Positivity of Curvature-Squared Corrections in Gravity
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We study the Gauss-Bonnet (GB) term as the leading higher-curvature correction to pure Einstein gravity. Assuming a tree-level ultraviolet completion free of ghosts or tachyons, we prove that the GB term has a nonnegative coefficient in dimensions greater than four. Our result follows from unitarity of the spectral representation for a general ultraviolet completion of the GB term.

INTRODUCTION

Effective field theory lore states that, in constructing a Lagrangian, one should include all operators allowed by symmetry and power counting with arbitrary coefficients. Naively, this implies an immense freedom for low-energy model-building. However, not all quantum effective field theories are created equal: some are compatible with ultraviolet completion, while others reside in the so-called swampland [1–3], impervious to string-theoretic completion or, worse, any completion conforming to the usual axioms of quantum field theory.

An ongoing effort has been undertaken to demarcate the boundaries of healthy effective field theories, with constraints derived from both top-down and bottom-up reasoning. An iconic example of the former is the weak gravity conjecture [4], which was deduced from string-theoretic examples and black hole thought experiments. In the latter approach, one conceives bounds purely within the logic of low-energy effective theory, e.g., from considerations of causality, unitarity, and locality/analyticsity for long-distance observables such as scattering amplitudes and particle trajectories [1, 5–19].

In this paper, we derive a simple bound on curvature-squared corrections to Einstein gravity. Taking a low-energy perspective, we study gravity as an effective field theory described by the Einstein-Hilbert action, 

\[ S = \int d^D x \sqrt{-g} R/2\kappa^2, \]

whose higher-curvature corrections a priori include \( R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}, \) and \( R^2. \)

However, the usual invariance under field redefinitions implies that leading corrections in the derivative expansion are defined only up to equations of motion, so those operators involving \( R \) and \( R_{\mu\nu} \) can be discarded. Hence, the only nontrivial leading correction to pure Einstein gravity is effectively \( R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \) which up to equations of motion is equivalent to the Gauss-Bonnet (GB) term

\[ \Delta S = \int d^DX \sqrt{-g} \lambda \left( R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} - 4 R_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu} + R^2 \right). \quad (1) \]

The GB term is a total derivative in \( D = 4 \), so we take \( D > 4 \) throughout. The GB term is ghost-free [20] and is ubiquitous in string-theoretic completions of gravity.

The coupling constant \( \lambda \) is an important low-energy probe of the ultraviolet completion of general relativity. The sign of \( \lambda \) is also of particular interest from holographic considerations. A universal lower bound on the viscosity-to-entropy ratio, \( \eta/s \geq 1/4\pi \), has been conjectured [21], which is saturated at leading order for gauge theories with AdS supergravity duals [22]. Later, this conjecture was studied for a gravity dual comprised of a GB term added to bulk AdS gravity with a black brane [23], yielding \( \eta/s = (1/4\pi)(1 - 4(D - 1)(D - 4)/\lambda\kappa^2/\ell^2) \), where \( \ell \) is the AdS length. With the conjectured viscosity-to-entropy bound, this would suggest that \( \lambda \leq 0 \). However, Ref. [23] also presented explicit string theory examples that violate the bound [23–25] (see Ref. [26] for a review). More importantly, \( \lambda \geq 0 \) appears to be a generic prediction of string theory: \( \lambda = 0 \) in type II superstring theory [27], while \( \lambda > 0 \) for the bosonic [20], heterotic [28], and type I [29] string.

We will prove that \( \lambda \geq 0 \) for any unitary tree-level ultraviolet completion of the GB term. To do so, we first enumerate interactions that couple gravitons to massive states in order to generate the GB term at tree level. We then introduce a general spectral representation for the two-point function for these massive degrees of freedom. Finally, we show how unitarity of the spectral representation fixes the sign of the curvature-squared operator coefficient in the gravitational effective theory.

COUPLING TO MASSIVE STATES

In this section, we study the structure of weakly-coupled ultraviolet dynamics that generates curvature-squared corrections to gravity at low energies. As noted earlier, we can freely substitute the tree-level equations of motion—i.e., Einstein’s equations—into the leading curvature corrections in Eq. (1). In practice, this means that the GB term is, at leading order in the derivative expansion, equivalent to the Riemann-squared operator with a positive coefficient.
and the Weyl-squared operator,
\[
C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - \frac{4}{D-2} R_{\mu\nu} R^{\mu\nu} \\
+ \frac{2}{(D-1)(D-2)} R^2,
\]
where the Weyl tensor is
\[
C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = R_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - \frac{1}{D-2} \left( g_{\mu[\rho} R_{\sigma]\nu - g_{\nu[\rho} R_{\sigma]\mu} \right) \\
+ \frac{1}{(D-1)(D-2)} R g_{\mu[\rho} g_{\sigma]\nu},
\]
and square brackets on indices denote antisymmetrization without normalization, i.e., \( A_{[\mu\nu]} = A_{\mu\nu} - A_{\nu\mu} \).

This all implies that the low-energy coefficients of the GB term, the Riemann-squared term, and the Weyl-squared operator are manifestly an identity, and square brackets on indices denote antisymmetrization without normalization, i.e., \( A_{[\mu\nu]} = A_{\mu\nu} - A_{\nu\mu} \).

Throughout our analysis, we assume a weakly-coupled ultraviolet completion of gravity. In this case, the Weyl tensor is diffeomorphism-invariant and has all of the index properties of the Weyl tensor, namely, the requisite (anti-)symmetries, the first Bianchi identity, and on-shell tracelessness. Any components of \( \chi_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \) that violate these symmetry properties are automatically projected out by the Weyl tensor in Eq. (5).

Next, let us systematically enumerate all possible ultraviolet-completing dynamics for the GB term. Denoting a heavy state by \( \chi \), we must identify all diffeomorphism-invariant couplings between \( \chi \) and gravitons. These interactions could involve one, two, or more powers of \( \chi \), which we now consider.

For interactions that are linear in \( \chi \), any derivatives on \( \chi \) can always be shuffled onto the gravitons via integration by parts. Since \( \chi \) is like a matter field, it by construction transforms as a tensor and thus necessarily couples to some combination of gravitons that also transforms as a tensor.\(^2\) If this tensor of gravitons has no derivatives, then in the flat-space limit \( \chi \) appears as a tadpole in the Lagrangian, so the corresponding term is eliminated once we expand around the proper vacuum. On the other hand, if this tensor has exactly one derivative, then the resulting operator must be a total derivative since the metric is covariantly constant. Finally, if this tensor has two derivatives, then it has mass dimension two and thus just the right power counting to induce a curvature-squared operator. Indeed, any more derivatives will generate operators of higher order than curvature-squared in the derivative expansion.

The only possible tensors of mass dimension two constructed from the metric are the Riemann tensor and its contractions [30]. Hence, any graviton interactions that are linear in \( \chi \) must take the form
\[
y C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \chi^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma},
\]
where \( \chi_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \) is a field representing all the massive states that generate the GB term and \( y \) is a coupling constant. Analogous operators involving \( R_{\mu\nu} \) and \( R \) can be discarded by equations of motion.

Without loss of generality, we can take \( \chi_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \) in Eq. (5) to possess all of the index properties of the Weyl tensor, namely, the requisite (anti-)symmetries, the first Bianchi identity, and on-shell tracelessness. Any components of \( \chi_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \) that violate these symmetry properties are automatically projected out by the Weyl tensor in Eq. (5).

Note that Eq. (5) induces mixing between the graviton and the heavy state. However, since this preserves diffeomorphism invariance, the resulting massless eigenstate should still be interpreted as the massless graviton.

On the other hand, interactions that are quadratic in \( \chi \) will automatically produce new heavy states in pairs. To generate an effective operator involving only gravitons, we can close the loop of heavy states, but this interaction goes beyond tree level and is thus suppressed.

---

\(^2\) By tensor, we simply mean an object that transforms covariantly under nonlinear coordinate transformations. Since the metric \( g_{\mu\nu} \) is a tensor, it is convenient to parameterize all dependence of the graviton through \( g_{\mu\nu} \), its associated curvature tensors, and covariant derivatives \( \nabla_\mu \).
at weak coupling. An important exception to this occurs if \( \chi \) mixes with the graviton, in which case we must introduce Eq. (5) anyway. Similar arguments apply for interactions with higher powers of \( \chi \), but the final result is the same: any weakly-coupled ultraviolet completion of the GB term will involve the operator in Eq. (5).

\[
\langle \chi_{\mu
u\rho\sigma}(k)\chi_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(k') \rangle = i\delta^{D}(k + k')
\]

where \( k^2 \) is contracted with the flat metric. Here, \( \Pi_{\mu
u\rho\sigma\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \) is the propagator numerator for \( \chi_{\mu
u\rho\sigma} \) and \( \rho(\mu^2) \) is the spectral density encoding arbitrary ultraviolet dynamics in terms of a distribution of poles corresponding to each massive state. Since we are working at tree level, \( \rho(\mu^2) \) is just a sum over delta functions, so the spectral representation is merely a simple way to package a set of resonances.

The absence of tachyons implies that \( \mu^2 \geq 0 \). As we will soon see, the propagator numerator \( \Pi_{\mu
u\rho\sigma\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \) is highly constrained by its symmetries and unitarity. In turn, \( \rho(\mu^2) \geq 0 \) is required if the theory is to be ghost-free [31, 32]. The fact that the spectrum is gapped implies regularity of the two-point function as \( k \rightarrow 0 \), so the spectral density should vanish as \( \mu^2 \rightarrow 0 \).

Unitarity requires that the on-shell propagator numerator be a sum over the tensor product of the physical polarizations [33]. That is, when the on-shell condition \( k^2 = -\mu^2 \) is satisfied, the propagator numerator is

\[
\Pi_{\mu
u\rho\sigma\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\varepsilon_{i\alpha\beta\gamma\delta},
\]

where \( \varepsilon_{i\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \) are the physical polarization states of \( \chi_{\mu
u\rho\sigma} \) indexed by \( i \) and normalized so that \( \varepsilon_{i\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\varepsilon_{j\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \delta_{ij} \). By definition, the polarization tensors transform in representations of the \( SO(D - 1) \) little group for the massive state \( \chi_{\mu
u\rho\sigma} \). Consequently, the polarizations must reside in the subspace transverse to the momentum of \( \chi_{\mu
u\rho\sigma} \). From Eq. (7), this implies the transversality condition for on-shell \( k_{\mu} \),

\[
k^\mu\Pi_{\mu
u\rho\sigma\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = 0
\]

SPECTRUM OF MASSIVE STATES

Next, we construct a general Källén-Lehmann spectral representation [31, 32] for the heavy states \( \chi \) following the analysis of Refs. [13, 14, 17]. By expanding the metric \( g_{\mu\nu} \) around a flat background \( \eta_{\mu\nu} \), we can represent the \( \chi \)-two-point function in \( D \) dimensions as

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} d\mu^2 \rho(\mu^2) - k^2 - \mu^2 + i\epsilon \Pi_{\mu
u\rho\sigma\alpha\beta\gamma\delta},
\]

and similarly for all other contractions.

Note that \( \chi_{\mu
u\rho\sigma} \) is not a canonical spin-four state [34–37] since it is not fully symmetric. Rather, as we noted in the previous section, \( \chi_{\mu
u\rho\sigma} \) can without loss of generality be taken to have the index properties of the Weyl tensor, which are then inherited by the corresponding polarizations as well as the propagator numerator by Eq. (7). For example, on-shell tracelessness of \( \chi_{\mu
u\rho\sigma} \) implies that, when the on-shell condition is satisfied, \( \Pi_{\mu
u\rho\sigma\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \) vanishes when any two indices among the first set of four are contracted and similarly for the second set. Because we do not \textit{a priori} know the form of the propagator numerator, we must construct it purely from its symmetries and the on-shell transversality and tracelessness conditions.

The most general construction begins by considering \( \Pi_{\mu
u\rho\sigma\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \) to be an arbitrary eight-index tensor built out of \( \eta_{\mu\nu} \) and \( k_{\mu} \). Then, in general \( D \), we impose the requisite symmetries coming from the index properties of the Weyl tensor and symmetry on exchange of the two copies of \( \chi_{\mu
u\rho\sigma} \): antisymmetry on the first and second pairs of indices, symmetry under the exchange of the first and second index pairs, symmetry under the exchange of the first and second sets of four indices, the first Bianchi identity \( \Pi_{\mu[\nu\rho\sigma\alpha\beta\gamma\delta]} = \Pi_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma[\alpha\beta\gamma\delta]} = 0 \), on-shell tracelessness on each set of four indices (for arbitrary metric contraction of two indices), and on-shell transversality per Eq. (8). We discover that these conditions are enough to fix the propagator numerator \( \Pi_{\mu
u\rho\sigma\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \) up to some as-yet-unspecified coefficient \( \beta \):

\[
\Pi_{\mu
u\rho\sigma\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \beta \left[ 2(D - 2)(D - 3) \left( \Pi_{\mu\nu}^{[\rho} \Pi_{\sigma]_{\gamma\delta}} + \Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\rho} \Pi_{\sigma\gamma\delta} \right) + (D - 2)(D - 3) \left( \Pi_{\mu\nu}^{[\rho} \Pi_{\sigma]_{\gamma\delta}} - \Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\rho} \Pi_{\gamma\delta} \right) - 3(D - 2) \left( \Pi_{\mu\nu}^{[\rho} \Pi_{\sigma]_{\gamma\delta}} + \Pi_{\mu\nu}^{\rho} \Pi_{\gamma\delta} \right) + 12 \Pi_{\mu\nu}^{[\rho} \Pi_{\sigma]_{\gamma\delta}} \right]
\]
where we found that the result could be written in terms of the Proca propagator numerator

\[ \Pi_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{\mu^2}. \quad (10) \]

The appearance of this dependence on the projection operator \( \Pi_{\mu\nu} \) is not surprising given the transversality condition (8). However, we emphasize that we did not assume beforehand that \( \Pi_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \) could be expressed as a function of the Proca propagator numerator.

Now, by the completeness relation (7), the full trace of the propagator numerator counts the number of physical degrees of freedom, so we must have \( \Pi_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \eta^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} > 0 \). Specifically, the number of independent physical degrees of freedom in \( \chi_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \) is just the number of possible polarizations. This is the number of tensors \( \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \) with the symmetries of the Weyl tensor that respect the transversality condition. Working through the combinatorics is straightforward and one finds that the number of physical degrees of freedom is

\[ N = \frac{1}{12}(D + 1)D(D - 1)(D - 4). \quad (11) \]

On the other hand, from Eq. (9), we find the beautiful expression

\[ \Pi_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \eta^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = 2\beta(D + 1)D(D - 1)(D - 2)(D - 3)(D - 4), \quad (12) \]

which for \( D > 4 \) is positive if and only if \( \beta > 0 \). Requiring that \( \Pi_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \eta^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = N \), we have

\[ \beta = \frac{1}{24(D - 2)(D - 3)}. \quad (13) \]

Equivalently, we recall that a propagator numerator, when taken on shell, is a projector onto the space orthogonal to \( k_\mu \) [38] and onto tensors with the requisite index symmetries. Requiring that the propagator numerator be idempotent as a projection operator thus fixes the normalization.

**INTEGRATING OUT MASSIVE STATES**

We can now compute the higher-curvature corrections induced by integrating out \( \chi \). As noted earlier, interactions between gravitons and two or more powers of \( \chi \) can contribute to higher-curvature corrections given the mixing term in Eq. (5). Thus, to study graviton scattering at low energies, it would be necessary to do a proper accounting of all the interactions involving \( \chi \) beyond even Eq. (5). As this is rather cumbersome, it is more convenient to compute the off-shell two-point function for the graviton. This low-energy operator receives contributions from Eq. (5), but crucially is independent of the interactions nonlinear in \( \chi \).

Armed with a general parameterization of the couplings and spectrum of the massive states, we can now integrate them out. Using Eqs. (9) and (13), one finds

\[ C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \Pi_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} C^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \eta^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \geq 0 \quad (14) \]

Since we are computing the two-point function for gravitons, we are implicitly expanding \( C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \) at linear order in gravitons. Integrating out \( \chi_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \) at low momentum transfer, we obtain the effective operator

\[ \frac{y^2}{2} C_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} C^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu^2}{\mu^2} \rho(\mu^2). \quad (15) \]

We then deduce the coefficient of the Weyl-squared operator in Eq. (4),

\[ \lambda = \frac{y^2}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\mu^2}{\mu^2} \rho(\mu^2) \geq 0. \quad (16) \]

Thus, since the spectral function is nonnegative by unitarity, the sign of the coefficient \( \lambda \) of the GB operator is nonnegative in a consistent tree-level ultraviolet completion in \( D > 4 \).

This bound is consistent with results from string theory [20, 27–29]. Moreover, our bound constitutes a requisite consistency condition for any candidate tree-level theory of quantum gravity. Proving positivity of the GB coefficient using a different approach—analytic dispersion relations—is the subject of current ongoing research [39], though subtleties exist in applying analyticity bounds to graviton amplitudes [1, 18].

Delineating the boundary between the swampland and the landscape can provide insights for model-building and for our broader understanding of gravitational ultraviolet completion of quantum field theories. Open problems include finding ways to apply infrared consistency bounds in nonperturbative contexts, as well as connecting bounds obtained from infrared- and ultraviolet-dependent reasoning.
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