Low Hesperian $P_{\text{CO}_2}$ constrained from in situ mineralogical analysis at Gale Crater, Mars
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Carbon dioxide is an essential atmospheric component in martian climate models that attempt to reconcile a faint young sun with planetewide evidence of liquid water in the Noachian and Early Hesperian. In this study, we use mineral and contextual sedimentary environmental data measured by the Mars Science Laboratory (MSL) Rover Curiosity to estimate the atmospheric partial pressure of CO$_2$ (5, 6). This leads to the question of how the surface of martian atmosphere and Mg$_2$CO$_3$ constrained from in situ measurements allow us to determine, or the climate models still lack an essential component that would serve to elevate surface temperatures, at least locally, on Hesperian Mars. Our results also impose restrictions on the potential role of atmospheric CO$_2$ in inferred warmer conditions and valley network formation of the late Noachian.

More than four decades of orbital imaging of Mars provide geomorphological evidence of a more active hydrological cycle and larger inventory of water during the Noachian and Early Hesperian (1, 2). The widespread distribution of hydrous clay minerals in martian terrains of this age and their subsequent deformation provide paveling support evidence (3, 4), but the clay mineral-bearing deposits also present a paradox in that carbonate minerals, expected coprecipitates in surficial settings in communication with a CO$_2$-bearing atmosphere, are typically absent (5). Atospheric reconstructions using carbon isotopic measurements and global inventories of martian carbon also indicate 100s mbar CO$_2$ levels (5, 6). These lead to the question of how the surface of ancient Mars, faintly heated by a young sun, was kept warm enough to allow an active hydrological cycle, without substantial amounts of a key greenhouse gas in the atmosphere. However, the breadth of available constraints on carbon sinks (6) and potential clay mineral formation in subsurface settings influenced by the atmosphere (7) introduce uncertainties to estimates and the periods to which they apply. In this work we use mineralogical and sedimentological data from ~3.5 Ga martian lake sediments to obtain a reference point for the evolution of martian $P_{\text{CO}_2}$.

During its traverse to the lower slopes of Aeolis Mons (informally known as Mt. Sharp), the MSL rover documented a ~70 m sedimentary section of mudstones, siltstones, sandstones, and conglomerates deposited by lakes and rivers on the floor of Gale crater between 3.8 and 3.1 Ga (8). Sedimentary rocks analyzed by the CheMin X-ray diffraction (XRD) instrument during the traverse share many of the same components including (i) crystalline silicates including pigeonite, intermediate calcic plagioclase, and olivine from a basaltic source or sources; (ii) poorly crystalline clay minerals; (iii) various Fe oxides including magnetite; (iv) Ca–sulfate minerals, which mainly occur in cross-cutting fractures and veins; and (v) an X-ray amorphous component (9, 10). Thus far, carbonate minerals have not been definitively detected in Gale Crater sedimentary rocks. This is surprising given the reactiveness of minerals making up sediments to carbonic acid.

Of the phases present, smectitic clay minerals and magnetite provide a potential means of estimating ancient $P_{\text{CO}_2}$ by constraining dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) levels in the pore fluids of Gale Crater sediments. It is proposed that isochronal alteration during the deposition of lacustrine mudstone at YKB involved dissolution of Fe$^{2+}$-bearing olivine (Fo$_{62}$) providing a source of Fe$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ in pore waters for subsequent coeval precipitation of magnetite and Fe$^{2+}$-bearing, ferrian saponite–type clay minerals (9, 11–14). The detection of these secondary phases indicates anoxic to poorly oxidizing conditions (13), and places lower limits on the pore water activity of Fe$^{2+}$ and Mg$^{2+}$ released from olivine. Therefore, the thermodynamic stability fields of ferrian saponite and magnetite with respect to Fe and Mg carbonates can be used as the basis of estimates of atmospheric $P_{\text{CO}_2}$ at the time of Sheepbed deposition. Ferrian saponite and magnetite are thermodynamically unstable with respect

Significance

Approximately 3.5-Ga sedimentary rocks surveyed by the Mars Science Laboratory rover in Gale Crater, Mars, contain secondary mineral phases indicating aqueous alteration and release of cations from mafic minerals during sediment deposition in lakes. However, carbonate phases are not detected, and our model calculations indicate atmospheric CO$_2$ levels at the time of sediment deposition 10s to 100s of times lower than those required by climate models to warm early Mars enough to maintain surficial water. Our results offer a ground-based reference point for the evolution of martian atmospheric CO$_2$ and imply that other mechanisms of warming Hesperian Mars, or processes that allowed for confined hydrological activity under cold conditions, must be sought.
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to Fe and Mg carbonates, such as siderite and magnesite, between 10^{-2} to 10^{-2} bar P_{CO_2} depending on assumed activity of silica and Al^{3+} of pore waters (Fig. 1).

Estimates of P_{CO_2} on the Archean (>2.5 Ga) Earth, derived from paleosols and banded iron formations, also use the thermodynamic stability of clay minerals and magnetite (17–19), but are criticized because they rely on assumptions of mineral equilibrium and do not account for enhanced delivery of carbon and reducing power to sediments via biological activity (20, 21). Metamorphic overprinting of original mineral assemblages in terrestrial rocks this old is ubiquitous and complicates interpretations further (22).

In many ways, deriving P_{CO_2} estimates from Gale Crater sedimentary rocks is more straightforward than doing so in their terrestrial equivalents. Examination of ancient sediments at YKB shows that, despite their age, have been subject to minimal burial diagenetic alteration and heated to no more than 70 °C (9, 12, 13) consistent with reconstructed burial history and estimates of ancient geothermal gradients (23). The observed persistence of smectite clay minerals, magnetite, levels of hematite at CheMin’s detection limit of ~1 wt % and absence of cation leaching in YKB sediments revealed by bulk geochemistry (9, 11) is inconsistent with pervasive postdepositional chemical weathering or alteration that may have otherwise had the potential to dissolve authigenic carbonate minerals.

In addition, MSL has not detected signs of biological influence on the Gale Crater sediments. In particular, the organic molecules observed by the Sample Analysis at Mars (SAM) instrument suite are extremely localized and restricted to simple structures (e.g., C1-C4 alkyl and single-ring aromatic hydrocarbons and chlorohydrocarbons; ref. 24) that lack source information. As such, they may be derived from meteoritic, abiogenic, or geologically reworked biological inputs to the sediments.

Assuming that sedimentary mineral assemblages were in thermodynamic equilibrium with the atmosphere remains problematic, however. Even with martian P_{CO_2} levels above thermodynamic thresholds for carbonate stability, notoriously slow precipitation kinetics of Fe and Mg carbonates may have been a barrier to producing detectable quantities in Gale Crater sediments before burial and isolation from an atmospheric carbon source. For example, magnesite requires temperatures greater than ~70 °C for direct precipitation in the laboratory (25). Siderite forms under ambient laboratory conditions at rates ~4 orders of magnitude slower than calcite (26).

Here we take a step beyond assuming mineral equilibrium and combine estimated sedimentation rates, afforded by detailed sedimentary facies analysis (8, 12), with carbonate precipitation kinetics and observed mineralogy to estimate ancient P_{CO_2} using a geochemical reaction–transport model.

**Model Summary**

Our models are constructed to determine threshold P_{CO_2} levels that would permit the precipitation of detectable amounts of siderite by CheMin. For samples bearing the mixture of phases observed within Sheepbed mudstone (9), CheMin has a detection limit of ~1 wt % siderite. The SAM instrument detects release of CO_2 upon sample heating, which can, in part, be derived from decomposition of carbonate minerals. The results of SAM analyses of YKB sediments provide no clear indication of Fe carbonate, but the expected CO_2 signal for carbonates may be masked by other CO_2 sources thus permitting the presence of Fe carbonates at abundances below the CheMin detection limit (27).

Precipitation rates and therefore accumulation of carbonates depend on the saturation state of pore water with respect to a particular carbonate phase (Ω), given by

\[
Ω_{carbonate} = \frac{[M^{2+}][CO_3]^{-}}{K_p}.
\]

where \(K_p\) is the solubility product of the carbonate in question and \(M^{2+}\) represents the concentration of the metal cation in pore water.

Ω is linked to carbonate precipitation rate (\(R_{carbonate}\)) by the expression,

\[
R_{carbonate} = K_{carbonate} (Ω_{carbonate} - 1)^n,
\]

where \(K_{carbonate}\) is the rate constant and \(n\) is the order of the reaction.

Our models calculate [CO_3^{-2}] by simulating advection, diffusion, and reaction of DIC species, including precipitation of carbonate, in a one-dimensional porous sedimentary profile subject to active sedimentary accumulation and burial (detailed in SI Text, Model Details). Diffusion and advection from the upper model boundary actively replenish DIC (including CO_3^{-2}) lost from pore waters during carbonate precipitation and transport and, thus, are of critical importance in modeling the total carbonate accumulation. Upper model boundary conditions are set to simulate a well-mixed lake in equilibrium with an assigned P_{CO_2} atmosphere. This is a valid representation given the absence of glaciogenic sedimentary features, such as dropstones, ice wedges, and glacial till, in Gale lake deposits that would indicate ice cover, and the meter-scale thicknesses of delta deposits that indicate water depths of the same order (8).

We can make broad inferences about lake water chemistry at the time of YKB formation deposition. Salinity appears to have been low based on limited Cl and S concentration in the sedimentary
matrix and the lack of detection of evaporative minerals in the mudstone (9, 11, 12). This is compatible with bulk geochemical evidence of limited chemical alteration of sediments during erosion and transport from the source area (11). The observed stability of clay minerals and magnetite in the sediments implies circum-neutral pH pore waters (Fig. 1) that were not overwhelmed by hypothesized surficial sources of acidity sometimes invoked for Mars aqueous environments (28, 29). Thus, DIC speciation and concentrations at the upper model boundary (representing lake water) are calculated over a range of assigned pHs and atmospheric P$_{\text{CO}_2}$ levels, with the lowest pH of 5 corresponding to the lower stability field of magnetite (Fig. 1).

A variety of approaches to estimate the activity of metal cations required to calculate the saturation states and accumulation rates of carbonates are possible (Eqs. I and 2). For example, in a full kinetic model, metal cation activities are calculated as a function of competing mineral dissolution and precipitation reactions, and in the case of Fe$^{2+}$, the redox state of pore waters. Although this approach provides the most mathematically complete model of a given system, the assigned kinetic parameters are subject to significant uncertainty. For instance, the rate laws governing saponite precipitation are unknown and must be guessed through comparison with other clay minerals that differ in terms of chemistry and crystal structure (e.g., ref. 30). Moreover, order-of-magnitude differences between kinetic rate laws determined in the laboratory and observed in natural systems are a well-documented challenge for reaction–transport models.

Alternatively, if the goal of the model is to obtain an absolute upper threshold of P$_{\text{CO}_2}$ consistent with nondetection of carbonates at Gale Crater, then our preferred hybrid kinetic model formulation becomes suitable. Calculating an upper P$_{\text{CO}_2}$ threshold requires a minimum bound on the activity of metal cations in solution. For the sediments at YKB, where evidence of magnetite and saponite precipitation at the time of deposition is documented, a minimum bound can be placed on Fe$^{2+}$ activity in pore water by assuming that both magnetite and ferrian saponite are at or above saturation levels. Fig. S1 shows that with expected activities of silica and Al$^{3+}$, ferrian saponite is always supersaturated when [Fe$^{2+}$] is at saturation with respect to magnetite. Thus, [Fe$^{2+}$] is calculated in the model assuming that pore waters are saturated with respect to magnetite. We note that in a full kinetic model [Fe$^{2+}$] would approach magnetite saturation in a situation where precipitation of magnetite and saponite out-competed siderite for [Fe$^{2+}$].

To calculate pore water [Fe$^{2+}$] based on magnetite saturation requires a redox constraint. Redox is assigned to the magnetite/hematite boundary, again minimizing [Fe$^{2+}$] in the model profile, in keeping with the goal of obtaining an upper P$_{\text{CO}_2}$ threshold (Fig. S2). Formulating the model in this way is also consistent with detection of small amounts of hematite in YKB sediments (9). This state is represented by the following chemical reaction:

\[
\text{Magnetite} + 2[H^+] = [\text{Fe}^{2+}] + [\text{H}_2\text{O}] + \text{Hematite}. \quad [3]
\]

By calculating the equilibrium constant K$_{\text{mag/hem}}$ for reaction 3 at given temperature and salinity values and assuming H$_2$O activity of 1 a pH-dependent expression for [Fe$^{2+}$] is obtained.

\[
[\text{Fe}^{2+}] = K_{\text{mag/hem}} \cdot [H^+]^2. \quad [4]
\]

[Fe$^{2+}$] is calculated in the model profile at each time step based on pH using Eq. 4 allowing the saturation state with respect to siderite to be determined. Combining calculated $\Omega_{\text{siderite}}$ with the slowest kinetic rate law governing siderite precipitation available in the literature (Table S1), we determine the upper threshold of atmospheric P$_{\text{CO}_2}$ that would result in siderite being undetectable by CheMin in the YKB formation. Note that in configuring the model in this way it is assumed that siderite precipitation kinetics limit carbonate production; Fe$^{2+}$ supplied by the dissolution of olivine does not. Fig. S3 shows this assumption is valid. Typical equilibrium outputs of a model run are shown in Fig. S4.

Results and Discussion

Fig. 2A shows upper P$_{\text{CO}_2}$ thresholds for siderite detection over a range of assigned water column pH and at various sediment accumulation rates. Water column pH has limited influence on rates of siderite production between values of 5 and 7 in our model. This is because siderite precipitation rate is determined by $\Omega_{\text{siderite}} = [\text{Fe}^{2+}] [\text{CO}_3^{2-}]$, where at low pH small carbonate anion concentrations are compensated for by higher [Fe$^{2+}$] required to maintain magnetite saturation (Fig. S2). Above pH 7, P$_{\text{CO}_2}$ thresholds decrease (Fig. 2A). To maintain high pH within a water column in equilibrium with the range of atmospheric P$_{\text{CO}_2}$ values investigated here requires elevated carbonate alkalinity and thus DIC. High DIC levels make diffusion and carbonate precipitation in the lower parts of modeled sedimentary
profiles more efficient, lowering $P_{CO_2}$ thresholds. Because we aim to determine an upper constraint on $P_{CO_2}$ we focus our discussion on the $P_{CO_2}$ thresholds obtained assuming pH of lake waters between 5 and 7—the lowest values compatible with saponite and magneite precipitation (Fig. 1).

Sedimentary porosity influences the rates of siderite production by controlling the quantity of pore water DIC available for reaction with Fe$^{2+}$ released from olivine and rates of chemical diffusion within sediments, both of which generally increase with porosity. On Earth, mud deposits typically have initial porosity of between 60 and 80% (31). We have assigned a porosity value of 60% in our model profiles to obtain upper $P_{CO_2}$ thresholds (Fig. 24). No significant sedimentary compaction is expected within ~1.5–3 m model interval where carbonates form.

Calculated $P_{CO_2}$ thresholds are also highly sensitive to sediment accumulation rates, with faster rates permitting higher threshold atmospheric $P_{CO_2}$ levels (Fig. 2). We have no direct constraints on the rates of deposition of the YKB formation, but given the similarity of the sedimentary architecture with terrestrial fluvial–lacustrine deposits (8) we use compilations of thousands of lacustrine accumulation rates observed on Earth to obtain an upper limit for the YKB formation (31). Terrestrial lacustrine sedimentation rates span several orders of magnitude, with a dependence on the time span of accumulation (32). With accumulation times of 100s to 10,000 y estimated for the 1.5-m-thick Sheepbed member (12), accumulation rates as high as 5 cm/yr are possible, with an average rate ~0.1 cm/yr (32). Lacustrine deposits with sedimentation rates >1cm/yr make up <1% of available records. The highest rates are not sustainable for periods of more than ~100 y, thus we use an upper sedimentation rate of 1 cm/yr in calculating $P_{CO_2}$ estimates. We find that temperature and pore water salinity have a minor influence on $P_{CO_2}$ thresholds (Fig. 2B; SI Text, Sensitivity Tests).

At 10 °C and a salinity of 10 g/kg, an accumulation rate of 1 cm/yr and sedimentary porosity of 60% the upper limit on $P_{CO_2}$ levels where siderite production remains below XRD detection limits in the Sheepbed member is 70 mbar. This falls to 10 mbar at average sedimentation rates of ~0.1 cm/yr. Our $P_{CO_2}$ estimates are orders of magnitude above those based on thermodynamic stability of magnetite with respect to siderite (Fig. 1), but remain well below the 100s mbar $CO_2$ levels required by the most optimistic climate simulations to keep the average temperature of early Mars above 273 K for long periods (33); for example, Ramirez et al. (34) model element conditions at 1.3 bars CO$_2$, with 20% H$_2$ as an additional greenhouse gas.

As discussed above, our models and choice of input parameters are designed to provide an absolute upper limit $P_{CO_2}$ based on observed mineralogy and sedimentology at YKB. However, there are various reasons to believe that [Fe$^{2+}$] was higher in pore waters and $P_{CO_2}$ may have been lower than the thresholds shown in Fig. 2. First, it is possible that magnetite and hematite detected in YKB sediments (9) are detrital phases that do not place bounds on pore water [Fe$^{2+}$] or act as a sink for Fe. This would allow for more reducing conditions, higher [Fe$^{2+}$] (Fig. S2) and lower $P_{CO_2}$ thresholds. Second, if the supply of [Fe$^{2+}$] from olivine outstripped removal by secondary phases (i.e., olivine dissolution kinetics >> precipitation of secondary phases), [Fe$^{2+}$] would also be higher in our upper threshold $P_{CO_2}$ simulations. In both these cases [Fe$^{2+}$] would tend toward approaching levels of olivine saturation, thus providing a reduced $P_{CO_2}$ threshold limit for a given sedimentation rate, porosity, salinity, and temperatures. Model [Fe$^{2+}$] for this lower $P_{CO_2}$ threshold based on olivine saturation requires an assumption about [SiO$_2$]$_{eq}$ of pore waters to be made, but in natural near-surface pore waters [SiO$_2$]$_{eq}$ is typically between saturation with respect to quartz or amorphous silica. Both these situations can be used to obtain a pH-dependent expression to determine [Fe$^{2+}$]:

Fayalite $+ 4[H^+] = 2[Fe^{2+}] +$ Quartz $+ 2[H_2O]$, \[ [Fe^{2+}] = \sqrt{K_{reaction} \cdot [H^+]^4}, \]

where $K_{reaction}$ is the reaction constant of either Eq. 5 or 6. The resulting $P_{CO_2}$ thresholds are shown in Fig. 3. With comparable porosity and burial rates $P_{CO_2}$ thresholds are approximately half the values calculated assuming pore water [Fe$^{2+}$] at magnetite saturation. This reinforces our estimates that the martian atmosphere contained 10s mbar levels at the time of deposition of YKB sediments.

Fluvial–lacustrine sediments in Gale Crater represent one of the youngest known instances of habitable surficial aqueous conditions on Mars, on the tail end of a period of rapid atmospheric degradation and loss (6, 12, 33). Thus, our $P_{CO_2}$ estimate serves as a reference point at a critical juncture in martian atmospheric evolution. Combining ~10s mbar estimates of $P_{CO_2}$ derived from Gale Crater with upper estimates of the amount of CO$_2$ lost through sequestration as carbonate minerals in the martian crust (up to ~500 mbar CO$_2$; ref. 35) and CO$_2$ lost to space (<100 mbar; ref. 36) limits atmospheric CO$_2$ during the Noachian and Early Hesperian to 100s mbar. This is well below threshold levels required to keep the average temperature of early Mars above 273 K for long periods in climate models, therefore either lacustrine features of Gale formed in a cold environment (37) or the problem of reconciling Mars’ early climate with geological record of an active hydrosphere remains. Our results imply that the overall lack of carbonates found in the geological record of Mars (5, 6, 28, 35) is a direct reflection of the composition of the ancient atmosphere.
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