In vivo diagnosis of melanoma and non-melanoma skin cancer using oblique incidence diffuse reflectance spectrometry
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Abstract

Early detection and treatment of skin cancer can significantly improve patient outcome. However, present standards for diagnosis require biopsy and histopathologic examinations that is relatively invasive, expensive and difficult for patients with many early stage lesions. Here we show an oblique incidence diffuse reflectance spectroscopic (OIDRS) system that can be used for rapid skin cancer detection in vivo. This system was tested under clinical conditions by obtaining spectra from pigmented and non-pigmented skin lesions, including melanomas, differently staged dysplastic nevi and common nevi that were validated by standard patho-histological criteria. For diagnosis of pigmented melanoma, the data obtained achieved 90% sensitivity and specificity for a blinded test set. In a second analysis, we demonstrated that this spectroscopy system can also differentiate non-pigmented basal cell or squamous cell carcinomas from non-cancerous skin abnormalities such as actinic keratoses and seborrheic keratoses, achieving 92% sensitivity and specificity. Taken together, our findings establish how oblique incidence diffuse reflectance spectrometry can be used to more rapidly and easily diagnose skin cancer in an accurate and automated manner in the clinic.

1. Introduction

Skin cancer is the most common form of cancer, with about a million new cases in the U.S. each year [1]. Often, skin cancer is difficult to diagnose non-invasively, as malignant skin lesions can closely resemble their benign counterparts. Different lesion types can have similar characteristics, furthering the problem in discriminating among them. Among all the skin lesions, melanoma is the most malignant type and is the leading cause of death from the skin diseases.

The American Cancer Society estimates that there will be approximately 62,000 new cases of melanoma in the U.S. this year, with about 8,000 deaths [1]. Melanoma can be mistaken for common nevi, dysplastic nevi, and seborrheic keratoses (SK). Common nevi are benign moles formed by a cluster of melanocytes in the basal layer of the epidermis or in the top layers of the dermis. Dysplastic nevi are moles with atypical size, shape, or organization.
Depending on the degrees of atypia, dysplastic nevi can be mild, moderate, or severe. Dysplastic nevi are more likely than common nevi to develop into melanomas [2]. Finally, seborrheic keratoses (SK) are benign wart-like tumors that are very common in people over forty.

In addition to melanoma, skin cancers also include squamous cell carcinomas and basal cell carcinomas. Squamous cell carcinomas (SCC) arise from dividing keratinocytes of the epidermis, and are often recognized by hyperkeratotic crusts or scales or by ulceration in the later stages. Actinic keratosis (AK), a precancerous skin tumor caused by sun exposure, can in some cases turn into squamous cell carcinoma, which in invasive cases may metastasize to local nodes and beyond [3]. Basal cell carcinomas (BCC) are derived from keratinocytes [4]. BCCs are locally invasive, slow-growing tumors characterized by islands or nests of basal keratinocytes invading the dermis. There are several clinical and histologic subtypes of basal cell carcinomas. Superficial BCCs are papulosquamous lesions characterized by red, scaly raised plaques.

Early detection and treatment of skin cancer can significantly improve patient outcomes. In clinical practice, visual examination determines whether a skin lesion is cancerous based on the ABCDE rule (asymmetry, border, color, diameter and evolution) and the change in the appearance of a mole or pigmented area over a period of time. However, clinical diagnostic sensitivity and specificity vary greatly, depending on the expertise and visual skills of the clinician. Consequently, histopathologic examination of the excised suspicious element still remains the gold standard. However, biopsy is an invasive procedure and leaves a scar at the biopsy site, which otherwise would be unnecessary in the case of benign lesions. Moreover, the removal of every lesion can be unacceptable for patients with large numbers of skin abnormalities, such as in dysplastic nevi syndrome.

Changes in the cell nuclear matrix have been associated with cell and tissue structures which are important features in the diagnosis of cancer [5,6]. Morphological changes in tumor cells include alterations of nuclear structure such as changes in nuclear size and shape [5]. These alterations are important characteristics used in cancer diagnosis. Cell nuclei, mitochondria, other cytoplasmic organelles and cell nuclei, are the major light scatterers in the skin tissue. In malignant tissues, larger atypical nuclei and larger cell volume are a main cause for the significant increase in the light scattering [7]. For example, the reduced scattering coefficient has been shown to generally increase with the degree of dysplasia or malignancy of skin lesions [8].

Recently, non-invasive spectroscopic methods for tissue diagnosis have been studied for a number of organ systems, including the skin [9-19], gastrointestinal tract [20-24], cervix [25-27], and breast [28-30]. The absorption of light can provide information of the biochemical composition of the skin. The light scattering properties of skin can provide information regarding its micro-architecture [31]. Fluorescence spectroscopy can detect disease states [32,33]. Because fluorescence is a manifestation of the biochemical environment of the cell, it should be a specific indicator of cellular alterations due to disease [34]. Some studies also suggest that Raman spectroscopy can detect changes in protein and lipid structure that can be used to diagnose skin tumors [35]. In this paper, we report the use of spatially resolved oblique incidence diffused reflectance spectroscopy (OIDRS) as a non-invasive tool to discriminate melanoma and non-melanoma skin cancer from benign and premalignant skin lesions in vivo. Spatio-spectral diffuse reflectance data within the wavelength range of 455–765 nm was collected from multiple types of pigmented and non-pigmented skin lesions (n = 678). The data was used in combination with artificial neural network (ANN) analysis to separate skin cancers such as pigmented malignant melanoma and non-pigmented basal cell and squamous cell carcinomas from their benign counterparts.
Neural networks are particularly helpful for classification. ANN classifiers are more powerful than common statistical classifiers because they do not need hypothesis about data distribution, linearity or correlations [36]. ANNs provide better prediction accuracy and higher sensitivity and specificity with optimal use of the available information.

2. Methods

Fig. 1 is a schematic of the experimental OIDRS system. The system was built onto a portable cart; it was easily moved to the patient exam rooms. To target both small and large skin lesions, we constructed an optical fiber probe using micromachining technology. The probe consisted of three source fibers and two linear arrays of 12 collection fibers within an area of 2x2 mm\(^2\). To conduct OIDRS measurements on skin lesions, the optical probe was placed gently on the skin area of interest without significant compression. The optical multiplexer delivered light through only one oblique source fiber at a time to the area of interest. Once the light was delivered to the skin, it interacted with the skin tissue, and the spatially resolved diffuse reflectance was collected by one set of collection fibers. The collection fibers were coupled to an imaging spectrograph that generated an optical spectrum from 455 to 765 nm for the collection channel. A CCD camera collected the spectral images, which were stored on a computer for data analysis. The data collection takes less than 5 minutes, and it did not interfere with the standard healthcare provided to the patients.

Data was collected at the University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center in Houston, TX. A physician identified the lesion(s) to be measured before the scheduled biopsy. To average out the effect of structural anisotropy of the skin tissue, the measurement of each lesion was repeated four times to obtain images from different orientations. To provide self-references, the same measurements were also repeated on the neighboring healthy skin tissues. The anisotropy is defined as the variation of the measurements when performed in different directions. After the measurements were completed, a biopsy was performed for each skin lesion and submitted for histopathological analysis. The histopathological analysis determined that the measured pigmented lesions consisted of benign common nevi (CN), mildly dysplastic nevi (DN1), moderately dysplastic nevi (DN2), severely dysplastic nevi (DN3), and melanomas (M). The criteria used to divide dysplastic nevi into these tree categories is described in ref. [37]. Of the 407 pigmented skin lesions, 271 were used for the training sets of ANN classifiers (Tables I and II) to separate malignant melanoma from varieties of nevi. The remaining 136 data sets were used to test the efficacy of the ANN classifiers. The non-pigmented lesions consisted of basal cell carcinomas (BCC), squamous cell carcinomas (SCC), benign actinic keratoses (AK), and seborrheic keratoses (SK). Among the 266 non-pigmented lesions, 177 were used to train the ANN classifier, and the remaining 89 were used for testing.

3. Results

The absorption coefficient (\(\mu_a\)) and reduced scattering coefficient (\(\mu_s'\)) of the skin lesions from the measured diffuse reflectance were estimated based on a combination of both diffusion theory and scalable Monte Carlo simulation [38,39]. Since the optical transport mean free path (\(L_t'\)) is a function of the wavelength of the incident light, the location of the detectors may fall either within or outside the range of \(L_t'\) at different wavelengths within the wide spectrum (455 – 765 nm). At certain wavelengths, when the location of the detectors falls outside the range of \(L_t'\), the absorption and scattering optical properties of the skin lesion can be directly calculated from diffuse reflectance using a straightforward diffusion-theory based analytical model. However, this model would fail at other wavelengths when the detector location falls within \(L_t'\). In this case, scalable Monte Carlo
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A simulation was conducted to deduce the absorption and scattering optical properties of the skin lesions in an inverse problem by calculating and matching the simulated diffuse reflectance results with the actual measurements.

The optical properties of human skin vary significantly between locations and individuals, dependent on race, age, sun exposure, and skin type. Figs. 2a and 2b show the absorption coefficient (\(\mu_a\)) for the statistically significant skin types included in this study. We reduced these variations by measuring and subtracting the optical priorities from the surrounding healthy skin for each lesion. The differential absorption coefficient spectrum is defined as

\[
\Delta \mu_a(\lambda) = \mu_a(\lambda)_L - \mu_a(\lambda)_N
\]  

where \(\mu_a(\lambda)_L\) and \(\mu_a(\lambda)_N\) are the absorption coefficient spectra measured from the lesion and from the normal surrounding skin, respectively.

Fig. 2 shows the average absorption coefficient \(\mu_a(\lambda)_L\), differential absorption coefficient \(\Delta \mu_a(\lambda)\), reduced scattering coefficient \(\mu_s'(\lambda)_L\), and differential reduced scattering coefficient \(\Delta \mu_s'(\lambda)\) for melanoma, dysplastic nevi and common nevi, respectively.

The diffuse reflectance of tissue is related largely to absorption and scattering. Mitochondria, cell nuclei, and other cytoplasmic organelles are known changeable parameters in cancerous tissues and are major light scatterers in skin tissue [40,41]. Although no single histologic variable specifically distinguishes these types of pigmented lesions, nuclear atypia seems directly related to the amount of light scattering. Dysplastic nevi are characterized by nuclear enlargement, slight irregularity, and hyperchromasia, with clumping of chromatin and sometimes with prominent nucleoli. Dysplastic nevi present atypical features that are both clinically and histologically important as simulants of melanoma. Like other cancers, most malignant melanomas evolve through a number of stages of tumor progression. Clinically, many melanomas begin as a pigmented patch of skin which evolves to become a palpable plaque, and enlarges as if it were along the radii of an imperfect circle [42]. Variably sized and shaped nests and single melanocytes are present in the epidermis in a pagetoid pattern characteristic of superficial melanoma. Prognosis has long been known to correlate with melanoma thickness as measured microscopically. These factors can increase the contribution of scattering to the diffuse reflection on the surface.

A one-way ANOVA test was performed to compare \(\mu_a(\lambda)_L\) for common nevi, dysplastic nevi, and melanoma. The p-value was significant (p<0.01) in the spectral region between 488 and 576 nm. Pairwise comparisons using Tukey’s test showed a significant difference only between common nevi and melanoma. A similar analysis for \(\mu_s'(\lambda)_L\) shows a significant difference of at least one mean for the entire wavelength range (455-765 nm). The ANOVA test of the \(\Delta \mu_a(\lambda)\) showed statistical difference in the range between 455 and 599 nm, with the lowest p-value at 556 nm (p=0.00063). The pairwise comparisons revealed that \(\Delta \mu_a(\lambda)\) from 549 to 556 nm presented a significant difference among the three types of lesions. This spectral region corresponds to an absorption peak in deoxy-hemoglobin. These results showed the importance of subtracting the optical properties of the surrounding healthy skin for each lesion in order to reduce the variations due to differences in skin type and condition. The ANOVA and Tukey’s tests of \(\Delta \mu_s'(\lambda)\) show a significant difference among the three types of lesions when using the spectral range between 455 and 765 nm. Both squamous-cell carcinomas (SCC) and basal-cell carcinomas (BCC) presented on average a higher differential reduced scattering coefficient than actinic keratosis (AK), and...
seborrheic keratosis (SK) (Fig. 3). An ANOVA and Tukey’s test of $\mu_a(\lambda)_L$ revealed no significant difference among all four types of lesions. However the ANOVA test of $\Delta \mu_a(\lambda)$ showed a p-value that indicates a significant difference (p<0.01) in the spectral region 455–633 nm with the lowest p=0.0008 at 577 nm. The absorption coefficient spectra for SKs have the largest variation (Fig. 3). The subtraction of the reference absorption coefficients from the lesions’ absorption coefficients reduces the overlap among the types of lesions and resulted in a statistically significant difference between AK, SCC, and BCC. The pairwise comparison of $\Delta \mu_a(\lambda)$ between AK with SK, AK with SCC, SK with BCC, and SK with SCC in the wavelength range between 455 and 765 nm was statistically significant.

This higher light scattering in cancerous cases can be explained by the larger average effective size of the scattering centers. SCC in-situ has not yet penetrated through the basement membrane of the dermoepidermal junction. SCCs typically appear as scaling plaques with sharply defined red color. Histologically, all epidermal layers may contain atypical keratinocytes. The larger amount of atypical keratinocytes in SCC can increase the light scattering in this type of skin lesion and significantly affect its contribution to diffusely reflected light on the surface. SCC may penetrate the basement membrane to become invasive. More advanced, invasive SCCs may appear clinically as hyperkeratosis and may ulcerate [43], which can affect the measurements of optical properties. To avoid this problem, we performed the measurements in areas that did not present this condition.

Actinic keratosis (AK) may appear rough and scaly and may develop into a SCC. Histologically, AKs are recognized by the presence of atypical keratinocytes in the deeper portions of the epidermis. Defective maturation of the superficial epidermal layers results in parakeratosis alternating with hyperkeratosis [43,44]. During the data collection, we avoided areas that presented hyperkeratosis. The amounts of atypical keratinocytes and collagen are factors related to the amount of light scattering in the lesion.

Basal cell carcinomas (BCC) are derived from the basal layer of keratinocytes, the deepest cell layer of the epidermis. BCCs can present nodular aggregates of basalioma cells in the dermis and exhibit peripheral palisading and retraction artifacts. Melanin can also be present in the tumor and in the surrounding stroma, as observed in pigmented basal cell carcinoma. The aggregation of basalioma cells can increase the light scattering in these types of malignant lesions. The progression of seborrheic keratosis into basal cell carcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma is rare [45,46]. However, SKs can clinically resemble SCCs, and for this reason SKs are commonly removed or biopsied for histopathologic examination [47]. SKs, composed of basaloid cells admixed with some squamoid cells, can be pigmented when some cells contain melanin transferred from neighboring melanocytes.

The classifications of the skin lesions were performed directly for the measured diffuse-reflectance spectra. The advantage of using these direct measurements is that no homogeneity assumption is required. To design the classifiers, first we selected features from the diffuse-reflectance spectra that effectively separated the malignant group from the benign group. The nature of the acquired diffuse-reflectance spectra and what they represent played a role in determining their effectiveness. The characteristics of the diffuse reflectance data indicate that particular spectral regions have higher separability among the different classes. We employed the continuous wavelet transform (CWT) to extract the most effective features in the two classes under analysis [10]. Based on the features, we investigated the use of multiple classification schemes to separate the skin lesions into clinically significant categories (benign, pre-cancerous, and cancerous) as identified by their clinical and histopathologic diagnoses. The most successful classification scheme was ANN in combination with a genetic algorithm (GA). For the pigmented and non-pigmented lesion groups, we classified the lesions into two classes at a time and repeated this for the
subgroups until we achieved the desired degree of categorization. Fig. 4 illustrates the hierarchical classification system for pigmented lesions. This particular classification scheme intrinsically emphasizes the primary importance of accurate classification of melanoma and severe dysplastic nevi. Each stage consists of a single classifier. In the first stage, melanoma is separated from the other lesions. In a similar way, in each stage the most “malignant” type lesion is separated from the remaining categories.

Tables I present the confusion matrices for the training and testing sets, where the row headers show the ground truths from the histopathologic diagnosis and the column headers indicate the OIDRS classifications. The classification process in the testing set achieved 90% sensitivity and specificity for melanoma detection. Table II shows the sensitivity and specificity for each classifier in the hierarchical classification scheme. The minimum sensitivity is 89%, which corresponds to the classifiers that separate mild dysplastic nevi from benign common nevi. Sensitivity indicates the percentage of correctly identified positives (true positives) and specificity measures the proportion of negatives which are correctly identified.

For the non-pigmented group, a single ANN classifier separated BCC and SCC from AK and SK. The designed classifier generated a sensitivity of 97% and a specificity of 96%. Table III and V show the classification results for non-pigmented lesions. For the testing set the sensitivity and specificity were both 92 %.

5. Conclusions

This study established that it is feasible to use oblique incidence diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (OIDRS) as a potential tool for in vivo discrimination of malignant cutaneous melanoma from other types of pigmented skin lesions. In a clinical trial, OIDRS distinguished malignant melanoma with 90% sensitivity and specificity for the testing set. The sensitivity and specificity for the training set were 100% and 95%, respectively. This system has also successfully classified basal cell carcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas with 92% sensitivity and specificity. The sensitivity and specificity for the training set were 97% and 96%, respectively. Light scattering events inside the skin tissues change significantly with the development stage of the skin lesion. This change in the tissue scattering properties in the diffuse reflectance spectrum forms a physiological basis for automated classification of different skin lesions based on OIDRS measurements.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr. Muys, Dr. Hymens, Dr. Mansfield, and the staff from the Melanoma and Skin Center at the University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center for their help during the data collection. This project was sponsored by National Institute of Health grant R01 CA106728.

References


Fig. 1.
A schematic of the experimental OIDRS system.
Fig. 2.
(a) Average absorption coefficient spectra $\mu_a(\lambda)$, and (b) average reduced scattering coefficient for skin types 1, 2 and 3 estimated from 47, 816, and 44 lesions, respectively. (c) Average absorption coefficient spectra, (d) average reduced scattering coefficient spectra, (e) average differential absorption coefficient spectra, and (f) average differential reduced scattering coefficient spectra for common nevi, dysplastic nevi, and melanoma. The error bars represent standard errors.
Fig. 3.
(a) Average absorption coefficient spectra, (b) average reduced scattering coefficient spectra, (c) average differential absorption coefficient spectra, and (d) average differential reduced scattering coefficient spectra for squamous-cell carcinoma (SCC), basal-cell carcinoma (BCC), actinic keratosis (AK), and seborrheic keratosis (SK). The error bars represent standard errors.
Fig. 4.
The classification scheme for pigmented lesions.
Pigmented lesions confusion matrix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Predicted</th>
<th>MM</th>
<th>DN3</th>
<th>DN2</th>
<th>DN1</th>
<th>CN</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DN3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DN2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DN1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Testing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DN3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DN2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DN1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sensitivity and specificity for each classifier included in the hierarchical classification scheme.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Training</th>
<th>Testing</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MM vs. (DN3, DN2, DN1, CN)</td>
<td>100 %</td>
<td>95 %</td>
<td>90 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DN3 vs. (DN2, DN1, CN)</td>
<td>100 %</td>
<td>98 %</td>
<td>92 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DN2 vs. (DN1, CN)</td>
<td>98 %</td>
<td>98 %</td>
<td>96 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DN1 vs. CN</td>
<td>97 %</td>
<td>98 %</td>
<td>89 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table III

Non-pigmented lesions confusion matrix.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Predicted Actual</th>
<th>BCC/SCC</th>
<th>AK/SK</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Training</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCC (n=78) / SCC (n=49)</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>127</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK (n=38) / SK (n=12)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Testing</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCC (n=39) / SCC (n=25)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AK (n=19) / SK (n=6)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>