Position-Squared Coupling in a Tunable Photonic Crystal Optomechanical Cavity
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We present the design, fabrication, and characterization of a planar silicon photonic crystal cavity in which large position-squared optomechanical coupling is realized. The device consists of a double-slotted photonic crystal structure in which motion of a central beam mode couples to two high-Q optical modes localized around each slot. Electrostatic tuning of the structure is used to controllably hybridize the optical modes into supermodes that couple in a quadratic fashion to the motion of the beam. From independent measurements of the anticrossing of the optical modes and of the dynamic optical spring effect, a position-squared vacuum coupling rate as large as $\gamma^2/2\pi = 245$ Hz is inferred between the optical supermodes and the fundamental in-plane mechanical resonance of the structure at $\omega_m/2\pi = 8.7$ MHz, which in displacement units corresponds to a coupling coefficient of $\gamma/2\pi = 1$ THz/nm$^2$. For larger supermode splittings, selective excitation of the individual optical supermodes is used to demonstrate optical trapping of the mechanical resonator with measured $\gamma^2/2\pi = 46$ Hz.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In a cavity-optomechanical system the electromagnetic field of a resonant optical cavity or electrical circuit is coupled to the macroscopic motional degrees of freedom of a mechanical structure through radiation pressure [1]. Cavity-optomechanical systems come in a multitude of different sizes and geometries, from cold atomic gases [2] and nanoscale photonic structures [3] to the kilogram- and kilometer-scale interferometers developed for gravitational wave detection [4]. Recent technological advancements in the field have led to the demonstration of optomechanically induced transparency [5,6], backaction cooling of a mechanical mode to its quantum ground state [7–9], and ponderomotive squeezing of the light field [10,11].

The interaction between light and mechanics in a cavity-optomechanical system is termed dispersive when it couples the frequency of the cavity to the position or amplitude of mechanical motion. To lowest order this coupling is linear in mechanical displacement; however, the overall radiation pressure interaction is inherently nonlinear due to the dependence on optical intensity. To date, this nonlinear interaction has been too weak to observe at the quantum level in all systems but the ultralight cold atomic gases [2], and typically a large optical drive is used to parametrically enhance the optomechanical interaction. Qualitatively novel quantum effects are expected when one takes a step beyond the standard linear coupling and exploits higher-order dispersive optomechanical coupling. In particular, “$x^2$ coupling,” where the cavity frequency is coupled to the square of the mechanical displacement, has been proposed as a means for realizing quantum nondemolition (QND) measurements of phonon number [12–14], measurement of phonon shot noise [15], and the cooling and squeezing of mechanical motion [16–18]. In addition to dispersive coupling, an effective $x^2$ coupling via optical homodyne measurement has also been proposed, with the capability of generating and detecting non-Gaussian motional states [19].

The dispersive $x^2$ coupling between optical and mechanical resonator modes in a cavity-optomechanical system is described by the coefficient $g' \equiv 1/2\partial^2 \omega_c / \partial x^2$, where $\omega_c$ is the frequency of the optical resonance of interest and $x$ is the generalized amplitude coordinate of the displacement field of the mechanical resonance. One can show via second-order perturbation theory [20,21] that $x^2$ coupling arises due to linear cross-coupling between the optical mode of interest and other modes of the cavity. In the case of two nearby resonant modes, the magnitude of the $x^2$-coupling coefficient depends on the square of the
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magnitude of the linear cross-coupling between the two modes \((g)\) and inversely on their frequency separation or tunnel coupling rate \((2J, g'=g^2/2J)\). In pioneering work by Thompson et al. [12], a Fabry-Pérot cavity with an optically thin Si₃N₄ membrane positioned in between the two end mirrors was used to realize \(x^2\) coupling via hybridization of the degenerate modes of optical cavities formed on either side of the partially reflecting membrane. More recently, a number of cavity-optomechanical systems displaying \(x^2\) coupling have been explored, including double-microdisk resonators [22], microdisk-cantilever systems [23], microsphere-nanostring systems [24], atomic gases trapped in Fabry-Pérot cavities [2], and paddle nanocavities [21].

Despite significant technical advances made in recent years [21,23,25,26], the use of \(x^2\) coupling for measuring or preparing nonclassical quantum states of a mesoscopic mechanical resonator remains an elusive goal. This is a direct result of the small coupling rate to motion at the quantum level, which for \(x^2\) coupling scales as the square of the zero-point motion amplitude of the mechanical resonator, \(x_{zpff}^2 = \hbar/2ma_m\), where \(m\) is the motional mass of the resonator and \(omega_m\) is the resonant frequency. As described in Ref. [14], one method to greatly enhance the \(x^2\) coupling in a multimode cavity-optomechanical system is to fine-tune the mode splitting \(2J\) to that of the mechanical resonance frequency.

In this work we utilize a quasi-two-dimensional photonic crystal structure to create an optical cavity supporting a pair of high-\(Q\) optical resonances in the 1500-nm-wavelength band exhibiting large optical mechanical coupling. The double-slotted structure is split into two outer slabs and a central nanobeam, all three of which are free to move, and electrostatic actuators are integrated into the outer slabs to allow for both the trimming of the optical modes into resonance and tuning of the tunnel coupling rate \(J\). Because of the form of the underlying photonic band structure, the spectral ordering of the cavity supermodes in this structure may be reversed, enabling arbitrarily small values of \(J\) to be realized. Measurement of the optical resonance anticrossing curve, along with calibration of the linear optomechanical coupling through measurement of the dynamic optical spring effect, yields an estimated \(x^2\)-coupling coefficient as large as \(g'/2\pi = 1\) THz/nm² to the fundamental mechanical resonance of the central beam at \(omega_m/2\pi = 8.7\) MHz. Additional measurements of \(g'\) through the dynamic and static optical spring effects are also presented. In comparison to other systems, the corresponding vacuum \(x^2\)-coupling rate we demonstrate in this work \((g'x_{zpff}^2/2\pi = 245\) Hz) is many orders of magnitude larger than has been obtained in conventional Fabry-Pérot [26] or fiber-gap [25] membrane-in-the-middle (MIM) systems. It is also orders of magnitude larger than demonstrated in the small mode volume microdisk-cantilever [23] and paddle nanocavity [21] devices.

Whereas the double-disk microresonators previously studied by us [22] reach a comparable \(x^2\)-coupling magnitude, the planar photonic crystal structure of this work realizes an order of magnitude larger vacuum coupling rate, with a much simpler mechanical mode spectrum and a tunable tunneling rate \(J\).

II. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

Before we discuss the specific double-slotted photonic crystal cavity-optomechanical system studied in this work, we consider a more generic multimoded system consisting of two optical modes that are dispersively coupled to the same mechanical mode, and in which the dispersion of each mode is linear with the amplitude coordinate \(x\) of the mechanical mode. If we further assume a purely optical coupling between the two optical modes, the Hamiltonian for such a three-mode optomechanical system in the absence of drive and dissipation is given by

\[
\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{H}_{OM} + \hat{H}_J:
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\hat{H}_0 &= \hbar \omega_1 \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 + \hbar \omega_2 \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2 + \hbar \omega_m \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b}, \\
\hat{H}_{OM} &= \hbar (g_1 \hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_1 + g_2 \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_2) \hat{x}, \\
\hat{H}_J &= \hbar J (\hat{a}_1^\dagger \hat{a}_2 + \hat{a}_2^\dagger \hat{a}_1).
\end{align*}
\]

Here, \(\hat{a}_i\) and \(\omega_i\) are the annihilation operator and the bare resonance frequency of the \(i\)th optical resonance, \(\hat{x} = (\hat{b}^\dagger + \hat{b})x_{zpff}\) is the quantized amplitude of motion, \(x_{zpff}\) is the zero-point amplitude of the mechanical resonance, \(\omega_m\) is the bare mechanical resonance frequency, and \(g_i\) is the linear optomechanical coupling constant of the \(i\)th optical mode to the mechanical resonance. Without loss of generality, we take the bare optical resonance frequencies to be equal \((\omega_1 = \omega_2 = \omega_0)\), allowing us to rewrite the Hamiltonian in the normal mode basis, \(\hat{a}_\pm = (\hat{a}_1 \pm \hat{a}_2)/\sqrt{2}\), as

\[
\hat{H} = \hbar \omega_0 (0) \hat{a}_+^\dagger \hat{a}_+ + \hbar \omega_0 (0) \hat{a}_-^\dagger \hat{a}_- + \hbar \omega_m \hat{b}^\dagger \hat{b}
\]

\[
+ \h \left( \frac{g_1 + g_2}{2} \right) (\hat{a}_+^\dagger \hat{a}_+ + \hat{a}_-^\dagger \hat{a}_-) \hat{x}
\]

\[
+ \h \left( \frac{g_1 - g_2}{2} \right) (\hat{a}_+^\dagger \hat{a}_- + \hat{a}_-^\dagger \hat{a}_+) \hat{x},
\]

where \(\omega_\pm = \omega_0 \pm J\).

For \(|J| \gg \omega_m\) such that \(\hat{x}\) can be treated as a quasistatic variable [13,14], the Hamiltonian can be diagonalized, resulting in eigenfrequencies \(\omega_\pm(\hat{x})\):

\[
\omega_\pm(\hat{x}) \approx \omega_0 + \frac{(g_1 + g_2)}{2} \hat{x} \pm J \left( 1 + \frac{(g_1 - g_2)^2}{8J^2} \hat{x}^2 \right).
\]

As shown below, in the case of the fundamental in-plane motion of the outer slabs of the double-slotted photonic crystal cavity, we have only one of \(g_1\) or \(g_2\) nonzero,
whereas in the case of the fundamental in-plane motion of the central nanobeam, we have $g_1 \approx -g_2$.

For a system in which the mechanical mode couples to the $a_i$ and $a_2$ optical modes with linear dispersive coupling of equal magnitude but opposite sign ($g_1 = -g_2 = g$), the dispersion in the quasistatic normal mode basis is purely quadratic with effective $x^2$-coupling coefficient,

$$g' = g^2 / 2J,$$

and quasistatic Hamiltonian,

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} \approx \hbar \omega_a(0) \hat{n}_+ + \hbar \omega_a(0) \hat{n}_- + \hbar g' (\hat{n}_+ - \hat{n}_-) \hat{x}^2,$$

where $\hat{n}_\pm$ are the number operators for the $a_\pm$ supermodes and $\hat{n}_b$ is the number operator for the mechanical mode. Rearranging this equation slightly highlights the interpretation of the $x^2$ optomechanical coupling as inducing a static optical spring,

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} \approx \hbar \omega_a(0) \hat{n}_+ + \hbar \omega_a(0) \hat{n}_- + \hbar g' (\hat{n}_+ - \hat{n}_-) \hat{x}^2,$$

where the static optical spring constant $\tilde{k}_s = 2 \hbar g' (n_+ - n_-)$ depends on the average intracavity photon number in the even and odd optical supermodes, $n_\pm = \langle \hat{n}_\pm \rangle$.

For a sideband resolved system ($\omega_m \gg \kappa$), the quasistatic Hamiltonian can be further approximated using a rotating-wave approximation as

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} \approx \hbar \omega_a(0) + 2 \tilde{g} (\hat{n}_b + 1/2) \hat{n}_+ + \hbar \omega_a(0) - 2 \tilde{g} (\hat{n}_b + 1/2) \hat{n}_- + \hbar \omega_m \hat{n}_b,$$

where $\tilde{g} = g x_{\text{rot}} = g^2 / 2J$ and $\tilde{g} = g x_{\text{rot}}$ are the $x^2$ and linear vacuum coupling rates, respectively. It is tempting to assume from Eq. (9) that by monitoring the optical transmission through the even or odd supermode resonances one can then perform a continuous QND measurement of the phonon number in the mechanical resonator [12,27–29]. As noted in Refs. [13,14], however, the quasistatic picture described by the dispersion of Eq. (5) fails to capture residual effects resulting from the nonresonant scattering between the $a_+$ and $a_-$ supermodes, which depends linearly on $\tilde{x}$ [last term of Eq. (4)]. Only in the vacuum strong-coupling limit ($\tilde{g}/\kappa \gtrsim 1$) can one realize a QND measurement of phonon number [13,14].

The regime of $|2J| \sim \omega_m$ is also very interesting, and is explored in depth in Refs. [14,30]. Transforming to a reference frame that removes in Eq. (4) the radiation pressure interaction between the even and odd supermodes to first order in $g$ yields an effective Hamiltonian given by [14,31]

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\text{eff}} \approx \hbar \omega_a(0) \hat{n}_+ + \hbar \omega_a(0) \hat{n}_- + \hbar \omega_m \hat{n}_b$$

$$+ \hbar \frac{g^2}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2J - \omega_m} + \frac{1}{2J + \omega_m} \right]$$

$$\times \left( \hat{a}_+^\dagger \hat{a}_+ - \hat{a}_-^\dagger \hat{a}_- \right) \left( \hat{b} + \hat{b}^\dagger \right)^2$$

$$+ \hbar \frac{g^2}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{2J - \omega_m} - \frac{1}{2J + \omega_m} \right]$$

$$\times \left( \hat{a}_+^\dagger \hat{a}_- + \hat{a}_-^\dagger \hat{a}_+ \right)^2,$$
The nanobeam of the dispersion of the optical resonances as a function of degrees of freedom for postfabrication tuning of the slotted J. Zoom-in SEM image showing some of the suspending tethers this image. The central beam, which is much wider, is also shown in sign, resulting in a vanishing linear coupling at the resonant the linear dispersion of the slot modes (coefficients of the central nanobeam, the linear dispersive coupling coefficients (a1, a2) transforms into quadratic dispersion for the upper and lower supermode branches (a+, a−) in the presence of tunnel coupling J. The mechanical modes of the outer slabs (b1, b2) provide degrees of freedom for postfabrication tuning of the slotted waveguide optical modes, i.e., to symmetrize the structure such that ω1 = ω2. This is achieved in practice by integrating metallic electrodes which form capacitors at the outer edge of the two slabs of the structure as schematically shown in Fig. 1(a).

The double-slotted photonic crystal cavity of this work is realized in the silicon-on-insulator material system, with a top silicon device layer thickness of 220 nm and an underlying buried oxide layer of 3 μm. Fabrication begins with the patterning of the metal electrodes of the capacitors and involves electron-beam (e-beam) lithography followed by evaporation and lift-off of a bilayer consisting of a 5-nm sticking layer of chromium and a 150-nm layer of gold. After lift-off we deposit uniformly a ~4 nm protective layer of silicon dioxide. A second electron-beam lithography step is performed, aligned to the first, to form the pattern of the photonic crystal and the nanoscale slots that separate the central nanobeam from the outer slabs. At this step, we also pattern the support tethers of the outer slabs and the cut lines that define and isolate the outer capacitors. A fluorine-based (CF8 and SF6) inductively coupled reactive-ion etch is used to transfer the e-beam lithography pattern through the silicon device layer. The remaining e-beam resist is stripped using trichloroethylene, and then the sample is cleaned in a heated piranha (H2SO4:H2O2) solution. The devices are then released using a hydrofluoric acid etch to remove the sacrificial buried oxide layer (this also removes the deposited protective silicon dioxide layer), followed by a water rinse and critical point drying.

A scanning electron microscope (SEM) image showing the overall fabricated device structure is shown in Fig. 1(c). Zoom-ins of the capacitor region of one of the outer slabs and the tether region at the end of the nanobeam are shown in Figs. 1(d) and 1(e), respectively. Note that the geometry of the capacitors and the stiffness of the support tethers determine how tunable the structure is under application of voltages to the capacitor electrodes. The outermost electrode of each slab is connected to an independent low-noise dc voltage source, while the innermost electrodes are connected to a common ground, thereby allowing one to independently pull on each outer slab with voltages V1 and V2. In this configuration, we are limited to increasing the slots defining the optical modes around the central nanobeam.

A. Photonic band structure

To further understand the optical properties of the double-slotted photonic crystal cavity, we display in Fig. 2(a) the photonic band structure of the periodic waveguide structure. The parameters of the waveguide are given in the caption of Fig. 2(a). Here, we show only photonic bands that are composed of waveguide modes with even vector symmetry around the “vertical” mirror plane (σy), where the vertical mirror plane is defined by the z-axis normal and lies in the middle of the thin-film silicon slab. The fundamental (lowest lying) optical waveguide
An optical cavity is defined by decreasing the lattice constant 4.5% below the nominal value of $a_0 = 480 \text{ nm}$ for the middle five periods of the waveguide [see Fig. 2(d)]. This has the effect of locally pushing the bands toward higher frequencies [35,36], which creates an effective potential that localizes the optical waveguide modes along the $x$ axis of the waveguide. The resulting odd and even TE-like cavity supermodes are shown in Figs. 2(d) and 2(e), respectively. These optical modes correspond to the normal modes $a_+$ and $a_-$ in Sec. II, which are symmetric and antisymmetric superpositions, respectively, of the cavity modes localized around each slot ($a_1$ and $a_2$). Because of the nonmonotonic decrease in the even waveguide supermode as one moves away from the $X$ band edge [cf. Fig. 2(a)], we find that the simulated optical $Q$ factor of the even $a_+$ cavity supermode is significantly lower than that of the odd $a_-$ cavity supermode. This will be a key distinguishing feature found in the measured devices as well.

**B. Optical tuning simulations**

The slot width in the simulated waveguide and cavity structures of Fig. 2 is set at $s = 100 \text{ nm}$. For this slot width we find a lower frequency for the even ($a_+$) supermode than for the odd ($a_-$) supermode at the $X$-point photonic band edge of the periodic waveguide and in the case of the localized cavity modes. Figure 3 presents finite-element method (FEM) simulations of the optical cavity for slot sizes swept from 90 to 100 nm in steps of 1 nm, all other parameters are the same as in Fig. 2. For the slot widths...
tuned symmetrically \((s_1 = s_2 = s)\), the mean wavelength of the even and odd cavity supermodes and their frequency splitting \(2J = \omega_s - \omega_a\) are plotted in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), respectively. As expected, the mean wavelength drops for increasing slot width. The frequency splitting, however, also monotonically decreases with slot width, going from a positive value for \(s = 90 \text{ nm}\) to a negative value for \(s = 100 \text{ nm}\) slots and crossing zero for a slot width of \(s = 95 \text{ nm}\). In Figs. 3(c) and 3(d), the symmetry is broken by keeping \(s_2\) fixed and scanning \(s_1\); the cavity supermodes are driven through an anticrossing with a splitting determined by the fixed slot width \(s_2\).

The spectral inversion of the even \(a_+\) and odd \(a_-\) cavity supermodes predicted in Fig. 3(b) originates in the unequal overlap of each mode with the air slots separating the two outer slabs from the central nanobeam. The odd supermode tends to be pushed farther from the middle of the central nanobeam, having slightly larger overlap with the air slots. An increase in the air region for increased slot size leads to a blueshift of both cavity supermodes. The odd mode having a larger electric field energy density in the air slots than the even mode is more affected by a change in the slot widths. Therefore, upon equal increase of the slot widths, the odd mode experiences larger frequency shifts than the even mode, which results in a tuning of the frequency splitting. For particular geometrical parameters of the central nanobeam, a change in the slot widths is sufficient to invert the spectral ordering of the supermodes. This means that arbitrarily small splittings can potentially be realized, which is important for ordering of the supermodes. This means that arbitrarily small geometrical parameters of the central nanobeam, a which results in a tuning of the frequency splitting. For the devices studied in this work, the slab tuning coefficient with applied voltage \(\alpha_{cap}\) is estimated from SEM analysis of the resulting structure dimensions and FEM electromechanical simulations to be \(\alpha_{cap} = 25 \text{ pm/V}^2\).

We fabricate devices with slot widths targeted for a range of 75–85 nm, chosen smaller than the expected zero-splitting slot width of \(s = 95 \text{ nm}\) so that the capacitors could be used to tune through the zero-splitting point. While splittings larger than 150 GHz are observed in the nominal 85-nm slot width devices, splittings as small as 10 GHz could be resolved in the smaller 75-nm slot devices. As such, in the following we focus on the results from a single device with an as-fabricated slot size of \(s \approx 75 \text{ nm}\).

### A. Anticrossing measurements

Figure 4 shows intensity plots of the normalized optical transmission through the optical fiber taper when evanescently coupled to the photonic crystal cavity of a device with nominal slot width \(s = 75 \text{ nm}\). Here, a series of optical transmission spectrum are measured by sweeping the probe laser frequency and the voltage \(V_1\), with \(V_2\) fixed at three different values. The estimated anticrossing splitting from the measured dispersion of the cavity supermodes is \(2J/2\pi = 50, 12, \text{ and } -25 \text{ GHz for } V_2 = 1, 15, \text{ and } 18 \text{ V, respectively. In order to distinguish between the odd and even cavity supermodes at the anticrossing point, we use the fact that both the coupling rate to the fiber taper } \kappa_c, \text{ and the intrinsic linewidth } \kappa_i, \text{ depend on the symmetry of the cavity mode. First, the odd supermode branch becomes dark at the anticrossing because it cannot couple to the symmetric fiber taper mode. Second, from numerical FEM simulation we find that in the vicinity of the anticrossing point the linewidth of the odd supermode branch narrows while the linewidth of the even supermode branch broadens. Far from the anticrossing region, the branches are asymptotic to individual slot modes and their linewidths and couplings to the fiber taper are similar.}

These features are clearly evident in the optical transmission spectra of Figs. 4(a)–4(c), as well as in the measured linewidth of the optical supermode resonances shown in Figs. 4(g) and 4(h). Figure 4(a) was taken with a small voltage \(V_2 = 1 \text{ V}\), corresponding to a small slot width at the anticrossing point, and is thus consistent with the even mode frequency being higher than the odd mode frequency for small slot widths [cf. Fig. 3(b)]. The exact opposite identification is made in Fig. 4(b).
V₂ = 18 V is much larger, corresponding to a larger slot width at the anticrossing point. Figure 4(b) with V₂ = 15 V is close to the zero-splitting condition. For comparison, a simulation of the expected anticrossing curves is shown in Figs. 4(d)–4(f) for s₂ = 93, 95, and 97 nm, respectively. Here, we take the even superposition of the slot modes to have a lower Q factor than the odd superposition of the slot modes, and the coupling of the fiber taper to be much stronger to the even mode than the odd mode, consistent with results from numerical FEM simulations. Good qualitative correspondence is found with the measured transmission curves of Figs. 4(a)–4(c).

An estimate of the x²-coupling coefficient g₀b₂ can be found from the simulated value of \(\alpha_{\text{cap}}\) and a fit to the measured tuning curves of Fig. 4. Consider the anticrossing curve of Fig. 4(b) with the smallest discernable splitting. Far from the anticrossing point the tuning of the a₁ and a₂ slot modes is measured to be linear with the square of V₁: \(g_{a₁, V₁^2}/2\pi = 3.9 \text{ GHz}/V^2\) and \(g_{a₂, V₁^2}/2\pi = 0.5 \text{ GHz}/V^2\). Figure 5(a) shows a zoom-in of the measured tuning curve near the anticrossing point. A double Lorentzian curve is fit to each measured spectrum, with the resonance frequency 

\[ \Delta V_{1}^2 \text{ (GHz)} = \frac{2J}{2\pi} = 12.2 \pm 1.1 \text{ GHz}. \]
of each Lorentzian indicated as a black circle. The corresponding linewidths are shown in Fig. 5(b). A fit to the splitting of the resonance frequencies versus $\Delta V^2$ around the center of the anticrossing curve [shown in Fig. 5(c)] yields a best fit to the tunneling rate of $2J/2\pi = 12.2 \pm 1.1 \text{ GHz}$. Because of the finite linewidth of the measured cavity modes near the anticrossing, much smaller splittings were not accurately discernable. For the simulated value of $\alpha_{cap} = 0.025 \text{ nm/V}^2$, the corresponding linear dispersive coefficients versus the first slot width are $g_{a_1, \delta s_1}/2\pi = 156 \text{ GHz/nm}$ and $g_{a_1, \delta s_2}/2\pi = 20 \text{ GHz/nm}$. Noting that a displacement amplitude $x_3$ for the fundamental in-plane mechanical mode of the central nanobeam is approximately equivalent to a reduction in the width of one slot by $-x_3$ and an increase in the other slot by $+x_3$, the linear optomechanical coupling coefficient between optical slot mode $a_1$ and mechanical mode $b_3$ is estimated to be $g_{a_1, b_3} \approx (g_{a_1, \delta s_1} + g_{a_1, -\delta s_2}) = (g_{a_1, \delta s_1} - g_{a_2, \delta s_1}) = 2\pi [136 \text{ GHz/nm}]$, where by symmetry $g_{a_1, -\delta s_2} = -g_{a_2, \delta s_1}$. Along with a measured splitting of $2J/2\pi = 12 \text{ GHz}$, this yields through Eq. (6) an estimate for the $x^2$-coupling coefficient of $g^2_{b_3}/2\pi \approx 1.54 \text{ THz/nm}^2$.

**B. Transduction of mechanical motion**

Figure 6 shows the evolution of the optically transduced mechanical noise power spectral density near the anticrossing region of Fig. 4(a). In this plot, $s_2$ is fixed and $s_1$ is varied over an estimated range of $\delta s_1 = \pm 0.3 \text{ nm}$ around the anticrossing. Mechanical motion is imprinted as intensity modulations of the probe laser, which is tuned to the blue side of the upper frequency supermode. Here, we choose the detuning point corresponding to $\Delta_L = \omega_L - \omega_1 \approx k/2\sqrt{3}$, where $\omega_1$ is the probe laser frequency and $k$ is the full width at half maximum linewidth of the optical resonance. This detuning choice ensures (maximal) linear transduction of small fluctuations in the frequency of the cavity supermode, which allows us to relate nonlinear transduction of motion with true nonlinear optomechanical coupling [21,23]. A probe power of $P_{in} = 10 \mu Watt$ is used in order to avoid any nonlinear effects due to optical absorption, and the transmitted light is first amplified through an erbium-doped fiber amplifier before being detected on a high-gain photoreceiver (transimpedance gain $10^4 \text{ V/A}$, NEP = 12 $\text{ pW/Hz}^{1/2}$, bandwidth 150 MHz). The resulting radio-frequency (rf) photocurrent noise spectrum is plotted in Fig. 6.

To help identify the measured noise peaks, numerical FEM simulations of the mechanical properties of the double-slotted structure are performed. Taking structural dimensions from SEM images, the simulated mechanical frequency for the fundamental in-plane resonances of the two outer slabs ($b_1$ and $b_2$) is found to be $\omega_m/2\pi = 8.4 \text{ MHz}$. An effective motional mass for the slab modes of $m = 35 \text{ pg}$ is determined by integrating, over the volume of the structure, the mass density of the silicon slab weighted by the normalized, squared displacement amplitude of the slab’s motion [38]. The corresponding estimate of the zero-point amplitude of the slab modes is given by $x_{zp} \equiv (\hbar/2m\omega_m)^{1/2} = 5.6 \text{ fm}$. The resonance frequency, effective motional mass, and zero-point amplitude for the fundamental in-plane resonance of the central nanobeam ($b_3$) are simulated to be $\omega_m/2\pi = 10.7 \text{ MHz}$, $m = 3.6 \text{ pg}$, and $x_{zp} = 15.4 \text{ fm}$, respectively.

Comparing to Fig. 6, the two lowest frequency noise peaks are thus identified as due to the thermal motion of the $b_1$ and $b_2$ modes of the outer slabs, with $\omega_{b_1}/2\pi = 5.54 \text{ MHz}$ and $\omega_{b_2}/2\pi = 6.34 \text{ MHz}$. The identification of the $b_1$ mode with the lower frequency mechanical resonance is made possible due to the increasing signal transduction of this resonance as $s_1$ is increased above the anticrossing point. Since we are probing the upper frequency optical supermode, for $s_1 > s_2$ ($\delta s_1 > 0$) the supermode is approximately $a_1$, which is localized to slot 1 and sensitive primarily to the motion of $b_1$. We see an opposite trend for the $b_2$ resonance, with larger transduction gain for $s_1 < s_2$ ($\delta s_1 < 0$). The frequencies of both these modes is lower than found in numerical simulations, likely due to squeeze-film damping effects not captured in the FEM analysis [39].

The noise peak at $\omega_m/2\pi = 8.73 \text{ MHz}$ behaves altogether differently than the $b_1$ and $b_2$ resonances, and is
identified with the $b_3$ mode of the central nanobeam (although again at a lower frequency than expected from FEM simulation). This noise peak is transduced with roughly equal signal levels for $\delta s_1 > 0$ and $\delta s_1 < 0$, but significantly drops in strength for $\delta s_1 \approx 0$ near the anticrossing. This is the expected characteristic of the $b_3$ mode, where the dispersive linear optomechanical coupling to the $b_3$ should vanish at the anticrossing point. Also shown in Fig. 6 is the noise at $2\omega_{b_3}/2\pi \approx 17.5$ MHz, which shows a weakly transduced resonance with signal strength peaked around $\delta s_3 = 0$. The suppression in transduction of the noise peak at $\omega_{b_3}$, concurrent with the rise in transduction of the noise peak at $2\omega_{b_3}$ is a direct manifestation of the transition from linear ($g_{a_1,b_3}$ or $g_{a_2,b_3}$) to position-squared ($g_{b_3}$) optomechanical coupling.

C. Static and dynamic optical spring measurements

Our previous estimate of $g_{b_3}$ from the anticrossing curves relied on the approximate correspondence between the static displacement of the outer slabs and the fundamental in-plane vibrational amplitude of the $b_3$ mode of the central nanobeam. A more accurate determination of the true $x^2$-coupling coefficient to $b_3$ can be determined from two different optical spring measurements. Far from the anticrossing one can determine the linear optomechanical coupling coefficient between the optical slot modes and the $b_3$ mechanical mode from the dynamic backaction of the intracavity light field on the mechanical frequency, which in conjunction with the measured anticrossing splitting yields $g_{b_3}$ via Eq. (6). A direct measurement of $g_{b_3}$ can also be obtained from the static optical spring effect near the anticrossing point as indicated in Eq. (9).

Figure 7(a) shows the dependence of the mechanical resonance frequency of the $b_3$ mode of the central nanobeam versus the laser detuning $\Delta_L$ when the device is tuned far from the anticrossing point in Fig. 4(a) ($V_1 = 1$ V and $V_2 = 1$ V). In these measurements the probe laser power is fixed at $P_{\text{in}} = 10 \mu W$ and the laser frequency is scanned across the upper optical supermode resonance, which away from the anticrossing point in this case is the slot mode $a_3$. In the sideband unresolved regime ($\omega_m \ll \kappa$), the dynamic optical spring effect has a dispersive line shape centered around the optical resonance frequency, with optical softening of the mechanical resonance occurring for red detuning ($\Delta_L < 0$) and optical stiffening occurring for blue detuning ($\Delta_L > 0$).

A fit to the measured frequency shift versus $\Delta_L$ is performed using the linear optomechanical coupling rate $g_{a_1,b_3}$ as a fit parameter. The resulting optomechanical coupling rate that best fits the data is shown in Fig. 7(a) as a red curve, and corresponds to $g_{a_1,b_3}/2\pi = 1.72$ MHz. Using $x_{\text{qfl}} = 16$ fm for the $b_3$ mechanical mode, this corresponds to $g_{a_1,b_3}/2\pi = 107$ GHz/nm. Note that this is slightly smaller than the value measured indirectly from the dispersion in the anticrossing curve of Fig. 4;

however, that value relied on the simulated value for $\alpha_{\text{cap}}$, which is quite sensitive to the actual fabricated dimensions and stiffness of the structure. For the smallest splitting measured in this work ($2J/2\pi = 12$ GHz), we get an estimated value for the $x^2$ coupling to the $b_3$ mode from the dynamic optical spring measurements of $g_{b_3}/2\pi = 245$ Hz ($g_{b_3}/2\pi = 0.96$ THz/nm$^2$).

An entirely different dynamics occurs at the anticrossing point where $x^2$ optomechanical coupling dominates. Optical pumping of the supermode resonances near the anticrossing point gives rise to an optical spring shift which depends on the static (i.e., not how it modulates with motion) value of the intracavity photon number. Because of the opposite sign of the quadratic dispersion of the upper and lower optical supermode branches, optical pumping of the upper branch resonance leads to a stiffening of the mechanical structure, whereas optical pumping of the lower branch leads to a softening of the structure [23,40].

The measured frequency shift of the $b_3$ mechanical resonance for optical pumping of the upper branch cavity supermode (the even $a_+ \text{ mode in this case}$) is shown in Fig. 7(b) for a voltage setting on the capacitor electrodes of $V_1 = 10.8$ V and $V_2 = 1$ V. This position is slightly below the exact center of the anticrossing point of Fig. 4(a) so as to allow weak linear transduction of the $b_3$ resonance. A rather large supermode splitting of $2J/2\pi = 50$ GHz is also chosen to ensure that only the even $a_+ \text{ supermode is excited}$, and that the contribution to the optical trapping (antitrapping) by the lower branch $a_- \text{ resonance is negligible}$.
As per Eqs. (8) and (9), the mechanical frequency shift is approximately given by \( \Delta \omega_m(\Delta_L) \approx 2g_{\beta\alpha}n_\alpha(\Delta_L) \), where \( n_\alpha(\Delta_L) \) is the average intracavity photon number in the \( a_\alpha \) supermode. Fitting this model to the data measured in Fig. 7(b) yields a value of \( g_{\beta\alpha}/2\pi = 46 \) Hz. This is slightly lower than the 60-Hz value expected for a splitting of \( 2\omega_2/2\pi = 50 \) GHz and the linear coupling rate of \( g_{\beta\alpha}/2\pi = 1.72 \) MHz determined from the dynamical optical spring effect, but consistent with our slight detuning of the structure from the exact center of the anticrossing.

V. DISCUSSION

The quasi-two-dimensional photonic crystal architecture as presented here provides a means of realizing extremely large dispersive \( x^2 \) coupling between light and mechanics. This is due to the ability to colocalize optical and acoustic waves in a common wavelength scale volume, resulting in inherently large linear optomechanical coupling. Combined with the ability to engineer the optical mode dispersion to allow for a tunable degree of optical mode splitting, the \( x^2 \) coupling can be even further enhanced. It is interesting to consider then just how far this technology could be pushed given recent technical advances made in the area of photonic crystals and optomechanical crystals.

We consider here the feasibility of a QND measurement of phonon number, although similar parameters would enable a measurement of phonon shot noise [15], a QND measurement of photon number [14], and the cooling and squeezing of mechanical motion [16–18]. In the quasistatic limit as realized in this work (\( \langle J \rangle \gg \omega_m \)), the optical resonance shift per phonon is \( \Delta \omega = 2g/\kappa = g^2/J \). If the lower frequency optical resonance (\( a_- \) in the case \( J > 0 \)) is used to probe the system, then the photons emitted per unit time from the \( a_- \) cavity mode would change by \( \langle n_\kappa \kappa \rangle(\Delta \omega/\kappa_\kappa) \) upon a single phonon jump in the mechanical resonator. Assuming shot-noise-limited detection over a measurement time \( \tau \), the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) for a phonon jump is given approximately by

\[
\text{SNR} \approx \frac{(n_\kappa \kappa_\kappa)^2(\Delta \omega/\kappa_\kappa)^2\tau^2}{n_\kappa \kappa \tau} = \left[ \frac{n_\kappa(\Delta \omega/\kappa_\kappa)}{\kappa_\kappa \tau} \right] \tau. \tag{12}
\]

The corresponding phonon jump measurement rate follows from the term in the bracket of Eq. (12), \( \Gamma_{\text{meas}} = [(\Delta \omega)^2/\kappa_\kappa]n_\kappa = [4(\overline{g}^2)^2/\kappa_\kappa]n_\kappa \).

This measurement rate should be compared against the decoherence rate of the mechanical resonator. The thermal decoherence rate is \( \Gamma_{\text{th}} = (n_{\text{th}} + 1)\gamma_r \), where \( n_{\text{th}} \) is the Bose occupation factor depending on the bath temperature (\( T_b \)) and \( \gamma_r \) is the intrinsic mechanical damping rate to the bath. At \( T_b = 4 \) K similar silicon photonic crystal devices have been operated with intracavity photon numbers of \( 10^5 \) and mechanical \( Q \) factor as large as \( 7 \times 10^7 \) [11]. For the device studied here (\( \omega_m/2\pi \approx 10 \) MHz, \( \overline{g}/2\pi = 240 \) Hz, \( \kappa_-/2\pi = 5 \) GHz), the phonon jump measurement rate would be \( \Gamma_{\text{meas}}/2\pi \approx 46 \) MHz, while the thermal decoherence rate at \( T_b = 4 \) K and for \( Q_m = 7 \times 10^7 \) is \( \Gamma_{\text{th}}/2\pi \approx 125 \) kHz. Significant improvements in the measurement rate can be realized with improved optical \( Q \) factor. Recent work by Sekoguchi et al. [41] has shown that optical \( Q \) factors of order \( 10^7 \) can be realized in similar planar 2D silicon photonic crystals in the telecom band, corresponding to a minimum cavity decay rate of \( \kappa/2\pi = 20 \) MHz. By proper tuning of the double-slotted photonic crystal structure, the optical mode splitting \( 2J \) could be reduced down to a minimum resolvable value equal to \( \kappa \), yielding an \( x^2 \)-coupling value of \( \overline{g}/2\pi \approx 100 \) kHz and a phonon jump measurement rate of \( \Gamma_{\text{meas}}/2\pi \approx 2 \) MHz.

In order to realize a sideband-resolved system, higher mechanical resonant frequencies must also be employed. Numerical simulations indicate that higher-order modes of the central nanobeam can maintain significant optomechanical coupling, with \( g/2\pi \approx 0.4 \) MHz for the seventh-order in-plane mechanical resonance at \( \omega_m/2\pi = 225 \) MHz. Tuning the structure such that the mode splitting is nearly resonant with the mechanical frequency, \( g/\kappa = [\delta = |J| - \omega_m] \ll |J|, |2J| \), greatly enhances the frequency shift per phonon as per Eq. (11), \( \Delta \omega = g^2/\delta \). For similar cavity conditions as above (\( n_\kappa \approx 10 \), \( \kappa_-/2\pi = 20 \) MHz), and assuming \( \delta = 10\overline{g} \), a measurement rate of \( \Gamma_{\text{meas}}/2\pi \approx 80 \) kHz is realized. This is comparable to the thermal decoherence rate at \( T_b = 4 \) K assuming a similar mechanical \( Q \) factor for these higher frequency modes. Recent measurements at bath temperatures of \( T_b \approx 100 \) mK, however, have shown that mechanical \( Q \) factors in excess of \( 10^7 \) can be realized in silicon using phononic band gap acoustic shielding patterns in the perimeter of the device [42]. At these temperatures we can expect a bath occupancy of \( n_{\text{th}} \approx 10 \), and with an acoustic band gap shield, a much smaller thermal decoherence rate of \( \Gamma_{\text{th}}/2\pi \approx 300 \) Hz. A comparable measurement rate could then be employed with a much weaker optical probe corresponding to an intracavity photon number of \( n_\kappa \approx 10 \).

The most challenging aspect of a QND phonon number measurement, however, is the optically induced mechanical decay due to residual backaction stemming from the linear (in \( \hat{x} \)) cross-coupling of the cavity supermodes [13,14]. This parasitic backaction damping of the mechanical resonator occurs through a process, for example, in which a photon is scattered from the driven \( a_- \) mode into the \( a_+ \) mode where it decays into the optical bath, absorbing a phonon in the process. The optically induced mechanical decay rate for a \( n_p \)-phonon Fock state is given by \( \Gamma_{\text{opt}} \approx (\overline{g}/\delta)^2n_p\kappa_\kappa_\kappa_\kappa \equiv [(\overline{g}/\kappa)^2/2|J|]n_p\kappa_\kappa_\kappa_\kappa \) in the quasistatic limit [14]. Comparing to the phonon jump measurement rate, we see that only in the vacuum strong-coupling limit (\( \overline{g}/\kappa \gg 1 \)) can one realize a continuous QND measurement of phonon number.
Note that a more careful analysis [13,14] indicates that a limit of $\tilde{g} \gtrsim \kappa_\ell$ need only be met, where $\kappa_\ell$ is the intrinsic damping of the optical cavity excluding loading of the cavity by measurement channels. A ratio of $\tilde{g}/\kappa \approx 0.007$ has previously been realized in silicon optomechanical crystals [43]. In the case of the double-slotted photonic crystal structure studied here, fabrication of nanoscale slots as small as $s = 25 \text{ nm}$ [44] would increase the linear optomechanical coupling between $a_\pm$ cavity supermodes to $\tilde{g}/2\pi \sim 10 \text{ MHz}$. With this advance, and in conjunction with an increase of the optical $Q$ factor to $10^7$ [41], it does seem feasible in the near future to reach the vacuum strong-coupling limit which would enable QND phononic and photonic measurements as proposed in Ref. [14].
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