concentrated in the early fractions and diphenylcyclopropylmethane (13) in the later ones. On the other hand, B and 15 were rather evenly distributed throughout the series. The ratio of these was also rather constant: 1.2 ± 0.2 for the middle fractions. From this, it was concluded that of the original 28% of B only 10% x 1.2 = 12% was eluted with the hydrocarbon products. Either the rest is appreciably more polar material, or S5 was present in substantial amount and underwent ether cleavage on the column.

Evidence that the latter alternative is correct was provided by analysis of subsequent fractions. Fractions 70 to 80 (50 and 100% diethyl ether) contained appreciable amounts of material. The 1H NMR spectrum of fraction 75 in CCl₄ solution showed the highly distinctive resonances of diphenylcyclopropylcarbinol; the high-field doublet of cyclopropyl methylene protons, split by 6.9 Hz, the singlet hydroxyl proton resonance at δ 1.85; the down-field half of the perturbed quartet due to the cyclopropyl methine proton with the up-field half overlapped by broad resonances between δ 0.7 and 2.46, and the complex of aromatic resonances. The vpc trace of the CCl₄ solution of this fraction gave a single peak with a retention time the same as an authentic sample. Fractions 72-79 were combined with a known weight of 1-phenynaphthalene and analyzed by vpc. The relative peak areas when corrected for the relative sensitivities of the two materials, determined for authentic materials, indicated that the combined fractions contained 11% of diphenylcyclopropylcarbinol based on peroxyster. A lesser amount of product was also concentrated around fraction 66. The 1H NMR spectrum of that fraction in CCl₄ indicates it to be the ring-opened ether, tert-butyl 4,4-diphenyl-3-butenyl ether (S2). The yield of S2 was perhaps 3%, but it is not known whether this product is a primary reaction product or is formed, like diphenylcyclopropylcarbinol, from the ring-closed ether S5, either during the thermal decomposition or on the chromatographic column. These observations and the results of other "larger-scale" reactions show that S5 is a component of B.

**Kinetic Study of the Induced Decomposition of tert-Butyl 5,5-Diphenylperoxy-4-pentenoate (12) in the Presence of Triethyltin Hydride.**

Qualitative studies were made of the kinetics of the induced decomposition of 12 in the presence of
triethyltin hydride that leads to the formation of triethyltin 5,5-diphenyl-4-pentenoate (S1). Tin ester formation could be monitored by the carbonyl absorption at 1651 cm⁻¹, which was determined to obey Beer's Law in n-octane within a few percent. The 8-mm reaction tubes were carefully cleaned, rinsed well with distilled water and then with acetone, and finally dried at 135 °C for several hours. In a typical run, 14.6 mg of 12 (mp 42.5-43.5 °C), 20.9 g of n-octane and 2.947 g of triethyltin hydride were mixed and 1 mL aliquots were transferred to each of ten reaction tubes that had been constricted at the top for easy sealing. The tubes were quickly attached to a vacuum system by lengths of Tygon tubing, cooled with liquid nitrogen, degassed by three freeze-thaw cycles and sealed. The tubes were kept at -80 °C until used (within 48 h). Assuming 12% volume expansion per 100 °C temperature rise, the calculated initial concentrations at 110 °C (refluxing toluene) were 0.41 M for triethyltin hydride and 1.7 x 10⁻³ M for peroxyester 12. Time zero was taken after a 15-30 s warm-up period, at which a first tube was removed and quenched in Dry Ice-acetone. Subsequent tubes were held at -80 °C until infrared analysis was undertaken at 1651 cm⁻¹, usually in duplicate. The absorbance-time data was fitted in each case to Eq. S21:

\[ A(t) = A_\infty - (A_\infty - A_0) \exp(-k_T t) \]  

(S21)

using a generalized least-squares formalism,⁶⁰ where \( A_0 \), \( A_\infty \), and \( k_T \) were treated as adjustable parameters. The results obtained were: 0.002 M 12, 0.0097 M Et₃SnH, \( A_\infty = 0.0387 \pm 0.0008 \), \( A_0 = -0.0006 \pm 0.0012 \), \( k_T \) (M⁻¹) = 0.0130 ± 0.0010 and rms = 0.0016; 0.00175 M 12, 0.0444 M Et₃SnH, \( A_\infty = 0.0331 \pm 0.0008 \), \( A_0 = 0.0012 \pm 0.0053 \), \( k_T \) (M⁻¹) = 0.0150 ± 0.0011 and rms = 0.0011; 0.0017 M 12, 0.41 M Et₃SnH, \( A_\infty = 0.0254 \pm 0.0009 \), \( A_0 = 0.0023 \pm 0.0012 \), \( k_T \) (M⁻¹) = 0.128 ± 0.017 and rms = 0.0018; 0.0018 M⁶¹ 12, 0.67 M Et₃SnH, \( A_\infty = 0.0327 \pm 0.0009 \), \( A_0 = 0.0011 \pm 0.0017 \), \( k_T \) (M⁻¹) = 0.138 ± 0.015 and rms = 0.0013. Thus, 12 decomposes approximately 10 times faster at 110 °C in 0.41 M than in 0.01 M triethyltin hydride. Further details and an analysis of the reliability of the rate data may be found in the original study.⁶² Translation of the \( A_\infty \) values into yields of the tin ester suffers from uncertainties in the \( A_\infty \), in the preparation of and absorbance measurements on standard solutions, and in the determination of the initial quantity of peroxyester taken, always approximately 15 mg, so that the
yields of ~50% listed in Table S10 are probably good to no better than 10-15% (relative). The amount of triethyltin hydride consumed in the induced decompositions is expected to be 1-2 times the initial peroxyster concentration. This was checked in the first run listed above, where the initial ratio of the room-temperature concentrations of triethyltin hydride (0.0107 M) and peroxyster (0.0022 M) was the smallest. Here, the tin hydride concentration after 550 min (~10 half lives) was found to be 0.0088 M, or 0.0019 less than the initial concentration. This result gives at least partial assurance against the general incursion of additional mechanistic steps that might result in wholesale decomposition of the triethyltin hydride.

**Kinetics of Decomposition of tert-Butyl Diphenylcyclopropylperoxyacetate (11) in Cumene.** A solution of ~ 0.1 M peroxyster in cumene was allowed to stand exposed to the air at 23 °C in a thermostatted room. Disappearance of the peroxyster was monitored by recording the infrared spectra of aliquots between 1850 and 1700 cm⁻¹ starting at an arbitrary time zero (about 5 min after preparation of the solution), then after 20, 40, and 270 min and 18 h. The absorbance readings at the carbonyl maximum of the peroxyster were measured with respect to a valley at 1790 cm⁻¹ that appeared between the carbonyl band and a small peak at 1800 cm⁻¹. The data were fitted to Eq. S21 by a least-squares formalism where A₀, A∞, and k_T were treated as adjustable parameters. The derived value of k_T was 7.5 ± 0.6 × 10⁻³ M⁻¹ s⁻¹.

**Air-Induced Decomposition of tert-Butyl 5,5-Diphenylperoxy-4-pentenoate (12) in the Presence of Triethyltin Hydride.** An attempt was made to determine the rate of air-induced decomposition at room temperature of 0.08 M 12 with 1 M triethyltin hydride as the hydrogen donor in n-octane by monitoring the carbonyl absorption of the peroxyster at ca. 1780 cm⁻¹ in the presence of the strong, broad Sn-H stretching band of the tin hydride at 1813 cm⁻¹. Approximately 10 min after the preparation of the solution, there was a barely distinct carbonyl absorption on one slope of the large tin hydride band. Three hours later, the carbonyl band was only a shoulder on the tin hydride band and, after 6 h, no trace of the carbonyl band could now be discerned. However, a new absorption band appeared at approximately 1651 cm⁻¹, corresponding
to the carbonyl of triethyltin 5,5-diphenylperoxy-4-pentenoate S1 and indicating a yield on the order of 90% in 6 h. When the reaction mixture was cooled in an ice bath, white tufts separated that were collected and crystallized from n-hexane to afford S1 (40%), mp 121-123 °C, no depression on admixture with authentic material and confirmed by infrared and NMR spectra. In contrast, the infrared of a degassed sample after 3 h at room temperature gave at most 5% of tin ester.

Subsequent estimations of the rates of the air-induced process were made in n-octane solutions open to the air with different concentrations of peroxyster 12 and triethyltin hydride at room temperature by monitoring the 1651 cm⁻¹ absorption. The yield of tin ester formed for different concentrations and times were: 0.002 M[^6] 12, 0.72 M Et₃SnH, 4 h, 63%; 0.00185 M 12, 0.44 M Et₃SnH, 12 h, 75%, 18 h, 93%, and 36 h, 90%; 0.00195 M 12 0.048 M Et₃SnH, 14 h, 6%, 20 h, 9%, and 74 h, 90%; 0.0022 M 12, 0.0107 M Et₃SnH, 5 days stoppered, 21%, then stoppered for an additional day, 30%, exposed to air for one day, 92%, and then for a second day, 91%. Several difficulties, including evaporation of the solvent, decomposition of the tin hydride (as indicated by deposition of a white solid) over prolonged periods and nonstandard conditions for equilibration of oxygen between the air and the organic solution, make the above observations at best only semiquantitative. However, it appears that the process is air-induced, that reaction between the air and the tin hydride at least in part generates free radicals, and there is an inhibition period, perhaps representing the consumption of inhibitors or the buildup of intermediates involved in the air oxidation. The yields of tin ester may generally be in the range of 85-95%. The results indicate that attack of triethyltin hydride on the peroxyster O-O bond to give the tin ester S1 is likely to have a lower activation energy process than that for the alternative attack that gives triethyltin tert-butyl ether plus the acyloxy-radical precursor to ring-opened radical 2.

**Viscosities** of several liquids and binary mixtures were determined in a constant temperature bath at 20 °C using a modified Ostwald viscometer. Flow times for 10-mL quantities of cyclohexane (η = 0.960 cp) and benzene (η = 0.648 cp) were employed to determine the cell constants.^64^ Ten measurements of the flow time made on each solution were reproducible to three
or four parts per thousand. The viscosity of freshly distilled 1,4-cyclohexadiene was found to be 0.595 cp. For mixtures of 1,4-cyclohexadiene and cyclohexane in the proportions (v/v) of 1:7, 1:3 and 1:1 gave viscosities of 0.845, 0.778, and 0.679 cp, respectively. Freshly distilled indene showed a viscosity of 1.76 cp. Viscosities of 0.28, 0.50 and 0.63 cp were found respectively for diethyl ether (lit. 0.233 cp at 20 °C), tetrahydrofuran, and tetraethyltin.
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