Genome-wide identification of enhancer elements
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ABSTRACT We present a prospective genome-wide regulatory element database for the sea urchin embryo and the modified chromosome capture-related methodology used to create it. The method we developed is termed GRIP-seq for genome-wide regulatory element immunoprecipitation and combines features of chromosome conformation capture, chromatin immunoprecipitation, and paired-end next-generation sequencing with molecular steps that enrich for active cis-regulatory elements associated with basal transcriptional machinery. The first GRIP-seq database, available to the community, comes from S. purpuratus 24 hpf embryos and takes advantage of the extremely well-characterized cis-regulatory elements in this system for validation. In addition, using the GRIP-seq database, we identify and experimentally validate a novel, intronic cis-regulatory element at the onecut locus. We find GRIP-seq signal sensitively identifies active cis-regulatory elements with a high signal-to-noise ratio for both distal and intronic elements. This promising GRIP-seq protocol has the potential to address a rate-limiting step in resolving comprehensive, predictive network models in all systems.
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Introduction

In any given nucleus, individual genes are expressed or not expressed due to the binding of transcription factors to specific regulatory elements. Regulatory elements may be located at a distance from the transcription start site (TSS), either upstream or downstream, including in the transcribed regions of the gene. The fundamental relationships between regulatory elements and TSSs control the differential expression of genes that provides the basis for differences in cell function. Identifying regulatory elements and their cognate TSSs is thus an important research goal in many areas related to life science, human health, and disease. Despite a number of available methods for identifying regulatory elements, mapping and characterizing every regulatory region of a genome and validating their relationship with a TSS remains a serious challenge. In particular, determining gene network models at a level of resolution that allows the researcher to make specific predictions of which interactions between a transcription factor and a regulatory element will drive alternate outcomes requires detailed knowledge of many of these relationships often down to the base pair level. This level of regulatory analysis is a rate-limiting step in network modeling. We present here a time-saving method to putatively map an organism’s genomic regulatory architecture and to identify elements at high resolution that are actively involved in differential gene expression. Specifically, the aims of this method are (1) to map putative regulatory elements genome-wide, (2) to be applicable to any model system, (3) to be equally sensitive to sites involved in activation and repression, (4) to identify elements located distally and/or within introns, and (5) to link regulatory regions to their cognate core promoters/TSSs. This method is termed GRIP-seq for genome-wide regulatory element immunoprecipitation followed by next-generation sequencing.

Abbreviations used in this paper: 3C, chromosome conformation capture; 4C, circularized chromosome conformation capture; 5C, chromosome conformation capture carbon copy; ChIP, chromatin Immunoprecipitation; ChIA-PET, chromatin interaction analysis by paired-end tag sequencing; CDS, coding DNA sequence; CRE, cis-regulatory element; CRM, cis-regulatory module; dGRN, developmental gene regulatory network; Hi-C, a method extending 3C with massively parallel sequencing; GRIP-seq, genome-wide regulatory element immunoprecipitation followed with next generation sequencing; MACS, Model based Analysis for ChiP-Seq; Pol II, RNA polymerase; TSS, transcription start site.
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GRIP-seq, like other chromosome capture methods, takes advantage of the looped DNA conformation created during the activation and repression of gene transcription. Transcription factors bound to regulatory elements stabilize a bend in the DNA that enables them to physically contact the basal promoter region (Levine et al., 2014; Smith, Davidson, 2009). This physical linkage brings the regulatory elements responsible for transcription (or repression of transcription) in close proximity to the promoter and bound RNA Polymerase II (Pol II). Experimentally, the complex consisting of an enhancer, promoter, and Pol II can be fixed by formaldehyde cross-linking and captured with chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP). The captured DNA can then be fully sequenced and mapped back to the genome. A first step towards exploiting this “looping principle” to target active gene regulation came with the development of the chromosome conformation capture (3C) technique (Dekker et al., 2002), where enzymatic DNA cleavage and PCR analysis are used to reveal a regulatory interaction at a particular locus. A successive variation, 4C, focusing on chromosome interactions in trans, uses inverse PCR to map areas of the genome associated with a single locus (Zhao et al., 2006). Expanding 4C capabilities, 5C, provides an outline of the interactions between two DNA regions of interest by creating selective PCR primers based on the sequences of those known regions (Dostie et al., 2006). With the advent of next-generation sequencing, new technologies based on 3C methodology have emerged. The Hi-C method produces mega-base scale genome-wide maps of three-dimensional interactions by selectively purifying only proximity-ligated DNA (as would occur due to DNA looping) (van Berkum et al., 2010). Hi-C shows evidence for the existence of chromatin neighborhoods, but the method is limited in the ability to assess specific cis-regulatory elements at a resolution below megabase scale. Another method to make use of 3C technology for the purpose of identifying long-range chromatin interactions is termed ChIA-PET (Fullwood et al., 2009). ChIA-PET is a powerful technique, but the lengthy, elaborate protocol can require a large amount of starting material, is costly and is not trivial to replicate (Daniel et al., 2014; Li et al., 2014). Consequently, ChIA-PET is frequently used on cell lines where large quantities of cells can be harvested. Lastly, when used in conjunction with an antibody that recognizes only a single transcription factor, as many published ChIA-PET experiments have done, only a small subset of interactions occurring in the genome can be identified at a given time.

GRIP-seq combines elements from both chromatin conformation capture and ChIA-PET, with additional molecular steps that enrich for genomic fragments cross-linked to the TSS. From the outset, GRIP-seq has been designed to optimize conditions favoring less starting material, enhancing the signal-to-noise ratio at distal (as well as intronic) regulatory elements, and ultimately, determining the gene(s) that a particular regulatory element governs. GRIP-seq maps interactions between promoters and putative regulatory elements on a genome-wide scale. Importantly, it relies on steps that accommodate all animal model systems in use today. In the present report, we present our GRIP-seq database for the 24-hr sea urchin embryo which is publically available to the community and evaluate GRIP-seq signal across multiple genes for which experimentally validated regulatory elements are known, including blimp1 and foxa. We demonstrate that GRIP-seq accurately identifies the genomic coordinates of these regulatory elements. We extend this analysis by utilizing GRIP-seq data to identify putative regulatory elements at the onecut locus, which we then experimentally corroborate. Lastly, GRIP-seq signal from nodal and onecut loci demonstrate the technique’s potential to capture the physical contact between active cis-regulatory elements and their cognate core promoter.

A limitation of methods relying on ChIP-seq technology is that they often over-state the ability to infer causal functionality from protein-chromatin binding. We thus approached our method verification with known functionality as an absolute requirement for test cases. Deliberately using the sea urchin embryo, which has many rigorously tested cis-regulatory elements, we aimed to test GRIP-seq signals for specificity and sensitivity using regulatory sites with strongly functional consequences for embryonic development. These “hub” genes confer critical network functions and we found GRIP-seq signal highest at the site of these verified regulatory regions.

Results

GRIP-seq methodology overview

A flowchart depicting an overview of the key steps in the GRIP-seq method is presented in Fig. 1. Briefly, following fixation and shearing of the cross-linked chromatin, target regulatory region-promoter complexes are captured by immunoprecipitation with an anti-Pol II antibody. The isolated chromatin fragments undergo ligation conditions while still on the immunoprecipitation bead, to enrich for close-proximity ligation between the fixed TSS-containing fragments and specific regulatory element-containing fragments, ultimately forming a circularized product. Non-circular DNA fragments are then digested with a plasmid-safe exonuclease enzyme. Circular ligation products are further enriched using rolling circle amplification (Dean et al., 2001; Hutchison et al., 2005). A sequencing library is then prepared with the amplified products for paired-end sequencing. Mapping the resulting paired-end sequence reads onto the genome results in a genome-wide map of all putative regulatory elements and TSSs that are active at the time of the assay and relevant for resolving the transcriptional network in question. Further peak calling analysis was performed using MACS software and the called peaks provide additional information for planning further experimental validation experiments (Feng et al., 2012).

The protocol steps are described in detail within the Materials and Methods section. All of the essential steps (delineated in Fig. 1) were chosen to ensure compatibility with known animal model systems. Our pioneer dataset comes from the S. purpuratus sea urchin embryo which has the benefit of a well-characterized developmental gene regulatory network (dGRN) and base pair resolution of many validated cis-regulatory elements which directly bind specific transcription factor drivers (Barsi, Davidson, 2016; de-Leon, Davidson, 2010; Nam et al., 2007; Smith et al., 2008). We leverage this knowledge of bona fide cis-regulatory elements against our GRIP-seq database in order to validate it.

GRIP-seq identifies all experimentally determined distal regulatory elements controlling blimp1b expression

In S. purpuratus embryos, the spatiotemporal expression of blimp1b is controlled by an upstream distal regulatory region. In addition, a proximal element and an intronic element have also been shown to contribute to amplifying expression (Smith et al., 2007; Smith et al., 2008). In the distal upstream element, termed
CR2 in previous reports and in Fig. 2, two Blimp1 binding sites and overlapping twin TCF binding sites lying approximately 8 kb upstream from the start of transcription are responsible for shaping the correct spatial and temporal expression of Blimp1b. As shown in Fig. 2, GRIP-seq signal is observed in the blimp1b regulatory regions both at the start of transcription and within the region previously identified as CR2, containing the twin Blimp1/TCF binding sites (Fig. 2A). Given the importance of this particular element, it was dissected in further detail than other CREs and serves as an example of the high specificity and sensitivity of GRIP-seq. The GRIP-seq data further reveal a shoulder profile immediately proximal to CR2; this element is known to contain amplification activity though not spatiotemporal determinants. Lastly, GRIP-seq signal at the blimp1b locus further identifies a region in the first intron denoted CR6 and this element is also known to contribute to the amplitude of expression but not spatial nor temporal restriction. Thus, GRIP-seq sensibly and specifically identifies the most important elements driving blimp1b expression, an example of a locus which has been interrogated particularly well. The strong agreement between the GRIP-seq signal located outside of the coding sequence (CDS) and that of previously characterized cis-regulatory elements (with a surprisingly clear signal recovered from the distal regulatory element), demonstrate the capacity of GRIP-seq for reducing the search space for prospective cis-regulatory analysis.

**GRIP-seq identifies the full complement of distal and proximal activation and repressor modules at the foxa locus**

GRIP-seq signal at the foxa locus correlates with validated cis-regulatory modules (CRMs) identified in de-Leon and Davidson, 2010 (de-Leon, Davidson, 2010). Four CRMs within the foxa region have been published, designated “F”, “I”, “J”, and “K.” Our dataset shows discernable signal in all of these modules (Fig. 2B). Module F is located about 10 kb upstream from the TSS and contains repressor elements. GRIP-seq signal within module F demonstrates that GRIP-seq can detect regulatory interactions that are repressive in nature in addition to those that correspond to activation (similar to CR2 at the blimp1b locus, described above, which mediates both repression and activation). GRIP-seq signal

---

**Fig. 1.** GRIP-Seq protocol overview flowchart depicts important steps. Highlights of the GRIP-seq protocol depicting the universal nature of the method and the major steps that enrich for active regulatory elements. (1) Embryos are collected and aged. (2) Embryos are fixed with formaldehyde to crosslink (red links) protein-protein and protein-DNA interactions. In this illustration, a transcription factor (dark grey shape) has bound Pol II (light grey shape), and formaldehyde crosslinks have formed to stabilize the 3D conformation of the looped DNA. (3) Cells are lysed, releasing crosslinked chromatin. (4) The chromatin is sheared by sonication. (5) Chromatin is immunoprecipitated with beads coupled to anti-Pol II antibodies, which isolates DNA-protein complexes fixed to Pol II protein. (6) The DNA is end-repaired while still attached to the beads, resulting in blunt end overhangs. (7) The repaired DNA ends are ligated together while still attached to the bead, favoring close-proximity ligation products. Circularized DNA products are formed, some of which include both sequence from the TSS region and sequence from a regulatory element region. (8) Protein-protein and protein-DNA crosslinks are reversed with heat and proteinase K. (9) Any remaining linear DNA fragments that were not ligated are digested with a plasmid-safe exonuclease enzyme. (10) Circular ligation products are then further enriched using rolling circle amplification and (11) prepared into a sequencing library for next generation sequencing. Mapping the sequence pairs results in a genome-wide map of all putative regulatory elements and transcription start sites. The entire protocol is detailed in the methods section. Due to the versatility of the reagents and methods, GRIP-seq can be readily applied to any organism or cell culture model.
also identifies the three other tested regulatory elements (I, J, and K). Furthermore, GRIP-seq identifies a smaller, more distinct, region for module K, indicating the possibility of further narrowing down the DNA sequence responsible for this element’s activity. As previously reported, the genomic region between module F and module I possess the capacity to drive reporter expression restricted to the oral ectoderm (OE), though the module was not specifically isolated (de-Leon, Davidson, 2010). The GRIP-seq data point to an element in that region which is highlighted in orange in Fig. 2B and is therefore a likely candidate for the element governing the OE expression of foxa, shown in Fig. 2C. Similar to what was seen at the blimp1b locus, this further supports the capacity to use GRIP-seq as a method for the prospective identification of verifiable cis-regulatory elements.

**GRIP-seq identifies novel regulatory elements within the onecut locus**

In the sea urchin embryo, onecut [previously referred to as hnf6 (Otim et al., 2004)] encodes a pioneer factor whose zygotic expression pattern delineates a neurogenic field from which the ciliated band will arise (Barsi, Li, et al., 2015; Otim et al., 2004; Poustka et al., 2004). The ciliated band, once fully developed, is a structure that confers upon the larva the ability to both swim and feed. It is composed, as its name implies, of a band of differentiated cells each possessing long cilia. To our knowledge, onecut is the first transcription factor to be expressed throughout this embryonic territory, which, from early gastrulation onward, resembles a nearly perfect ring that bisects the ectoderm into oral and aboral domains. Therefore, spatial specification of this particular transcription factor presents a uniquely challenging regulatory problem. Understanding a likely complex regulatory apparatus promises to reveal how highly resolved spatial information is integrated within a larger network of transcriptional feedback control to govern transcription.

Fig. 3A shows GRIP-seq signal spanning across the entire onecut locus. It is immediately apparent that the strongest signal outside of the CDS can be observed on either side of Exon 2. When

---

**Fig. 2.** GRIP-seq identifies experimentally-determined cis-regulatory elements as exemplified at the blimp1b and foxa loci. GRIP-seq signal from two replicate experiments (R1 & R2) alongside their control (Ctrl.), are shown for GRIP-seq signal in the genomic regions containing blimp (A) and foxa (B) where signal is seen overlapping rigorously-tested cis-regulatory elements controlling their respective expression. Numbers in the upper right-hand corner represent units used for scaling purposes. (A) GRIP-seq signal is clear at the CR2 cis-regulatory element in the blimp locus, which contains two Blimp1 sites and two overlapping TCF sites (indicated with light red shading on the genomic schematic and reflected on the GRIP-seq signals above). There is also GRIP-seq signal at the intronic cis-regulatory element CR6, which contributes to the amplitude of expression. (B) The foxa locus has been tested for regulatory activity and published CREs F, I, J, and K are all involved in foxa expression and all contain GRIP-seq signal (represented with light red shading along the genome model and overlaying the GRIP-seq signal for the corresponding locations.) Module F contains repressor elements, indicating GRIP-seq can identify elements involved in both activation and repression. GRIP-seq shows a strong signal in a smaller region for module K (light orange shading), indicating the possibility for further delineation of this element. The region from F through J was shown to have activity in the oral ectoderm (OE) region of the embryo (C), even though F, I and J themselves do not drive expression there, indicating a potential unidentified element between F and I driving OE expression. GRIP-seq signal in that region point to a possible candidate for an additional module driving OE expression of foxa.
an overlapping region (highlighted in red) is fused upstream of a reporter construct (Fig. 3B), the resulting construct proved capable of recapitulating the endogenous onecut expression pattern in vivo, both qualitatively (Figs. 3C, Supplemental Fig. S1 A-C) and quantitatively (Supplemental Fig. S1D). Many similar reporters constructed using adjacent non-coding sequence failed to drive GFP expression (data not shown). Although this particular region remains to be explored further, GRIP-seq signal points towards the sequence space most likely responsible for mediating transcriptional regulation (white asterisks).

**Using GRIP-seq to screen for putative physical linkages between regulatory elements and transcription start sites**

Locating and verifying a connection between any given regulatory element and the particular TSS(s) that it influences remains a time-and-resource-intensive experimental process. This information is not inferable from proximity alone and it becomes increasingly difficult to unravel connections when long distance regulators or gene-dense genomes are involved (Daniel et al., 2014). Our GRIP-seq database has the potential to provide evidence linking cis-regulatory elements to their cognate TSSs through paired-end sequence reads where one pair maps to a TSS and the other pair to a bona fide cis-regulatory element. An example is shown in Fig. 4, which depicts GRIP-seq data for the sea urchin nodal gene. As in other deuterostomes, the regulation of nodal and other members of the TGFβ superfamily of signaling factors confers important early patterning information. The cis-regulatory control of nodal was previously shown to depend in part on an element in the first intron immediately upstream of Exon 2 (Fig. 4, labeled as INT) (Nam et al., 2007). As shown in Fig. 4, a number of paired reads are linked between the INT element and the nodal/TSS. The GRIP-seq signal itself for INT is lower than the high signal at the start of nodal/Exon 2, a phenomenon we observe for most genes due to the local enrichment of Pol II. However, compared with other non-coding regions, the INT element signal is robust as is the signal showing linkage with the TSS. GRIP-seq data may be useful for making predictions genome-wide about physical connections between putative elements and basal transcription factors. Viewing the GRIP-seq data “as pairs” with a high performance visualization tool like the Broad Institute’s Integrative Genomics Viewer depicts putative connections between a regulatory site and TSS, which researchers can then verify experimentally.

**GRIP-seq linked pairs accurately point to a known distal regulatory element at the onecut locus**

As we do not yet possess a map of all regulatory elements within the genome, it is difficult to estimate the percentage of elements recovered by GRIP-seq that exhibit linkage to a TSS. An example of a well-studied locus with GRIP-seq linked reads connecting a known regulatory element to the TSS is at the onecut locus. As previously mentioned, onecut is an interesting gene from the point of view of transcriptional regulation, as the first transcription factor whose expression delineates a unique domain in a highly specific fashion, down to the single cell level. A recently published study reveals the presence of a functional cis-regulatory element located approximately 40 kb away from the onecut TSS (Fig. 5A) (Barsi, Davidson, 2016). Remarkably, paired sequence reads connecting this element with the onecut TSS are recovered by GRIP-seq (Fig. 5B). No other paired reads in the vicinity were found to span such a distance. Of special note is the fact that this distal sequence...
constitutes a repressive element. Published and ongoing studies show that Gsc-mediated repression is conveyed through this distal element, thereby functionally excluding onecut expression from the oral face. This function has been demonstrated by fusing the distal element upstream of a fluorescent reporter (Fig. 5F) and comparing its expression to an otherwise identical construct with mutated Gsc consensus binding sites (illustrated in Fig. 5, C vs. D and Supplemental Fig. S2, C-D vs. E-F). GRIP-seq paired-end analysis therefore accurately reflects a linkage between a functionally relevant distal element and the TSS through which it operates.

**Discussion**

We present the method and the resulting database for a genome-scale screening technique called GRIP-seq to globally map cis-regulatory elements and potentially further highlight physical connections with known TSSs. We find the GRIP-seq method robust, cost-effective and easy to implement with little or no optimization required and no theoretical limitations to its application in virtually any animal model system. The sea urchin model system we employ has a relatively complex mix of cell types and a number of thoroughly tested genes within the GRN for early development, giving us many detailed CRMs with which to validate our method. We found GRIP-seq correctly identifies known, as well as previously unknown, regulatory elements. GRIP-seq thus provides a tool for the prospective analysis of genomic regulatory elements.

GRIP-seq builds on other established methods. In particular, GRIP-seq uses ChiP-seq and 3C technology. ChiP-seq is limited to one or a few transcription factors at a time, which is insufficient for a complete network, especially when the identity of all active transcription factors acting at a specific time or in a specific location is unknown. The family of methods based on chromatin conformation capture (3C, 4C, 5C, and Hi-C), developed from the groundbreaking 3C method (Dekker et al., 2002), are all powerful techniques, but for our specific purpose, they are either the wrong scale, only examine a single loci, or require advance knowledge of the transcription factors involved. Our method shares protocol steps with the ChIA-PET method when an anti-Pol II antibody is used in the ChIP step (Goh et al., 2012). Our modifications are intended to enrich the database for active regulatory interactions and to streamline the protocol, which results in a robust and cost-efficient protocol. A table showing a side-by-side comparison of the steps in GRIP-seq and ChIA-PET is depicted in Supplemental Fig. S3.

How can we fully understand and appreciate the complete GRN of any developing embryo, distinct cancer subtype, or adult animal system physiology without comprehensive knowledge of the regulatory connections between the relevant genes? Many complementary methods at different scales will likely be needed to understand these complex regulatory systems. Due to time and resource constraints, existing gene networks have been constructed beginning with a few well-studied building blocks, with nodes and links added as experimental evidence is collected. Two
A comprehensive genome-wide prediction method resulting in a database of putative sites for verification will greatly speed up this process. GRIP-seq creates the necessary genome-wide regulatory database researchers require to significantly increase the speed of cis-regulatory analysis by drastically reducing the search space for genome sequence harboring regulatory activity. Our goals for GRIP-seq were met through development of a method that is genome-wide and applicable to all organisms. Moreover, GRIP-seq identifies sites of repression as well as activation, identifies regulation distally (and intronically) as well as proximally, and finally, often ties regulatory stretches with the TSS they govern.

We analyze the inaugural GRIP-seq database (24 hpf, mesenchyme bastula S. purpuratus embryos) by examining high quality mapped read counts in loci where rigorous cis-regulatory analysis has already been performed and published. By 24 hpf, the onset of gastrulation, the sea urchin embryo is patterned with multiple domains of differential gene expression at varying levels of resolution and overlap. This time point was therefore chosen as one that captures many critical regulatory interactions that have as a result of their important nature been previously tested by detailed experimental examination. We find good signal-to-noise ratios in every gene region we examine. The foxa locus shows strong signal across all four published regulatory modules. This includes a distal repressor module “F” as well as activating modules. The foxa locus is a good example of how researchers could have benefitted from the GRIP-seq database to increase the efficiency of screening for active regulatory regions at the time this cis-regulatory analysis was performed and how it can help to further complete the regulatory picture at present. In the large 20 kb region surrounding the foxa TSS (10 kb upstream and 10 kb downstream) there are three regions with strong GRIP-seq signal and three regions with more moderate GRIP-seq signal. Four out of these six regions, which are each close to 500 bp in size, contain regulatory activity. Such a drastic reduction in the search space for regulatory activity around a gene can increase the efficiency of cis-regulatory analysis. Additional analysis with peak calling software which compared each

**Fig. 5.** GRIP-seq links a distal cis-regulatory element to the onecut TSS. A distal cis-regulatory element that functions as a transcriptional repressor is shown to physically interact with the TSS of onecut. (A) Schematic of the onecut locus. Area highlighted in light blue indicates the TSS; grey boxes indicate untranslated regions; solid black boxes indicate exons; solid horizontal line represents 45 kb of genomic sequence; area highlighted in light red harbors regulatory sequence; an arc indicates evidence of physical contact between the DNA immediately beneath each end. (B) Paired GRIP-seq reads link onecut’s TSS to a distal cis-regulatory element found 40 kb downstream. (C,D) Schematic embryos summarize experimental evidence supporting the biological function of the distal CRE (Barsi, Davidson, 2016). (C) The zygotic expression of onecut at 35 hpf is confined to a circular pattern of cells from which the ciliated band will arise (shaded light blue). Transgenic embryos carrying a wild type version of the reporter shown in F, are able to recapitulate this expression pattern with GFP (green circles). (D) However, when a cluster of Gsc transcription factor binding sites are mutated within the context of the same reporter, ectopic GFP expression throughout the oral face is observed, consistent with role of this CRE in repressing onecut expression throughout this domain. (E) GRIP-seq signal from two replicate experiments (R1 & R2) alongside their control (Ctrl.), are shown for a region immediately surrounding the distal CRE. Area highlighted in light red harbors regulatory sequence. (F) A fluorescent reporter constructed of the genomic sequence highlighted in light red, endogenous onecut basal promoter (grey box), GFP CDS (green box) and a DNA barcode (labeled as such) is shown to convey Gsc mediated repression onto onecut transcription. Abbreviations: lv, lateral view; ov, oral view.
embryos, and pol II antibody, the other for a negative control using Normal Mouse IgG protein. To each sample, 447 µL of a 1:1 dilution buffer and 2.25 µL of Promega Inhibitor Cocktail II was added. Antibodies were added to the samples (anti-RNA pol II antibody (clone CTD4H8, 1 µL) to the experimental samples, normal mouse IgG protein (1 µL)) to the control samples). To all samples, 20 µL of fully suspended protein G magnetic beads were added and samples were incubated on a nutator overnight at 4°C. Beads were pelleted on a magnetic rack and bead/antibody/chromatin complexes were washed with Low Salt Immune Complex Wash Buffer (500 µL) for 5 min and then washed with High Salt Immune Complex Wash Buffer, LiCl Immunocomplex Wash Buffer, and TE Buffer, in that order. To check ChIP yield, a 5 µL aliquot of chromatim solution was removed from each sample crosslinks were reversed by incubation for 2 hrs at 62°C and 400 rpm with ChIP Elution Buffer (100 µL) and 10 mg/mL Proteinase K (1 µL of 10 mg/mL). These yield checking samples were then cleaned with a Zymo® spin column, eluted in nuclease-free H₂O (10 µL) and 1 µL was analyzed on an Agilent® 2100 Bioanalyzer.

**Materials and Methods**

**Embryo collection and fixation**

Female sea urchins (Strongylocentrotus purpuratus) were spawned by vigorous hand shaking until 1 mL of settled egg volume was obtained. The eggs were fertilized, and diluted in 100% filtered seawater to a concentration of 1 embryo per mL of seawater. The fertilized eggs were aged for 24 hrs. at 15°C. The 24 hpf embryos were pelleted and washed twice with 10 mL of cold Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS). One mL of embryo/PBS slurry was transferred to each of four 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tubes and fixed for 10 min in 1.11% formaldehyde at room temperature. Fixation was quenched with 65mM glycine for 5 min. Fixed embryos were pelleted and washed twice in cold PBS (1 mL) mixed with cold PMSF (10 µL). After the wash, pelleted embryos were resuspended in cold PBS (500 µL) with cold PMSF (5 µL) and pelleted again at 3,000 rpm for 1 min and stored at -80°C overnight.

**Embryo lysis and genomic DNA shearing**

Embryo pellets (100 µL each; ~200.25 µg of DNA) were thawed on ice, resuspended in 120 µL of lysis buffer (2% Triton X-100, 1% SDS, 100 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris-Cl at pH 8.0, 1 mM EDTA), and lysed with a 24V electric drill and a disposable plastic micropestle [Eppendorf, #022365622]. Plasmid-Safe™ ATP-Dependent DNase (E3101K, 1 µL), and then amplified by Rolling Circle Amplification using NEB® phi29 DNA Polymerase (MO269S), MCLAB® Exo-Resistant Random Primers (ERRP-100) (1 µL), and NEB® BSA (200 µg/mL) was added to samples. Samples were incubated for 30 min at 20°C, 300 rpm, on a Fisher Scientific Isotemp® thermal shaker. Samples were removed from the shaker, beads pelleted, and the supernatant was removed. Next, H₂O (10 µL) and Quick Ligase Buffer (10 µL, #E0542S NEB) was added to samples. Samples were spun down at max speed for 10 sec and Quick Ligase Enzyme was added (1 uL). Samples were vortexed gently to resuspend the beads and incubated at room temperature for 5 min, and then pelleted again. The supernatant was removed, ChIP Elution Buffer (100 µL) was added and samples were spun down. To reverse crosslinks and elute ligated products, Proteinase K (1 uL) was added. Samples were vortexed gently and incubated on a thermal shaker at 62°C, 300 rpm, for 3 hrs. Next, samples were incubated at 95°C, 300 rpm, for 10 min and then cooled to room temperature. Beads were pelleted and the supernatant was collected, which now contains circularized, protein-free, DNA products.

**Removal of linear DNA and amplification with rolling circle amplification**

The samples were cleaned with a Zymo® spin column and eluted in 24.8 µL of H₂O. Any remaining linear DNA was removed using Epicentre® Plasmid-Safe™ ATP-Dependent DNase (E3101K, 1 µL/10 units), 10x DNase buffer (3 µL), and ATP (1.2 µL), and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Samples were purified with the Qiagen MinElute® PCR Purification Kit and then amplified by Rolling Circle Amplification using NEB® phi29 DNA Polymerase (MO269S), MCLAB® Exo-Resistant Random Primers (RRRP-100) (1 µL), Roche® PCR Nucleotide Mix (200 uM) and NEB® BSA (200 µg/mL). The hexamers and DNA were heated to 95°C for 3 min, cooled on ice for 30 sec, spun down at 13,300 rpm for 5 sec, and then added to their respective master mixes for 16 hrs at 30°C. This was followed by 10 min at 65°C to deactivate the phi29 DNA Polymerase Enzyme. Samples were cleaned with a Zymo® column, eluted in nuclease-free H₂O and stored at -20°C.

**Library preparation**

Sequencing libraries were constructed with an Epicentre® Nextera DNA Sample Prep Kit (# FC-121-1031), following the manufacturer’s instructions. The final reaction was cleaned with a Qiaquick Column, and eluted in H₂O (30 µL). Samples were size selected using a 2% Pippin Prep® cassette (Sage Science), with Marker B, to select for DNA fragments between 450 bp and 550 bp. Recovered samples were cleaned with Qiagen MinElute columns and eluted in H₂O (10 µL). Libraries were checked for quality by Agilent Bioanalyzer, Pico Green, and qPCR to ensure a minimum concentration of 2 nM for Illumina sequencing. The samples were pooled for sequencing in equal volumes (per-embryo weight). Libraries were sequenced on 1 lane.
of an Illumina HiSeq1000 for 100bp paired ends using version 3 chemistry.

**Read trimming, mapping, peak calling and visualizing**

The raw reads were analyzed with FastQC (http://www.bioinformatics. babraham.ac.uk /projects /fastqc/), and then trimmed and re-paired, using quality-filtering guidelines outlined by Minoche et al., 2011 (Minoche et al., 2011). FastQC quality analysis was repeated to ensure filtering improved read quality. Reads were mapped onto the sea urchin genome v.3.1 using Bowtie 2, and visualized with the Broad Institute’s Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV). All raw reads and mapped read files, along with the commands used during processing and mapping are available at open access Woods Hole server (WHOAS) at https://darchive.mblwholibrary.org/ under doi: 10.1575/1912/7101. Data are also available in the ArrayExpress database at https://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress under accession number E-MTAB-3607 and the raw sequencing files have been transferred to the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA) for sequence searches. Peak calling was performed using MACS software, version 1.4.2 20120305, through the Galaxy Cistrome interface. The resulting peak calling.bed files for both experimental samples as compared to the control are also available in the ArrayExpress database, accession number E-MTAB-3607. The exact parameters used are included in Supplemental Fig. S4, which also includes all other README information, including Bowtie2 commands and IGV tool commands.

**Reporter construct**

The GFP reporter construct shown in Fig. 3 contained 1089 bp of non-coding sequence, which comprises an enhancer straddling the second, minute exon. This DNA sequence region was positioned upstream of the onecut basal promoter by means of fusion PCR, followed by the GFD CDS and a tailing DNA barcode. The GFP reporter construct described in Fig. 5 was identical to that published in (Barsi, Davidson, 2016).

**Embryo manipulation**

Microinjection of Strongylocentrotus purpuratus zygotes was performed according to well-established protocols (McMahon et al., 1985). Eggs were fertilized in situ and zygotes injected (1 pl per zygote) with onecut GFP cis-regulatory reporter construct as follows: reporter construct was injected at 1 ng/ul together with 10 ng HindIII-digested genomic carrier DNA in nuclease-free water.

**RNA in situ hybridization**

Whole-mount RNA in situ hybridization was performed following a previously published method (Ransick, 2004). The probes used in this study were complementary to the entire CDS of onecut or gfp. Sequence information available at http://www.spbase.org:3838/quantdev (Tu et al., 2014).
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