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Abstract—The most commonly used 2-D filter banks are separable filter banks, which can be obtained by cascading two 1-D filter banks in the form of a tree. The supports of the analysis and synthesis filters in the separable systems are unions of four rectangles. The natural nonseparable generalization of such supports are those that are unions of four parallelograms. In this paper, we study four parallelogram filter banks, which is the class of 2-D filter banks in which the supports of the analysis and synthesis filters consist of four parallelograms. For a given a decimation matrix, there could be more than one possible configuration (the collection of passbands of the analysis filters). Various types of configuration will be constructed for four-parallelogram filter banks. Conditions on the configurations will be derived such that good design of analysis and synthesis filters are possible. We will see that there is only one category of these filter banks. The configurations of four-parallelogram filter banks in this category can always be achieved by designing filter banks of low design cost.

I. INTRODUCTION

In a companion paper [1], we studied 2-D two-parallelogram filter banks. This is the class of systems in which the supports (passbands) of the analysis filters consist of two parallelograms, each a shifted version of a parallelogram prototype. Fig. 1(a) and (b) show, respectively, a parallelogram prototype and the support (passband) of a typical analysis filter in a two-parallelogram filter bank. The analysis filters have real coefficients; the supports are symmetric with respect to the origin. Consider the 2-D filter bank with decimation matrix $M$ in Fig. 2. It is explained in [1] that for successful design of the analysis and synthesis filters, it is necessary that the configuration of the filter bank be $A.F.S(M)$ and permissible. More specific description of these two properties are given below.

A filter $H(\omega)$ is called aliasfree supported with respect to $M$ ($A.F.S(M)$) if the support of $H(\omega)$ does not overlap under modulo $2\pi M^{-T}$. When $H(\omega)$ is an ideal filter, the output of $H(\omega)$ would allow aliasfree $M$-fold decimation. When all the analysis filters are $A.F.S(M)$, the configuration is referred to as $A.F.S(M)$. If a filter bank has a $A.F.S(M)$ configuration, then, in the ideal case, no aliasing is created in the subbands, and the filter bank has perfect reconstruction. In practice, the nonideal rolloff of the filter causes aliasing in the subband. When the analysis filters are decimated and then expanded by $M$, each has $|M|-1$ images, where the notation $|M|$ denotes the absolute value of the determinant of $M$.

$M$. All the images are attenuated to the stopband level of the synthesis filters except those images that are adjacent to the passband of the synthesis filters. These adjacent images result in different types of aliasing, depending on the type of adjacency involved. For example, in Fig. 3(a), one image of the analysis filter $H_k(\omega)$ is edge adjacent to the synthesis filter $F_k(\omega)$ and results in edge aliasing, and similarly, the image in Fig. 3(b) results in vertex aliasing. A perfect reconstruction filter bank enjoys complete alias cancelation; therefore, major aliasing of one subband is canceled largely by major aliasing of other subbands. However, when the configurations are not constructed properly, it is possible that some edge aliasing...
Fig. 3. (a) Image of the \( k \)th analysis filter is edge adjacent to the \( k \)th synthesis filter. (b) Image of the \( k \)th analysis filter is vertex adjacent to the \( k \)th synthesis filter.

Fig. 4. Typical support of an analysis filter in a four-parallelogram filter bank.

cannot be canceled if the analysis and synthesis filters have good frequency selectivity. Such configurations are called edge nonpermissible. Similarly, if some vertex aliasing in a configuration is uncancellable when the filters have good frequency selectivity, the configuration is called vertex nonpermissible. For the individual filters to have good frequency selectivity, it is necessary that the configuration have permissibility \([2],[3]\), which includes edge and vertex permissibility \([1]\). In this case, the importance of edge permissibility is much greater than vertex permissibility. Notice that permissibility is determined jointly by all the analysis filters. To have a permissible configuration, the support of one analysis filter will affect the choice of other filters.

Although the two-parallelogram filter banks cannot possess both edge and vertex permissibility in general, the two-parallelogram filter banks can have edge permissibility. Edge permissible two-parallelogram CMFB's are constructed and designed in \([1]\) and \([4]\). However, lack of permissibility lead to limitation in the stopband attenuation of the individual filters in the two-parallelogram cosine modulated filter banks.

**Four-Parallelogram Filter Banks**

In this paper, we study four parallelogram filter banks \([5]\), the class of filter banks in which the supports of the analysis filters consist of four parallelograms as shown in Fig. 4. The simplest way to design four-parallelogram filter banks is by using separable filter banks \([6]\). A separable 2-D filter bank can be obtained by cascading two 1-D filter banks in a tree structure. For example, the tree-structured filter bank in Fig. 5 is obtained by using two 1-D two-channel filter banks. The resulting 2-D analysis and synthesis filters are products of two 1-D filters and are separable; the support of each analysis filter is the union of four rectangles (Fig. 6). The 2-D analysis and synthesis filters can have good frequency selectivity if the 1-D filters have good frequency selectivity. Therefore, the separable 2-D filter banks are both edge and vertex permissible. In this paper, we will pursue edge and vertex permissibility for four-parallelogram filter banks.

To obtain a support configuration for four-parallelogram filter bank, we first construct a parallelogram prototype. Then, we shift the parallelogram prototype properly and combine four shifted parallelograms to obtain the support of each analysis filter. The synthesis filters have the same supports as the corresponding analysis filters. As the support of each analysis filter consists of four shifted versions of the parallelogram prototype, the area of the parallelogram prototype is one fourth the area of the support of an analysis filter. In a \( |M| \) channel filter bank, the area of an analysis filter support is usually the same as \( SPD(\pi M^{-1}) \), where \( SPD(V) \) of a \( 2 \times 2 \) matrix \( V \) is the set of vectors of the form \([7]\)

\[
Vx, x \in [-1,1)^2.
\]

Let the parallelogram prototype be \( SPD(\pi N^{-1}) \), where \( N = ML \) for some integer matrix \( L \) with \(|L| = 4\).
parallelogram filter banks and discuss permissibility of these parallelogram filter banks. We will see that in permissible configurations.

We will construct various types of configurations for the four-class of four-parallelogram filter banks: the simplistic four-parallelogram filter banks, as we can see from Fig. 7. The preceding construction parallels filter banks, the analysis filters have only two passbands. This is not true in the four-parallelogram filter bank of one passband will determine the location of the other pass analysis filter.

indicates that for a given decimation matrix \( M \), the choice of \( L \) will determine the parallelogram prototype and indirectly affect the supports of the analysis filters. Then, we combine four shifted versions of the parallelogram prototype to obtain the supports of the analysis filters.

For four-parallelogram filter banks, there are a variety of possible configurations. For example, consider the low-pass analysis filter \( H_0(\omega) \). Fig. 7(a) and (b) show two of the possible supports for \( H_0(\omega) \). The support of \( H_0(\omega) \) is different when the four parallelograms in the support of \( H_0(\omega) \) are glued in different manners. Notice that in the two-parallelogram filter banks, the analysis filters have only two passbands; when the filters have real coefficients, the location of one passband will determine the location of the other passband. This is not true in the four-parallelogram filter bank case, as we can see from Fig. 7. The preceding construction indicates that for a given decimation matrix \( M \), the support configuration is determined by the following two steps.

Step 1) Choose \( L \) and, hence, the parallelogram prototype.
Step 2) Shift the parallelogram prototype properly, and combine four shifted copies to obtain the support for each analysis filter.

We will construct various types of configurations for the four-parallelogram filter banks and discuss permissibility of these configurations.

It turns out that permissibility is only possible for a special class of four-parallelogram filter banks: the simplistic four-parallelogram filter banks. We will see that in permissible simplistic four-parallelogram filter banks, the decimation matrix \( M \) is necessarily of the form \( QA \), where \( Q \) is an integer matrix with \( |Q| = 1 \) or \( 2 \), and \( A \) is a diagonal integer matrix. This gives rise to the implementation of simplistic four-parallelogram filter banks of low design cost. In particular, when \( |Q| = 1 \), simplistic four-parallelogram filter banks can be implemented by using a separable filter bank with decimation matrix \( A \) followed by a so-called unimodular transformation [8]. When \( |Q| = 2 \), the desired configuration can be achieved by concatenating a separable 2-D filter banks with a 2-D two-channel filter bank in the form of a tree. In the companion paper [1], we discuss cosine-modulated implementation of simplistic four-parallelogram filter banks. For four-parallelogram filter banks, we will not do it because attractive implementation can be obtained with little loss of generality, as we will show.

Paper Outline

All notations and conventions are precisely as in [1]. The sections are organized as follows. In Section II, we will consider a special class of four-parallelogram filter banks: the simplistic four-parallelogram filter banks. For a given decimation matrix \( M \), we say a four-parallelogram filter bank is simplistic if the support of the lowpass analysis filter is the parallelogram \( SPD(\pi M^{-T}) \). The other filters in the simplistic filter banks will be constructed such that the configuration of the filter bank is permissible. We will see that in this case, the decimation matrix needs to be property constrained. In Section III, we make a connection between permissible simplistic four-parallelogram filter banks to other existing filter banks. Via this connection, permissible simplistic four-parallelogram filter banks can be achieved by cascading filter banks of low design cost. Other types of four-parallelogram filter banks (other than the simplistic four-parallelogram filter banks) are studied in Section IV.

II. THE SIMPLISTIC FOUR-PARALLELOGRAM FILTER BANKS

Consider the filter bank with decimation matrix \( M \) in Fig. 2. In this section, we consider simplistic four-parallelogram filter banks: a special type of four-parallelogram filter banks that are completely characterized by the support of the lowpass analysis filter \( H_0(\omega) \). A four-parallelogram filter bank with decimation matrix \( M \) is called simplistic if the support of \( H_0(\omega) \) is \( SPD(\pi M^{-T}) \). Recall that in a separable filter bank with diagonal decimation matrix \( A \), the support of the lowpass analysis filter is \( SPD(\pi A^{-T}) \). Therefore, the lowpass analysis filter in simplistic filter banks is the natural nonseparable generalization of lowpass analysis filter in separable filter bank.

We can verify that in simplistic filter banks, the matrix \( L \) is

\[
L = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}
\]

The matrix \( N \) that determines the parallelogram prototype \( SPD(\pi N^{-T}) \) is \( N = 2M \). For example,

let \( M = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & -5 \\ -4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \), then \( N = 2 \begin{bmatrix} 8 & -5 \\ -4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \).
The parallelogram prototype $SPD(\pi N^{-T})$ and the support of the lowpass analysis filter $SPD(TM^{-T})$ are as shown in Fig. 8.

**Frequency Normalization:** All the frequency planes in previous figures are shown with $\omega_0$ and $\omega_1$ as two axes, e.g., Fig. 8. For the convenience of illustration, we will use a new set of axes. On the new frequency plane, the parallelogram prototype would appear the same for any chosen $N$. More specifically, we will normalize the frequency plane by $2\pi N^{-T}$; we define

$$\nu = \begin{bmatrix} \nu_0 \\ \nu_1 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2\pi N^T} \begin{bmatrix} \omega_0 \\ \omega_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and use $\nu_0$ and $\nu_1$ as the two axes. On the normalized frequency plane, the parallelogram prototype will always appear as the square $SPD(0.5I)$ (Fig. 9). In the simplistic filter bank case, the support of $H_0(\omega)$ becomes $SPD(I)$, as shown in Fig. 9. In Fig. 9, the notation $S(k_0,k_1)$ denotes the square $SPD(0.5I)$ at

$$\begin{bmatrix} k_0 + 0.5 \\ k_1 + 0.5 \end{bmatrix}$$

where the vector subscript of $S$ should be interpreted modulo $N^T$. The support of $H_0(\omega)$ is the union of the four squares $S(0,0), S(-1,0), S(0,-1), S(-1,-1)$. Notice that to have real-coefficient filters, whenever $S(k_0,k_1)$ belongs to a certain analysis filter, $S(-k_0-1,-k_1-1)$ must be part of the same analysis filter. We will call $(S(k_0,k_1), S(-k_0-1,-k_1-1))$ a conjugate pair. The support of each analysis filter consists of two conjugate pairs.

**Necessary Condition for Permissibility:** Thus far, only the support of the lowpass analysis filter is determined. As permissibility is jointly determined by all the analysis filters, the supports of the analysis filters in other subbands have to be constrained properly. We would like to construct the other filters such that the configuration has edge and vertex permissibility at the same time. With this premise, we can derive the following necessary condition.

**Proposition 2.1:** If a simplistic four-parallelogram filter bank is permissible, the supports of the other analysis filters $H_m(\omega)$ must contain the conjugate pair $(S(k_0,k_1), S(-k_0-1,-k_1-1))$, and the conjugate pair $(S(-k_0-1,k_1), S(k_0,-k_1-1))$. \hfill \blacksquare

**Corollary 2.1:** The condition in Proposition 2.1 in terms implies that decimation matrix $M$ is restricted to the following form:

$$M = QA,$$

where $Q$ is an integer matrix with $|Q| = 1$ or 2, and $A$ is a diagonal integer matrix. Proposition 2.1 and Corollary 2.1 will be shown in Sections II-A and B, respectively.

**A. Edge and Vertex Permissible Simplistic Four-Parallelogram Filter Banks**

Recall that the $M$-fold decimated and expanded version of $H_0(\omega)$ contains $|M|$ copies, which are shifted copies of $H_0(\omega)$ by

$$2\pi M^{-T}m, m \in N(M^T).$$

With axes normalization, the images of passband $S_k$ are at

$$S_{k+\gamma m},\gamma \in N(M^T), m \neq 0.$$

Fig. 10 illustrates the locations of the images of $S_k$. The images of $S_k$ are neither edge adjacent nor vertex adjacent to $S_{k'}$. It follows from (4) that a particular $S_n$ is occupied by an image of $S_k$ if $\frac{1}{2}(n-k)$ is an integer vector. Furthermore, the aliasing resulting from the image at $S_n$ contributes to the aliasing transfer function $A_{1/2}(n-k)(\omega)$ (see Appendix A of [1] for the definition of aliasing transfer functions). In the equations to follow, the vector subscript $n$ of $S_n$ should
Fig. 10. Location of the images of $S_k$.

be interpreted modulo $N^T$, whereas the vector subscript $k$ of $A_k(\omega)$ should be interpreted modulo $M^T$.

From Fig. 10, we see that three images of $S_{(0,0)}$ will be at $S_{(-2,0)}, S_{(0,-2)}$ and $S_{(-2,-2)}$, as shown in Fig. 11. The images at $S_{(-2,0)}, S_{(0,-2)}$ are edge adjacent to $H_0(\omega)$ and result in edge aliasing, whereas the image at $S_{(-2,-2)}$ is vertex adjacent to $H_0(\omega)$ and results in vertex aliasing. We discuss, respectively, the cancelation of aliasing on account of the three images mentioned above. We will argue that due to the presence of the images at $S_{(-2,0)}$ and $S_{(0,-2)}$, the supports of two other analysis filters will be determined.

1) The Image at $S_{(-2,0)}$: From Fig. 11, we see that this image is edge adjacent to $S_{(1,0)}$. The aliasing term contributes to the aliasing transfer function $A_{(-1,0)}(\omega)$. Let the support of the analysis filter $H_1(\omega)$ contain $S_{(-2,0)}$. Then, this is the only subband that can provide an aliasing term to cancel the aliasing error in the first subband. To be able to contribute to the aliasing transfer function $A_{(-1,0)}(\omega)$, the support of $H_1(\omega)$ must contain $S_{(1,0)}$. As the analysis filters have real coefficients, the support of $H_1(\omega)$ must contain the conjugate pairs $(S_{(1,0)}, S_{(-2,-1)})$ and $(S_{(-2,0)}, S_{(1,-1)})$ (Fig. 9). Summarizing, the support of $H_1(\omega)$ is the union of $S_{(1,0)}, S_{(-2,-1)}, S_{(-2,0)},$ and $S_{(1,-1)}$ as shown in Fig. 12.

2) The Image at $S_{(0,-2)}$: Similarly to the previous case, this image is edge adjacent to $S_{(0,-1)}$ and creates an aliasing term that contributes to the aliasing transfer function $A_{(0,-1)}(\omega)$. Let the support of the analysis filter $H_2(\omega)$ contain $S_{(0,-2)}$. To be able to cancel the edge aliasing error in the zeroth subband, the support of $H_2(\omega)$ must also contain $S_{(0,1)}$. As the analysis filters are real-coefficient, the support of $H_2(\omega)$ is the union of $S_{(0,1)}, S_{(-1,-2)}, S_{(0,-2)},$ and $S_{(-1,1)}$, as shown in Fig. 12.

3) The Image at $S_{(-2,-2)}$: This image is vertex adjacent to $S_{(-1,-1)}$. This will result in aliasing error that contributes to $A_{(-1,-1)}(\omega)$. Similarly, it is necessary that $S_{(-2,-2)}$ and $S_{(-1,-1)}$ are parts of the same analysis filter. As the analysis filters are real-coefficient, $S_{(-2,-2)}$ and $S_{(-1,-1)}$ always belong to the same analysis filter.

We can verify that the cancelation of aliasing caused by the images of $S_{(0,-1)}, S_{(1,0)}$ and $S_{(-1,-1)}$ require the same choice of support for the second and third subbands. Repeating the same argument, we conclude that for the purpose of alias cancelation or support permissibility the analysis filters are necessarily of the form in (2).

In a typical 1-D $M$-channel filter bank, each analysis filter has total bandwidth $2\pi/M$. In the 2-D case, total bandwidth should be interpreted as total area of spectral occupancy. When the filters are not constructed properly, some filters would have less area than others. The following result shows that this situation can be avoided.

Proposition 2.2: When the supports of the analysis filters are chosen according to (2), all analysis filters have the same area of spectral occupancy.

Proof: We only need to show that the four squares are distinct under modulo $N^T$. For this, we will show that $S_{(k_0,k_1)}$ is not the same as the other three terms in (2). Suppose that $S_{(k_0,k_1)}$ and $S_{(-k_0-1,k_1)}$ are identical for some $k_0$ and $k_1$. Then, $\begin{bmatrix} k_0 \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -k_0-1 \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix}$ mod $N^T$, which implies $\begin{bmatrix} 2k_0+1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ mod $N^T$, i.e., $\begin{bmatrix} 2k_0+1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is on the lattice of $N^T$. However,
Example 2.1. (a) Support of the zeroth analysis filter. (b) Pertaining to the illustration of alias cancelation.

\[ N^T = 2M^T \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} 2k_0 + 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ cannot be a vector of } \text{LAT}(N^T). \]

Therefore, \( S_{(k_0, k_1)} \) and \( S_{(-k_0 - 1, k_1)} \) are distinct \( \forall k_0, k_1 \). In a similar manner, we can show that \( S_{(k_0, k_1)} \) and \( S_{(-k_0 + 1, k_1)} \) are distinct and that \( S_{(k_0, k_1)} \) and \( S_{(-k_0 - 1, -k_1)} \) are distinct \( \forall k_0, k_1 \), as well.

**B. Proof of Corollary 2.1**

We first show that support permissibility will imply that the matrix \( K \) given by

\[
K = M^{-T} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} M^{-T}
\]

is an integer matrix, which in turn will give us (3).

By (2), the analysis filter that contains the pair \( (S_{(k_0, k_1)}, S_{(-k_0 - 1, -k_1 + 1)}) \) should also contain \( (S_{(-k_0 + 1, k_1)}, S_{(k_0, -k_1 - 1)}) \). Suppose \( \begin{bmatrix} k_0' \\ k_1' \end{bmatrix} \) and \( \begin{bmatrix} k_0 \\ k_1 \end{bmatrix} \) are distinct.

\[
\begin{bmatrix} k_0 - k_0' \\ k_1 - k_1' \end{bmatrix} = 0 \mod N^T.
\]

Combining (6) and (7), we have \( A_0 N^T d = 0 \mod N^T, \forall d \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \), where \( A_0 \) is as defined in (5), and \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) is the set of all \( 2 \times 2 \) integer vectors. Let \( d_0 = [1 \ 0]^T \) and \( d_1 = [0 \ 1]^T \); then, \( A_0 N^T [d_0 \ d_1]^T = N^T [k_0' \ k_1']^T \), for some integer vectors \( k_0 \) and \( k_1 \). If we define \( K = [k_0' \ k_1] \), then \( K \) is a \( 2 \times 2 \) integer matrix. Since \( [d_0 \ d_1]^T \) is the \( 2 \times 2 \) identity matrix, and \( N = 2M \), we have \( A_0 M^T = M^T K \), and we conclude that \( K = M^T A_0 M^T \) is an integer matrix. In fact, as \( M^{-T} A_0 M^T = 1 \), \( K \) is unimodular.

Let the decimation matrix \( M \) have Smith form \( M = U s_A V_s \), where \( [A_s]_{00} = \beta \) and \( [A_s]_{11} = \alpha \beta \) (see Appendix A of [1] for the definition of the Smith form of integer matrices).

Let \( V_s^T = \begin{bmatrix} v_{00} & v_{01} \\ v_{10} & v_{11} \end{bmatrix} \); then,

\[
K = [V_s^T]^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} v_{00} v_{11} + v_{10} v_{01} & 2\alpha v_{01} v_{11} \\ -\alpha v_{00} v_{10} & v_{00} v_{11} - v_{01} v_{10} \end{bmatrix}.
\]

The matrix \( K \) is unimodular if \( \alpha \) divides \( 2v_{00}v_{10} \).

1) \( \alpha \) is odd: Suppose \( \alpha = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \), \( \alpha_1 \) divides \( v_{00} \), and \( \alpha_2 \) divides \( v_{10} \). Then,

\[
M = U \begin{bmatrix} v_{00}/\alpha_1 & v_{10}/\alpha_2 \\ \alpha_2 v_{01}/\alpha_1 & \alpha_1 v_{11}/\alpha_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 \end{bmatrix} Q x \lambda
\]

where the matrix \( Q \) has \( |Q| = 1 \).
Fig. 16. Example 3.1. Simplistic four-parallelogram filter bank. (a) Spectral support of the analysis filters and (b) the magnitude response of the lowpass analysis filter with frequency normalized by $\frac{2\pi}{4}$.

Fig. 17. Two-level tree structured filter bank. The first level is a 2-D two-channel filter bank with decimation matrix $Q$, and the second level is a 2-D separable filter bank with decimation matrix $A$.

Example 2.1—Decimation Matrix $M$ Not Satisfying (3): This example illustrates that some edge aliasing errors will remain uncanceled when (2) is not satisfied. Consider the decimation matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

which is not of the form in (3), and hence, the analysis filters cannot be of the form in (2). The supports of the analysis filters consist of four parallelograms, each a shifted version of $SPD(I_{\mathbf{N}})$, where $\mathbf{N} = 2\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$. The support of the lowpass analysis filter $H_0(\omega)$ is as shown in Fig. 13(a). With axis normalization the support of $H_0(\omega)$ becomes $SPD(I)$, as shown in Fig. 13(b). We have labeled all the cells in Fig. 13(b)
Fig. 19. Possible lattices of integer matrix \( L' \), where \( L \) has \( |L| = 4 \).

by \( Q_k \) and \( Q'_k \) with \((Q_k, Q'_k)\) denoting a conjugate pair. As 
\( |N| = 12 \), there are total of six conjugate pairs \( (Q_k, Q'_k) \), for  
\( k = 0, 1, \ldots , 5 \). The lowpass filter \( H_0(\omega) \) contains the pair  
\((Q_0, Q'_0)\) and the pair \((Q_1, Q'_1)\). The two images of \( Q_0 \) are  
at \( Q'_1 \) and \( Q'_2 \). The image at \( Q'_3 \) is edge adjacent to \( Q'_1 \). To  
cancel the aliasing from this image, it is necessary that the  
analysis filter contain both \( Q'_1 \) and \( Q'_2 \). Let this analysis filter  
be \( H_1(\omega) \). Then, \( H_1(\omega) \) consists of the pairs \((Q_2, Q'_1)\) and  
the pair \((Q_3, Q'_3)\). In this case, the two pairs left for the last  
analysis filter \( H_2(\omega) \) are \((Q_4, Q'_1)\) and \((Q_5, Q'_3)\). However, the  
image of \( Q_0 \) at \( Q'_4 \) is edge adjacent to \( Q_1 \) and results in edge-  
based aliasing error. Cancelation of this aliasing requires that  
\( Q'_0 \) and \( Q'_4 \) belong to the same analysis filter, i.e., \((Q_2, Q'_1)\) and  
\((Q'_3, Q'_4)\), this aliasing cannot be cancelled.

III. DESIGN OF THE SIMPLISTIC 
FOUR-PARALLELOGRAM FILTER BANKS

The results presented in the previous section show that  
for permissibility of simplistic four-parallelogram filter banks, the  
decimation matrix \( M \) has the special form \( M = QA \),  
where \( Q \) is an integer matrix with \( |Q| = 1 \) or \( 2 \), and \( A \) is a  
diagonal integer matrix. As a result, the support described  
in (2) can always be obtained by cascading systems of low  
design cost. In particular, when the matrix \( Q \) has \( |Q| = 1 \), the support  
can be obtained by cascading two 1-D perfect reconstruction filter banks and performing a  
unimodular transformation [8]. When the matrix \( Q \) has \( |Q| = 2 \), the desired  
configuration can be achieved by concatenating a separable 2-D filter banks with a 2-D two-channel filter bank  
in the form of a tree structure. We now explain both of these  
in greater detail.

Case 1—\( |Q| = 1 \)

Consider a 2-D \( |M| \)-channel perfect reconstruction filter bank obtained by cascading two 1-D filter banks using tree  
structure. The first and second levels of the tree are 1-D  
filter banks with \(|A|_{00} \) channels and \(|A|_{11} \). The overall system,  
having decimation matrix \( A \), will be denoted by \( FB_A \). Let the  
analysis and synthesis filters of \( FB_A \) be defined as \( G_m(\omega) \) and  
\( T_m(\omega) \), respectively. Then, the support of \( G_m(\omega) \) is the union of four rectangles of \( SPD(\pi^{-1}A^{-1}) \). Recall that a unimodular decimator or a unimodular expander only permutes the input,  
and the cascade of a unimodular decimator \( Q \) followed by a unimodular expander \( Q \) is an identity system; see Fig. 14.  
Now, if we insert a unimodular decimator \( Q \) before \( FB_A \) and  
insert a unimodular expander \( Q \) after \( FB_A \), the new system  
(Fig. 15(a)) remains a perfect reconstruction system. This is  
equivalent to inserting a decimator \( Q \) before each analysis  
filter and an expander \( Q \) after each synthesis filter (Fig. 15(b)).  
We can redraw Fig. 15(b) as Fig. 15(c). Denote the new filter  
bank with decimation matrix \( M = QA \) by \( FB_M \), which will  
be called the unimodular transformation of \( FB_A \) by \( Q \). The  
analysis and synthesis filters of \( FB_M \) are \( G_m(Q^T\omega) \) and  
\( T_m(Q^T\omega) \). Observe that the support of \( G_m(Q^T\omega) \) is the union of four shifted copies of \( SPD(\pi^{-1}Q^TQ^{-1}A^{-1}) \). One can verify  
that the filter bank \( FB_M \) with analysis filters \( H_m(\omega) \) and  
synthesis filters \( F_m(\omega) \) given by  
\[
H_m(\omega) = G_m(Q^T\omega), \quad F_m(\omega) = T_m(Q^T\omega)
\]

is a simplistic four-parallelogram filter bank. This result is  
identical to those found earlier in [8].

Example 3.1—Simplistic Four-Parallelogram Filter Banks:  
Consider a 20-channel filter bank with decimation matrix  
\[
M = \begin{bmatrix} 8 & -5 \\ -4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}
\]

which can be factorized as \( \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \). The matrix \( M \) has  
the form in case 1 of (2). Design a separable filter bank  
by concatenating a 1-D four-channel filter bank and a 1-D  
five-channel filter bank. Using the substitution in (8), the
The new nonseparable filter bank has the desired configuration as described in (2). The parallelogram prototype is as shown in Fig. 8. Fig. 16(a) shows the support configuration of the analysis filters. Fig. 16(b) shows the magnitude response of the lowpass analysis filter.

**Case 2—** $|Q| = 2$

Consider a tree structured filter bank with two levels in Fig. 17. The first level of the tree is a two-channel filter bank with decimation matrix $Q$. The lowpass analysis filter of the two-channel system has support $S_{PD}(\pi Q^{-T})$. For the second level of the tree, we use a separable $|A|$-channel filter bank $(FB_A)$ that is obtained by cascading two 1-D filter banks of $|A|_{00}$ channels and $|A|_{11}$ channels in the form of a tree.

Then, the overall filter bank has the desired configuration of four-parallelogram filter banks.
Example 3.2—Simplistic Four-Parallelogram Filter Banks: Consider an eight-channel four-parallelogram filter bank with decimation matrix

\[
M = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\
-1 & 1 & 0 & 2
\end{bmatrix}
\]

We can obtain a four-parallelogram filter bank by using a tree structure filter bank with two levels (Fig. 17). For the first level of the tree, we use the diamond filter bank [9], [10]. The diamond filter bank is a two-channel system with decimation matrix \( Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \). The supports of the analysis filters in the diamond filter bank are as shown in Fig. 18(a). For the second level, we use a separable system with decimation matrix \( A = 2I_2 \) (Fig. 18(b)), which can be obtained as a tree structure of two 1-D two-channel filter banks. Then, the resulting analysis filters of the four-parallelogram filter banks have supports as shown Fig. 18(c). Each analysis filter \( H_\alpha(\omega) \) consists of four parallelograms. We can verify that the four squares in each analysis filter are located as in (2).

IV. OTHER POSSIBLE FOUR-PARALLELOGRAM FILTER BANKS

In the simplistic four-parallelogram filter banks, we have constrained \( L \) to be \( 2I_2 \) and the support of lowpass filter \( H_0(\omega) \) to be a parallelogram of twice the size of the prototype parallelogram. However, for the more general four-parallelogram filter banks, the only requirement is that each filter contains four parallelograms of identical shapes. The matrix \( L \) can be any integer matrix with \(|L| = 4\). As \( L \) is an integer matrix, there are seven possible \( L \text{LAT}(L^T) \), as shown in Fig. 19(a)–(g). In addition, the support of the lowpass analysis filter is not necessarily a parallelogram as in simplistic four-parallelogram filter banks. Several possible supports of the first analysis filter are given in Fig. 20. In all cases of Fig. 20, the support of \( H_0(\omega) \) consists of four connected parallelograms. In fact, any one of the four parallelograms is edge adjacent or vertex adjacent to another parallelogram. One can verify that Fig. 20 is also a complete list of connected supports for \( AFS(M)H_0(\omega) \). This follows from the fact that \( L \) is an integer matrix.

Suppose we choose \( L \) to be an integer matrix and choose the support of \( H_0(\omega) \) from one of the choices in Fig. 20. In this case, it can be verified that the filter bank cannot possess both edge and vertex permissibility, except for the class of simplistic four-parallelogram filter banks in Section II and some special cases. We have not been able to analyze these special cases in a unified manner. However, exhaustive case study shows that in these special cases, the decimation matrix \( M \) is rather restricted, and the determinant of \( M \) cannot be arbitrarily large. We will look at one such example.

Example 4.1—Permissible but not Simplistic Four-Parallelogram Filter Bank: Consider the filter bank in Fig. 2.
Let the decimation matrix be

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}. $$

As $|M| = 4$, the filter bank has four channels. If we choose $L = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, the lattice of $L^T$ is as shown in Fig. 19(b). In this case, the matrix $N = 4I$. We choose the configuration for the four analysis filters as in Fig. 21. Observe that the support of $H_0(\omega)$ is as in Fig. 20(b). The four passbands of $H_k(\omega)$ are labeled as $S_{k,a}, S_{k,b}, S_{k,c}, S_{k,d}$, for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

When decimated and expanded by $M$, each passband has three images. These images result in edge and vertex aliasing. However, we will show that these edge and vertex aliasing errors appear in pairs, and the configuration in Fig. 21 is edge and vertex permissible. Toward this end, we will verify the following. Whenever there is edge or vertex aliasing error induced by $S_{k,a}$, in a certain frequency region, we can find a similar edge or vertex aliasing error in another subband.

When the zeroth analysis filter is decimated and then expanded by $M$, the three images of $S_{0,a}$ are at $S_{0,a} + L^T k$ for $k \in N(M^T)$ and $k \neq 0 \bmod M^T$. One can verify that the three images are actually at $S_{2,c}, S_{1,d},$ and $S_{3,d}$ as shown in Fig. 22(a). In what follows, we discuss the aliasing errors induced by these three images:

1) **Image of $S_{0,a}$ at $S_{2,c}$:** This image is edge adjacent to $S_{0,c}$ and results in edge aliasing. As $S_{0,a}$ and $S_{2,c}$ are separated by $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -2 \\ -2 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = L^T [1 -1]^T$, this edge aliasing term contributes to aliasing transfer function $A(-1,1)(\omega)$. Observe that in the second subband, passband $S_{2,a}$ has one image at $S_{0,c}$ (Fig. 22(b)), which is edge adjacent to $S_{2,c}$ and creates edge aliasing similar to that in the zeroth subband.

2) **Image of $S_{0,a}$ at $S_{1,d}$:** This image is edge adjacent to $S_{0,d}$ (Fig. 22(a)) and results in edge aliasing that contributes to $A(1,0)(\omega)$. In the first subband, passband $S_{1,a}$ has one image at $S_{0,d}$ (Fig. 22(c)). This image is edge adjacent to $S_{1,d}$, and a similar edge aliasing contributing to $A(1,0)(\omega)$ is created. On the other hand, the image of $S_{0,a}$ at $S_{1,d}$ is also vertex adjacent to $S_{0,b}$ and results in vertex aliasing (Fig. 22(a)). However, we see that one image of $S_{1,c}$ is at $S_{0,b}$ (Fig. 22(d)) and creates aliasing similar to the vertex aliasing in the zeroth subband.

3) **Image of $S_{0,a}$ at $S_{3,b}$:** This image is vertex adjacent to $S_{0,b}$ (Fig. 22(a)) and results in vertex aliasing that contributes to $A(0,1)(\omega)$. We see that in the third subband, passband $S_{3,a}$ has one image at $S_{0,b}$ (Fig. 22(e)). This image is vertex adjacent to $S_{3,b}$, it results in vertex aliasing similar to that in the zeroth subband.

In a similar manner, we can analyze the edge and vertex aliasing caused by the other passbands of $H_0(\omega)$ as well as the major aliasing in the other three subbands. One can show that in this example, edge and vertex aliasing always appear in pairs, and the configuration in Fig. 21 is permissible.

**V. CONCLUDING REMARKS**

The construction procedure of the configurations for the four-parallelogram filter banks suggests that the configurations vary with the following two factors: 1) the choice of integer matrix $L$ and 2) the location of the four parallelograms in the support of each analysis filter. By changing these two factors, we can obtain various types of configurations. We have investigated permissibility for all those types of configurations in which the support of $H_0(\omega)$ is connected. In this case, the study shows that if such four-parallelogram filter banks can possess both edge permisibility and vertex permisibility, then the decimation matrix $M$ is not arbitrary. In particular, $M$ is either of the form $QA$ for some integer matrix $Q$ with $|Q| = 1$ or $2$, and some diagonal matrix $A$ (see (3)) or $M$ is limited to some special cases (which are elaborated upon in Section IV). In the former event, four-parallelogram filter banks can be obtained by designing separable systems and (when $|Q| = 2$) 2-D two-channel filter banks.

In our construction of the parallelogram prototype, we constrain $L$ to be an integer matrix. It is possible that a noninteger matrix $L$ will provide more flexibility in the design of four-parallelogram filter banks. Research in this regard is still open.

**REFERENCES**


Yuan-Pei Lin (S’93), for photograph and biography, please see p. 2705 of this issue of this TRANSACTIONS.

P. P. Vaidyanathan (S’80–M’83–SM’88–F’91), for photograph and biography, please see p. 2706 of this issue of this TRANSACTIONS.