sources (but especially S5 0836+710) can vary in X-rays by about an order of magnitude. Note also the much softer archival spectrum of PKS 2149–306 by XMM (and by INTEGRAL).

Fig. 5 shows the overall SED of the two sources. Both of them show, besides the typical double-peak SED of blazars, a third narrow peak in the IR–UV band, which we identify as the disk torus. In the approaching source, at radius $R_{\text{dis}}$, the black hole mass $M$ is close to the high-energy peak, and thus brighter. The second effect is due to the intrinsic shift of the high-energy peak frequency as the bolometric non-thermal luminosity is increased. This implies that the most powerful jetted sources can be better found in hard X-ray surveys rather than in $\gamma$-ray surveys (GG10). For S5 0836+710 Fermi–LAT detected the source in one-month integration times around the second NuSTAR observation (filled red points with black circles) while PKS 2149–306 is detected using one-year integration times (from June 1st 2013 to June 1st 2014). Both $\gamma$-ray spectra are steep, as usual for powerful FSRQ (see e.g. Nolan et al. 2012). The fact that the synchrotron far–mm–IR spectrum is steep is consistent with the assumption that both the low and high-energy non-thermal peaks of the SED are produced by the same population of electrons.

We applied a one-zone leptonic model, fully described in Ghisellini & Tavecchio (2009), to interpret the SED of the two sources, and to explore the variability that both sources experienced between the two Swift+NuSTAR observations. In brief, the model assumes that the emitting source is a homogeneous sphere located at a distance $R_{\text{dis}}$ from the black hole, in a conical jet of semi-aperture angle $\phi = 0.1\,\text{rad}$. The BLR is assumed to be a spherical shell of radius $R_{\text{BLR}} = 10^{17}L_{145}^{1/2}\text{cm}$ and the size of the IR torus is assumed to be $R_{\text{torus}} = 2 \times 10^{18}L_{145}^{1/2}\text{cm}$ (here $L_{145}$ is the disk luminosity in units of $10^{45}\text{erg}\,\text{s}^{-1}$). The source is assumed to move with a bulk Lorentz factor $\Gamma$ in a direction making an angle $\theta_0$ with the line of sight. The magnetic field $B$ (as measured in the comoving frame) depends upon the distance from the black hole and the bulk Lorentz factor, to yield a constant Poynting flux $P_B \propto \Gamma^2$. The energy distribution of the emitting particles is found through the continuity equation, accounting for continuous injection, radiative cooling, and electron-positron pair production. Electrons (with random Lorentz factors $1 < \gamma < \gamma_{\text{max}}$) are assumed to be injected with a total power $P'_{\text{in}}$ (in the comoving frame) throughout the source with a broken power-law distribution, $\propto \gamma^{-\alpha_1}$ and $\propto \gamma^{-\alpha_2}$ below and above $\gamma_{\text{in}}$, respectively. The radiative processes are synchrotron, self-Compton and external Compton with both photons from the BLR and the torus. We also consider the presence of an X-ray corona, emitting $30\%$ of $L_{\text{dis}}$ with a spectrum $P'_{\gamma} \propto \nu^{-\delta}$.

Due to the compactness of the source, required to account for the fast variability, the synchrotron emission is self-absorbed at radio frequencies, up to hundreds of GHz (observer frame). Therefore these models cannot reproduce the radio data.

Fig. 5 shows the models corresponding to the two states of each source and Table 4 lists the model parameters. It also reports the parameters used in GG10 to fit another data set. To model the two observed states, we changed a minimum number of parameters. Both sources have $R_{\text{torus}} > R_{\text{dis}} > R_{\text{BLR}}$. This choice is preferred (with respect to $R_{\text{dis}}$ within the BLR) because of the small value of the high-energy peak, requiring seed photons of frequency smaller than the hydrogen Ly$\alpha$ photons (that dominate the BLR emission). In this respect, $NaS$-
FIG. 3.— X-ray SED of S5 0836+710 (left panel) and of PKS 2149–306 (right panel) as observed by Swift/XRT and NuSTAR on four different dates (see text). XRT data points are filled-empty circles (green and purple in the electronic version), NuSTAR/FPMA data points are filled-empty squares (red and blue in the electronic version), NuSTAR/FPMB data points are filled-empty triangles (black and magenta in the electronic version).

Table 4


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>θv</th>
<th>R_diss</th>
<th>R</th>
<th>R_BLR</th>
<th>P_j</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>γ_b</th>
<th>γ_max</th>
<th>s_1</th>
<th>s_2</th>
<th>γ_c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0836+710 H</td>
<td>5e9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1950</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>5e3</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0836+710 L</td>
<td>5e9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2100</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>4e3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0836+710 GG10</td>
<td>3e9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2e3</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2149–306 H</td>
<td>3.5e9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1365</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>1212</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>4e3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2149–306 L</td>
<td>3.5e9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1365</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>1212</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4e3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2149–306 GG10</td>
<td>5e9</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>1224</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3e3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5

ACCRETION AND JET POWERS: COL. [1]: SOURCE NAME AND STATE/OBSERVATION; COL. [2]: LOGARITHM OF THE ACCRETION DISK LUMINOSITY (UNITS ARE ERG s−1); COL. [3]: ACCRETION DISK LUMINOSITY IN EDDINGTON UNITS COL. [4]–[7] LOGARITHM OF THE JET POWER IN THE FORM OF RADIATION (P_j), POYNTING FLUX (P_B), BULK MOTION OF ELECTRONS (P_e) AND [7] PROTONS (P_p), (ASSUMING ONE COLD PROTON PER EMITTING ELECTRON). UNITS ARE ERG s−1. THESE VALUES REFER TO ONE JET ONLY.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>log L_d</th>
<th>L_d/L_Edd</th>
<th>log P_j</th>
<th>log P_B</th>
<th>log P_e</th>
<th>log P_p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0836+710 H</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>46.8</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>48.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0836+710 L</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>48.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0836+710 GG10</td>
<td>47.4</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>46.4</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>48.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2149–306 H</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>48.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2149–306 L</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>45.6</td>
<td>48.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2149–306 GG10</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>48.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIG. 4.— X-ray SED of S5 0836+710 (left panel) and PKS 2149–306 (right panel) as observed by Swift/XRT and NuSTAR, compared with previous observations by Swift (green dots), INTEGRAL, XMM and BeppoSAX (grey), and other archival observations (orange). Our XRT and NuSTAR data points for the two observing periods are labelled. The solid lines refer to the model used to explain the overall SED, as shown in Fig. 5.

FIG. 5.— Overall SED of S5 0836+710 (left panel) and of PKS 2149–306 (right panel). The indicated REM, UVOT, XRT and NuSTAR data are simultaneous. For S5 0836+710, the Fermi–LAT γ-ray data (red points encircled by black circles) are time integrated over a month (2014 Jan. 1st–2014 Feb. 1st), centered on the second NuSTAR observation. For PKS 2149–306, the Fermi–LAT data (bow tie) are the result of one-year integration (2013 June 1st–2014 June 1st). The solid lines refer to the one-zone leptonic model discussed in the text. The dashed black line is the contribution of the accretion disk, the IR torus, and the X-ray corona. We also show archival data (empty circles, orange in the electronic version).
The observed variability of S5 0836+710 is ascribed to a small difference in the position of the emitting region, in turn implying a small change in the magnetic field. Furthermore, the injected power changes by 10% and the break energy of the injected electron distribution changes by 20%.

For PKS 2149–306 the variability can be explained by changing the injected power by a factor of 2, and by a 50% change in the break energy of the injected electron distribution.

Comparing these changes with the distribution of the parameters in many Fermi–LAT blazars (as listed e.g. in Ghisellini et al. 2010b), we conclude that the changes required to explain the observed (factor ∼1.5) variability are very small.

4. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

The simultaneous observations of NuSTAR and Swift/XRT revealed that the X-ray spectrum from ∼0.3 to 60 keV of both sources are well described by a broken power-law model. Both indices are very hard, with no requirement of absorption in excess of the Galactic one. This broken power-law behavior is well reproduced by the model as due to the combination of two effects. First, the electron energy distribution, below the cooling energy γ_e, retains the slope of the injected electrons (i.e. γ_e−1), which is very hard (see Table 4). Second, what we observe as low-energy X-ray emission at the frequency ν_x is seen, in the comoving frame, as ultraviolet emission (i.e. ν_x = ν_e(1 + z)/δ), produced by the scattering between low-energy electrons and seed photons with frequencies smaller than ν'_e. In both sources, the main contributions to the seed photons come from the IR torus, the BLR, and the disk. All these components have a corresponding radiation energy density peaking at some frequency, which is seen between the optical and the UV in the comoving frame of the jet. Inverse Compton scattered photons at, for example, ν'_e ∼ 10^{15} Hz can be produced using seed photons of frequencies smaller than 10^{15} Hz, which do not correspond to the full energy density of the seed photons. Scattered photons of higher energies, instead, can use the entire seed photon distribution. In other words, there is a paucity of seed photons at low energies, making the Inverse Compton spectrum harder below a few keV. What is observed in the two blazars studied here can then be regarded as a proof of the “External Compton” process, where the major contribution to the seed photons comes from radiation produced externally to the jet. Furthermore, the spectrum between 0.3 and 60 keV is smooth, indicating the absence of other emitting components.

Both blazars show variability, but not extreme variability. This agrees with a relatively large source size, and a correspondingly relatively large distance from the black hole. The observed light crossing time predicted by our model is t_{\text{var}} \equiv (R/c)(1 + z)/δ, corresponding to ∼13 days for S5 0836+710 and to ∼10 days for PKS 2149–306. This agrees with no variability observed within a single epoch observation, and is consistent with the emission site being between the BLR and the torus (R_{\text{BLR}} < R_{\text{diss}} < R_{\text{torus}}).

We have shown that the observed moderate variability can be produced by a rather small change in the injected power, break energy of the electron distribution and in the location of the emitting region. The largest change is needed for PKS 2149–306, requiring a factor of two change in the injected power.

The NuSTAR data at high energies, coupled with the γ-ray data, allow us to determine where the high-energy hump of the SED peaks. This is at ∼1 MeV (observed, thus ∼3 MeV, rest frame) for both sources. As already mentioned, this helps to determine the location of the emitting region, making us prefer an emitting region located between the BLR and the torus. We stress that this may not none thiecessarily always be the case since the emitting site can change, and sometimes it can be within the BLR. For a given electron distribution, the high-frequency peak would shift to higher values, in this case. The data of S5 0836+710 have been analysed also by Paliya (2015), who fit the data using the same one single zone leptonic emission model. We find consistent results, but our black hole mass is slightly larger, and our emitting region is just outside the BLR, while in Paliya et al. (2015) is inside. We find that while the parameters of Paliya et al. (2015) indeed satisfactorily fit the overall SED and the average NuSTAR spectrum, our fit accounts for the two states of the source in a better way, and in particular the two sets of Swift/XRT+NuSTAR data.

Both blazars have a black hole with mass exceeding 10^9 M_☉ and accretion disks emitting at about one-third of the Eddington rate. The power that the jet expends for producing the radiation we see (P_j in Table 5) is of the same order as L_d (note that the listed values of P are refer to one jet only, and should be doubled). P_j is a lower limit of the true jet power P_{jet}. An estimate of P_{jet} can be derived assuming, following Nemmen et al. (2012), that P_{jet} ∼ 10 P_j. Another estimate can be found assuming that there is one proton for each emitting electron (this is the quantity P_p in Table 5). Either way, we are forced to conclude that the jet power exceeds the luminosity of the accretion disk, thus suggesting that the jet is powered not only by accretion, but also by the rotational energy of a spinning black hole, as found by Ghisellini et al. (2014) for a large sample of blazars.

Finally, given the very hard spectrum detected by NuSTAR, and their high-energy peak at ∼1–10 MeV, one can wonder if powerful blazars can significantly contribute to the X-ray background above its ∼30 keV peak. While a complete study of this issue is still missing, there are preliminary results by Draper & Ballantyne (2009), Giommi (2011) and by Comastri & Gilli (2011), suggesting that blazars can contribute at the 10% level at ∼100 keV, before becoming the dominant contributors to the γ-ray background (Abdo et al., 2010; Ajello et al. 2015).
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