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I. INTRODUCTION

Plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) is widely used in the microelectronics industry to deposit thin films.$^1$ Dielectric materials such as silicon dioxide (SiO$_2$) are often deposited by PECVD for use as gate oxides,$^{2,3}$ intermetal dielectrics,$^4$ or passivation layers for integrated circuits.$^{5,6}$ The main advantage of PECVD over other deposition methods such as thermal CVD$^7$ is that deposition of good quality SiO$_2$ can be performed at low substrate temperatures ($<350 \degree C$) from SiH$_4$/O$_2$ or SiH$_4$/N$_2$O plasmas.$^8-10$ These gas mixtures, however, are not desirable due to the pyrophoric nature of silane and problems with nitrogen contamination from N$_2$O.$^{3,11}$

Organosilanes, such as tetraethoxysilane (TEOS), have been used since the 1960s to deposit SiO$_2$ by PECVD.$^{12-14}$ In addition to containing silicon and oxygen in a single molecule, TEOS has reduced toxicity and hazard compared to more conventional sources.$^{5,7}$ Furthermore, TEOS provides better conformality and void free films with superior step coverage even at geometries less than 0.5 $\mu$m.$^{5,15,16}$ These films also retain good mechanical and electrical properties at these dimensions.$^{15}$ Although SiO$_2$ films deposited from pure TEOS show hydrocarbon incorporation in this film, addition of an oxidant such as O$_2$ or O$_3$ eliminates this film contaminant.$^{11,17}$

Here, we report the use of an inductively coupled rf plasma reactor to deposit SiO$_2$ films from pure TEOS. We have also been successful in depositing SiO$_2$ from the alkoxysilane precursors triethoxysilane (TriEOS), tetramethoxysilane (TMOS), and trimethoxysilane (TriMOS). These studies were undertaken for a number of reasons. First, to our knowledge, these precursors have not previously been used for PECVD of SiO$_2$. Thus, we wished to ascertain whether SiO$_2$ could be deposited from these starting materials. Second, we wanted to explore the effects of various deposition parameters on deposition rates, film refractive index, and film composition. Third, we were interested in determining whether changing the number and type of functional groups in the precursor would affect the film’s properties. For example, does decreasing the number of alkoxy substituents decrease the amount of hydrocarbon incorporation in the films? Hydrocarbon incorporation in the SiO$_2$ film is not desirable because it will alter the mechanical and electrical properties of the film. Does the presence of an Si–H moiety in the precursor produce films containing residual Si–H bonds? The optical and electronic properties of the deposited materials could be strongly affected by the hydrogen content in the films.$^{18}$ Finally, we are interested in the mechanism of SiO$_2$ film deposition. By changing the starting materials systematically, we hoped to shed light on the process by which SiO$_2$ is formed from alkoxysilanes.

This communication describes our results using these novel alkoxysilane precursors for PECVD of SiO$_2$ films in an inductively coupled rf plasma reactor. The effects of deposition time, rf power, and organosilane pressure on the films’ characteristics are described.

II. EXPERIMENT

An inductively coupled rf plasma reactor, Fig. 1, was built from two glass tubes with 50 mm O-ring joints, allowing easy access to the interior of the plasma chamber. The chamber is pumped by an Edwards two-stage rotary vane pump (140 $\text{l/s}$). An eight turn, nickel plated copper coil is used to couple 13.56 MHz rf power to the chamber and is tuned with a Jennings 100 pF variable capacitor, Fig. 1. The alkoxysilanes ($25.5\pm0.5 \degree C$) was admitted into the plasma chamber through a heated (39–56 $\degree C$) line. The vapor pressure was controlled using a Nupro bellows-sealed metering valve. The pressure in the chamber was monitored with an MKS Baratron capacitance manometer which is insensitive to differing gas compositions.

$p$-type silicon wafers (1-0-0 crystallization orientation) with 40–60 $\AA$ native oxide were used as substrates. Freshly cleaned and polished sodium chloride (NaCl) window substrates were also used. The substrates were placed on glass slides and oriented parallel to gas flow in the plasma chamber. Both a silicon wafer and a NaCl window were used for each deposition. Deposition times ranged from 0.5 to 10.0 min and were defined by when the rf power was applied. Power dependence measurements were made by varying the
rf power from 20 to 90 W. For each measurement, deposition time was kept at 5 min for TMOS, TriMOS, and TriEOS. Three min depositions were used for TEOS due to its faster deposition rate. Pressure was varied from 20 to 32 mTorr by throttling the valve between the plasma chamber and the liquid nitrogen trap, Fig. 1. For each alkoxysilane introduced into the plasma chamber, the pressure was allowed to stabilize prior to application of the rf power. All of the alkoxysilanes (>95% pure) were purchased from United Chemical Technology and were used without further purification except for multiple freeze-pump-thaw cycles.

Substrates were removed from the plasma chamber for analysis. Transmission spectra for the NaCl substrates were obtained with a Nicolet 5PC Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer. Spectra shown were not manipulated unless specifically noted. Absolute absorbance values were obtained by converting transmission spectra to absorbance and performing a baseline correction on the spectra with a second order polynomial. Film thicknesses and refractive indices were calculated from ellipsometric analyses at 632.8 nm using a Gaertner L116A automatic null ellipsometer.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 2(A) shows a typical FTIR spectrum of a SiO₂ film deposited in our reactor with 100% TEOS. The absorbance bands at 2977, 2930, and 2898 cm⁻¹ are assigned to C–H stretches from aliphatic–CH₃, –CH₂, and –CH groups, respectively.¹⁹,²⁰ The weak absorbances at 1445 and 1391 cm⁻¹ are the asymmetric and symmetric C–H bending of –CH₃ groups.¹⁹,²⁰ The most intense absorbance band at 1078 cm⁻¹ is due to Si–O–C and linear Si–O–Si groups.¹⁹,²⁰ Absorbance peaks at 967, 884, and 795 cm⁻¹ are more difficult to identify. Assignments in the literature include Si–OH and Si–O–CH₂CH₃ for the 967 cm⁻¹ band, Si–H and Si–C for the 884 cm⁻¹ band, and Si–O–Si and Si–O–(CH₃)₄– for the 795 cm⁻¹ band.¹⁷,¹⁹–²¹ The doublet at 2342 and 2359 cm⁻¹ is due to residual carbon dioxide not purged from the FTIR spectrometer. The spectra for SiO₂ films deposited from TEOS in our reactor are very similar to those found in the literature.¹⁷,²¹

Figure 2(B) shows a FTIR spectrum for the SiO₂ film deposited with a 100% TriEOS plasma. The spectral features are very similar to those of the film deposited from TEOS with respect to the aliphatic hydrocarbon and silicon oxide absorbance peaks, Fig. 2(A). The most noticeable difference

Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of the inductively coupled plasma reactor apparatus used for plasma deposition of SiO₂.

Fig. 2. FTIR transmission spectra of films deposited on NaCl windows using (A) 100% TEOS and (B) 100% TriEOS. A baseline correction with a second-order polynomial was performed on the TriEOS spectrum. Deposition conditions for these spectra are given in Table I.
is the presence of the Si–H stretching absorbance at 2230 cm$^{-1}$. This absorbance band is not seen with TEOS, Fig. 2(A). The other significant difference is the increased intensity for the absorbance peak at 880 cm$^{-1}$ and the presence of a shoulder at a lower frequency.

The FTIR spectrum for a film deposited from a 100% TMOS plasma is shown in Fig. 3(A). Again, the spectral features are similar to those for TEOS films, indicating we have deposited SiO$_2$. There are, however, a few notable differences in the two spectra. The most marked change is the absence of the aliphatic C–H stretching vibration for the –CH$_2$ group. Other changes include the presence of a very weak Si–H absorbance peak at 2230 cm$^{-1}$, the absence of the Si–O absorbance peak near 980 cm$^{-1}$, and an additional absorbance peak at 835 cm$^{-1}$.

Figure 3(B) shows the FTIR spectrum of the film deposited from a 100% TriMOS plasma. The spectral features are nearly identical to those for the film deposited from TMOS, again indicating that we are indeed depositing SiO$_2$. The Si–H stretching vibration is observed in this film, similar to films using TriEOS as a precursor, Fig. 2(B). The absorbance peak intensity at 2230 cm$^{-1}$ is much greater for TriMOS than for TMOS, but is almost equal in intensity to that for the TriEOS film.

Table I lists deposition rates and refractive indices for SiO$_2$ films deposited from TEOS, TriEOS, TMOS, and TriMOS in our reactor at the deposition conditions noted in Table I. Deposition rates for the ethoxysilanes are greater than 978 Å/min, while those for the methoxysilanes are much lower at <790 Å/min. We have not found any pub-

**Table I.** Deposition rates, refractive indices, and FTIR absorbance values for SiO$_2$ films.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Alkoxysilane</th>
<th>Deposition rate (Å/min)</th>
<th>Refractive index</th>
<th>FTIR absorbance values$^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CH$_3$</td>
<td>CH$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEOS</td>
<td>Avg 1360</td>
<td>1.463</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s_d$ 111.3</td>
<td>0.0047</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TriEOS</td>
<td>Avg 1060</td>
<td>1.459</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s_d$ 73.3</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMOS</td>
<td>Avg 610.7</td>
<td>1.450</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s_d$ 109.5</td>
<td>0.0155</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TriMOS</td>
<td>Avg 745.7</td>
<td>1.446</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$s_d$ 38.8</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*These films were all deposited under the following deposition conditions: rf input power=50 W; reactor pressure=28–48 mTorr; deposition time=3 min for TEOS, 5 min for other alkoxysilanes. Values given are averages of three independent depositions. Standard deviations are given, $s_d$.

$^b$Absorbance $\times 10^{-2}$.
lished deposition rates for 100% TEOS depositions; however, our deposition rates are equal to or greater than deposition rates published for TEOS/O₂ plasma depositions.²⁻³,¹²,²⁰ The refractive indices for TEOS and TriEOS films, Table I, are identical to published values for chemically deposited SiO₂, 1.44 to 1.46.¹ Our values also agree with literature values for the refractive index of SiO₂ films deposited by PECVD from TEOS, which vary from 1.45 to 1.55.¹ The SiO₂ films grown from TMOS and TriMOS have refractive indices in the same range, 1.450 and 1.446, respectively. Variations in refractive index may be due to film species other than SiO₂. For example, the refractive index of non-crystalline Si–O is approximately 1.95 at 633 nm (Ref. 22) and is 1.4 to 1.5 for hydrocarbons.²³ Our measured refractive indices could be a combination of values for various species in the deposited film.

Also listed in Table I are the FTIR absorbance values for the –CH₃, –CH₂, Si–O, and Si–H stretching absorption bands and the ratio of the Si–O to –CH₃ absorbance values for the depositions performed with all four alkoxysilanes. The absolute absorbance values for the Si–O peaks are greater for the methoxysilanes than for the ethoxysilanes by approximately 15%. The values of the –CH₃ absorbance peak are nearly 40% less for the methoxysilanes compared to the ethoxysilanes. Furthermore, the methylene absorbance at 2930 cm⁻¹ is present in the ethoxysilane films but is absent in the methoxysilane films. As noted above, the films deposited from trialkoxysilanes show a marked increase in the values of the Si–H absorbance at 2230 cm⁻¹ (~0.015 abs) as compared to that for films deposited from the tetraalkoxysilanes (~0.002 abs). The ratio of the Si–O to –CH₃ absorbance values is approximately 4.4 for the ethoxysilanes and is 8.5 for the methoxysilanes. From these data, we conclude that the SiO₂ films grown from the methoxysilanes have a smaller degree of hydrocarbon incorporation than those grown from the ethoxysilanes. The lesser amount of carbon and hydrogen in the methyl substituent may simply give less hydrocarbon incorporation in the SiO₂ films.

Deposition time, rf power, and alkoxysilane pressure were varied to determine each of their effects on deposition rate, refractive index, and FTIR absorbance values for the –CH₃, –CH₂, and Si–O peaks. Although we do not explicitly show all these data here, we will discuss the trends observed in varying these plasma parameters.²⁴ We found that as deposition time increases, deposition rates decrease slightly and appear to level off for all four alkoxysilanes. This pattern may indicate that a steady state deposition is not immediate in the plasma reactor but is achieved within a few minutes. Published data for TEOS/O₂ plasmas show the deposition rate to be independent of deposition time over a time range of several minutes to hours.¹³,¹⁴,²⁰ Our high deposition rate, ~1360 Å/min for TEOS, allows us to study deposition rates at times less than 10 min. As deposition time is increased, the refractive indices for the four alkoxysilanes remain constant. Absorbance values for the alkane and silicon oxide bands increase as deposition time increases, indicating a thicker film. There is not a significant change in the ratio of Si–O to –CH₃ absorbance values with increased deposition time.

As the rf power is increased, deposition rates of the four alkoxysilanes increase and tend to level off or decrease, Fig. 4. Maxima for the curves indicate an optimal power to maximize deposition rate. For TEOS plasmas, the optimal rf power is between 30 and 70 W. The other alkoxysilane plasmas maximize deposition rate between 50 and 90 W of rf power. Too low an rf power may not create as many reactive species for deposition. At higher rf powers, the deposition rate does not continue to increase. At these higher powers, the reaction may be limited by other factors in the system such as decomposition of the reactive species in the plasma chamber. The index of refraction of the deposited SiO₂ films remains constant as rf power is varied from 20 to 90 W. The FTIR absorbance values for the alkane and silicon oxide stretching absorption bands increase and then decrease as power increases. As rf power increases, absorbance values, hence film thickness, follows the same pattern as deposition rate, Fig. 4.

Changing the alkoxysilane pressure from 20 to 324 mTorr in the plasma chamber has little effect on the film characteristics investigated, including deposition rate and refractive index, for all four alkoxysilanes. Our results suggest that the alkoxysilane vapor pressure in our reactor is not a limiting factor for the deposition process. The FTIR absorbance values for the films also did not change significantly as pressure was varied.

These results show that SiO₂ can indeed be deposited from these new alkoxysilane precursors. Subtle differences in the deposited films are, however, evident between the four alkoxysilanes studied and these differences give us insight into the deposition mechanism. For example, the FTIR spectra show the presence of a methylene absorbance in the films deposited from the ethoxysilanes. This absorbance is not present in the films deposited from the methoxysilanes. This
indicates that the deposition process is not disrupting the methyl group to form a –CH₂ moiety in the film. Furthermore, this could imply that the ethyl group from the ethoxysilanes is also left intact during deposition. Several studies in the literature hypothesize that the C–O bonds in the alkoxysilanes are ruptured in the plasma forming Si–O and C₄H₂ₓ−₁ species. The C–O bond (100.9 kcal/mol) is weaker than the Si–O bond (130.9 kcal/mol). Thus, this is a plausible decomposition pathway on the basis of thermochemical arguments. Thermal decomposition studies of TEOS also indicate that gas-phase decomposition products include organic fragments such as ethanol, ethylene, and methane. Our data support the hypothesis that the alkyl moieties are separated from the silicon oxygen group as the intact alkane radical.

Another important feature in our FTIR spectra is the Si–H absorbance at 2230 cm⁻¹. Fracassi et al. have reported the absence of the Si–H absorbance band in their films deposited from 100% TEOS. In contrast, several other groups report the presence of a Si–H absorbance band in the FTIR spectra of their TEOS and TEOS/O₂ plasma films. Our results do not show a distinct Si–H absorbance for the tetraalkoxysilane films. The strong intensity of the Si–H absorbance band does, however, indicate the presence of Si–H species in the films formed from the trialkoxysilane precursors, Figs. 2(B) and 3(B). This implies that, although the Si–H bond is relatively weak (≈99 kcal/mol) (Ref. 25) when compared to the Si–O bond (≈131 kcal/mol), it is not completely dissociated in the plasma decomposition process. It also suggests that Si–H bonding in the films is not formed from the hydrogen atoms in the alkane substituents. This also supports the proposed deposition mechanism of preferentially breaking the C–O bond in the alkoxysilane and producing C₂H₅ or CH₃ radicals to breaking the Si–O or Si–H bonds.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have deposited SiO₂ films on silicon and NaCl substrates from TEOS and three novel alkoxysilanes, TriEOS, TMOS, and TriMOS. The films from all four alkoxysilanes have FTIR spectra and refractive indices similar to SiO₂, and deposition rates are reasonably fast, ~1360 Å/min for TEOS. As the size of the alkane substituent decreases, the amount of hydrocarbon incorporation in the films decreases. Films deposited with trialkoxysilanes show significant amounts of Si–H bonding in their FTIR spectra, while those deposited from tetraalkoxysilanes do not. The methoxysilanes give films with a greater SiO/CH₂ ratio but at a slower deposition rate. Future investigations will continue to explore PECVD of SiO₂ from novel alkoxysilane precursors, including C₂H₅Si(OCH₃)₃.
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