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Abstract

The synthesis of the novel electronically modified phosphinoxazoline (PHOX) ligand, (\(R\))-5,5-dimethyl-(\(p\)-CF\(_3\))\(_3\)-i-PrPHOX, is described. The utility of this PHOX ligand is explored in both enantio- and diastereoselective palladium-catalyzed allylic alkylations. These investigations prove (\(R\))-5,5-dimethyl-(\(p\)-CF\(_3\))\(_3\)-i-PrPHOX to be an effective and cost-efficient alternative to electronically modified PHOX ligands derived from the prohibitively expensive (\(R\))-t-leucine.
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1. Introduction

Phosphinoxazoline (PHOX) ligands, developed by Helmchen, Williams, and Pfaltz, have proven to be a privileged ligand scaffold in transition metal catalysis. PHOX ligands have found application in a variety of asymmetric transition metal-catalyzed transformations including asymmetric hydrogenation, azomethine ylide cycloadditions, intermolecular Heck couplings, and hydrosilylation as well as transition metal-catalyzed allylic substitution and protonation reactions. Our lab has extensively explored the utility of the PHOX ligand scaffold in the palladium-catalyzed enantioselective allylic alkylation of carbocyclic and heterocyclic substrates. These investigations have revealed electronically modified PHOX ligands (i.e. (S)-((p-CF₃)₃-t-BuPHOX (L1), Figure 1) can profoundly enhance the rate of reaction as well as yield, enantiomeric excess (ee) and/or diastereomeric ratio of a product containing an all-carbon quaternary center (e.g. use of (S)-L1 vs. (S)-L2 to construct lactam cyclohexanone 2, cyclohexanone 4, cyclohexenone 6, and cyclohexanone diastereomers 9 and 10, Schemes 1A–1C and Scheme 2, respectively).

Most commonly, transition metal complexes employing tert-leucinol-derived PHOX ligands (e.g. (S)-L1 and (S)-L2, Figure 1) enable the formation of the corresponding products with the best enantiomeric and diastereomeric ratios. Although (R)-t-BuPHOX has been employed in natural product synthesis and explored in transition-metal catalyzed allylic alkylations, these examples are quite rare considering the nearly prohibitive cost of the requisite starting material for ligand synthesis, (R)-t-leucine. Previously, 5,5-geminally disubstituted (R)-valine-derived PHOX ligands (e.g. (R)-L3 and (R)-L4, Figure 2) have been constructed as cost-effective alternatives to (R)-t-BuPHOX ((R)-L2). We sought to extend this precedent to the synthesis of electronically modified congener (R)-5,5-dimethyl-(p-CF₃)₃-i-PrPHOX ((R)-L5, Figure 2) and explore its efficacy as a ligand in palladium-catalyzed enantio- and diastereoselective allylic alkylation reactions.

2. Results and discussion

2.1 Synthesis of (R)-(p-CF₃)₃-i-PrPHOXMe₂ ((R)-L5)

Synthesis of (R)-(p-CF₃)₃-i-PrPHOXMe₂ ((R)-L5) was initiated with acid chloride and the hydrogen chloride salt of (R)-valine derivative (Scheme 3). Intermolecular coupling of acid chloride and amino alcohol in the presence of excess Et₃N provides amide in 79% yield. Intramolecular cyclization of amide under acidic conditions furnishes oxazoline in 87% yield. Completion of desired ligand (R)-L5 was accomplished over two steps, beginning with the copper-mediated coupling of phosphine oxide with bromide at elevated temperature. This procedure produces phosphine oxide in 63% yield. Reduction of phosphine oxide was subsequently accomplished in neat Ph₂SiH₂ at 140 °C over 48 hours, providing the desired ligand (R)-(p-CF₃)₃-i-PrPHOXMe₂ ((R)-L5) in 81% yield in the final step of the synthetic sequence.
2.2 Use of (R)-(p-CF₃)₃-i-PrPHOXMe in Palladium-Catalyzed Asymmetric Transformations

Application of (R)-(p-CF₃)₃-i-PrPHOXMe₂ ((R)-L₅) was initially explored in the intermolecular palladium-catalyzed enantioselective allylic alkylation of silyl enol ether 17 with mesylate 18 (Scheme 4). Previously we disclosed the initial development and optimization of this transformation using (S)-t-BuPHOX ((S)-L₂), which afforded chloroallylketone (S)-19 in 82% yield and 92% ee (entry 1).¹²d Substitution of (S)-L₂ with the electronically modified (S)-(p-CF₃)₃-t-BuPHOX ((S)-L₁) provided the product ((S)-19) in a slightly diminished 91% ee (entry 2). Switching the ligand to (S)-5,5-diphenyl-i-PrPHOX ((S)-L₃) furnished chloroallylketone (S)-19 in 90% ee (entry 3). Moving into the opposite enantiomeric series, the use of (R)-5,5-dimethyl-i-PrPHOX ((R)-L₄) provided chloroallylketone (R)-19 in a somewhat diminished 89% ee (entry 4) compared to the originally optimized reaction conditions (entry 1). Alternatively, we were pleased to find that (R)-(p-CF₃)₃-i-PrPHOXMe₂ ((R)-L₅) afforded chloroallylketone (R)-19 in the same 91% ee (entry 5) in the opposite enantiomeric series compared to the use of (S)-(p-CF₃)₃-t-BuPHOX (entry 2). It is noteworthy that the required reaction time and isolated yield of chloroallylketone 19 were independent of the ligand employed. Thus, (R)-(p-CF₃)₃-i-PrPHOXMe₂ ((R)-L₅) can allow access to the enantiomeric series of products to those afforded in reactions employing (S)-L₁ without any loss in product ee in a cost-effective manner, being derived from (R)-valine, which is less than 2% of the cost of (R)-t-leucine.

The utility of (R)-(p-CF₃)₃-i-PrPHOXMe₂ ((R)-L₅) was further demonstrated in the intermolecular palladium-catalyzed diastereoselective decarboxylative allylic alkylation of β-ketoester 20 with allyl electrophile 21 (Scheme 5).¹⁶a While the system displays an inherent selectivity for the formation of diastereomer 22 in a 2:1 ratio with diastereomer 23 when achiral PHOX ligand L₆ was employed (entry 1),²¹ the use of (S)-t-BuPHOX ((S)-L₂) can override this substrate bias, providing diastereomer 23 as the major product (entry 2). Comparatively, the use of (R)-t-BuPHOX ((R)-L₂) reinforces the inherent selectivity, providing diastereomer 22 in a 12:1 ratio with minor diastereomer 23 in a combined 73% yield (entry 3). Pleasingly, the employment of (R)-(p-CF₃)₃-i-PrPHOXMe₂ ((R)-L₅) further improved this transformation, furnishing an 18:1 mixture of products in favor of diastereomer 22 in an improved 85% combined yield (entry 4). These studies revealed that (R)-(p-CF₃)₃-i-PrPHOXMe₂ ((R)-L₅) was the optimal ligand for the highly diastereoselective formation of allylic alkylation product 22. Additionally, other research groups have found (R)-(p-CF₃)₃-i-PrPHOXMe₂ ((R)-L₅) to be a uniquely effective ligand for the palladium-catalyzed diastereoselective allylic alkylation of other carbocyclic substrates.²²

3. Conclusion

Herein, we have disclosed the synthesis of a new, electronically modified phosphinooxazoline (PHOX) ligand, (R)-5,5-dimethyl-(p-CF₃)₃-i-PrPHOX ((R)-(p-CF₃)₃-i-PrPHOXMe₂, (R)-L₅). Derived from (R)-valine, this cost-effective alternative to (R)-(p-CF₃)₃-t-BuPHOX ((R)-L₁) has proved effective in both palladium-catalyzed enantio- and diastereoselective allylic alkylations, furnishing the alkylation products in comparable ee and improved diastereomeric ratio. Efforts to further explore the utility of the readily

*Tetrahedron Lett.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2016 August 05.
available (R)-(p-CF3)3-i-PrPHOXMe2 ligand in palladium-catalyzed stereoselective transformations are currently underway.
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Figure 1.
Electronically Modified and Unmodified (S)-t-BuPHOX Ligands
Figure 2.
5,5-Geminally Disubstituted (R)-Valine-Derived PHOX ligands
Scheme 1.
Comparison of Electronically Modified ($S$)-(p-$CF_3$)$_3$-t-BuPHOX (($S$)-L1) and Unmodified ($S$)-t-BuPHOX (($S$)-L2) in Intramolecular Palladium-Catalyzed Enantioselective Allylic Alkylation
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Scheme 2.
Comparison of Electronically Modified \((S)-(p\text{-}CF_3)_3\text{-}t\text{-}BuPHOX ((S)-L1) and Unmodified \((S)\text{-}t\text{-}BuPHOX ((S)-L2) in Diastereoselective Decarboxylative Alkylation Cascade
Scheme 3.
Synthesis of (R)-(p-CF$_3$)$_3$-i-PrPHOX$^{Me_2}$ ((R)-L5)
Scheme 4.
Ligand Comparison in Enantioselective Palladium-Catalyzed Intermolecular Allylic Alkylation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>Amino Acid Ligand Precursor</th>
<th>Amino Acid Cost per gram ($)(^a)</th>
<th>Product ee (%)(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(S)-L2</td>
<td>tert-Leucine</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>-92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(S)-L1</td>
<td>tert-Leucine</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>-91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(S)-L3</td>
<td>Valine</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>-90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(R)-L4</td>
<td>Valine</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(R)-L5</td>
<td>Valine</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Cost per gram of amino acid from Sigma-Aldrich, accessed 4/30/2015.

\(^b\) Enantiomeric excess (ee) measured by analytical chiral GC.
Scheme 5.
Diastereoselective Decarboxylative Allylic Alkylation Employing \((R)-(\rho\text{-CF}_3)_3i\text{-PrPHOX}^\text{Me}_2\) ((\(R\))-L5).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>% yield</th>
<th>dr ((22:23))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(L6)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>((S))-L2</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>1:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>((R))-L2</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>12:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>((R))-L5</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>18:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(\text{a Isolated yield of } 22 \text{ and } 23\). \(\text{b Determined by } ^1\text{H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture and analytical GC analysis}\)