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ABSTRACT

The recent discovery by Bachetti et al.\textsuperscript{1} (2014) of a pulsar in M82 that can reach luminosities of up to $10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$, a factor of $\sim 100$ the Eddington luminosity for a 1.4 $M_\odot$ compact object, poses a challenge for accretion physics. In order to better understand the nature of this source and its duty cycle, and in the light of several physical models that have been subsequently published, we conduct a spectral and temporal analysis of the 0.5-8 keV X-ray emission from this source from 15 years of Chandra observations. We analyze 19 ACIS observations where the PSF of the pulsar is not contaminated by nearby sources. We fit the Chandra spectra of the pulsar with a power-law model and a disk black body model, subjected to interstellar absorption in M82. We carefully assess for the effect of pile-up in our observations, where 4 observations have a pile-up fraction $>10\%$, which we account for during spectral modeling with a convolution model. When fitted with a power-law model, the average photon index when the source is at high luminosity ($L_X > 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$) is $\Gamma = 1.33 \pm 0.15$. For the disk black body model, the average temperature is $T_{\text{in}} = 3.24 \pm 0.65$ keV, the spectral shape being consistent with other luminous X-ray pulsars. We also investigated the inclusion of a soft excess component and spectral break, finding that the spectra are also consistent with these features common to luminous X-ray pulsars. In addition, we present spectral analysis from NuSTAR over the 3–50 keV range where we have isolated the pulsed component. We find that the pulsed emission in this band is best fit by a power-law with a high-energy cut-off, where $\Gamma = 0.6 \pm 0.3$ and $E_C = 14^{+5}_{-3}$ keV. While the pulsar has previously been identified as a transient, we find from our longer-baseline study that it has been remarkably active over the 15-year period, where for 9/19 (47%) observations that we analyzed, the pulsar appears to be emitting at a luminosity in excess of $10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$, greater than 10 times its Eddington limit.

Subject headings: stars: neutron – galaxies: individual (M82) – X-rays: binaries

\textbf{1. INTRODUCTION}

The discovery of coherent pulsations with a period of 1.37 s in the X-ray emission of M82 by NuSTAR\textsuperscript{4} (Bachetti et al.\textsuperscript{1} hereafter B14), shown to be associated with an ultra-luminous X-ray source (ULX) that is known to reach luminosities of $10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (with the assumption that the source radiates isotropically) is a challenge to accretion physics and has fuelled speculation as to the nature of this source (Lytotuk\textsuperscript{4} et al.\textsuperscript{4} 2014, Christodoulou et al.\textsuperscript{4} 2014, Fragos et al.\textsuperscript{4} 2014, Eksi et al.\textsuperscript{4} 2015, Kinzintz\textsuperscript{4} & Lasota\textsuperscript{4} 2015, Shao & Li\textsuperscript{4} 2015, Dall’Osso et al.\textsuperscript{4} 2015, Mushtukov et al.\textsuperscript{4} 2015). Since the pulsations are almost certainly produced by a rapidly spinning magnetized neutron star with a mass of $\sim 1.4$ $M_\odot$, the observed peak X-ray luminosity is 100 times the system’s Eddington limit.

From B14, the neutron star orbits its companion with a 2.5-day period that is close to circular, having a projected semi-major axis of 22.225 light s (6.66$\times$10$^9$ km) and a companion star with a minimum mass of 5.2 $M_\odot$. A linear spin up is also observed from the pulsations during the NuSTAR observations, with a pulse derivative $\dot{P} \sim -2 \times 10^{-10}$ s s$^{-1}$, that varies from observation to observation. The pulse profile is also close to sinusoidal (B14). Any theoretical model must be able to account for all of these properties.

High $B$-field ($B \gtrsim 10^{12}$ G) accreting pulsars have been observed to emit in excess of their isotropic Eddington luminosities. Magnetic fields allow pulsars to exceed their Eddington luminosities by funneling the accreting material along the magnetic field lines onto the magnetic poles of the neutron star, with the X-ray emission radiating out the sides of the accretion column. Observational evidence that showed the pulsar SMC X-1 to be super-Eddington motivated calculations of radiative transfer in the presence of these magnetic fields by Basko & Sunyaev\textsuperscript{4} (1975). The authors calculate the limiting luminosity of these systems, showing that this depends strongly on the geometry of the accretion channel (Basko & Sunyaev\textsuperscript{4} 1976). Dall’Osso et al.\textsuperscript{4} (2015) consider the observational properties of the pulsar in M82 and numerically solve the torque equation with respect to the scenario in which matter is...
fueled along the magnetic field lines, and argue in favor of a high magnetic field strength \( (B \sim 10^{13} \, \text{G}) \) to explain the variation in flux and the pulsar’s high luminosity. In this case the strength of the magnetic field would disrupt the accretion disk at much larger radii \( (\lesssim (80 - 90)R_{\odot}) \), causing the disk to decrease. This would be difficult to observe, however, since the X-ray emission from the accreting pulsar is dominated by the base of the accretion column near the neutron star’s surface. A magnetic field of \( B \sim 10^{13} \, \text{G} \) or stronger was also favored for the ULX pulsar by 

König et al. (2007) and designated CXOM82 J095551.1+694045. This source is now known to be the second most luminous X-ray pulsars. These authors argue that a disk truncated at large radii would not provide the required lever arm to power the observed spin-up. Another interpretation of the magnetic field by 

Christodoulou et al. (2014) implies that the magnetic field is in fact typical of pulsars at \( \sim 10^{12} \, \text{G} \) and that the observed luminosity can be accounted for by geometric beaming. However, the near-sinuousoidal shape of the pulse profile suggests that strong beaming does not occur, and further, Eddington ratios of \( \sim 100 \) are difficult to reconcile with this scenario. It is clear from these arguments that further observational evidence, such as the duty cycle of the source and X-ray spectral properties are needed to gain more insights into the nature of the source and its evolution.

The ULX associated with the pulsar was first resolved by 

Chandra HRC in October 1999 (Matsumoto et al. 2001) and designated CXOM82 J095551.1+694045. This source is now known to be the second most luminous X-ray source in M82 (Feng & Kaaret 2007; Kong et al. 2007) and thus is commonly referred to as M82 X-2. Both Feng & Kaaret (2007) and Kong et al. (2007) use the Chandra data on M82 to study this source, noting its high X-ray luminosity and its month-timescale variability, identifying it as a transient. As for associations at other wavelengths, Kong et al. (2007) found that X-2 is coincident with the position of a star cluster seen in a near-infrared 

HST NICMOS F160W image, also associated with the radio source 42.21+59.2 from McDonald et al. (2002), identified as an H II region. Furthermore, Gandhi et al. (2011) presented high-resolution mid-infrared imaging of the center of M82 and tentatively assigned their source #11 as a counterpart to X-2, based on its proximity to the position of the radio source. M82 X-1, which generally dominates the X-ray emission of the galaxy, is a candidate for an intermediate mass black hole, based on its extreme X-ray luminosity, which reach up to \( 10^{41} \, \text{erg s}^{-1} \) (e.g. Kaaret et al. 2006) and the detection of twin-peaked quasi-periodic oscillations at frequencies of 3.3 and 5.1 Hz (Pasham et al. 2014). M82 X-1 and X-2 are separated by only 3" and thus only resolvable by Chandra.

Since the results presented in Feng & Kaaret (2007) and Kong et al. (2007), M82 has been observed by Chandra on 18 further occasions, including the Chandra data used in B14 to identify the source of the ultra-luminous pulsations. Feng & Kaaret (2007) and Kong et al. (2007) identify X-2 as a transient, however, B14 found that the source retains its high luminosity 7 years later. If X-2 persists at luminosities of \( \sim 10^{40} \, \text{erg s}^{-1} \), assuming a mass to energy conversion efficiency of unity, the neutron star will grow at a rate of \( \sim 2 \times 10^{-7} \, M_\odot \, \text{yr}^{-1} \), meaning it will collapse into a black hole within \( \sim 10 \) million years.

The goal of this paper is to better understand the duty cycle of the source and its spectral characteristics, and discuss these characteristics with respect to theoretical models and other luminous pulsars. While only Chandra data can be used to spatially resolve the pulsar, NuSTAR, with its timing capabilities, allows it to temporally isolate the pulsed component due to the coherent pulsations emitted by the source. We carry out spectral analysis of the source in the 0.5–8 keV band using archival Chandra data and spectroscopy in the 3–50 keV band using NuSTAR. In Section 2 we describe the Chandra data and analysis and in Section 3 we describe the NuSTAR data and analysis. In Section 4 we present our results and in Section 5 we discuss our findings with respect to other luminous X-ray pulsars and their implications for theoretical models. A distance of 3.3 Mpc to M82 is assumed throughout (Foley et al. 2014).

2. CHANDRA DATA AND ANALYSIS

In total, M82 has been observed 28 times by Chandra, first on 1999 September 20 with ACIS-I and most recently on 2015 January 20 with ACIS-S, covering more than 15 years of activity in the galaxy. The data contain a range of exposure times for each obsID, ranging from 2–120 ks, taken with all three instruments, ACIS-I, ACIS-S and HRC, all without the use of the gratings. Furthermore, the galaxy has been placed at a mixture of on-axis and off-axis angles, the off-axis angles being used to mitigate pileup from the bright X-ray sources by spreading out the counts over a wider area of the detector. This has often been combined with sub-array readout, typically 1/8th of one ACIS-S chip, also to mitigate pileup by reducing frame times from 3.2 s to 0.4 s. These observational data are summarized in Table 1.

We also present images of the central 20" × 20" region of M82 from each of the 28 obsIDs, centered on X-2 in Fig 1. This figure also illustrates the range of Chandra data available on this galaxy. It is clear from this figure that not all the data can be used to study X-2, as in many cases the PSF of X-2 is blended with the PSF of two nearby sources to the south (i.e. obsIDs 378, 379, 380, 6007, 8190, and 10027).

We carry out analysis on level 2 event data that has undergone standard data processing by the Chandra X-ray Center. We extract the spectrum of the source for all obsIDs where the PSF of X-2 is not blended with those of nearby sources. Since our aim is to carry out spectral fitting, we do not use the 3 obsIDs of HRC data due to the limited spectral capabilities of this instrument. After excluding 6 obsIDs where the PSF of X-2 is blended and the 3 obsIDs of HRC data, our dataset for spectral extraction consists of 19 ACIS observations. We use the CIAO
Figure 1. 20′′×20′′ 0.5–8 keV Chandra images of the central region of M82, consisting of 28 obsIDs taken over the 15 year-period 1999 to 2015. The obsIDs are written in the top left of each image. Images are centered on the position of the ultra-luminous pulsar, X-2, which is marked with white cross-hairs. The data were taken with a variety of instruments, exposure times, off-axis angles and detector sub-arrays, details of which are given in Table 1. From top-left to bottom-right, the images are ordered by epoch, starting in September 1999 and ending in January 2015. The green circle to the north-east of X-2 marks the radio kinematic center of the galaxy from Weliachew et al. (1984). North is to the top of the image and east is to the left.
Table 1
Chandra observational data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ObsID</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Instrument</th>
<th>Exposure (ks)</th>
<th>Pile-up fraction</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>361</td>
<td>1999-09-20</td>
<td>ACIS-I</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>&lt;1% &lt;1%</td>
<td>on axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>378*</td>
<td>1999-12-30</td>
<td>ACIS-I</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>off axis, sub-array, X-2 PSF blended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>379*</td>
<td>2000-03-11</td>
<td>ACIS-I</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>off axis, sub-array, X-2 PSF blended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>380*</td>
<td>2000-05-07</td>
<td>ACIS-I</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>off axis, X-2 PSF blended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6097*</td>
<td>2005-02-04</td>
<td>ACIS-S</td>
<td>58.2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>off axis, sub-array, X-2 PSF blended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5644</td>
<td>2005-08-17</td>
<td>ACIS-S</td>
<td>75.1</td>
<td>&lt;5% &lt;5%</td>
<td>on axis, sub-array</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6361</td>
<td>2005-08-18</td>
<td>ACIS-S</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>&lt;5% &lt;5%</td>
<td>on axis, sub-array</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8505*</td>
<td>2007-01-09</td>
<td>HRC</td>
<td>61.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8190*</td>
<td>2007-06-02</td>
<td>ACIS-S</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>off axis, X-2 PSF blended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10025</td>
<td>2009-04-17</td>
<td>ACIS-S</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>&lt;1% &lt;1%</td>
<td>off axis, sub-array</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10026</td>
<td>2009-04-29</td>
<td>ACIS-S</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>&lt;1% &lt;1%</td>
<td>off axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10542</td>
<td>2009-06-24</td>
<td>ACIS-S</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>&lt;1% &lt;1%</td>
<td>on axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10543</td>
<td>2009-07-01</td>
<td>ACIS-S</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>&lt;1% &lt;1%</td>
<td>on axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10925</td>
<td>2009-07-07</td>
<td>ACIS-S</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>&lt;1% &lt;1%</td>
<td>off axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10544</td>
<td>2009-07-07</td>
<td>ACIS-S</td>
<td>74.5</td>
<td>&lt;1% &lt;1%</td>
<td>off axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11104</td>
<td>2010-06-17</td>
<td>ACIS-S</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>&gt;10% &gt;10%</td>
<td>on axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11800</td>
<td>2010-07-20</td>
<td>ACIS-S</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>&lt;1% &lt;1%</td>
<td>off axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10545</td>
<td>2010-07-28</td>
<td>ACIS-S</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>~5% ~5%</td>
<td>off axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13796</td>
<td>2012-08-09</td>
<td>ACIS-S</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>&gt;10% &gt;10%</td>
<td>on axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15616</td>
<td>2013-02-24</td>
<td>ACIS-S</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>&lt;5% &lt;5%</td>
<td>on axis, short observation (2 ks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16580</td>
<td>2014-02-03</td>
<td>ACIS-S</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>&gt;10% &gt;10%</td>
<td>on axis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17578</td>
<td>2015-01-16</td>
<td>ACIS-S</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>~1% ~1%</td>
<td>off axis, sub-array</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16023</td>
<td>2015-01-20</td>
<td>ACIS-S</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>&gt;10% &gt;10%</td>
<td>on axis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. — Details of the 28 Chandra observations of M82 taken from 1999 to 2015, ordered by date. Column (1) gives the obsID (*) indicates that this observation was not used in our investigation due to blended PSFs or HRC data), column (2) gives the date of the observation, column (3) gives the instrument used, column (4) gives the total exposure time in ks, column (5) gives an estimate of the pile-up fraction described in Section 2, and column (6) gives details about if the observation was taken off-axis and/or with a sub-array of pixels in order to mitigate the effect of pile-up. We also note if the PSF of X-2 is blended with nearby sources.
The diffuse emission is $7.6^{+2.3}_{-1.9}$ for the extraction of the source and background spectra is reduced significantly. Figure 2 shows the regions used for background subtraction, after which the soft component results from this diffuse emission. Thus we use the spectrum of the diffuse emission for the soft component, which contains a prominent residual soft component. When extracting the spectra of the diffuse emission (see Ranalli et al. 2008) from a region nearby X-2, we determine that the soft component results from this diffuse emission. We thus use the spectrum of the diffuse emission for background subtraction, after which the soft component is reduced significantly. Figure 2 shows the regions used for the extraction of the source and background spectra for obsID 16023. The rectangular region used to extract the diffuse emission is $7.6'' \times 1.9''$.

A major effect that must be taken into account before we can perform spectral fitting is pile-up. Pile-up occurs when more than one photon lands on the same pixel between two readouts of the CCD. In this case multiple photons are counted as a single event with increased energy, or they are not counted at all (in the case of grade migration). Pile-up therefore affects both the inferred flux and spectral shape. The standard readout time for the ACIS detectors is $3.2$ s, and with a count rate that can get up to $\sim1$ count s$^{-1}$, the effect of pile-up on X-2 observations cannot be neglected. As mentioned above, the effect of pile-up can be reduced by the use of a sub-array of pixels that reduces the readout time to $0.4$ s for $1/8$ ACIS-S chip, or by moving the source off-axis, which spreads the photons over several pixels. Off-axis observations have the drawback that the PSF of close sources can become blended.

To estimate the level of pile-up in our data, we use the CIAO tool pileup_map, which outputs an image of counts per ACIS frame, which can then be used to estimate a pile-up fraction. For off-axis and sub-array observations, the count rate per frame is typically less than $0.1$, which corresponds to a pile-up fraction of $<5\%$. For on-axis observations with full CCDs, the counts per frame are many times higher, with typical pile-up fractions $>10\%$. We list these estimates in Table 1. We identify 4 observations where the estimated pile-up fraction is $>10\%$: 2933, 11104, 13796 and 16580. For these observations, the effect of pile-up can be accounted for in spectral fitting for cases where pile-up is not strong using a convolution model based on an algorithm presented in Davis (2001).

We use XSPEC (v12.8.2) to carry out spectral fitting of X-2. We group the spectra with a minimum of 20 counts per bin using the HEASARC tool grppha using the $\chi^2$ fit statistic and carrying out background subtraction. There are not enough counts in the spectra extracted from obsID 1302 and 15616 for $\chi^2$ fitting, thus we group the spectrum to have a minimum of 1 count per bin and use the Cash fit statistic. We fit the spectra in the energy range $0.5$–$8$ keV with two models, a powerlaw (powlaw) model and a disk black body (diskbb) model, both of which are subjected to photoelectric absorption local to the source (zwabs). Despite subtracting off the diffuse background close to the position of X-2, we find that soft excess emission still persists over the above models. We account for this by adding the collisionally-ionized diffuse gas model, apec, that is not subjected to the same absorption intrinsic to the source. While many X-ray pulsars indeed present soft X-ray excesses thought to be produced by the reprocessing of the hard X-rays in the inner region of the accretion disk (see e.g. Hickox et al. 2004), the absorption in this system ($N_H \sim 3 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$) makes it unlikely that the excess we observe is intrinsic to X-2.

The absorption column and $\Gamma$ (or $T_{\text{in}}$) are degenerate given a limited bandpass (i.e. a hard spectrum can be fitted with a steep $\Gamma$ and high $N_H$ or a flat $\Gamma$ and low $N_H$). For this reason we simultaneously fit for $N_H$ across all epochs, which is thus driven by the spectra with the greatest counts. The parameters of the powlaw or diskbb model are free for each epoch. The absorption in the spectrum is attributed to the interstellar medium in M82 rather than being local to the neutron star, and thus is not expected to change on the time-scales that we

---

1 http://cxc.harvard.edu/csc/memos/files/Davis_pileup.pdf
are considering. We confirm this explicitly by fitting the spectra individually with free N_H parameters, and find no evidence for a variable absorber. Furthermore, as we attribute the soft excess to diffuse emission, we also simultaneously fit for the temperature of the apec model, leaving the normalizations free due to the different extraction regions used.

For both model cases we test the effect of adding the multiplicative pileup model. In these cases, the frame time parameter is set dependent on the size of the subarray used. We set the PSF fraction (not the fraction of the PSF included in the extraction region but the fraction of counts in the region which are from the point source whose pile-up is being modeled) to 95%. The only parameter left free when using this model is $\alpha$, which is the grade morphing parameter. The parameter $\alpha$ is related to the grade migration function, $G = \alpha^n - 1$, where $p$ is the number of piled photons. We consider four factors when deciding whether to include this model in the spectral fit. We visually inspect the spectrum for a telltale turn-up at high energies. We use the estimated pile-up fraction from the pileup_map tool and consider if the observation was taken off-axis and/or with a sub-array. Generally on-axis observations show pile-up fractions greater than 10% and we thus include the pileup model in the fit. Lastly, if the pileup model is included and the best-fit $\alpha$ parameter converges on a small number or zero, which is considered unphysical, we remove the pileup model from the fit.

For the powerlaw model, the free parameters are the photon-index, $\Gamma$, and the normalization. We allow $\Gamma$ to vary between $-3$ and $10$. For the diskbb model, the free parameters are $T_{in}$, the temperature of the inner disk in keV, and the normalization. We restrict the disk temperature to $< 10$ keV. For both models the normalization of the apec model is a free parameter. As described above, the temperature of this component we fit for simultaneously across all epochs and found to be $0.44^{+0.28}_{-0.25}$ keV and $0.58^{+0.38}_{-0.17}$ keV for the powerlaw and diskbb models respectively. The $N_H$ of the zwabs model is also fitted simultaneously and found to be $3.4^{+0.15}_{-0.11} \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ and $2.8^{+0.10}_{-0.09} \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$ respectively. The diskbb model requires less absorption since it predicts fewer soft X-ray photons than the powerlaw model. The redshift is fixed at 0.00067 for all model components.

Uncertainties on the free parameters are calculated using the $\Delta \chi^2=4.61$ criterion, which corresponds to 90% confidence level for two interesting parameters.

3. NUSTAR DATA AND ANALYSIS

* * * NuSTAR* [Harrison et al. 2013] observed the M82 field 7 times between 2014 January 23 and 2014 March 06, as described in B14, for a total exposure of 1.91 Ms during which the pulsations were detected. A *Chandra* exposure (47.5 ks, obsID 16580) overlapped with the *NuSTAR* observation during a period where the pulsations were present. While B14 presented timing and photometric analysis of the pulsar, we aim here to present for the first time some of its spectral characteristics above 10 keV by isolating the pulsed component. For this we used the NuSTAR data analysis software (NuSTARDAS) version 1.2.0 and NuSTAR CALDB version 20130509 with the standard filters to obtain good time intervals, excluding the periods where the source was occulted by the Earth or was transiting through the South Atlantic Anomaly. We used the pulsar ephemeris described in B14 to extract “pulse-on” and “pulse-off” spectra. We then subtract the pulse-off spectrum from the pulse-on spectrum to obtain the pulsed spectrum which we model with some simple models in order to characterize the data and to facilitate comparison with other well-studied pulsars.

Due to the triggered read out of the *NuSTAR* detectors, pile-up is not an issue at the flux levels of M82. The *NuSTAR* data were rebinned to a signal-to-noise of 3, providing a significant signal up to $\sim 40-50$ keV. We fit the pulsed spectrum with models consisting of an absorbed power-law continuum both with and without an exponential cut-off (*cutoffpl*), both of which are subjected to interstellar absorption, where we fix the $N_H$ to $3 \times 10^{22}$ cm$^{-2}$, as determined from the *Chandra* analysis.

4. RESULTS

The results of the spectral fits using both the powerlaw and disk black-body model are given in Table 2. The fit statistic, $\chi^2$, for the combined fits with the power-law model is 1439.89 with 1315 degrees of freedom ($\chi^2_2=1.09$), whereas for the disk black body model $\chi^2=1233.03$ with 1315 degrees of freedom ($\chi^2_2=1.01$). Comparing these fit statistics suggests that the disk black body model is overall the best model. However, at the lowest luminosities, the error calculations for the temperature of the disk black body model fail, likely due to the hard spectrum at these luminosities.

The intrinsic luminosity estimates (corrected for absorption) between the two models differ due to both the diverging spectral shape above 8 keV (luminosity measurements are extrapolated to 10 keV for comparison with previous works) and in the soft X-ray band, plus the differing pile-up estimates caused by the difference in spectral shape of the models. The disk black body model gives a systematically lower 0.5–10 keV intrinsic luminosity, by typically 25%. Nonetheless, our analysis shows that X-2 is frequently observed to be emitting well above its Eddington luminosity, assuming a typical NS mass of 1.4 $M_\odot$, regardless of the model used. This is illustrated in Figure 3 which shows intrinsic (unabsorbed) $L_X$ against time. For 9/19 (47%) observations that we analyzed, we found that X-2 emits at a luminosity in excess of $10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ and thus relatively persistent, rather than transient as identified by [Feng & Kaaret 2007] and [Kong et al. 2007].

We also note the period of extreme flux variability in 2010 where the source drops almost two orders of magnitude in brightness in the space of a month. The exposure times of these two observations (11104 and 11800) are too short to reveal any significant variability during the observations, however. Of the longer observations available, obsIDs 5644 (75 ks) and 10545 (96 ks) are least affected by pile-up due to a sub array of pixels used in the former and X-2 lying at off-axis angles in the latter. The light curves of these observations are shown in Figure 4. While X-2 does not show much variability during obsID 5644, it does show variability of up to a factor of two on ks-timescales during obsID 10545.

We also examine the spectra of X-2 for these two long observations in order to gain further insights into the source, shown in Figure 5 and Figure 6. During obsID 5644 X-2 was observed to be near its peak luminosity.
of \( \sim 1 \times 10^{40} \) erg s\(^{-1} \), while during obsID 10545 X-2 was at a lower luminosity of \( \sim 4 \times 10^{39} \) erg s\(^{-1} \). In Figure 5 we show the data-to-model ratios of these spectra when fitted by the power-law and disk black body models. In neither case do the ratios show any deviations indicative of a bad fit. Furthermore, the \( \chi^2 \) values are comparable between the models.

Figure 4 shows the relationship between the spectral parameters \( \Gamma \) of the power-law model and \( T_{\text{in}} \) of the disk black body model with respect to \( L_X \). For \( L_X > 10^{39} \) erg s\(^{-1} \) the mean \( \Gamma = 1.33 \pm 0.15 \) (1σ), whereas the mean \( T_{\text{in}} = 3.24 \pm 0.65 \). Below \( 10^{39} \) erg s\(^{-1} \), \( \Gamma \) and \( T_{\text{in}} \) are not well constrained and show a large spread in values.

In addition to the \textit{Chandra} spectral analysis, we have conducted \textit{NuSTAR} pulse-phased spectroscopy of the pulsar in the 3–50 keV range, as described in Section 3.

We fit the pulsed spectrum with a power-law model and a model with an exponential cut-off (\textit{cutoffpl} in \textit{XSPEC}), both of which are subjected to photo-electric absorption. We find that the power-law with a cut-off is significantly preferred (\( \Delta \chi^2 = 20 \) for one additional free parameter). The fit is excellent (\( \chi^2/\text{DoF} = 132/126 \)) and we find the photon index of the pulsed component to be \( \Gamma = 0.6 \pm 0.3 \), with a high energy cut-off, \( E_C = 14^{+5}_{-3} \) keV. The average pulsed flux in this band is \( 5.7 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-12} \) erg cm\(^{-2} \) s\(^{-1} \), corresponding to a luminosity of \( 7.5 \times 10^{39} \) erg s\(^{-1} \) at 3.3 Mpc. We present the pulsed spectrum of M82 X-2 in Figure 5 unfolded through the instrumental response assuming the cut-off power-law model.

5. COMPARISON OF THE M82 PULSARS WITH OTHER LUMINOUS X-RAY PULSARS AND IMPLICATIONS FOR THEORETICAL MODELS

Other examples of luminous (> \( 10^{38} \) erg s\(^{-1} \)) X-ray pulsars include SMC X-1, LMC X-4, GRO J1744-28, RX J0059.2-7138, XTE J0111.2-7317 and A0538-66. Although M82 X-2 can reach luminosities an order of magnitude brighter than these sources, a comparison with them is valuable. The X-ray spectra of these sources are typically fitted with a power-law model with \( \Gamma = 0.5 – 1.5 \), subjected to absorption along the line of sight, and in some cases with a high-energy cut-off with energies ranging from 5–30 keV.

\cite{Paul et al. 2002} fit the phase-averaged spectra of SMC X-1 and LMC X-4 in the 0.7–10 keV band using \textit{ASCA} data. The spectrum of SMC X-1 was modeled well with a cut-off power-law, where \( \Gamma = 0.91 \pm 0.03 \) and the cut-off energy is \( 5.5_{-1.4}^{+1.0} \) keV. The spectrum of LMC X-4 did not require a cut-off, and could be reproduced by a plain power-law with \( \Gamma = 0.69 \pm 0.04 \). The other examples of super-Eddington pulsars, GRO J1744-28, RX J0059.2-7138, XTE J0111.2-7317 and A0538-66 are also well described by cut-off power-laws or power-law models, both with similar parameters (see \cite{Nishiuchi et al. 1999, Yonemitsu et al. 2015, Sidoli et al. 2015, Yokokawa et al. 2000, Skinner et al. 1982} respectively).

The phase-averaged 0.5–8 keV X-ray spectral proper-
Figure 3. Long-term activity of X-2 over the 15 years of Chandra observations showing that the source is frequently observed to be radiating at many times its Eddington limit. Vertical lines indicate the 90% confidence range on the measured 0.5–10 keV luminosity, which is calculated from the power-law model assuming a distance to M82 of 3.3 Mpc. Dark blue squares show observations which were taken off-axis and/or with a sub-array of pixels to mitigate the effect of pile-up. Light blue squares are observations taken on-axis with the full array of CCDs. The horizontal lines show the Eddington limiting luminosity for a 1.4 $M_\odot$ object, along with 10 and 100 times this.

Figure 4. Light-curves of X-2 for obsIDs 5644 and 10545, with 2 ks bins.

ties of M82 X-2 are very similar to these other sources, with $\Gamma = 1.33 \pm 0.15$ for a power-law model with no cut-off. The long Chandra observation obsID 5644 where the source is caught in an ultra-luminous state, but where pile-up is negligible, offers us the opportunity to test for the presence of a cut-off and if any constraints can be placed on it. Fitting this spectrum with this model yields $\Gamma = 0.70^{+0.68}_{-0.65}$ and $E_C = 6.19^{+5.9}_{-2.9}$ keV, where $\chi^2 = 389.87$ for 383 degrees of freedom. The fit with the power-law without a cut-off gives $\chi^2 = 395.66$ for 339 degrees of freedom, thus the addition of the cut-off yields an improvement in $\chi^2$ of only 6 for the addition of one parameter and the cut-off energy is not well constrained in the Chandra data alone.

NuSTAR, however, can measure the cut-off due to its

Figure 5. 75 ks Chandra spectrum of X-2 taken in 2005 (obsID 5644), taken on axis but with a sub-array of pixels to reduce pileup. The top panel shows the unfolded spectrum fitted with an absorbed power-law, plotted with a dashed line. The dotted line shows the apec model used to model the excess diffuse background. The middle panel shows the data-to-model ratio of this fit. The bottom panel shows the data-to-model ratio of a fit with the disk black body model.
sensitivity above 10 keV. From analysis of the pulsed component in the 3–50 keV range we find that the pulsed spectrum is best fitted by a power-law with a high-energy cut-off, where $\Gamma = 0.6 \pm 0.3$ and $E_C = 14^{+5}_{-3}$ keV. These values are very similar to the phase-averaged spectrum described above, albeit with much better constraints owing to the high-energy sensitivity of NuSTAR. The similarity with the phase-averaged spectrum from Chandra indicates that the pulsed spectrum dominates the emission of the pulsar. It is also interesting to note that the cut-off energy of the pulsed component is higher than that observed in other ULXs where the nature of the accretors remain unknown, whose spectra typically cut off at 6–8 keV (e.g. Bachetti et al. 2013; Walton et al. 2013; Rana et al. 2015; Mukherjee et al. 2015). Dall’Osso et al. (2015) note the empirical relationship between the energy of the cyclotron resonance features, $E_{\text{cyc}}$, of four X-ray pulsars and the cut-off energy in their spectra, $E_C$ (see Makishima et al. 1990), where $E_{\text{cyc}} = (1.4–1.8) \times E_C$. Many of the theoretical modeling papers that have aimed to explain the nature of the ultrasensitive above 10 keV. From analysis of the pulsed component in the 3–50 keV range we find that the pulsed spectrum is best fitted by a power-law with a high-energy cut-off, where $\Gamma = 0.6 \pm 0.3$ and $E_C = 14^{+5}_{-3}$ keV. These values are very similar to the phase-averaged spectrum described above, albeit with much better constraints owing to the high-energy sensitivity of NuSTAR. The similarity with the phase-averaged spectrum from Chandra indicates that the pulsed spectrum dominates the emission of the pulsar. It is also interesting to note that the cut-off energy of the pulsed component is higher than that observed in other ULXs where the nature of the accretors remain unknown, whose spectra typically cut off at 6–8 keV (e.g. Bachetti et al. 2013; Walton et al. 2013; Rana et al. 2015; Mukherjee et al. 2015). Dall’Osso et al. (2015) note the empirical relationship between the energy of the cyclotron resonance features, $E_{\text{cyc}}$, of four X-ray pulsars and the cut-off energy in their spectra, $E_C$ (see Makishima et al. 1990), where $E_{\text{cyc}} = (1.4–1.8) \times E_C$. Many of the theoretical modeling papers that have aimed to explain the nature of the ultra-luminous pulsar have presented different scenarios for the strength of its magnetic field. Since $E_{\text{cyc}}$ is directly proportional to the strength of the magnetic field, then $E_C$ could potentially yield information about the magnetic field strength. Following this, the 14 keV cut-off that we measure in the pulsed spectrum implies a $B \sim 10^{12}$ G magnetic field. However, we note that there are exceptions to the above relation, for example KS 1947+319, where $E_{\text{cyc}}=12$ keV and $E_C=22$ keV (Fürst et al. 2014). Dall’Osso et al. (2015) also discuss the variability exhibited by X-2, which can be explained by relatively small changes in the mass accretion rate in the presence of a strong magnetic field, whereby the source at low luminosities enters the propeller regime. We note, however, that the minimum luminosity at which accretion is possible in the presence of a $B = 10^{13}$ G magnetic field, a strength which they favor, with a 1.37 s period is $\sim 2 \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ (see Stella et al. 1986). Considering that we observe X-2 at much lower luminosities, argues against such a strong magnetic field given the discussion above. Another feature expected to be ubiquitous among luminous X-ray pulsars is a soft-excess component (Hickox et al. 2004), thought to be produced by reprocessing of the primary emission by the accretion disk or thermal emission from the accretion disk itself (e.g. Endo et al. 2000; Fürst et al. 2013). It is typically modeled by a black body model with a temperature of $kT_{\text{BB}} = 0.1 – 0.2$ keV. The spectrum of X-2 from these observations shows no evidence for soft excess emission, most likely due to the high absorption along the line of sight to the source. We nevertheless test what constraints can be placed on the presence of this component with the two long observations 5644 and 10545. We add a black body emission model $bbody$ to the fits of these spectra with the power-law model, and subject it to the same absorption as previously assumed. For obsID 5644, the addition of this component gives an improvement in $\chi^2$ of 9 for the addi-
super-massive black holes found in quasars at high redshift. These results could have important implications for the formation and growth of supermassive black holes, theo-

ratical modeling of which often employs an early supercritical accretion phase to explain the masses of the super-massive black holes found in quasars at $z \sim 7$ (e.g.

$E_{\text{cut-off}} = 5.7 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-12}$ erg cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, corresponding to a luminosity of $7.5 \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ at 3.3 Mpc.

Concerning the duty cycle of X-2, we have found that the neutron star is growing at a rate of $\sim 10^{50}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in the 0.5–8 keV band and is expected to collapse into a black hole within $\sim 100$ million years.

6. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have conducted a temporal and spectral analysis of the 0.5–8 keV X-ray emission from the ultra-luminous X-ray pulsar M82 X-2 from 15 years of Chandra observations and pulse-phased spectroscopy in the 3–50 keV band from NuSTAR data. Our main findings are as follows:

- When fitted with a power-law model, the average photon index for epochs where $L_X > 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$ in the 0.5–8 keV band is $\Gamma = 1.33 \pm 0.15$. For the disk black body model, the average temperature is $T_{\text{in}} = 3.24 \pm 0.65$ keV. This spectral shape is consistent with other luminous X-ray pulsars. We also investigated the inclusion of a soft excess component and spectral break finding that the spectra are also consistent with these features common to luminous X-ray pulsars.

- Our results show that X-2 has been remarkably active over the 15-year period considered. We find that for 9/19 (47%) observations that we analyzed, the pulsar appears to be emitting at a luminosity in excess of $10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$, which is greater than 10 times its Eddington limit. Luminosities of $\sim 10^{39} - 10^{40}$ erg s$^{-1}$ imply that the neutron star is growing at a rate of $\sim 2 \times 10^{-8} - 10^{-7}$ $M_\odot$ yr$^{-1}$ and is expected to collapse into a black hole within $\sim 10 - 100$ million years.
Chandra and NuSTAR observations of the ULX pulsar in M82.