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ABSTRACT

Oxidation of manganese(II) to manganese(III,IV) demands oxidants with very high redox potentials; consequently, manganese oxides are both excellent proxies for molecular oxygen and highly favorable electron acceptors when oxygen is absent. The first of these features results in manganese-enriched sedimentary rocks (manganese deposits, commonly Mn ore deposits), which generally correspond to the availability of molecular oxygen in Earth surface environments. And yet because manganese reduction is promoted by a variety of chemical species, these ancient manganese deposits are often significantly more reduced than modern environmental manganese-rich sediments. We document the impacts of manganese reduction and the mineral phases that form stable manganese deposits from seven sedimentary examples spanning from modern surface environments to rocks over 2 billion years old. Integrating redox and coordination information from synchrotron X-ray absorption spectroscopy and X-ray microprobe imaging with scanning electron microscopy and energy and wavelength-dispersive spectroscopy, we find that unlike the Mn(IV)-dominated modern manganese deposits, three manganese minerals dominate these representative ancient deposits: kutnohorite (CaMn(CO₃)₂), rhodochrosite (MnCO₃), and braunite (Mn(III)₆Mn(II)O₈SiO₄). Pairing these mineral and textural observations with previous studies of manganese geochemistry, we develop a paragenetic model of post-depositional manganese mineralization with kutnohorite and calcian rhodochrosite as the earliest diagenetic mineral phases, rhodochrosite and braunite forming secondarily, and later alteration forming Mn-silicates.

1. INTRODUCTION

Manganese oxides are critical environmental materials as powerful oxidants, as scavengers of important trace elements and reactive oxygen species, and as electron acceptors for anaerobic respiration (Myers and Nealson, 1988; Tebo et al., 2005). Manganese is both the third most abundant transition metal in Earth’s crust and has the largest number of oxidation states of 3d row elements (Armstrong, 2008), and so consequently the manganese cycle—both modern and ancient—involves multiple redox conversions.

Manganese is present exclusively in divalent form in a wide range of igneous minerals where it substitutes for iron (Post, 1999). Ultrabasic, basaltic, granitic and syenitic igneous rocks average at about 0.1% Mn(II) (Turekian and Wedepohl, 1961). Thus silicate weathering provides a substantial source of Mn²⁺ to surface and ground waters, especially since Mn(II) is highly soluble—even more so than iron (Gross, 1965; Post, 1999). Mn²⁺ is also sourced from seafloor hydrothermal vent fluids, at high but variable concentrations ranging from hundreds to thousands of micromoles per kilogram (Von Damm, 1990).
To concentrate Mn into significant sedimentary deposits, manganese needs to be oxidized to Mn(III) or Mn(IV) (Calvert and Pedersen, 1996). These ions form oxyhydroxide minerals that are deposited in sediments (Calvert and Pedersen, 1996; Armstrong, 2008). Unlike iron, manganese does not readily form sulfides, but is only insoluble in its oxidized forms (Van Cappellen et al., 1998; Maynard, 2010). Thus, the presence of significant manganese deposition in the sedimentary record should reflect the history of manganese oxidation.

However, there are a couple exceptions to this general relationship that manganese enrichment in the sediments signifies manganese oxidation. When there are high levels of soluble Mn$^{2+}$, this divalent ion can substitute for Ca$^{2+}$ in authigenic carbonate phases. In Phanerozoic carbonates, Mn concentration is often used as a characteristic marker for secondary alteration (Brand and Veizer, 1980); this is due to sedimentary pore waters becoming enriched in Mn$^{2+}$ due to the reduction and dissolution of Mn(IV) oxides (Calvert and Pedersen, 1996; Van Cappellen et al., 1998) and the elevated Mn concentrations in hydrothermal fluids (Von Damm, 1990). While elevated Mn in carbonates usually relates to alteration, primary well-preserved carbonates from the Archean Eon (4 billion years ago (Ga) to 2.5 Ga) have elevated Mn levels—up to 1% Mn rather than typical Phanerozoic carbonates of 10-50 ppm in well-preserved carbonates (Veizer, 1978; Brand and Veizer, 1980; Holland, 1984; Beukes, 1987; Komiya et al., 2008; Fischer and Knoll, 2009). These anomalous Mn-enriched carbonate platforms occur because the oceans during this time were anoxic and able to concentrate soluble Mn$^{2+}$ to relatively high levels (estimated to be as much as 3 to 7µm, (Holland, 1984)). Karst weathering, the near-surface dissolution of carbonates from interaction with meteoric waters, of Archean (Mn-bearing) carbonates can lead to further supergene concentration of Mn as the carbonate is dissolved and Mn$^{2+}$ is oxidized. This process can produce small but economically meaningful Mn ores after the rise of oxygen such as the Postmasburg and Woodie Woodie Mn deposits (Gutzmer and Beukes, 1996a; Jones et al., 2013).

The high Mn$^{2+}$ concentration in Archean seawater was directly related to the lack of Mn oxidation before the rise of oxygen, approximately 2.3 billion years ago. There is a very limited set of processes and oxidants able to oxidize manganese due to its high redox potential (Tebo et al., 2004). Low levels of manganese oxidation is possible from reactions with UV light, but this is suppressed in the presence of Fe$^{2+}$ or other reductants (Anbar and Holland, 1992). Manganese is oxidized phototrophically during the biosynthesis of the water-oxidizing complex of Photosystem II in Cyanobacteria, plants and algae (Tamura and Cheniae, 1987; Büchel et al., 1999). However, this phototrophic Mn oxidation is not thought to produce environmentally-significant manganese oxides (Madison et al., 2013) and no solely manganese-oxidizing photosystem has been documented in modern phototrophs (White, 2007). With a possible evolutionary exception (Johnson et al., 2013), Mn(II) is only oxidized at meaningful rates by molecular oxygen or O$_2$-derived species like superoxide (Calvert and Pedersen, 1996; Post, 1999; Tebo et al., 2004; Morgan, 2005; Dick et al., 2009; Hansel et al., 2012), so the presence of manganese(III,IV) oxides in sedimentary rocks can indicate free oxygen was once present in the environment.

Due to the high-potential redox chemistry of Mn, the geologic record of manganese deposits should reflect ancient oxygen availability and the paleo-environmental chemistry (Maynard, 2010). In Fig. 1, we present an updated compilation of Mn deposits through geologic time, and
also plot size estimates of each terrestrial deposit (Fig. 1, Table 1). The lack of significant Archean Mn deposits is striking, as is the massive Hotazel deposit at around 2.22 Ga. Several previous compilations have included small Archean manganese deposits (Roy, 2006; Maynard, 2010), but because these appear to be derived from later weathering of Archean carbonate strata (which contain elevated Mn(II)) we do not include them as in some cases the timing of the weathering is unknown (Roy, 2006). It is interesting that the world’s largest terrestrial manganese deposit—estimated to be 13,500 million metric tons (Taljaardt, 1982)—was deposited soon after oxygen was introduced into the atmosphere and oceans around 2.3 Ga (Kirschvink et al., 2000; Bekker et al., 2004; Hoffman, 2013). Manganese indeed appears to be associated with the presence of environmental oxygen, since manganese deposits post-2.3 Ga are common (Fig. 1). Thus, Mn deposits provide insight into redox processes operating in surface environments on Earth.

To understand the genesis of Mn deposits in greater mechanistic detail, we chose to carefully investigate representative samples from seven globally-distributed manganese deposits spanning from the modern to 2.41 billion years ago. We coupled petrography and sedimentary geology using optical and electron microscopy with microscale X-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) imaging to better understand the manganese phases present and the processes that produced them. From previous surveys of geologic manganese deposits, it is clear there are significant manganese mineral variations in ancient deposits; notably, the redox state of manganese varies in these minerals from Mn(II) to Mn(III) to Mn(IV) (Okita et al., 1988; Roy, 2006; Maynard, 2010). Maynard (2010) summarized the major minerals in manganese deposits as braunite, a mixed valence Mn(III/II) oxide, and rhodochrosite, a Mn carbonate salt. He found that rhodochrosite dominated in sedimentary environments, braunite in volcanic deposits, and other Mn oxides were found in karstic and supergene environments (Maynard, 2010). Within sedimentary deposits, he proposed rhodochrosite was a primary or early diagenetic (secondary) Mn mineral and that other Mn silicates and oxides (like braunite) arose during late diagenesis, metamorphism or supergene alteration, with the evidence for this hypothesis arising from $^{13}$C-depleted stable carbon isotopes in rhodochrosite in the major Mn deposits (Maynard, 2010).

We tested this hypothesis of manganese mineral paragenesis using classic sedimentological and petrographic observations at the scale of textures and mineral grains. We applied new microscale analyses to a range of sedimentary deposits to identify the sequence of mineralogical changes that occur to manganese deposits from deposition through early diagenesis and metamorphism to near-surface oxidative weathering. These observations of manganese mineralization enable us to infer the likely primary precipitates that concentrated manganese in ancient sediments and the post-depositional processes that subsequently altered mineralogy and stabilized the manganese in the rock record.

2. METHODS

Understanding the mineralogy, geochemistry and petrogenesis of manganese-rich sedimentary rocks presents several challenges: the deposits are large but the materials are complex at fine scales, and thus analysis requires both microscale observations and basin-scale integration. An ideal way to determine redox state and mineral host at multiple scales is XAS coupled to light and electron microscopy techniques. Light and electron microscopy are crucial for understanding phase relationships as observations can be made on the same scale as mineralogical textures,
allowing one to distinguish more primary minerals from cross-cutting or vein-filling phases. Synchrotron-based XAS is a powerful method that measures the chemical properties of a specific element (or elements) within a sample. The absorption spectrum is sensitive to the local electronic and bonding environment of the absorbing element, and thus the spectrum indicates the absorbing atom’s oxidation state and coordination environment. An X-ray microprobe can be used to map the chemical information of a specific element even in complex matrices, complementing the sedimentological relationships observed microscopically.

2.1 Sample acquisition and preparation
We obtained samples from seven geologic time periods and geographic locations to comprise a sample suite representing manganese deposits through geologic time. Geologic details on each sample site can be found in Appendix A. We produced ultrathin (~15-20 µm) sections from rock billets cut from each of our study sites and analyzed them using a range of complementary methods. Ultrathin sections were prepared by High Mesa Petrographics. For most samples, we also powdered ~200 mg using a microdrill or an agate mortar and pestle to make bulk measurements. Samples were acquired from a variety of sources and localities, and described in supplemental information.

2.2 Analytical methods
We examined our thin sections with transmitted and reflected light microscopy on a Leica polarizing microscope to observe petrographic textures. We used scanning electron microscopy and energy dispersive spectrometry (SEM-EDS) to document small-scale relationships between textures and measure elemental distributions and abundances. The Caltech Geological and Planetary Sciences Division Analytical Facility houses a Zeiss 1550VP Field Emission SEM equipped with an Oxford X-Max 80mm² SDD EDS system. We produced high-resolution images in backscatter detector imaging mode to enhance compositional contrast, as areas with higher average atomic numbers will generate more backscattered electrons. We used EDS to produce point elemental measurements and X-ray maps of regions of interest. Quantitative elemental analysis provides relative accuracy of better than 5%.

We also used synchrotron-based XAS to identify mineral hosts and distinguish redox states clearly by comparison to a wide range of standards (Fig. 2; Fig A1). Bulk powders of the rocks were measured for overall mineralogy and redox state to extend data to larger scales, but thin sections of the rock were also analyzed using a spatially-resolved XAS microprobe to understand small-scale relationships and constrain the timing of precipitation of different phases. The microprobe measures X-ray fluorescence over the Mn K-edge on a micron scale to obtain an X-ray absorption spectrum at targeted points. Furthermore, the microprobe can produce ‘redox images’ of a sample by measuring the X-ray fluorescence across a sample at a combination of diagnostic energies through the absorption edge of interest (Mayhew et al., 2011; Webb, 2011; Johnson et al., 2013). These multiple-energy maps are subsequently reduced by fitting to internal standards to produce a redox map, thereby creating an image (with a pixel size as small as the ~2 µm beam) of variations in phase and/or redox state of a given element within a sample.

We measured these powder and thin section samples at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SSRL). We used two imaging beam lines: beam line 10-2 and beam line 2-3. These experimental stations cover a wide range of beam sizes (2-100 microns) and incident X-ray
energies (2-20 keV). With beam line 10-2, we use the larger beam size and high photon flux to perform rapid screening of thin sections. These qualities allow us to produce coarse-scale maps of a wide range of samples across the deposit volume, and determine representative domains to target with smaller-scale analysis. Beam line 10-2 maps were generated using a Vortex SII International Silicon drift detector to collect X-ray fluorescence signals for each ~30-100 µm x ~30-100 µm pixel. Subsequently, at beam line 2-3, we mapped chosen regions of interest at four to five energies chosen to have redox- or mineral-distinctive X-ray absorptions (6551 eV, 6557/8 eV, 6562 eV, 6573/5 eV for Mn). These higher resolution maps at ~2-5 µm were produced with a 2 µm beam using Kirkpatrick Baez mirrors for focusing, with X-ray fluorescence signals collected on a Vortex SII International Silicon drift detector. We measured X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) spectra at 2 µm points in these maps to produce internal standards and to confirm best-fit assignments. When available, we additionally measured bulk sample powder at beam line 4-1 to obtain the spectra for average manganese redox state and mineral phase. At beam line 4-1, we collected X-ray absorption spectra in both transmission and fluorescence mode using a Lytle detector for fluorescence detection. The energy of the experiment was selected using a Si(220) Φ = 90 crystal. We used a collimating mirror to help reduce harmonics in the beam and duplicates were run on all spectra for XANES scanning from 6310 to 7108 eV. Samples were prepared as monolayers of bulk sample powder on tape. All beam lines were calibrated using the pre-edge peak of a potassium permanganate [KMnO₄] standard defined as 6543.34 eV.

Several sections contained previously unidentified minerals in terms of Mn XAS spectra and X-ray EDS spectra. Two were small Mn(IV) oxides identified from our Hotazel representative section and one was an abundant Mn(II) mineral from our Santa Cruz section. These and other samples were further examined using SEM-based electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) and on the electron microprobe to quantify elemental abundance. Samples for EBSD measurements were additionally polished using a vibroration polisher. EBSD patterns of minerals were indexed using crystal structure databases for identification and/or confirmation of mineral phase. Quantitative measurements of points from manganese-bearing carbonates and from minerals unidentified by XAS spectrometry were made using a JEOL JXA-8200 advanced Electron Probe Microanalyzer (EPMA). This instrument is part of the Geological and Planetary Sciences Division Analytical Facility and is equipped with five wavelength dispersive X-ray spectrometers (WDS) and a tungsten electron source. The precision for elements were as follows for carbonates: Mn (0.6%), Ca (0.69%), Mg (1.5%), and Fe (1.1%). For Hotazel oxides and the Santa Cruz Formation silicate, one-sigma precision was slightly different due to the different minerals: Al (1.9%), Fe (1.7%), Mg (1.7%), Ca (1.1%), Na (5.2%), K (5.3%), Mn (0.27%), O (0.28%). Detection limits were 0.04 or better for all eight elements. The Mn(II) mineral from the Santa Cruz section was further analyzed using Raman spectroscopy, a visible light (514nm) vibrational spectroscopic technique that probes molecular symmetry, using the Raman detector in the Mineralogy lab at Caltech.

3. RESULTS
We selected to study a range of manganese deposits, from a variety of paleoenvironments and geologic intervals, to capture the mineralogical and textural diversity of manganese-enriched formations (Fig. 1, arrows). While there is significantly more mineralogical richness associated with hydrothermal, metamorphic, and supergene manganese deposits, we focused this study on
the best-preserved samples we could acquire from each of these deposits. We note that nearly all ancient rocks have been affected by late diagenetic, metamorphic and metasomatic processes, and our observations highlight the key changes that have taken place. By combining traditional sedimentology and advanced microscale techniques, we can piece together a common paragenetic sequence of mineral formation in Mn-rich sediments.

Modern manganese deposits and ancient manganese-enriched rocks from 3 million years ago (Ma) to 2415 Ma were probed for overall manganese redox state, and meso- and micro-scale manganese mineral relationships. In seeking to understand the processes that alter manganese minerals through time, we developed efficient strategies to understand manganese mineralogy using synchrotron-based methods and synthesized a theory of manganese stabilization in the rock record. We also made fundamental observations documenting the important phases and reduction processes that occur in ancient manganese deposits, as all ancient deposits are significantly more reduced (Mn(II) or Mn(II/III) phases) than modern deposits (Mn(IV) phases).

3.1 Modern manganese deposits

Our calibration point for modern (or very recent) manganese deposition was a deep-sea manganese nodule collected from the ocean floor. The deep-sea manganese nodule (Fig. 3) was collected from the South Pacific Gyre, an extremely oligotrophic area of the ocean where sedimentary organic fluxes are low and pore fluid oxygen levels remain high—within 150 to 250 µM of O$_2$—to the basement (Ingle et al., 1990). The thin section domain prepared for chemical imaging samples a radial portion of the nodule, which is approximately 30 mm from the surface of initial growth (central orange lithic fragment nucleus in Fig. 3) to the outermost ‘active’ layer. Manganese nodules constitute a distinct style of manganese mineralization that make them imperfect analogs for many shallower marine deposits in the geological record because these nodules typically accumulate over exceptionally low timescales—this extends in certain cases to millions of years of time captured within a single nodule, promoting their use as archives of past seawater conditions (e.g., Horner et al., 2015). However, the outer layers of this contain Mn phases only recently mineralized. Following a recent estimate of nodule growth rates (113 mm/Ma, González et al., 2012), the radius would date the innermost manganese layers to ~270,000 years ago. Other growth rate estimates (1-5 mm/Ma, Hein et al., 2000) would place the central manganese layers as old as 30 million years old, suggesting only outer layers could be used as a recent calibration point. Thus despite their unique mode of accumulation, the manganese nodule sample offers a useful measure of the Mn-bearing phases that first accumulate Mn in marine sediments.

The textures of this deep-sea manganese nodule, best observed under SEM, are quite striking (Fig. 3). The manganese presents as thin layers of isopachous cements with abundant inclusions of aluminosilicate detrital minerals that together manifest in a stromatolitic morphology. Brighter manganese oxide bands alternate with mixed manganese and iron oxides, and on a micron-scale, the bands vary from very finely laminated to porous (Fig. 3). The manganese oxide phases are sub-micrometer disordered material intermixed with other phases in a replacive texture, and as expected for recently precipitated materials, they are either amorphous or too finely crystalline to produce a discernible diffraction pattern via EBSD (Fig A2).
By synchrotron analyses, the nodule consists of solely Mn(IV)-oxide from the nodule center to the outer layers. Bulk samples and thin sections of all these modern-day manganese deposits were imaged using synchrotron-based redox and mineral mapping. Fig. 3 shows manganese abundance as mapped on beam line 10-2 and reduced from multiple energy maps using fitting to produce a manganese redox map (see Methods). We also mapped smaller, representative areas at beam line 2-3 at a higher resolution and measured X-ray absorption spectra of specific points (Fig. 3). X-ray absorption spectra from points and bulk powders are shown on the bottom right with the most similar standard spectra. For this modern manganese deposit, birnessite was the best spectral match and both redox mapping and point spectra indicate manganese is dominantly present as Mn(IV) oxides.

While this South Pacific manganese nodule may not be the ideal calibration point for modern manganese deposits due to its unique process sedimentology and environment, prior studies have also determined that Mn(IV) oxides like birnessite is the dominant mineral formed by a range of contemporary Mn oxidation and precipitation processes (Bargar et al., 2000; Tebo et al., 2004; Bargar et al., 2005; Webb et al., 2005). From environmental samples, the ultimate product of Mn^{2+} oxidation in the Saanich Inlet, the Black Sea, desert varnish, lake sediments, and Pacific ocean sediments is Mn(IV)-dominated, poorly crystalline, layered oxides (Murray et al., 1985; Wehrli et al., 1995; McKeown and Post, 2001; Tebo et al., 2004). As birnessite appears to be the primary precipitate from Mn oxidation over a range of environments and oxidation processes, we conclude that layered Mn(IV) oxides are the most common stable Mn phase to first accumulate in sediments.

3.2 Ancient manganese deposits
To explore how manganese ends up stabilized in the rock record and probe which processes have affected the Mn mineralogy, we examined five Mn-enriched sedimentary deposits ranging from poorly indurated sedimentary rocks in ocean drill cores (3 to 11 Ma) to the earliest significant deposit of Mn in the rock record (2.4 Ga). Most of these ancient deposits are now significant terrestrial Mn ores and are highlighted in Fig. 1. These Mn deposits not only cover an enormous expanse of time, but they also capture a variety of both modern and ancient environments: samples were acquired from South Africa, Brazil, Mexico, and the Japan Sea, and their depositional environments have been reconstructed as varying from shallow marine to continental rift basin to deep ocean trench. Beginning with the most recent deposit and proceeding back through time, we describe the geologic setting, visual and electron microscopic observations and finally the X-ray absorption measurements for each of these deposits. These data are also summarized in Table 2.

3.2.1 Japan Sea Drill Core
To examine the petrogenesis of manganese in this deposit, we acquired several samples from the ODP Site 799 core drilled into organic carbon-rich sediments deposited in a failed rift basin in the Japan Sea (Matsumoto, 1992). Three samples (196 m, 326 m, 502 m, Pliocene to late Miocene in age) were un lithified, but the deepest sample at 533 m (from the Late-Middle Miocene boundary, approximately 11 Ma) was sufficiently consolidated to make a thin section that preserved textures. Our thin section of core material at 533 m comprised of tan and darker brown carbonates with scattered opaque minerals (Fig. 4). The top of the section was dominated by darker carbonates while the bottom half had a higher abundance of lighter tan carbonates, but
both were patchily distributed throughout the section (Fig. 4). SEM observations indicated the tan carbonates were coarsely crystalline ferrous magnesium carbonates, which appear yellow-orange under transmitted light and a slightly darker grey in SEM photos. These are labeled (Mg,Fe)CO$_3$ but this represents a range of EDS measurements which were Mg$_{0.37-0.44}$Fe$_{0.32-0.37}$Mn$_{0.1-0.17}$Ca$_{0.09-0.14}$CO$_3$. These coarse magnesian crystals have brighter rims, which we measured to be iron-enriched, with formulas of Fe$_{0.44-0.52}$Mg$_{0.22-0.25}$Mn$_{0.15-0.21}$Ca$_{0.08-0.11}$CO$_3$. The top half of the sample and a small domain at the bottom, which appear darker in transmitted light and brighter in SEM photos, are much more manganese-enriched, and these areas have clusters of finer-grained calcium and manganese carbonates. In the top half of the section, we observed manganoan calcium carbonate similar to kutnohorite with formulas of Ca$_{0.38-0.52}$Mn$_{0.29-0.41}$Mg$_{0.12-0.19}$Fe$_{0.03-0.06}$CO$_3$. These finer-grained carbonate clusters were surrounded by the coarser-grained magnesian carbonates (Fig. 4). Upon close examination, the small dark brown spot at the bottom of the section is a combination of high-backscatter and low-backscatter minerals. Indeed, we found examples of both crystalline and finer-grained kutnohorite-like carbonates similar to the top half of the section, but these display rims and crystalline masses of a calcian rhodochrosite as well. This rhodochrosite had a formula range of Mn$_{0.68-0.71}$Ca$_{0.21-0.23}$Mg$_{0.03-0.05}$Fe$_{0.01-0.02}$CO$_3$. Both cross-cutting relationships and the finest-grained carbonates imply that the manganoan calcium carbonate phases were produced early during sedimentary diagenesis, suggesting the precipitating fluids were both calcium- and manganese-rich. The coarser ferrous magnesium carbonate crystals suggest later recrystallizing fluids were magnesium and iron rich, and the bright iron-rich rims of these crystals points to an even later introduction of more iron-enriched fluids. Texturally the rhodochrosite too appears to be tied to later diagenetic processes, either from manganese-rich fluids or recrystallization and redistribution of calcium and manganese from earlier manganoan calcite precipitates.

We measured all four samples for bulk powder XAS and imaged the 533 m sample for manganese mineralogy and redox information (Fig. 4). One sample, IODP 326m, had a very weak absorption signal due to very low levels of manganese, and the spectra was difficult to uniquely assign other than observing a Mn(II) peak. The other samples were more clearly associated with the Mn-rich carbonate zones previously described and contain a mixture of approximately kutnohorite (CaMn(CO$_3$)$_2$) and rhodochrosite (MnCO$_3$) (Matsumoto, 1992). By X-ray mapping, manganese was present exclusively as Mn(II) in different carbonate phases; bright minerals observed previously (Matsumoto, 1992) were iron sulfides, not Mn oxides. Multiple-energy X-ray fitting showed a dominance of kutnohorite-like carbonates from the top of the section, similar to results from the SEM, and a mixture of rhodochrosite and kutnohorite from the bottom manganese-enhanced domain. This hot spot shows a similar mixed pattern of rhodochrosite and kutnohorite in both light and dark grey backscatter on the SEM and the mapped kutnohorite/rhodochrosite distribution from XAS.

3.2.2 Mexican Molango Deposit
We examined a variety of samples from the Upper Jurassic-age Molango Mn-rich strata deposited at the base of the Kimmeridgian Taman Formation in a shallow marine carbonate platform shelf on a slowly subsiding basin (Scott, 1984; Okita, 1992). We mapped manganese speciation and measured XAS and SEM-EDS spectra on seven samples from throughout the Molango deposit from the Tetzintla section (T1) and the Acoxcatlan section (Aco) (Okita and Shanks, 1992) (Figs. 5, A3). From microscale textures of one ore zone sample on the SEM, Aco
2.4 m, we observed a variety of phases rather than only rhodochrosite as Okita (1992) had previously determined. SEM analyses showed three phases: blocky crystals of ferrous rhodochrosite (Mn$_{0.69}$Fe$_{0.16}$Ca$_{0.1}$Mg$_{0.04}$CO$_3$), dark pockets of potentially the original matrix (Ca$_{0.55}$Mn$_{0.24}$Fe$_{0.14}$Mg$_{0.07}$CO$_3$), and bright rhodochrosite cements (bright white, Mn$_{0.93}$Ca$_{0.06}$Fe$_{0.01}$CO$_3$) (Fig. 5). Although the highly recrystallized nature of the Molango deposit carbonates makes observing consistent cross-cutting relationships challenging, the extremely high Mn content (up to 93% of cations) of the cements suggests secondary precipitation of later fluids enriched carbonates in Mn, and that perhaps the manganese calcium carbonates reflect the earliest sedimentary phase. XAS spectra showed rhodochrosite in the Mn ore zone (at 0-9m) consistent with previous reports (Okita, 1992; Okita and Shanks, 1992), transitioning to a mixture of kutnohorite (about 71% by linear combination fitting of spectral end members) and rhodochrosite (roughly 29%) at 19m and then only kutnohorite well above the ore zone. We also mapped three representative thin section samples in detail for redox state and speciation on the X-ray microprobe (Figs. 5, A3). These samples are dominantly rhodochrosite with small patches of kutnohorite in ore zone samples (Aco 0m and 2.4m, Figs. 5, A3), consistent with observations from the SEM-EDS. When mapping for carbonate speciation in overlying non-ore samples, we found dominantly kutnohorite (T1 26.5m, Fig. A3), similar to previous results (Okita et al., 1988; Okita and Shanks, 1992). The highly recrystallized nature of the ore zone sample under SEM (Fig. 5) indicates that the ore zone may have undergone significant hydrothermal and/or metamorphic alteration.

3.2.3 Brazilian Santa Cruz deposit

The Neoproterozoic Santa Cruz Formation hosts manganese and iron formation in an ancient continental rift basin (Urban et al., 1992). While the best manganese ore comes from surface-weathered supergene hilltop caps or hydrothermally-altered zones along faults (Klein and Ladeira, 2004), we focused on well-preserved underground mine samples since weathering horizons in this region are very deep (Vasconcelos, 1999). We obtained one thin section sample and two bulk powder samples from the Brazilian Santa Cruz Formation. The thin section sample (SD92.11) came from over 2.5 km into an underground manganese mine at Urucum, and was collected away from faults or exposed surfaces. Thus, it provides a well-preserved example of the Santa Cruz Formation mineralogy. It is derived from the second stratigraphic manganese layer (Mn 2) from the base of the formation, which is the largest of the four layers (Urban et al., 1992). Another sample, a powdered sample also from the second manganese layer (SD92.07), was collected ~1 km into the Urucum underground manganese mine, but along a fault.

SEM observations of the manganese-rich strata from the Santa Cruz Formation reveals that even the best-preserved materials contain a remarkably complex (compared to other deposits) assemblage of Mn minerals. While braunite (Mn(III)$_6$Mn(II)SiO$_{12}$), rhodochrosite, and kutnohorite are present, and confirmed via EBSD (Figs. 6, A1), there were other Mn minerals that were more difficult to identify (Figs. 6, A4). In bulk XAS, the sample from the fault zone best matched cryptomelane or possibly birnessite, confirming this is a prevalent mineral in the formation and suggesting that the faults through the Santa Cruz Formation have introduced oxidizing fluids to the rock surrounding the faults. However, the subsurface sample collected away from the surface and any faults was comprised of only Mn(II) and Mn(III) minerals by X-ray mapping, with four distinctive minerals identified using our point spectra (Fig. 6). The Mn(III) minerals are mainly braunite [Mn(III)$_6$Mn(II)O$_8$SiO$_4$], but the Mn(II) minerals consisted
of kutnohorite, rhodochrosite, and another spectra we could not identify based on our standard suite (Figs. 2, A1, 6). We examined this phase using EBSD but found it was amorphous or too finely grained to generate a diffraction pattern. Textural observations indicated this is a late-stage metamorphic phase, as it had a webbed and fibrous texture and appeared to be growing on and replacing kutnohorite (Figs. 6, A4). By electron probe, we were able to identify that this mineral had a formula of approximately MnSiO$_3$, with 44.8% MnO, 35.6% SiO$_2$, 4.9% Al$_2$O$_3$, 2.28% FeO, 2% MgO, 0.5% Ca, and either water or hydroxide groups. This is a good fit for caryopilite, but could be either caryopilite [Mn(II)$_3$Si$_2$O$_5$(OH)$_4$] or kellyite [(Mn(II),Mg,Al)$_3$(Si,Al)$_2$O$_5$(OH)$_4$], which are both metamorphic manganese silicates. We further examined the mineral using Raman spectroscopy and found the best match was caryopilite (using the online RRUFF database, Fig. A5). Kellyite has a completely different structure and Raman spectrum (Fig. A5).

3.2.4 South African Hotazel Formation
The largest known terrestrial manganese deposit occurs in the South African Hotazel Formation—a diverse collection of chemical marine sedimentary rocks that accumulated in either a back-arc setting or a mid-ocean ridge environment (Beukes, 1983; Cornell and Schütte, 1995; Schneiderhan et al., 2006). We performed electron and X-ray analyses of a fresh cut of a mining pit sample (where oxidative weathering has not reached) from the Hotazel Formation. These analyses indicated that the two main minerals previously identified—braunite and kutnohorite (Gutzmer and Beukes, 1996b; Tsikos et al., 2003)—are pervasive, but we observed several other interesting minerals as well. From our SEM imaging of samples from the Hotazel manganese formation, we note multiple generations of manganese-enriched carbonate cements similar to previous observations (Nel et al., 1986; Schneiderhan et al., 2006). These manganese carbonates are approximately kutnohorite [CaMn(CO$_3$)$_2$] with some Mg substituting for Mn: the majority of carbonates bear 35-55 atomic % Mn and 30-60% Mg with occasionally a small amount of additional Ca in their second cation. In a few other regions, we observed manganoan calcite with much less Mg and only about 17-20% Mn. This phase also seemed to be multi-generational, sometimes appearing highly recrystallized and in other instances appearing to be cross-cut by later kutnohorite (as in Fig. 7, bottom-right image).

The oxide-rich matrix of the Hotazel is dominantly composed of abundant euhedral braunite crystals (appearing white in backscatter imaging) that cross-cut kutnohorite at the scale of the individual crystals (shown in Fig. 7 in image labeled ‘matrix’). These observations indicate that some kutnohorite was precipitated earlier than the braunite (Figs. 7, A6). However, it also appears a subsequent generation of kutnohorite grew as nodules into the braunite-kutnohorite matrix, and even later, manganese-bearing carbonate veins cut through the existing material (Figs. 7, A6). These microscale relationships agree with previous observations and hypotheses (Nel et al., 1986; Schneiderhan et al., 2006). Our microscopy also revealed several Mn or Mn-Fe oxide phases that did not appear to contain silicon, but we could not definitively determine the mineralogy of these phases by SEM-EDS.

At the synchrotron, we measured braunite and kutnohorite by XAS on micromilled (bulk) powder from the dark matrix and bright white nodules of the Hotazel, and used X-ray mapping to confirm that braunite and kutnohorite dominate the deposit. However, X-ray mapping also indicated the presence of rare Mn(IV) oxide minerals that coincided with the silicon-free oxides...
we observed on the SEM. Texturally, these Hotazel Mn(IV) minerals are different from the modern manganese nodule oxides (Fig. 7) (or the surface-weathered samples of Kungarra Formation and Koegas Subgroup discussed in section 3.3) which appear fuzzy and soft. Instead, these Hotazel Mn oxides have a hard, mineralized texture. Several of these Mn(IV) oxides appear to be fibrous and are associated with cross-cutting veins (e.g. spectra 5 in Fig. 7). However, two of the these Mn(IV) oxide minerals are more consistent with early inclusions, or unreacted residues, of original sediment. E-probe measurements of these two oxide types determined that the composition of the fibrous oxides is $\text{Mn}_{0.84}\text{Na}_{0.04}\text{Ca}_{0.03}\text{Fe}_{0.02}\text{O}_2$ and one potential early inclusion (shown in bottom-middle of Fig. 7) is $\text{Mn}_{0.83}\text{Na}_{0.05}\text{K}_{0.04}\text{Fe}_{0.02}\text{Ca}_{0.03}\text{O}_2$. The fibrous oxides are likely related to supergene alteration by oxidizing fluids similar to previously observed asbestiform todokorite and manjiroite from the Hotazel Formation (Gutzmer and Beukes, 2000). We examined the two Mn(IV) oxide types, the fibrous and the layered manganese oxides, using electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) on the SEM and found they were crystalline but poorly matched by standard spectra (Fig. A2). One of the potentially early inclusions is a banded manganese oxide cross-cut by manganan calcite, kutnohorite, and braunite, suggesting it was present in the sediments earlier than all three of these phases (bottom-right image in Fig. 7). Another Mn and Fe oxide is also cross-cut by Mn-carbonate cements (Mn(IV)Fe(III) oxide image in Fig. 7). The cross-cutting relationships of these potential inclusions imply that these manganese(IV) oxides are inclusions of the original precipitates in this assemblage.

### 3.2.5 South African Koegas Subgroup

An older manganese-enriched suite of rocks in South Africa is found in the ca. 2.4 Ga Koegas Subgroup, deposited in a deltaic and near-shore marine environment (Schröder et al., 2011; Johnson et al., 2013; Johnson et al., 2014). With a highly manganese-enriched core sample from the Koegas Subgroup (11.6% MnO in bulk, Johnson et al, 2013), we focused on manganese-enriched carbonate nodules with clear compositional variations to examine the relationships between the different major Mn-bearing carbonate phases in the Koegas samples. While most core samples had micron-scale mixtures of Ca-Mn carbonates and Fe-Mn carbonates (Johnson et al., 2013), this sample from core GTF at 230 m shows discrete zonation of these minerals in carbonate nodules with differential compaction. We measured the two carbonate endmembers—shown in SEM photos as bright white-grey (high backscatter) and darker grey (low backscatter)—using the E-probe to measure exact stoichiometry and determined they were composed of a $\text{Mn}_{0.41}\text{Fe}_{0.41}\text{Mg}_{0.14}\text{Ca}_{0.04}\text{CO}_3$ (average of white-grey carbonate) and $\text{Ca}_{0.48}\text{Mn}_{0.20}\text{Fe}_{0.15}\text{Mg}_{0.15}\text{CO}_3$ (average of dark grey carbonate) using 18 data points from all three nodules (Fig. A7). These minerals are not strictly rhodochrosite nor kutnohorite; they comprise a solid solution between ferroan rhodochrosite, manganoan siderite, and manganoan calcite. All the carbonate phases in this sample appear to have been “ankeritized”, with 14 to 15 cation percent magnesium (Fig. A7).

We measured manganese and calcium abundance by XRF at the synchrotron to isolate the ‘rhodochrosite-like’ and ‘kutnohorite-like’ endmembers for further measurements. The general trends of Mn and Ca concentrations obtained from synchrotron based microprobe observations are consistent with the E-probe measurements and backscatter-highlighted compositional differences. Microprobe XAS spectra through the Ca-rich and Mn-rich portions of the nodule show dramatic changes, with kutnohorite spectra correctly corresponding to the kutnohorite-like
Ca-rich portions of the nodules and rhodochrosite spectra corresponding to the rhodochrosite-like Mn-rich areas (Fig. 8). We were able to accurately image these two carbonate endmembers using the synchrotron XAS microprobe, and this X-ray spectroscopic mapping correctly predicted the mineralogy as observed by differences in SEM backscatter and electron microprobe measurements of the two carbonate present (Figs. 8, A7). With the extent of recrystallization and carbonate diagenesis present in the Koegas strata, it is not possible to conclusively determine whether the manganoan siderite or the manganoan calcite is more primary (Fig. 8).

3.3 Surface-weathered deposits
Many manganese deposits are not studied from core or mine samples, but instead from outcrop samples. While we are primarily interested in understanding the Mn mineralogy of diagenetically stabilized deposits and how these phases reflect processes during and after lithification, we also wished to understand how surface weathering changes the redox state and mineralogy of Mn-rich sedimentary rocks. We collected samples from three outcrop sites, two of which we also have studied in drill core or mine exposures to constrain unweathered mineralogy and textures, and we will compare the chemistry of Mn here to our more pristine samples.

From the Santa Cruz Formation manganese deposit, we examined a sample (SD11.08) from a near-surface sample of the fourth manganese stratigraphic layer (position shown in Piacentini et al., 2013). This sample represents a supergene, oxidized example of a similar provenance but different preservation conditions for the Santa Cruz Formation than the thin section sample previously discussed (Fig. 6). The best fit for the spectrum from this sample measured in bulk powder was cryptomelane (KMn(IV)7Mn(III)O16), identical to what was obtained from the fault-associated sample (Fig. 6). This mineralization is likely associated with supergene enrichment and oxidative weathering of the more reduced manganese minerals from this deposit (Urban et al., 1992).

We also examined a sample from the 2.415 Ga Koegas Subgroup collected from surface outcrops near Rooinekke, which can be correlated to core samples. The outcrop sample comes from a thin microbialite and grainstone interval in the Rooinekke Formation of the Koegas Subgroup, which has intraformational grains of Mn- and Fe-bearing carbonate (see GTF 63.86m in Fig. 2 of Johnson et al., 2013). High-resolution SEM imaging showed sub-micrometer Mn oxides intermixed with other phases in a replacive texture without a diffraction pattern (Fig. 9). The surface-weathered sample similarly shows discrete, but randomly oriented, Mn-enriched zones, and the XAS measurements are most similar to the Mn(IV) oxides cryptomelane or birnessite (Fig. 9). EDS measurements show peaks of potassium and calcium but no sodium—which may be more consistent with Ca-enriched cryptomelane rather than birnessite. Like the Santa Cruz Formation sample, surface weathering again seems to have oxidized Mn(II) carbonate minerals to Mn(IV) oxides and destroyed textural information.

A third example of oxidative weathering of manganese-enriched strata was obtained from Australia in the Paleoproterozoic-aged Kungarra Formation. The thin Mn-enriched sedimentary bed has about 7% Mn and is constrained to be deposited between ca. 2420 Ma and 2209 Ma (Martin et al., 1998; Takehara et al., 2010; Williford et al., 2011; see SI for more details). Light and electron microscopy of the Kungarra manganese-rich bed show textures similar to the Koegas weathered samples that indicate Mn oxide replacements, and no EBSD pattern could be
generated as the crystals are likely too small (Figs. 9, A2). X-ray absorption spectroscopy indicates the sample is universally Mn(IV) oxides, again either fitting birnessite or cryptomelane (Fig. 9). From the potassium and calcium in EDS measurements, this mineral is likely cryptomelane. The massive, fibrous texture of the Mn(IV) oxide shown by SEM (Fig. 9) indicates that the Mn(IV) is secondary, likely enriched from original Mn-bearing minerals but also possibly introduced by hydrothermal or other fluids as textural relationships have been obscured.

These three examples indicate that while manganese oxidation may be commonly thermodynamically inhibited (Luther, 2010), exposure to oxygen during long intervals integrated by weathering is effective at oxidizing all lower valent minerals to Mn(IV) oxide phases, by processes that also alter the primary textures.

4. DISCUSSION
While Mn(IV) oxides appear to be the primary Mn phase entering sediments, we consistently observe that Mn is converted to other phases by diagenetic (secondary) processes. Surprisingly, this diversity of Mn deposits displays relatively few predominant minerals, suggesting the operation of common and widespread diagenetic processes in Mn-rich sediments over much of Earth history. From our survey of the mineralogy, textures and chemistry of manganese deposits, we can divide our observations into three categories: 1) manganese deposits composed of essentially all Mn(IV) oxides, such as the modern seafloor manganese nodules or surface-weathered manganese deposits, 2) deposits where the Mn is found exclusively in Mn(II) carbonates, such as the Koegas deposit, the Molango deposit, and the Miocene Japan Sea Mn-rich sediments, and 3) deposits with subequal mixtures of Mn(II)- and Mn(III)-bearing minerals like in the manganese-rich intervals in the Hotazel Formation and the Santa Cruz Formation.

In marine and lacustrine sediments manganese oxidation processes form Mn(IV)-dominated oxides like birnessite (Fig. 3; Tebo et al., 2004) and the reduction of these oxides produces Mn-bearing carbonates (Thamdrup et al., 1993; Calvert and Pedersen, 1996; Fischer et al., 2008; Ying et al., 2011; Lee et al., 2011). Mn oxidation may yield a Mn(III) intermediate that is observable as a short-lived (and potentially important) redox player in suboxic environments (Madison et al., 2013). However, it is not common to observe substantial accumulation of Mn(III) species because they typically disproportionate into Mn²⁺ and Mn(IV) oxides or are subsequently fully oxidized to Mn(IV) oxides that are added to the sediments (Perez and Jeffrey, 1992; Wariishi et al., 1992; Webb et al., 2005; Anderson et al., 2009; Learman et al., 2011; Hansel et al., 2012). Reduction of Mn(IV) oxides also may proceed through a short-lived Mn(III) intermediate (Lin et al., 2012), but again, the final product of manganese reduction is Mn²⁺ (Lovley and Phillips, 1988; Myers and Nealson, 1988; Aller, 1990; Van Cappellen et al., 1998; Thamdrup et al., 2000). Thus the widespread observation of non-transient but stable and abundant Mn(III) phases in the rock record, as documented by this study and others (Gutzmer and Beukes, 1996b; Tsikos et al., 2003; Roy, 2006; Maynard, 2010), is intriguing and requires explanation.

Indeed, all the manganese from well-preserved samples of ancient deposits, whether 3 million years old or 2.2 billion years old, are more reduced than the modern Mn-rich surface sediments (with the notable exception of rare MnO₂ inclusions in some early-diagenetic Hotazel
kutnohorite nodules). These observations illustrate that there are common and effective post-depositional reduction processes that convert the Mn(IV) precipitates in sediments to Mn(II) and Mn(III) minerals (that remain stable for billions of years) during early and late diagenesis. Using micro-scale observations of mineralogy and chemistry tied to petrographic textures, we can ordinate these different phases and develop a general framework for how post-depositional processes impact manganese mineralogy and redox state and determine a paragenetic sequence of manganese minerals.

4.1 Paragenetic model

The first precipitates to enter and concentrate Mn in sediments are Mn(IV)-dominated oxides. The deep-sea manganese nodule from the seafloor being fully comprised of Mn(IV)-dominated oxides lends support to this, as well as many reports of Mn(IV) oxides being the dominant precipitate produced by manganese oxidation (e.g., Tebo et al., 2004). The discovery of microscale Mn(IV) oxide inclusions in early diagenetic carbonate nodules, which we propose is a primary residue from the original sedimentary precipitates on the basis of petrographic textures, is an additional line of evidence suggesting these manganese deposits began as sediment rich in Mn(IV)-dominated oxides. The weathered samples of Mn-bearing rocks confirms that when oxygen is present for a sufficient amount of time, manganese seems to be oxidized and stabilized as Mn(IV)-oxide phases.

The precipitation of Mn(II)-bearing carbonates from the reduction of Mn(IV) oxides by organic carbon has been inferred previously from observations of the geologic record (Okita et al., 1988; Polgári et al., 1991; Tsikos et al., 2003). Often, the diagenetic reaction is presented as below:

\[2 \text{Mn}^{(IV)}O_2 + \text{CH}_2\text{O} + 4 \text{H}^+ \rightleftharpoons 2 \text{Mn}^{2+} + \text{CO}_2 + 3 \text{H}_2\text{O}\] (1)

\[\text{Mn}^{2+} + \text{CO}_3^{2-} \rightleftharpoons \text{MnCO}_3\] (2)

implying rhodochrosite, or MnCO₃, is the reaction product promoted by the inorganic carbon, alkalinity and Mn²⁺ production in Reaction (1). However, we document in many units (for e.g., the Koegas, Molango, Urucum and Hotazel deposits) the abundance of kutnohorite-like minerals (similar to Mn₀.₅Ca₀.₅CO₃). This mixed Ca-Mn carbonate precipitation is also promoted from similar Mn(IV) reduction reactions described in Reaction (1) if the precipitating carbonate in Reaction (2) incorporates Ca that is abundant in pore fluids. These manganese reduction reactions commonly occur by interaction between dissimilatory metal-reducing microbes and solid Mn(IV) oxides in the absence of oxygen (Lovley and Phillips, 1988; Myers and Nealson, 1988; Aller, 1990; Van Cappellen et al., 1998). While manganese reduction does take place in anoxic waters, such as the Black Sea, the Mn(II) produced is diluted into the surrounding water column, and does not sufficiently increase in concentration to form Mn-carbonates (Calvert and Pederson, 1996). Thus, the production of Mn-carbonates post-Mn(IV) reduction likely only takes place in porewater-filled cavities of anoxic sediments enriched in “trapped” manganese oxides (Calvert and Pedersen, 1996). Marine sedimentary porewater is Ca-rich at ~10.5 mM (Wenzhöfer et al., 2001; Rao et al., 2012), and increasing the Mn²⁺ concentration of seawater promotes the precipitation of carbonates with kutnohorite-like composition. Bottcher (1998) precipitated calcium-manganese carbonates at 20, 60, and 90ºC, and the carbonate precipitates had a manganese composition that was enriched but related to the Ca:Mn concentrations.
Similarly, Mucci (2004) synthesized a calcian rhodochrosite by combining equal molar solutions of Ca and Mn. He demonstrated that either manganoan calcite or calcian rhodochrosite will form depending on the porewater Mn:Ca concentrations, calcite surfaces, and reaction kinetics (Mucci, 2004). Thus in the presence of high porewater Ca levels (i.e. seawater compositions), manganese oxide reduction would promote the precipitation of carbonates with roughly equal amounts of Mn and Ca, as opposed to endmember carbonates like rhodochrosite (MnCO₃).

In the environment, Mn-bearing carbonates from a variety of unlithified sediments are invariably mixed Mn-Ca(+/-Mg) carbonates rather than endmember rhodochrosite, including sediments in the Baltic Sea, Panama Basin, Loch Fyne, among other locations (Calvert and Price, 1970; Pedersen and Price, 1982; Jakobsen and Postma, 1989; Calvert and Pedersen, 1996; Neumann et al., 2002). For example, Baltic Sea sediments have reported to contain calcian rhodochrosite with about 70-75% Mn and 25-30% Ca that precipitated in essentially modern organic-rich marine muds (Neumann et al., 2002). Modeling the precipitation dynamics similarly results in formation of kutnohorite or calcic-rhodochrosites depending on Mn concentration, and pure rhodochrosite is not a stable phase in reasonable porewater compositions (Middelburg et al., 1987).

Since all of our examined sedimentary deposits are marine in origin, and it is unlikely that Ca levels in the oceans have changed substantially since Archean time (Grotzinger and Kasting, 1993; Lowenstein et al., 2001; Fischer et al., 2009), the early diagenetic carbonate precipitate of all of these deposits was likely kutnohorite or a calcian rhodochrosite. This notion is supported by our textural observations of the different Mn-bearing carbonate cements in these units. Further support for a kutnohorite or calcian rhodochrosite forming earlier in the diagenetic sequence comes from other Mn deposits: for example, calcium-rich manganese carbonates have also been found in Úrkút, Hungary (Polgári et al., 2007), a Jurassic deposit with a low thermal history implied by its relatively unaltered oxygen isotopes (Polgári et al., 1991; Hein et al., 1999). Pure rhodochrosite, then, either forms in Ca-depleted porewaters (which seems unlikely) or more probably as a secondary carbonate associated with dissolution and higher-temperature re-precipitation of carbonate closer to endmember MnCO₃. Carbonate mineral textures from light and electron microscopy (e.g., Figs. 4,5) demonstrate substantial amounts of carbonate diagenesis—recrystallization and precipitation of secondary and tertiary cements that are commonly chemically zoned. It is also interesting, and perhaps illuminating, that the Mn(IV) oxide inclusion in the Hotazel formation occurs occluded by kutnohorite nodular cements, not rhodochrosite, also implying that kutnohorite may be an earlier diagenetic precipitate rather than rhodochrosite (Fig. 7).

Textural observations of the Mn(III)-rich phase braunite from the Hotazel and Santa Cruz formations indicate that it formed after kutnohorite, but unlike previous suggestions (Maynard, 2010), the braunite still appears as though it formed early in diagenesis as the braunite is generally fine-grained and defines sedimentary lamination. Yet the timing and formation process of braunite during diagenesis and/or metamorphism is unclear (Robie et al., 1995). The composition of braunite is related to the manganese(III) oxide bixbyite (Mn(III)₂O₃), but with substitution of Mn²⁺ and Si⁴⁺ for 2 Mn³⁺. Interestingly, the Si content of braunite appears to be relatively invariable with metamorphic grade (Abs-Würmbach, 1980). Braunite has not been synthesized in the laboratory, but there are several proposed mechanisms for its formation (Robie
et al., 1995); we highlight those with plausible initial conditions for marine sediments (e.g., rhodonite, a high-temperature metamorphic Mn(II) silicate is not a likely precursor precipitate):

\[
14 \text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3 (\text{Bixbyite}) + 4 \text{SiO}_2 (\text{Silica}) \rightleftharpoons 4 \text{Mn(III)}_6\text{Mn(II)}\text{SiO}_{12} (\text{Braunite}) + \text{O}_2 \quad (I)
\]

\[
7 \text{Mn}_3\text{O}_4 (\text{Hausmannite}) + 3 \text{SiO}_2 + \text{O}_2 \rightleftharpoons 3 \text{Mn}_7\text{SiO}_{12} \quad (II)
\]

\[
14 \text{MnCO}_3 (\text{Rhodochrosite}) + 2 \text{SiO}_2 + 3 \text{O}_2 \rightleftharpoons 2 \text{Mn}_7\text{SiO}_{12} + 14 \text{CO}_2 \quad (III)
\]

We favor Reaction (I) as the most plausible mechanism for braunite formation. While we do not observe bixbyite or hausmannite, either or both of these minerals may well have been present in porewater during diagenesis. However, both Reaction (II) beginning with hausmannite and Reaction (III) beginning with rhodochrosite require oxygen in the sediments to form braunite. When we have observed evidence of oxidizing fluids moving through discrete veins or faults, we see Mn(IV) oxides in the Hotazel and Urucum examples, not braunite enrichments. It is also difficult to envision the correct amount of oxygen being introduced post-depositionally into the whole of the Hotazel deposit to produce braunite throughout the entire formation. Thus we prefer a mechanism similar to Reaction (I), which seems the simplest explanation for a silicified Mn(III)-dominated mineral: begin with a Mn(III) oxide and add silica. We would expect this silica to be aqueous ($\text{H}_4\text{SiO}_4$) in diagenetic or hydrothermal fluids, and this reaction may need to occur in a Mn$^{2+}$-rich solution to promote the Si$^{4+}$ and Mn$^{2+}$ substitution. The subsequent ‘hydrus braunite’ formed may have been later crystallized into euhedral braunite during early metamorphism. With its importance in Earth’s largest manganese deposits, further laboratory experiments and mineralogical exploration are required to test these hypotheses and further our understanding of braunite formation.

Our observations primarily constrain the timing of braunite formation. The euhedral braunite crystals in the Hotazel Formation cross-cut kutnohorite and are therefore definitively younger (Figs. 7, A6). However, if manganese reduction converts Mn(IV)-oxides to Mn$^{2+}$, for Mn(III) production we either need secondary oxidation of the Mn$^{2+}$, or some way to produce Mn(III) oxides from either Mn(IV) oxides or Mn(II) carbonates, to eventually crystallize into braunite. Experimental evidence has suggested that Mn(IV)-oxide reduction by the metal-reducing bacteria of the genus Shewanella may proceed through a Mn(III) step, which could be a Mn(III) oxide source (Lin et al., 2012). The difficulty here is to keep this Mn(III) from becoming fully-reduced Mn$^{2+}$, but this could occur via more stable Mn(III)-ligand complexes (Madison et al., 2013) or the formation of Mn(III) oxyhydroxides, which can be temporarily stable (Hem and Lind, 1983; Murray et al., 1985). Another possibility is the synthesis of Mn(III)-oxyhydroxides like feitknechtite ($\beta$-MnOOH) from abiotic reaction of co-existing Mn$^{2+}$ and Mn(IV) oxides in porewater (Bargar et al., 2005; Elzinga, 2011), as shown below:

\[
\text{Mn(IV)}\text{O}_2 + \text{Mn}^{2+} + 2 \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightleftharpoons 2 \text{MnOOH} + 2 \text{H}^+ \quad (3)
\]

While any of these options to form MnOOH are possible, we do know that some Mn(III)-dominated phase must have existed in the Hotazel and Santa Cruz sediments, and after the precipitation of Mn(II)-carbonate, this Mn(III) phase was ultimately stabilized as braunite—perhaps with diagenetic addition of silica.
The Santa Cruz Formation also contains caryopilite—the Mn(II) aluminosilicate member of the kaolinite-serpentine group. This mineral appears to have formed during burial diagenesis or metamorphism from late-stage alteration and silicification of kutnohorite into caryopilite. Cross-cutting relationships show caryopilite is secondary to the Mn-carbonate, and caryopilite is a known metamorphic mineral (Brusnitsyn, 2006); thus we regard these Mn-silicates as the result of much later post-depositional processes.

Combining knowledge of Mn redox dynamics, reduction processes, and our observations of texture, mineralogy, and redox dynamics, we can construct a conceptual model of manganese precipitation, diagenesis, and stabilization in the geological record (Fig. 10). Mn(IV)-oxides constitute the principal mode by which Mn enters and is concentrated in marine sediments, but these are subsequently reduced either completely or partially by sedimentary organic matter and/or related reductants (e.g. sulfide). Reduction by organic matter is commonly mediated by anaerobic microbes and promotes the precipitation of Mn-carbonates, forming first Ca-rich phases like kutnohorite and calcian rhodochrosite, but which often undergo carbonate diagenesis to produce endmember rhodochrosite. During reduction of Mn(IV) oxides, Mn(III) oxides or complexes are produced, which later are crystallized in the presence of silica to the Mn(III)-dominated oxide, braunite.

Our observations display a general pattern of manganese deposits composed either exclusively of Mn(II) carbonates or a mixture of Mn(III) and Mn(II) phases, but what determines which of these two assemblages occur? We have established that Mn(II) carbonates derive from Mn(IV) oxides through reduction by organic carbon, and the Mn(III) precursor phase to braunite may form via partial reduction of Mn(IV) oxides or by Mn(IV) oxides reacting with Mn(II). We hypothesize that the kinetics of Mn(IV) reduction is critical to determining whether Mn(III) phases form since the stabilization of Mn(III)-oxides requires the presence of Mn(IV) and Mn(II). However, Mn(III) can still be reduced by metal-reducing microbes (Kostka et al., 1995), and so ultimately the assemblage redox state must be determined by the relative flux of reductant. If organic carbon, or other reduced chemical species able to reduce Mn(IV) oxides, fluxes to the sediment are greater than that of manganese, then all the Mn oxides should be reduced to Mn(II) and react to form Mn(II) carbonates or diffuse away. If organic carbon or other reductants are limiting, then the surplus of manganese should result in more oxidized phases being preserved. Theoretically, this should mean that with little organic carbon input, there is potential for preserving original manganese oxides—and while this may have happened in rare instances in marine sedimentary basins (exemplified by the Mn-rich facies in the Hotazel Formation and Santa Cruz Formation), it is clear that usually manganese is more commonly reduced by available electron donors. This premise of competing manganese-electron donor dynamics allows one to infer the relative organic carbon availability in ancient paleoenvironments: for example, in the Molango shallow sea, relative sedimentary organic carbon fluxes were high, but in the Urucum continental rift basin they were not.

Later metamorphic processes may transform the kutnohorite, rhodochrosite and/or braunite into a variety of other minerals, such as the Mn(II) aluminosilicate caryopilite observed in the Santa Cruz Formation. Diagenetically-stabilized Mn deposits may again be exposed to oxygen via uplift and surface weathering, and all the minerals will once again be fully oxidized to Mn(IV).
oxides as in the Koegas, Urucum and Kungarra formation examples. This will occur from abiotic weathering in the presence of \( \text{O}_2 \) (Morgan, 2005), but recent reports suggest the oxidation of Mn-carbonates to Mn(IV) oxides may also be microbially mediated (Tang et al., 2013). Thus to achieve an accurate understanding of early Mn mineralization both unweathered core samples and texture-specific measurements are essential to determine how Mn was delivered to the sediments and how it was transformed by diagenesis.

5. CONCLUSIONS
We combined light and electron microscopy, X-ray absorption spectroscopy and X-ray imaging techniques to examine key manganese deposits through time and understand their mineralogy and petrogenesis in detail across multiple scales. While manganese oxidation produces Mn(IV)-dominated oxides and comprises the major vector for the sedimentation and concentration of Mn in sedimentary rocks, ancient manganese deposits are composed only of Mn(II) and Mn(III) minerals (with rare exceptions of small preserved Mn(IV)-oxide inclusions). The geological record of (relatively) well-preserved manganese deposits is thus composed predominantly of kutnohorite-like minerals (variants of \( \text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{Ca}_{0.5}\text{CO}_3 \)), rhodochrosite (\( \text{MnCO}_3 \)) and braunite (\( \text{Mn(III)}_6\text{Mn(II)}_8\text{SiO}_4 \)). A dataset of the abundances of Mn deposits throughout Earth history reveals fundamental secular changes, with Mn deposition only emerging after the rise of oxygen; a notable exception is found in the Paleoproterozoic-age Koegas subgroup of the Transvaal Supergroup, deposited just prior to the rise of oxygen. Constrained by texture-specific mineral analyses and sedimentological observations, we constructed a paragenetic model of manganese mineral formation beginning with deposition of Mn(IV)-dominated oxides leading to the early diagenetic production of carbonate phases such as kutnohorite and calcian rhodochrosite, with potential for stabilization of Mn(III)-rich oxides (now marked by braunite) in sedimentary environments with relatively low fluxes of sedimentary organic matter. Deeper burial promotes the ingrowth of a metamorphic mineral assemblage (recrystallization of carbonate phases and production of Mn(II)-silicates), and finally there can be re-oxidation to Mn(IV)-oxides driven by post-depositional exposure to \( \text{O}_2 \)-bearing fluids during near-surface weathering. This framework provides logic to invert sedimentological, mineralogical, and textural observations of Mn-rich sedimentary rocks for the biogeochemical processes operating on ancient Earth’s surface environments.
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FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1: Manganese ore deposits through time
Estimated volumes of major sedimentary manganese deposits plotted as a function of their best-constrained geologic age. Arrows mark deposits analyzed in this study, with black signifying large manganese deposits plotted and grey indicating timing of smaller deposits. Supergene deposits are shown in grey for cases where the age of mineralization is known; deposits from Archean proto-ore, primarily in India, occur but the age of mineralization is not known. References: (Maxwell, 1972; Varentsov and Grasselly, 1976; Varentsov and Grasselly, 1976; National Materials Advisory Board, 1981; Taljaardt, 1982; Martino, 1986; Dasgupta et al., 1991; Pracejus and Bolton, 1992; Urban et al., 1992; Davis et al., 1994; Babinski et al., 1995; Gutzmer and Beukes, 1996b; Fan and Yang, 1999; Rodionov et al., 2004; Cairncross and Beukes, 2013; Cairncross and Beukes, 2013; Jones et al., 2013)

Fig. 2: Mn K-edge X-ray absorption spectra of relevant manganese minerals
Manganese mineral standards to compare to sample measurements. Standards obtained from the Caltech Mineralogical Collection or acquired by the Fischer lab.

Fig. 3: Microscopy and X-ray analyses of a seafloor manganese nodule
Photographs and analyses of a section through a ferromanganese nodule from the South Pacific Gyre collected during Expedition Knox-02RR. Leftmost panel shows photographs of the entire nodule (above) and thin section (below). Center panels show Mn concentration map ranging from 0 to 1726 µg/cm² (jet colors) and a best-fit Mn redox map where Mn(II) is fitted in blue, Mn(III) in red, and Mn(IV) in green. Only Mn(IV) was found to be present by X-ray spectroscopic mapping. Top right panels contain electron photomicrographs of the nodule at different scales; note the abundant isopachous and stromatolitic laminations. Bottom right shows XAS spectra through the Mn edge of various points throughout the nodule and a bulk sample. All spectra are Mn(IV) oxides best matched by birnessite, supporting the redox map.

Fig. 4: Microscopy and X-ray analyses of Miocene sediments from Japan Sea
Transmitted light (top left) photos of a manganese-enriched sample at 533 m from ODP core 799 from the Japan Sea. Representative regions were mapped for Mn concentration (jet colors) and Mn redox state (Mn(II) in blue, Mn(III) in red, Mn(IV) in green) (top right). While Mn concentration is variable, the manganese redox state is all Mn(II). Bottom left panel shows carbonate speciation maps of same region, with rhodochrosite [MnCO₃] in pink and kutnohorite [MnCa(CO₃)₂] in green. SEM images of subregions shown on right with identifications made using EDS. Note consistency between SEM-identified mixed Ca,Mn carbonates and purer rhodochrosite (identified with Mn(Ca)CO₃) and the X-ray maps. Spectra (shown on bottom right) taken on target points confirm mineralogy. Also included are spectra of bulk powder at 533 m and three other bulk powders from 196 m, 326 m, and 502 m. Other than 326 m which has very little Mn, the bulk powders show Mn(II) in carbonates.

Fig. 5: Jurassic-age Molango deposit microscopy and X-ray analyses
Analyses of a rock sample from the Aco section at 2.4 m (Okita and Shanks, 1992); two other examples can be found in Fig. A3. Transmitted light photo of representative region (top left) and Mn concentration maps (top center, jet colors in cps units). Below shows redox map (Mn(II) in blue, Mn(III) in red, and Mn(IV) in green) of region indicating all Mn is Mn(II), and a carbonate speciation map showing most of the Mn in this section is hosted by rhodochrosite. Spectra on top right confirm the Mn is in Mn carbonates, both from bulk and point spectra. Bottom panel shows SEM photos of subregions from the same section. Abundant rhodochrosite can be found, but the majority of it appears as rims (bright white in backscatter photos). Other mixed carbonates with more Ca appear as slightly darker white or grey and may be more primary precipitates.

Fig. 6: Neoproterozoic Santa Cruz Formation microscopy and X-ray analyses
Transmitted light (top left) photo of Santa Cruz Formation thin section from the Urucum mine. Also on right are two representative SEM photos of the section: note Mn silicate fibers growing on mixed CaMn carbonate and bright white crystals of braunite. Minerals identified tentatively by EDS and confirmed using Raman, EBSD and XAS. Same region was mapped for Mn concentration (jet colors, in µg/cm² from 0 to 1071), and for Mn redox, with both Mn(II) (blue) and Mn(III) (red) (no Mn(IV) was found). Rightmost map is map of Mn speciation mapping braunite (red), Mn carbonate (blue) and Mn silicate (green). Note co-localization of Mn carbonate and Mn silicate (identified as caryopilite by Raman, see Fig. A5). Right panel shows spectra from four representative points through the section indicating the 4 Mn-bearing minerals present, and two bulk powder measurements of a fault-associated sample and a weathered sample. Standards shown above to compare.

Fig. 7: Paleoproterozoic Hotazel Formation microscopy and X-ray analyses
Transmitted light (top left) images of a sample from the Hotazel Formation of the Paleoproterozoic Kalahari Manganese Field from South Africa. Large Mn concentration map shown below, in jet colors ranging from 0 to 2745 µg/cm². Three representative areas (1,2,3) are highlighted with Mn concentration maps (jet colors in cps), Mn redox maps (Mn(II) in blue, Mn(III) in red, Mn(IV) in green). The Mn-carbonate nodules appear to float in a Mn(III)-oxide matrix, with rare Mn(IV) oxides. A representative matrix SEM photo shows braunite cross-cutting a background of kutnohorite, and other SEM photos labeled with numbers with subregions of Mn(IV) oxides. Representative spectra shown on right and confirm X-ray spectroscopic mapping.

Fig. 8: Paleoproterozoic Koegas Subgroup microscopy and X-ray analyses
A representative manganese-enriched nodule from the ~2.4 Ga Koegas Subgroup in South Africa (more are shown in Fig. A7). Nodule was mapped for Mn concentration and Ca concentration (jet colors, in cps) and a redox map produced, shown on top right. Mn is all Mn(II), shown in blue. Below, nodule was photographed in electron backscatter and X-ray spectroscopic mapping was used to produce a carbonate speciation map, where rhodochrosite [MnCO₃] is fit in pink and kutnohorite [MnCa(CO₃)₂] is fit in green. The area of each carbonate mineral is very discrete and matches the dramatic backscatter differences of the nodule core and rim. X-ray spectra through the nodule confirm speciation maps, showing a rhodochrosite spectrum in rim and kutnohorite in nodule core. Bottom left image is an SEM image of what appears to be a mixed CaFeMn carbonate being replaced by a backscatter-brighter FeMn carbonate.
Fig. 9: Oxidatively weathered outcrop samples: Koegas Subgroup and Kungarra Formation

Top left shows weathered outcrop sample in transmitted light from a microbially-bound grainstone in the Koegas Subgroup, South Africa. Small Mn concentration maps (jet colors, in cps) and Mn redox maps (Mn(II) in blue, Mn(III) in red, Mn(IV) in green) shown adjacent on right. All Mn appears to be Mn(IV). SEM photos below indicate Mn oxides are fine-grained masses that mask petrographic textures. Mn(IV) oxides are high in Ca and K, and spectra (top right) suggest this may be cryptomelane (a K-bearing Mn(IV) oxide) or possibly birnessite. Bottom panel shows a former nodule from the Kungarra Formation, Australia, from an outcrop sample that was highly weathered. Manganese concentration map (jet colors in cps units) shows manganese enriched in the nodule and a Mn redox map (Mn(II) fitted in blue, Mn(III) in red, Mn(IV) in green) indicates all Mn is Mn(IV) oxides. Like the Koegas Subgroup sample, spectra best match cryptomelane or birnessite.

Fig. 10: Paragenetic model for the diagenetic stabilization of Mn deposits

Manganese is introduced to the sediments as Mn(IV) oxides, but then these Mn concentrates are subsequently reduced during diagenesis either partially to Mn(III) phases or fully to Mn$^{2+}$. Often this reduction is microbially-mediated using organic compounds. Mn$^{2+}$ is then precipitated as Mn-bearing carbonates, while Mn(III)-oxide phases are silicified and stabilized as braunite (Mn(III)$_6$Mn(II)SiO$_{12}$). The most primary of these appears to be manganese-calcium carbonates like kutnohorite or calcic rhodochrosite but with burial diagenesis, these can undergo recrystallization and yield more manganese-enriched carbonate phases like pure rhodochrosite (MnCO$_3$). Increasing burial diagenesis and metamorphism can greatly increase the mineralogical diversity of Mn deposits, particularly with Mn-silicate minerals, including caryopilite. See text for details.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ore Name/Location</th>
<th>Volume (million tons)</th>
<th>Estimated Age (million years ago)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nikopol, Ukraine, Georgia</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Varentsov and Grasselly, 1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groote Eylandt, Australia</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>Pracejus and Bolton, 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molango, Mexico</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>Martino, 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xialei, China + Atasu, Kazakhstan</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>Varentsov and Grasselly, 1976; Fan and Yang, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usinsk, Siberia + others</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>Varentsov and Grasselly, 1976</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corumbá, Brazil + small Baltic depts</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>Urban et al, 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodie-Woodie, East Pilbara, Australia</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1100-950</td>
<td>Jones et al, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wafangzi, China</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>Rodionov et al, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sausar Group, India + Postmasburg Group</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Dasgupta et al, 1991; Guzmer and Beukes, 1996a; Cairncross and Beukes, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birimian Supergroup, West Africa</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>2200</td>
<td>National Materials Advisory Board, 1981; Davis et al, 1994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotazel Formation/Kalahari Mn field</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>2220</td>
<td>Gutzmer and Beukes, 1996b; Taljaardt, 1982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minas Gerais, Brazil</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>Maxwell, 1972; Babinski et al., 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koegas Subgroup, South Africa</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>2420</td>
<td>Cairncross and Beukes, 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 – Accompanying Figure 1, we present a compilation of significant manganese ore deposits through time and estimates from the literature of their respective manganese volume and estimated age. Reference(s) used presented on right column.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deposit Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Geologic Setting</th>
<th>Redox state</th>
<th>Mn minerals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ferromanganese nodule</td>
<td>South Pacific Gyre</td>
<td>modern</td>
<td>ocean floor</td>
<td>Mn(IV)</td>
<td>birnessite-like</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan Sea core</td>
<td>Japan Sea</td>
<td>Pliocene-Miocene (~11 Ma)</td>
<td>organic-rich failed rift basin</td>
<td>Mn(II)</td>
<td>magnesite, siderite, manganoan calcite/kutnohorite, calcian rhodochrosite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molango deposit</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Upper Jurassic (~155 Ma)</td>
<td>carbonate platform shelf</td>
<td>Mn(II)</td>
<td>rhodochrosite, manganoan calcite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Cruz deposit</td>
<td>Jacadigo Basin, Brazil</td>
<td>Neoproterozoic (889 – 587 Ma)</td>
<td>continental rift basin, lacustrine or marine gulf</td>
<td>Mn(II,III)</td>
<td>braunite, rhodochrosite, kutnohorite, caryopilite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>weathered</td>
<td>Mn(IV)</td>
<td>cryptomelane or birnessite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotazel Formation</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>~2200 Ma</td>
<td>marine back-arc basin or proximal mid-ocean ridge</td>
<td>Mn(II,III)</td>
<td>braunite, kutnohorite, manganoan calcite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koegas Subgroup</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>~2415 Ma</td>
<td>deltaic near-shore marine</td>
<td>Mn(II)</td>
<td>ferrous rhodochrosite, manganoan siderite, manganoan calcite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>weathered</td>
<td>Mn(IV)</td>
<td>cryptomelane or birnessite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kungarra Formation</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>2420 – 2209 Ma</td>
<td>Glacio-marine (no subsurface samples)</td>
<td>(no subsurface samples)</td>
<td>cryptomelane or birnessite</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 – A summary table of the seven deposits analyzed in this study, including their location, estimated age, geologic setting, and the redox and mineralogical results determined by this study.
Age (Ga)

Size of Mn deposit (million tons)

- sedimentary Mn deposit
- supergene Mn deposit

samples analyzed in this study

0 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 4

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT
Kutnohorite (cluster)
Kutnohorite (Hotazel)
Mn-calcite
Rhodochrosite
Mangan-Siderite
Braunite
Birnessite
Cryptomelane
Mn(IV) oxides

mixed Mn(II)-Ca carbonates

Rhodochrosite (MnCO$_3$)

Mn(III)OOH or Mn(III)-ligand

Braunite (Mn(III)$_6$Mn(II)O$_8$SiO$_4$)

Mn(II)SiO$_3$...