Fluorescence Near-Field Microscopy of DNA at Sub-10 nm Resolution
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We demonstrate apertureless near-field microscopy of single molecules at sub-10 nm resolution. With a novel phase filter, near-field images of single organic fluorophores were obtained with ~sixfold improvement in the signal-to-noise ratio. The improvement allowed pairs of molecules separated by ~15 nm to be reliably and repeatedly resolved, thus demonstrating the first true Rayleigh resolution test for near-field images of single molecules. The potential of this technique for biological applications was demonstrated with an experiment that measured the helical rise of A-form DNA.
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For nano- and molecular science and technology, near-field optical microscopy provides a technique to measure and manipulate structures at subdiffraction limited resolution. The use of a sharp apertureless tip to locally perturb the fields at the sample with apertureless near-field scanning optical microscopy (ANSOM) has allowed spatial resolution at or surpassing 20 nm using elastic scattering [1,2], Raman scattering [3,4], and fluorescence excitation [5,6]. With fluorescence ANSOM, fluorescence of the sample is modified by the proximity of the tip that enhances the excitation field near it, but at the same time induces nonradiative energy transfer (fluorescence quenching) [7]. As a result of the two competitive effects, only single folds of fluorescence enhancement [8–14] or small fractions of fluorescence quenching [15,16] can be measured. Detection of the small high-resolution signal against the classical signal excited by the laser illumination has remained the main concern of fluorescence ANSOM.

Single molecules are widely used as fluorescent tags or reporters in biology [17], sensitive probes in materials and physical chemistry [18], and model single quantum systems for studying light-matter interactions [18]. Near-field optical imaging of single molecules has intrigued scientists since the demonstration by Betzig et al. [19]. Unfortunately, it has been a challenge [7,16] to image fluorescent molecules with ANSOM due to the inherent molecular fluorescence fluctuation [inset of Fig. 1(b)] and the limited number of photons available before photochemical destruction (photobleaching) of the molecule. Only two experiments have achieved resolution at 30–40 nm by imaging isolated molecules in vacuum or in a matrix [11] or using a nanofabricated metal tip on top of a fiber aperture [20]. More recently, it was demonstrated that properly designed "nanoantennas" can enhance the power of the optical near field by several orders [21,22] or reduce nonradiative energy transfer [23], thus holding promise for imaging single molecules. In this Letter, we demonstrate single-molecule ANSOM imaging at sub-10 nm resolution using a novel phase filter. For the first time, two molecules separated by less than 15 nm can be resolved with ANSOM. We applied this technique to measure the helical rise of A-form DNA. The progress we present will accelerate the application of fluorescence ANSOM in the life sciences.

The microscope setup was described previously [6]. Briefly, an atomic force microscope (tapping mode: ~80 kHz) is combined with an inverted confocal optical microscope, with the silicon tip (FESP, Veeco Instruments) aligned with the laser focal spot [Fig. 1(a)]; the fluorescence photons and the beginning of the tip oscillation cycles were recorded as time stamps.

We imaged isolated Cy3 molecules and Cy3 molecule pairs. Each Cy3 molecule is attached to the 5' end of a

![FIG. 1. (a) Illustration of the microscope. The linearly polarized beam, passing through a mask with a wedged window (not shown), is at total internal reflection at the substrate-air interface (focus area ~350 × 1000 nm) to achieve a large field component along the tip axis. (b) Tip-oscillation phase histogram of the photons. The inset is a typical fluorescence time trace of a Cy3 molecule, where the vertical axis is the photon count per 0.01 s. (c) The background noise (standard deviation) obtained from the phase filter (solid curve) and from the unfiltered shot noise, \(\sqrt{n}\) (dash curve). The horizontal axis is the same as (d). (d) The SNR calculated as the image pixel signal divided by the background noise from the phase filter (solid curve) and from the unfiltered shot noise (dash curve). The image pixel signal is 0.60/N/3 (f: fluorescence enhancement; \(N\): photon number per pixel emitted by a typical molecule) for the solid curve according to Eq. (1), and 0.75/N/3, which is the direct sum of the near-field photons [Fig. 1(b)], for the dash curve. For both curves, we used \(f = 5, N = 10\).]
60-mer single-stranded DNA (ssDNA). Each pair of Cy3 molecules is linked by a 60 bp double-stranded DNA (dsDNA), prepared by annealing two complementary 5'-labeled ssDNA. The dsDNA chain is shorter than the DNA persistence length (~150 bp), so it is rigid. To obtain topographical atomic force microscope (AFM) images of the DNA molecules, we used glass–microparticle hybrid slides [24]. To prepare the samples, 1 μl of 10 nM DNA solution was spread on the mica surface and evaporated dry, then the surface was rinsed with deionized water and dried with nitrogen gas. The majority of the molecules on the surface produced more than 10⁵ photon counts, allowing >20 s imaging time.

The signal of fluorescence ANSOM contains the near-field and far-field components excited by the optical near-field and propagating laser illumination, respectively. With single molecules, fluorescence enhancement is only ~twofold to fivefold [Fig. 1(b)], comparable to the fluctuation of the far-field signal [inset of Fig. 1(b)]. In previous work [6], we demonstrated that signal demodulation separates the far-field and near-field signals successfully. This method, however, requires a large number of photons and works only for intense targets, such as quantum dots (Fig. 4 of Ref. [6]). To find an efficient separation method, we studied the (Fig. 4 of Ref. [6]). To find an efficient separation method, we studied the

\[ S_n = S_m - S_f \]

for \( S_m > S_f \) and \( S_n = 0 \) for \( S_m < S_f \).

The phase filter effectively suppresses the noise of the background, where we refer to the background as an area without near-field images. One can estimate the effect of the filter by approximating \( g \) with a top hat function, with which we can calculate the mean and variance of the background as

\[ \bar{x} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P(i, j, n/3) \times P(i + j, n/3) \]  

\[ \bar{\sigma}^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} P(i, j, n/3) \sum_{i+j=0}^{\infty} (i - \bar{x})^2 P(i + j, n/3) + \sum_{i+j=0}^{\infty} \bar{x}^2 P(i, j, n/3) \]  

where \( i \) and \( j \) are dummy variables, \( n \) is the average photon number per pixel in the background, and \( P(a, b) = (e^{-\bar{\sigma}^2}/a!) \) is the Poisson probability density. The effect of using a Gaussian for \( g \) causes only a small change in the standard deviation of the background, giving \( \sigma = 0.75 \bar{\sigma} \).

Compared with the unfiltered shot noise, the background noise is effectively suppressed with the phase filter [Fig. 1(c)], which provides ~sixfold improvement in the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and makes it possible to image multiple fluorescent targets in the focal spot [Fig. 1(d)]. Assuming that a far-field illuminated molecule emits 10 photons per pixel, good SNR (>7) can be obtained with up to ten molecules in the focal spot and fair SNR (>3) with several tens of molecules in the focal spot [Fig. 1(d)]. The SNR obtained in experiments (Figs. 2 and 4), determined as the difference between the peak image signal and the background baseline divided by the variation of a 100 x 100 nm background area, is in good agreement with the calculation [Fig. 1(d)].

We imaged 211 isolated single Cy3 molecules. The images are either symmetric [Fig. 2(a)] or elongated [Fig. 2(b)], due to different molecular dipole orientations.
...the tip axis (\( j \)) shifts away from the molecule; when \( \sim p \) axis, the image becomes elongated and the image center field amplitude is \( S_r/0.0018 \) times better than the previous best ANSOM measurements and close to 1 order better than typical results of apertured near-field microscopy.

To better understand the results, we simulated images of single molecules using the electrostatic dipole model of the tip. This model was adopted in both fluorescence ANSOM and scattering ANSOM [1,25] and was supported by numerical simulations [26]. According to the model, the total field amplitude is

\[
E(\vec{r}) = E_0 \left( \frac{3z}{r^2} \hat{r} - \frac{1}{r^2} \hat{z} \right),
\]

where \( E_0 \) is the external laser field, \( \alpha \) is determined experimentally and the coordinate origin is at the tip center. Using \( [E(r) \cdot p(\theta, \varphi)]^2 \) as the image intensity, simulation results [Fig. 3(a)] show that as \( \vec{p} \) tilts away from the tip axis, the image becomes elongated and the image center shifts away from the molecule; when \( \vec{p} \) is perpendicular to the tip axis \( (|\theta - 90^\circ| \leq 0.8^\circ) \), the image has two symmetric “lobes”; when \( \theta \) is close to 90° \( (0.8^\circ \leq |\theta - 90^\circ| \leq 20^\circ) \), there is a small region where the signal is below the background. The SNR is 12.4 and 15.9 for (a), 16.1 for (b), and 20.4 for (c). The insets show the profiles with line cut through the image centers (indicated by arrows), where the horizontal axis is in pixels \((1 \, \text{pixel} = 1.95 \, \text{nm})\) and the vertical axis is the pixel signal. For nonzero \( \varphi \), the images are simply \( \varphi \)-degree rotation of those for \( \varphi = 0 \) [Fig. 3(a)], for the field is symmetric about the tip axis. Simulation patterns for \( \theta \) at or close to 90° were experimentally observed [Figs. 3(b)–3(d)], providing direct support for the electrostatic dipole model.

Measuring true resolution has long been a challenge in near-field microscopy; one of the strongest tests is to make a “Rayleigh” resolution measurement, in which two proximate point sources are resolved. To our knowledge there have been no rigorous near-field measurements such as this made with single molecules, which are excellent approximations of a point source. With the phase filter, we were able to resolve two Cy3 molecules linked by a 60 bp dsDNA oligonucleotide. Figures 4(a)–4(c) are the near-field optical images of such molecule pairs, where Figs. 4(d)–4(f) are the corresponding topographical AFM images. ANSOM has a better resolution than AFM even with the same tip, because the force involved in AFM, which is proportional to the inverse of the tip-sample distance [27], decays much more slowly than the optical near field. There are no previous AFM experiments that resolved DNA molecules as short as 15 nm; instead, round images for short DNA molecules were observed in this [Fig. 4(d)] and previous experiments [28].

We imaged a total of 389 dsDNA oligonucleotides, 29% of which showed resolvable Cy3 pairs. The Cy3 labeling efficiency for each DNA strand is about 80%, so we expect that 67% of the optically detectable DNA oligonucleotides are actually labeled with two Cy3 molecules. Factors such as imperfect annealing, photobleaching, and worn tips can all contribute to the failure to resolve the rest. As a control experiment, the 211 images of single Cy3 molecules were analyzed in the same fashion and double-lobed artifacts were found in only 4% of the images [Fig. 4(h)], which is a
vast improvement over a previous method which has artifacts in all images [20].

With the resolved Cy3 molecule pairs, the end-to-end distances of the 60 bp DNA oligonucleotides can be measured [Fig. 4(g)]. The statistical result is 13.0 nm ± 4.1 nm (standard deviation) with standard error of the mean \(\sigma = 0.4\) nm. Random factors that contribute to the distribution of the measurements include limited precision in determining the image centers, shifting of the images, and the flexible carbon linker (≈0.6 nm) between the Cy3 molecule and DNA. Systematic errors also exist in the result. Simulation shows that the two images shift toward each other because the tip enhances both molecules when it is in between them; the shift increases with larger tip radius. The linewidth of the majority of the images indicates an upbound of the tip radius at 15 nm, at which a 2.5 nm shift was simulated for two molecules separated by 15 nm. Therefore, the precision of our measurement of the DNA length has a statistical error of 3% and a systematic error up to 20%.

DNA structure depends strongly on humidity and takes the A-form and B-form structure at low and high humidity, respectively. It is now understood that DNA-binding drugs and proteins can induce local conformational conversion between the two forms [29]. In our experiments, the DNA molecules were imaged at humidity (≈30%) well below the 73% threshold for the A-form DNA. An unresolved paradox in x-ray diffraction studies of A-form DNA is that fibers of long DNA molecules with mixed sequences yield a consistent value of 2.6 Å/bp for the helical rise [30], but crystal structures of small oligonucleotides (≈10 bp) reveal an average value of 2.83 Å/bp with a standard deviation of ≈0.36 Å/bp across different sequences [31]. The source of the discrepancy is as yet unresolved, although crystal artifacts, molecular weight effects, and incomplete sequence sampling may all play a role. Our measurements described above allow an independent determination of the helical rise, and do not suffer from artifacts due to crystal packing or small molecular weights. The result (2.17 Å/bp) agrees with the x-ray data of fibers within one sigma of our largest estimated experimental error and falls within the two sigma limit of the sequence-dependent variation observed in crystal structure data.

The phase filtering method should be applicable to nanoantennas [21–23] and supersharp carbon nanotube probes [32] with which both the resolution and the precision can be improved. With the advances of AFM technology, such as imaging in water and fast frame imaging speeds, it may ultimately be possible to combine optical resolution approaching that of electron microscopy with the ability to image biomolecules in physiological conditions.
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[24] A thin layer (≈2 μm) of mica was lifted off a mica sheet and placed on a clean glass cover slide; bonding between the two surfaces is immediate, probably due to van der Waals forces. The mica surface was treated with 20 mM MgCl2 and rinsed by deionized water.