The Radio Scream from black holes at Cosmic Dawn: a semi-analytic model for the impact of radio-loud black holes on the 21 cm global signal
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ABSTRACT

We use a semi-analytic model to explore the potential impact of a brief and violent period of radio-loud accretion on to black holes (The Radio Scream) during the Cosmic Dawn on the H I hyperfine 21 cm signal. We find that radio emission from supermassive black hole seeds can impact the global 21 cm signal at the level of tens to hundreds of percent provided that they were as radio loud as $z \approx 1$ black holes and obscured by gas with column depths of $N_{\text{H}} \gtrsim 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}$. We determine plausible sets of parameters that reproduce some of the striking features of the EDGES absorption feature including its depth, timing, and side steepness while producing radio/X-ray backgrounds and source counts that are consistent with published limits. Scenarios yielding a dramatic 21 cm signature also predict large populations of $\sim \mu$Jy point sources that will be detectable in future deep surveys from the Square Kilometer Array (SKA). Thus, 21 cm measurements, complemented by deep point-source surveys, have the potential to constrain optimistic scenarios where supermassive black hole progenitors were radio loud.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Before stars and quasars established strong ionizing backgrounds, most of the Hydrogen in the Universe existed as H I in the intergalactic medium (IGM). Since H I's hyperfine transition is sensitive to astrophysical backgrounds, its emitted 21 cm photons carry considerable information on the first sources of radiation such as stars, supernovae, black holes, and dark matter. [For reviews, see Furlanetto, Oh & Briggs (2006), Morales & Wyithe (2010), Pritchard & Loeb (2012), and McQuinn (2016).]

A long-standing question that 21 cm observations might help resolve is how $\gtrsim 10^{9} \text{ M}_{\odot}$ supermassive black holes (SMBHs) observed at $z \sim 7$ (Mortlock et al. 2011; Wu et al. 2015; Bañados et al. 2018) could assemble from much smaller seeds in less than one billion years. Several studies have investigated how 21 cm observations might help reveal the heating and ionization of H I in the IGM due to the X-ray or ultraviolet (UV) emission from accreting black hole seeds (Madau et al. 2004; Zaroubi et al. 2007; Ripamonti, Mapelli & Zaroubi 2008; Madau & Haardt 2015; Tanaka, O'Leary & Perna 2016). Radio emission, a well-known product of accretion on to black holes, has received almost no consideration.

This lack of attention is reasonable. It has long been recognized that the diffuse lobes, which dominate radio emission in many low-redshift active galactic nuclei (AGNs), should be suppressed at high redshifts. The higher energy density of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) is expected to cause relativistic electrons to shed their energy through inverse Compton (IC) scattering instead of synchrotron emission (SE) (e.g. Ghisellini et al. 2014, 2015; Saxena, Röttgering & Rigby 2017). IC suppression of SE may partially explain the dearth of SDSS quasars matched with radio sources at $z \gtrsim 3$ (Haiman, Quataert & Bower 2004; McGreer, Helfand & White 2009; Volonteri et al. 2011). In addition, some studies (e.g. Jiang et al. 2007) claim tentative detections of decreasing radio-loud source densities at high redshifts.1

At the same time, observations of the highest redshift radio sources by Bañados et al. (2015) find no evidence for a decrease in the radio-loud fraction while Ghisellini & Sbarrato (2016) find that the lack of radio-loud SDSS quasars relative to known blazar counts might be heavily influenced by obscuration. It is entirely possible that the first black hole seeds had high spins, large magnetic fields, and accreted in dense environments that could allow the first black hole seeds to be much more luminous.

1While its specific definition varies throughout the literature, we define ‘radio loudness’ as the log$_{10}$ ratio between emission frame 2.8 GHz luminosity and 4000 Å luminosities.
relativistic electrons in their jets to have emitted the bulk of their energy through radio SE, despite the higher CMB energy densities. The nature and evolution of radio emission from the highest redshift black holes remain essentially unconstrained by direct measurements.

The question as to whether black holes between $10 \lesssim z \lesssim 30$ generated appreciable radio emission is made more compelling by tentative observations by the ARCADE-2 (Fixsen et al. 2011) and EDGES (Bowman et al. 2018) (B18) experiments. The first, made at $\sim$GHz frequencies, observes a radio monopole that exceeds the level expected from known populations of extragalactic radio sources. Recent observations by the Long Wavelength Array between 40 and 80 MHz lend further support for the existence of such a background (Dowell & Taylor 2018), though it is still unclear whether it arises from lower redshifts or is purely a result of observational systematics (Singal et al. 2018). The EDGES feature, at $\sim 70$ MHz, reports a 21 cm absorption trough with an amplitude that greatly exceeds what is possible for adiabatically cooled H I gas absorbing solely the CMB background.

One possible explanation for the unusual timing and depth of the EDGES feature might be an enhanced radio background, similar to what was reported by ARCADE-2 and originating at $z \gtrsim 17$ (Feng & Holder 2018; Fialkov & Barkana 2019). If confirmed, this radio background might be sourced by black holes (Ewall-Wice et al. 2018), star-forming galaxies (SFGs) (Mirocha & Furlanetto 2018), annihilations of an axion-like dark-matter particle (Fraser et al. 2018), or dark photons (Pospelov et al. 2018). Sharma (2018) (S18) demonstrated that astrophysical sources of a CD background require $\sim$mg magnetic fields. They also argue that even $\gtrsim$mg sources would need to be $\sim$1000 times more radio loud than sources at $z \gtrsim 0$. While there are significant challenges for sources maintaining $\sim$mg magnetic fields over large time-scales, we disagree with the S18’s latter argument and think that radio loudness at the level of today’s sources is sufficient. We explain why in Section 5.1.

If early accreting black holes could maintain $\sim$mg magnetic fields and produce appreciable radio emission, they might have an observable effect on the 21 cm signal. Should this be the case, a self-consistent model for black hole radio emission, along with other mechanisms for radio emission (such as SFGs, axions, or dark photons), will be necessary to interpret 21 cm observations. Such models will also be needed to distinguish a radio-background explanation for EDGES from alternative theories such as dark-matter cooling (Barkana 2018; Barkana et al. 2018; Berlin et al. 2018; Fialkov, Barkana & Cohen 2018; Muñoz & Loeb 2018) or systematics (Hills et al. 2018; Sims & Pober 2019; Singh & Subrahmanyan 2019). Radio-background models can also be used to predict discrete radio-source populations that might be detected in surveys.

In a previous paper, Ewall-Wice et al. (2018), we estimated the amplitude of the radio background that might arise from vigorously accreting black hole seeds at high redshifts and discussed the potential for such a radio background to explain EDGES assuming saturated Ly $\alpha$ coupling of the spin-temperature, $T_x$, to the gas kinetic temperature, $T_k$. We ignored X-ray heating from the same sources. Our conclusions in this paper were overly generous since heating and incomplete Ly $\alpha$ coupling can both reduce the amplitude of the Cosmic Dawn (CD) absorption signal. The logical next step in any modelling effort is to self-consistently compute the 21 cm signal under the influence of all radiative outputs from accreting black holes and star formation, including the effects of radio, X-ray heating, Ly $\alpha$ coupling, and UV/X-ray ionization.

In this follow-up study, we construct a semi-analytic model to predict the evolution of the global 21 cm signal under the influence of accreting black holes radiating across the electromagnetic spectrum, from radio waves to X-rays. We use this model to determine how radio emission from the first black holes might appear in global 21 cm observations. We also explore whether these radio-loud black holes might explain the EDGES feature and what scenarios might be detected in current and upcoming radio surveys.

This paper is organized as follows. We begin with an overview of the existing global-signal framework in Section 2 before describing the modifications introduced by black hole seeds in Section 3. We explore the impact of radio-loud accretion on the global signal in Section 4 by computing the global signal for a variety of illustrative models. We discuss our perceived flaws in the S18’s argument for the complete impossibility of radio-loud sources during the CD along with the significant challenges that still remain in Section 5.1. In Section 5.2, we explore the degeneracies in several models that yield an absorption feature similar to the one reported by EDGES, how they might be broken by future point-source surveys, and discuss which features we are and are not able to reproduce. We conclude in Section 6.

2 THE MODELLING FRAMEWORK

Luminous sources affect the physical properties of H I in the IGM by setting up radiative backgrounds. Throughout this paper, we assume that the comoving emissivity of radiation, with frequency $\nu$, at position $x$ and redshift $z$ is the sum of contributions from stars/stellar remnants and AGNs, which we denote as $\epsilon_\star$ and $\epsilon_\alpha$, respectively.

$$\epsilon(x, z, \nu) = \epsilon_\star(x, z, \nu) + \epsilon_\alpha(x, z, \nu).$$

In this section, we describe the existing framework for computing the global 21 cm signal and previously derived expressions for $\epsilon_\star$. In the next section, we will discuss how we modify this framework by adding accreting radio-loud black holes. We will start out with a review of the existing frameworks for computing $\epsilon_\star$ for Ly $\alpha$ (Section 2.1), X-ray (Section 2.2), and UV continuum (Section 2.3) photons. We end this section with a description of how radiative backgrounds impact the observable 21 cm brightness temperature, $\delta T_b$ (Section 2.4).

In this work, we chose to focus on AGNs and not consider the radio emission from X-ray binaries (HMXBs). In the local Universe, X-ray binaries are roughly four orders of magnitude less radio loud than AGNs (Heinz & Sunyaev 2003) and their total contribution to the radio background is negligible compared to SFGs and AGNs. In addition, should such sources exist in large enough abundance to produce a large radio background, obscuring them could be difficult. A study by Das et al. (2017) finds that most XRBs in the early universe would have column depths too small to prevent $\approx$1 keV X-rays from significantly heating intergalactic gas.

On the other hand, a substantial radio background from HMXB could arise provided that the active fraction of binary black holes was much larger than observed today (Mirabel 2019). Furthermore, the Das et al. (2017) study investigated the column densities to star formation in $z \sim 7$ atomic cooling haloes whereas Pop-III HMXBs might preferentially form in more obscured environments.

2.1 Ly $\alpha$ emission

Ly $\alpha$ photons primarily impact the 21 cm signal by coupling the H I spin temperature, $T_x$, to its kinetic temperature $T_k$ through a
where $f_{\gamma}$ is the fraction of baryons incorporated into stars, $Y_{\alpha}$ is the average number of ionizing photons emitted per stellar baryon, and $n_{\nu}(v)$ is the differential number of photons emitted per unit frequency divided by $N_{\nu}$, calculated in Barkana & Loeb (2005). The quantity $\rho_{\text{coll}}$ is the total comoving density of matter collapsed in haloes with virial temperatures above a minimum threshold, $T_{\text{min}}$, computed from the Sheth & Tormen (1999) mass function. The values for $f_{\gamma}$ and $N_{\nu}$ are currently unknown. As fiducial values, we adopt $f_{\gamma} = 0.1$ and $N_{\nu} = 2000$, which yields an ionization history that is consistent with the joint constraints derived from measurements of the CMB optical depth, quasar absorption features, and the kinetic Sunyaev Zeldovich (kSZ) effect (Greig & Mesinger 2017).

### 2.2 X-rays

X-rays from early galaxies are thought to have had a significant impact on the physical state of H I during the Cosmic Dawn, primarily by heating the gas (raising $T_s$) but also by ionizing it (raising the electron fraction, $x_e$) and stimulating secondary UV photons. At low redshifts, X-ray emission from SFGs is contributed from stars, $f_{\gamma}$, and hot ISM generated by supernovae (Pacucci et al. 2014) whose photons. At low redshifts, X-ray emission from SFGs is contributed from stars, $f_{\gamma}$, and hot ISM generated by supernovae (Pacucci et al. 2014) whose photons.

#### 2.3 Ionizing UV continuum photons

The majority of Hydrogen reionization was probably driven by UV photons generated by star formation and/or AGN activity. We track the evolution of the volumetric fraction of H II regions $x_i$ through the differential equation,

$$x_i = \frac{4}{4 - 3 Y_p} f_{\text{esc}} f_s N_{\nu} \rho_{\text{coll}}(z) \rho_0 - n_{H,\alpha} \sigma(z) \alpha_{\alpha}(T_s)(1 + z)^3,$$

where $f_{\text{esc}}$ is the escape fraction for stellar UV photons; $n_{H,\alpha} \sigma$ is the comoving number density of Hydrogen atoms; $\alpha_{\alpha}$ is the case A recombination coefficient; $T_s$ is the electron temperature in H II regions, which we take to be $10^5 K$; and $\sigma(z)$ is the clumping factor, which we set equal to $C(z) = 2.9 \times (1 + z)^{6.1}$ (Madau & Haardt 2015). We choose $f_{\text{esc}} = 0.1$ as a fiducial value. We note that the $x_i$ in equation (5) refers to H II regions generated by UV photons. Ionizations from X-rays are handled separately by evolving $x_e$ using equations (8) and (9) in M11.

#### 2.4 The 21 cm brightness temperature

The 21 cm brightness temperature $\delta T_b(x)$ is a function of position while the ‘global’ signal is equal to the ensemble average, $\langle \delta T_b(x) \rangle$. As we will only be discussing the average ‘global’ 21 cm signal, we let $\delta T_b \equiv \langle \delta T_b(x) \rangle$. We approximate $\delta T_b$ using the equation

$$\delta T_b \approx 27 x_{H_1} \Omega_h \Omega_m \left( \frac{1.5}{\Omega_m h^2} \right) \left( \frac{T_s}{T_C} \right) \sqrt{\frac{1 + z}{10}} \text{ mK},$$

where $T_s$ is the average brightness temperature of the 21 cm radio background at redshift $z$, $T_C$ is the H I spin-temperature, $x_{H_1}$ is the average neutral fraction, $\Omega_h$ and $\Omega_m$ are the fractions of the critical energy density in baryons and matter, respectively, and $h = H_0/100 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$, where $H_0$ is the Hubble constant. $T_s$ is typically set to be equal to the temperature of the CMB, $T_s = T_{\text{CMB}}$. In this paper, we consider an additional contribution to $T_s$ from an AGN. The coupling of the H I’s spin temperature $T_s$, to its

\[ \chi = Y_P/4(1 - Y_P), \]

where $Y_P$ is the cosmological Helium mass fraction.

For our computation of the global signal, the terms in equation (6) are their volume-averaged quantities, which ignores the spatial correlations that exist between $x_{H_1}$, $T_s$, and the density field. Liu et al. (2016) find that this approximation has an ~10 per cent impact on the global-signal amplitude though their analysis ignored $T_s$. 

\[ N_{H_1} = (1 - Y_P) \]
kinetic temperature $T_k$, $T_s$, and the Ly $\alpha$ colour temperature $T_c$, is described by (Field 1958)

$$T_s^{-1} = T_k^{-1} + x_\alpha T_c^{-1} + x_c T_c^{-1},$$

where $x_\alpha$ and $x_c$ are the Ly $\alpha$ and collisional coupling constants. The factor $x_\alpha$ is determined by the Ly $\alpha$ flux relative to the radiative background while $x_c$ is determined by the density, temperature, and ionization state of HI (Furlanetto et al. 2006). In this work, the radio temperature, $T_s$, arises from the CMB and the radio background from black holes.

$$T_i(v, z) = T_{\text{CMB}}(z) + \frac{\lambda^2_\alpha c (1+z)^3}{2k_B} \frac{1}{4\pi} \int \epsilon_{R*}(v, z') \left[ \frac{1+z}{1+z'} \right] (1+z')^{-1} H_1(z') \, dz'.$$

where $\epsilon_{R*}(v, z)$ is the comoving radio emissivity of black holes at frequency $v$ and redshift $z$, which we discuss in detail in Section 4.4, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, $c$ is the speed of light in vacuum, and $H(z)$ is the Hubble parameter at redshift $z$. The Lyman-$\alpha$ colour temperature is computed from $T_c(z) = \frac{\lambda^2_\alpha}{2m_e} L_\alpha(z)$, where $L_\alpha(z)$ is the background intensity of Lyman-$\alpha$ photons from the de-excitation of Ly $n$ states and secondary production by X-rays (Section 2.1).

In addition to the heating from X-rays (Section 2.2), we also include the impacts of adiabatic cooling, Compton heating, and ionization-induced changes in thermal degrees of freedom when evolving $T_k$.

While X-rays are expected to be the primary drivers of IGM heating, recent work by Venumadhav et al. (2018) (V18) finds that a radio background can have a significant impact on $T_k$ when the IGM is unheated. We include X-rays in this work and also find that some heating has occurred at the absorption minimum in all of our models. We therefore choose to ignore the V18 CMB heating mechanism in this paper.

3 MODELLING THE BLACK HOLES

We now discuss how we add the impact of radiation from exponentially growing black hole seeds to the global-signal framework described in Section 2. We start with a simple equation that allows us to compute the black hole density as a function of redshift (Section 3.1). Assuming that our black holes grow and radiate through Eddington-limited accretion allows us to compute their comoving emissivity across the electromagnetic spectrum (Section 3.2).

3.1 Evolving the black hole density field

Fig. 1 illustrates several density evolution scenarios for accreting ($\rho_{\text{aq}}$) and quiescent ($\rho_{\text{q}}$) black holes in our models. The remainder of this section describes our derivation of these histories.

In our framework, black hole seeds form with a uniform mass $m_i$ in a fraction $f_a$ of newly collapsed haloes up to a time $t_{\text{max}}$ (redshift $z_{\text{min}}$). We use the superscript ‘i’ to denote that the masses are ‘initial’.

Once a black hole forms, we count its mass as contributing to $\rho_{\text{aq}}$ and let it grow exponentially with an e-folding (Salpeter) time of $\tau_a$. After an active lifetime of $\tau_a$, we assume that each black hole stops growing/shining as a result of feedback and/or exhausting its fuel supply. At this point, we add its mass to $\rho_{\text{q}}$.

With these assumptions, we write down integral equations governing the evolution of $\rho_{\text{aq}}$ and $\rho_{\text{q}}$ that we integrate numerically.

$$\rho_{\text{aq}}(t) = m_i^c \int_0^{t_i} n_a(t-t') e^{t'/\tau_a} \, dt',$$

where

$$n_a(t) = f_a \left( \frac{t}{t_{\text{max}}} \right) \int_{m_{\text{min}}}^{m_{\text{max}}} n_h(m, t) \, dm \quad \text{if} \quad t < t_{\text{max}}$$

$$\text{otherwise}$$

and $n_h(m, t) \, dm$ is the comoving number density of dark-matter haloes with masses between $m$ and $m + dm$ at time $t$. The quiescent black hole density is given by

$$\rho_{\text{q}}(t) = m_i^c e^{t'/\tau_a} \int_0^t n_a(t') \, dt'.$$

While we choose to integrate in the time domain, our recipe for density evolution is functionally the same as the one used by Yue et al. (2013) to predict the contribution of black holes to the high-redshift infrared and X-ray backgrounds (XRBs).

For our fiducial model, we consider a scenario in which black holes form from massive Pop-III stars collapsing directly into black holes with $m_i = 10^2 M_\odot$ in 10 per cent of haloes (Hirano et al. 2015) with masses between $T_{\text{vir}} = 2000$ K and $T_{\text{vir}} = 10^4$ K (halo masses of $m \approx 1.3 \times 10^8$ and $1.5 \times 10^7 M_\odot h^{-1}$ at $z = 12$.}
Table 1. Parameters associated with black hole mass evolution and their ‘fiducial’ values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Fiducial value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{\text{f}}$</td>
<td>black hole mass e-folding time (Salpeter)</td>
<td>45 Myr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{\text{f}, \min}$</td>
<td>accretion lifetime</td>
<td>100 Myr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z_{\text{f}, \min}^{\text{fiducial}}$</td>
<td>minimum redshift for black hole seed formation</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{vir}, \min}^{\text{fiducial}}$</td>
<td>minimum virial temperature of black hole seed haloes</td>
<td>2000 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{vir}, \max}^{\text{fiducial}}$</td>
<td>maximum virial temperature of black hole seed haloes</td>
<td>10^5 K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{\text{f}, \min}^{\text{fiducial}}$</td>
<td>black hole seed mass</td>
<td>100 M$_{\odot}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{\text{f}, \min}^{\text{fiducial}}$</td>
<td>fraction of haloes with seeds</td>
<td>10$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

respectively). We adopt a fiducial Salpeter time of $\tau_{\text{f}} = \frac{\tau_{\text{E}} \eta}{\lambda f_{\text{duty}}}$

\[ \approx 45 \left( \frac{\eta}{0.05} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \left( \frac{0.5}{f_{\text{duty}}} \right) \text{Myr}, \]  

(12)

where $\tau_{\text{E}}$ is the Eddington time-scale of 0.45 Gyr, $\eta$ is the fraction of infalling rest mass that is emitted as radiation, $\lambda$ is the fraction of the Eddington luminosity that the black hole radiates, and $f_{\text{duty}}$ is the accretion duty cycle.

Accretion rates for black hole seeds at $z \gtrsim 10$ are, so far, unknown. We settle on a fiducial value for $\tau_{\text{f}}$, of 45 Myr, corresponding to the observed efficiencies in the range of 0.025–0.1 (Shankar et al. 2010), and time-averaged Eddington ratios between 0.1 and 10, similar to what is observed at low redshifts (Willott et al. 2010). We also employ a fiducial lifetime of $\tau_{\text{f}} = 100$ Myr, which is at the upper end of inferred accretion lifetimes observed at low redshifts (Bird, Martini & Kaiser 2008; Shabala et al. 2008; Turner & Shabala 2015) but is consistent with accretion lifetimes in simulations of intermediate-mass black hole seeds growing in dense environments (Pacucci et al. 2015). We choose a fiducial minimum redshift for seed formation of $z_{\text{min}}^{\text{fiducial}} = 16$, which is within the range that Lyman–Werner-regulated Pop-III formation has been found to cut off in semi-analytic studies (Mebane, Mirocha & Furlanetto 2017). Note that while seed formation ends at $z_{\text{max}}^{\text{fiducial}} = 16$, black holes continue to emit down to $z \approx 12$ for our choice of parameters (Fig. 1). While we use the above ‘fiducial’ values as reference points, our goal is to understand how specifically the signal changes when we vary them. We list our model’s parameters for black hole growth along with their fiducial values in Table 1.

In order to build some intuition on equations (9) and (11), it is useful to inspect some general patterns in the density histories evolved with these equations (Fig. 1). ‘Small haloes, fiducial accretion’ corresponds to our fiducial model. We will discuss the specific deviations between each model in Section 3.3. At early times, when $t \lesssim \tau_{\text{f}}$, $\rho_{\text{f}, \min}$ grows proportional to $\rho_{\text{coll}}$ but quickly exceeds this growth after $t \gtrsim \tau_{\text{f}}$. New seeds stop forming after $z_{\text{min}}^{\text{fiducial}}$, causing $\rho_{\text{f}}$ to turn over and fall to zero after $t_{\text{max}}^{\text{fiducial}} + \tau_{\text{f}}$. The ‘small haloes, low-$z$’ line plateaus after $z_{\text{min}}^{\text{fiducial}}$, but this is simply because the Salpeter time and accretion lifetime (1 and 4.5 Gyr, respectively) are much larger than the time interval on the plot. Quiescent densities remain at zero until $\tau_{\text{f}}$ at which point they rapidly grow and flatten out at $t_{\text{max}}^{\text{fiducial}} + \tau_{\text{f}}$, as the last accreting black hole dies out and stop feeding the quiescent population.

In this work, we focus on the average black hole density and its impact on the global 21 cm signal. However, our formalism can also be adopted into simulations of 21 cm fluctuations. One would simply track the black hole density as a function of position by integrating equations (9) and (11) in each voxel using the spatially varying $\rho_{\text{coll}}$ instead of the global value, similar to what is done in the seminumerical models 21cmFAST (M11) and simfast21 (Santos et al. 2008).

### Table 2. Parameters associated with X-ray emission and their fiducial values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Fiducial value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{\text{bol}}$</td>
<td>Accreted mass emitted at 2–10 keV</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_{\text{X}}$</td>
<td>Spectral index of X-ray emission</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{\text{H}}$</td>
<td>Hydrogen column depth</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{23}$ cm$^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 Radiation backgrounds from black holes

We now describe our prescription for computing the radiative backgrounds from the black hole mass density. These backgrounds include X-rays (Section 3.2.1), radio waves (Section 3.2.3), and UV photons (Section 3.2.2).

#### 3.2.1 X-rays

X-ray emission from black holes originates from three sources associated with accretion: thermal emission from the accretion disc, IC scattering of accretion disc photons off of hot electrons in the corona, and IC scattering of CMB photons by relativistic jet electrons.

Studies typically quantify the total X-ray luminosity of an AGN with the parameter $k_{\text{bol}} \equiv L_{\text{bol}}/L_{\text{2-10 keV}}$. At low redshifts, Lusso et al. (2010) and Marchese et al. (2012) observe $k_{\text{bol}} \approx 0.06$ with X-ray emission dominated by IC upscattering of soft photons in the corona. AGN X-ray spectra usually exhibit an absorbed power law with a spectral index of $\alpha_{\text{X}} \approx 0.9$ (Nandra & Pounds 1994) and a high-energy exponential cut-off at 300 keV (Titarchuk 1994).

We set the amplitude of the time-averaged black hole X-ray emissivity from the disc and corona through the accretion rate and a normalization parameter $g_{\text{bol}}$: $\int dE \epsilon_{\text{X}}(E) \propto g_{\text{bol}} \tau_{\text{f}} \epsilon_{\text{f}, \min}^{\text{fiducial}}$, where

\[ g_{\text{bol}} = 0.003 \left( \frac{\eta}{0.05} \right) \left( \frac{k_{\text{bol}}}{0.06} \right). \]  

(13)

We have included $\eta$ in our definition of $g_{\text{bol}}$ to cancel out the factor of $\eta$ in $\tau_{\text{f}}$, when these two variables are multiplied together.

Multiplying the bolometric accretion factor by an absorbed power law and an exponential cut-off gives us our expression for the X-ray emissivity of black holes,

\[ \epsilon_{\text{X}}(E) \approx 2 \times 10^{39} \left( \frac{\text{45 Myr}}{\tau_{\text{f}}} \right) \left( \frac{g_{\text{bol}}}{0.003} \right) \left( \frac{\rho_{\text{f}}}{\rho_{\text{coll}}} \right) \left( \frac{A_{1.10}(\epsilon_{\alpha})}{0.53} \right) \left( \frac{10^4 M_{\odot} h^2 \text{Mpc}^{-3}}{E} \right)^{-\alpha_{\text{X}}} \times \exp \left[ -\left( \sigma_{\text{HI}}(E) + \chi \sigma_{\text{ele}}(E) \right) N_{\text{H}}\right] \times \exp \left[ -E/300\text{ keV} \right] \text{keV}^{-s^{-1}} h^{-1/3} \text{Mpc}^{-3}, \]  

(14)

where $N_{\text{H}}$ is the Hydrogen column depth to the black holes. In Ewall-Wice et al. (2018), we argued that the column depth necessary to explain an EDGES-like absorption feature with a duration of 100 Myr was roughly $N_{\text{H}} \approx 10^{21.3}$ cm$^{-2}$. In addition, simulations of direct-collapse seeds often involve black holes growing in Compton thick environments with $N_{\text{H}} \gtrsim 10^{24}$ cm$^{-2}$ (Yue et al. 2013; Pacucci et al. 2015).
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et al. 2015) though it may be difficult for such column densities to be achieved for Pop-III seeds (Alvarez, Wise & Abel 2009; Smith et al. 2018). We adopt $N_{H}=10^{23.5}$ cm$^{-2}$ as a fiducial value but we will also explore much lower hydrogen column depths. We list our fiducial values for X-ray emission parameters in Table 2.

### 3.2.2 UV and optical emission

UV emission affects the H1 signal through Ly $\alpha$ coupling and photoionizations. In accreting black holes, UV photons are expected to arise from thermal disc emission, which is strongly correlated (through IC scattering) with coronal X-rays and usually follows a double power law with a transition at the Lyman limit. Additional emission arises through the reprocessing of absorbed UV and X-ray photons by obscuring gas. Our model includes both reprocessed and primary emission.

For primary emission from the accretion disc, we adopt the double power law observed by Lusso et al. (2015) with $\alpha_{O1}=0.61$ for $E \leq 13.6$ eV and $\alpha_{O2}$ for 200 eV $> E > 13.6$ eV. We set the overall UV amplitude through its correlation with X-ray emission (in radio-quiet AGNs), $\epsilon_{UV}(2\text{keV}) = \epsilon_{UV}(2500\text{Å}) 10^{6.6\alpha_{O}+0.384}$ and solve for $\alpha_{O2}$ that is consistent with our choice of $\alpha_{O1}$. Combining the values observed in Lusso et al. (2010) and Marchese et al. (2012) with the unabsorbed $\epsilon_{UV}(2\text{keV})$ predicted by equation (14) gives us our expression for the UV emissivity of the black holes, $\epsilon_{UV}(E) \approx 7.8 \times 10^{52} \left(\frac{45\text{Myr}}{t_{\text{bol}}}ight) \left(\frac{\rho_{\text{gas}}}{0.003}\right) \left(\frac{A_{10}(\alpha_{X})}{0.53}\right)$

\[ \times \left(\frac{10^{7} h^{-1} \text{M}_{\odot}}{M_{\odot}}\right) \times 2^{0.9-\alpha_{X}} \left(2.48 \times 10^{-3}\right)^{1.6-\alpha_{O1}} \left(2.74\right)^{0.61-\alpha_{O1}} \times \exp \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_{HI}(E)}{\sigma_{HI}(E)}\right) N_{HI}\right] \times \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_{O1}(E)}{\sigma_{O1}(E)}\right) \times E > 13.6 \text{eV} \right] \times \left(\frac{\sigma_{O2}(E)}{\sigma_{O2}(E)}\right) \times E < 13.6 \text{eV} \right] \times \epsilon_{5} \times 10^{-1} \text{eV}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-3}. \]

We list the parameters describing primary UV/Optical emission for our model in Table 3 along with their fiducial values.

The equivalent ionizing escape fraction for a black hole, with a column depth $N_{HI}$, is $f_{\text{esc}} = \frac{\int dE_{\text{UV}}}{\int dE_{\text{UV}} \epsilon_{\text{UV}}\exp \left[\sigma_{HI}(E) + \chi\sigma_{HI}(E)N_{HI}\right]}$, which is negligibly small for our fiducial column depths.

For secondary emission, we follow the prescriptions in Yue et al. (2013) and Fernandez & Komatsu (2006) in which considers free-free/bound–free continuum emission and two-photon emission from atoms excited by collisions and recombinations. We solve for the temperature of the obscuring gas following Yue et al. (2013), setting $f_{\text{esc}}=0.5$ and balancing absorbed power from the black holes primary spectrum with reprocessed power.

### 3.2.3 Radio

Radio emission from accreting black holes is observed from different phenomena associated with a relativistic jet. These sources include direct emission from the jet itself, which is typically beamed, emission from 1–10 pc-scale hotspots where the jet first encounters the intergalactic or intracluster medium, and diffuse emission from lobes that fill in a cavity carved out by the jet (Scheuer 1982). At GHz frequencies, the spectral index of core emission is typically flat ($\alpha_{R} \approx 0$) while hotspot and lobe emission is relatively steep, ($\alpha_{R} \approx 0.5$–0.8) (Jarvis & Rawlings 2000). In Farah–Riley type II (FRII) sources, hotspot emission typically contributes to $\sim 10$–100 per cent of the total radio emission (Jenkins & McElinn 1977).

At low redshifts, SE dominates IC scattering within the majority of the radio source since magnetic fields in the hotspots are of the order of 0.1–10 mG (Carilli et al. 1991; Fanti et al. 1995) while magnetic fields in the lobes are of the order of $\sim 10^{-\mu}$G, with energy densities several hundred times higher than the CMB at $z \lesssim 1$. The lifetime of SE in hotspots is often $10^{3}$–$10^{5}$ yr, requiring continuous injection of fresh electrons by the jet (Carilli et al. 1991), while the lifetime of SE in radio lobes tends to be of the order of $\sim 1$ Myr. A substantial population of Compact Steep Spectrum (CSS) and Gigahertz Peaked Spectrum (GPS) sources also exists at low redshifts with equipartition magnetic field strengths that are often in the 1–10 mG range (Fanti et al. 1995; Murgia et al. 1999; Murgia 2003).

Total radio emission from an AGN is often quantified relative to optical emission by a black hole’s ‘radio loudness’, $R$, which often refers to the logarithm of the ratio between monochromatic 5 GHz and 2500 Å (primary) luminosities (Kellerman et al. 1989). We adopt the definition from Ivezic et al. (2002) where radio loudness is the log of the ratio between the observed 1.4 GHz and 8000 Å luminosities, translated to the mean redshift of the SDSS-FIRST sample ($z \approx 1$). Since the mean redshift of the sample is $z \approx 1$, the resulting definition of $R$ is the log ratio between rest-frame 2.8 GHz and 4000 Å luminosities.

The nature and evolution of radio loudness is not yet well understood. Some argue for two underlying populations of ‘radio-quiet’ AGNs (with $R \lesssim 0$) and ‘radio-loud’ AGNs (with $R \sim 3$) (Ivezic et al. 2002, 2004). Others contest that observations of bimodality arise from selection effects (Cirasuolo et al. 2003; Singal et al. 2011). We refer to the fraction of sources that are radio loud as $f_1$ and $10^{6}$ as $R$. $R$ and $f_1$ might evolve significantly over time and there are tentative competing claims of constant, (Ba ˜nados et al. 2011), and decreasing (Jiang et al. 2007) trends for $f_1$ with redshift.

If the majority of radio loudness arises from diffuse lobes, then we might expect $R$ to be lower during the Cosmic Dawn due to IC losses off of the brighter CMB (Ghisellini et al. 2014). As pointed out by S18, sources at $z \approx 17$ require $\gtrsim 10^{-3}$ mG magnetic fields to produce appreciable SE. This is not an unusual ask for GPS/SSS sources. However, the majority of CSS/GPS sources are expected to last only $\sim 10^{5}$ yr before their expansion dilutes their magnetic fields below $\sim 1$ mG (Bicknell, Dopita & O’Dea 1997; Kaiser, Dennett-Thorpe &

### Table 3. Parameters associated with optical emission and their fiducial values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Fiducial value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_{O1}$</td>
<td>Optical–X-ray spectral slope</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_{O2}$</td>
<td>UV spectral slope</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Parameters associated with radio emission and their fiducial values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Fiducial value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_{R}$</td>
<td>Spectral slope</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma_{R}$</td>
<td>Radio gain</td>
<td>3200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Alexander 1997). Hence, the sources that we are hypothesizing at CD redshifts must somehow maintain g M G fields over $\gtrsim$Myr time-scales. We propose several potential ways of doing this in Section 5.1 but we caution the reader that sustained radio loudness at the level of $\approx 1$ sources is a generous assumption for sources resembling the radio AGN we observe today.

The overall goal of this paper is to evaluate how 21 cm observations might constrain radio loudness at levels similar to $z \approx 1$ when X-ray heating and Ly$\alpha$ coupling from the same sources are realistically modelled self-consistently and provide a modelling framework for doing so. Thus, we adopt the optimistic assumption in our fiducial models that radio loudness is similar to what is observed at the epoch of peak radio-AGN activity. To this end, we adopt the bimodal radio-loudness distribution in Ivezić et al. (2002) observed at the epoch of peak radio-AGN activity. Hence, the sources that we are hypothesizing in our fiducial models that radio loudness is similar to what is observed at the epoch of peak radio-AGN activity.

3.3 Illustrative scenarios

With our semi-analytic framework in place, we can now compute the global 21 cm signal under the influence of rapidly accreting radio-loud black hole seeds. Listing the parameters in our model (Table 5), we see that we are adding sixteen. An exhaustive exploration of the phenomenology of every one of these new parameters and their degeneracies is beyond the scope of this work. Instead, we investigate several different scenarios to illustrate the key dependences of the signal morphology on halo and seed properties, obscuration, and growth rate. We do so by focusing on $\tau_s$, $\nu_{\text{min/max}}$, $m_\text{halo}$, and $N_{\text{HI}}$, which we vary in the following models.

(i) Small Haloes: Adopting all of the fiducial values discussed above, this model corresponds to Pop-III seeds arising in molecular cooling haloes that are obscured by dense gas.

---

Table 5. Values for the black hole growth and emission parameters for all models explored in this work. The parameter choice for 'Small Haloes' corresponds to our 'fiducial' model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Small Haloes</th>
<th>Large Haloes</th>
<th>Large Fast</th>
<th>Un-observed</th>
<th>Massive seeds</th>
<th>Highly obscured</th>
<th>Low-$z$ accretion</th>
<th>Stars only</th>
<th>EDGES Sm. Haloes</th>
<th>EDGES L. Haloes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_s$ (Myr)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>10$^3$</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_L$ (Myr)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_i$ (GHz)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16 $^3$</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16 $^3$</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_{\text{min}}$ (K)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10$^4$</td>
<td>10$^4$</td>
<td>10$^4$</td>
<td>10$^4$</td>
<td>10$^4$</td>
<td>10$^4$</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10$^4$</td>
<td>2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\nu_{\text{max}}$ (K)</td>
<td>$10^4$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$10^4$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^4$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_\text{halo}$ (M$_\odot$)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_\text{esc}$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_\text{bol}$</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma_\text{rms}$</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{\text{HI}}$ (cm$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{23}$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{23}$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{23}$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{23}$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{23}$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{23}$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{24}$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{24}$</td>
<td>1.8 $\times 10^{24}$</td>
<td>3 $\times 10^{24}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. The properties of future and in-progress radio surveys including LOFAR (Shimwell et al. 2019), MIGHTEE (Jarvis et al. 2016), VLASS-3, and the SKA (Prandoni & Seymour 2015).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>$5\sigma$ threshold</th>
<th>Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LOFAR</td>
<td>150 MHz</td>
<td>350 $\mu$Jy</td>
<td>All sky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKA1-LOW</td>
<td>150 MHz</td>
<td>100 $\mu$Jy</td>
<td>All sky</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIGHTEE</td>
<td>1.4 GHz</td>
<td>5 $\mu$Jy</td>
<td>20 deg$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKA1-DEEP</td>
<td>1.4 GHz</td>
<td>1 $\mu$Jy</td>
<td>10 deg$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKA1-ULTRADEEP</td>
<td>1.4 GHz</td>
<td>0.1 $\mu$Jy</td>
<td>1 deg$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VLASS-3</td>
<td>3 GHz</td>
<td>10 $\mu$Jy</td>
<td>10 deg$^2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We list our fiducial choices for radio emission parameters in Table 4.

\[
\epsilon_{\text{R}_{\text{s}}}(v) \approx 7.6 \times 10^{23} \frac{8R}{3200} \left(\frac{\epsilon_{\text{bol}}}{0.003}\right) \left(\frac{\nu_{\text{min}}}{1.6 \times 10^4}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\frac{A_{210}(\alpha_{\text{R}})}{0.53}\right) (45 \text{Myr})^{\frac{\nu_{\text{max}}}{\nu_{\text{min}}}} \left(\frac{\nu_{\text{max}}}{\nu_{\text{min}}}ight)^{\alpha_{\text{O}} \left(\frac{0.9 - \alpha_{\text{O}}}{1.6 - \alpha_{\text{O}}}ight)} \left(\frac{45 \text{Myr}}{\tau_s}\right) \left(\frac{\nu_{\text{max}}}{\nu_{\text{min}}}ight)^{\alpha_{\text{O}} \left(\frac{0.9 - \alpha_{\text{O}}}{1.6 - \alpha_{\text{O}}}ight)} \times \left(\frac{\nu}{\nu_{\text{max}}}ight)^{-\alpha_{\text{O}}} \text{ W Hz}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-3}.
\]
(ii) **Large Haloes**: A scenario in which black holes form in haloes above the atomic cooling threshold. We set $T_{\text{vir}}^{\text{min}} = 1 \times 10^4 \, \text{K}$ and $T_{\text{vir}}^{\text{max}} = 5 \times 10^4 \, \text{K}$. The qualitative impact of larger halo masses is to move the absorption trough to later times.

(iii) **Large Haloes, Fast**: The same as our large haloes scenario except that the accretion time-scale is lowered to 20 Myr. Lower $\tau_s$, primarily increases the depth and side steepness of the absorption feature while moving it to earlier times.

(iv) **Large Haloes, Unobscured**: The same as our large haloes obscured scenario except that we lower the column densities to $N_{\text{H}} = 10^{18} \, \text{cm}^{-2}$, which yields an equivalent black hole UV escape fraction of 0.11. The primary qualitative effect of reducing $N_{\text{H}}$ is to reduce the amplitude of the absorption trough through heating and ionizations.

(v) **Large Haloes Massive Seeds**: We use this scenario to illustrate potential degeneracies that exist between $m_\nu^s$, $\tau_L$, and $\tau_s$. In this model, the total energy radiated by a black hole over its lifetime is proportional to $m_\nu^s \tau_L e^{H/2}$. So, we can approximately hold the amplitude of the total radio background constant by varying these three parameters in a way that holds $m_\nu^s \tau_L$ constant. In our massive seeds model, we start with our fast model and raise $m_\nu^s$ to 1000 $M_\odot$ while increasing $\tau_s$ to keep $m_\nu^s \tau_L$ constant. The qualitative effect of increasing $m_\nu^s$ is similar to decreasing $\tau_s$.

(vi) **Higher Obscuration**: Identical to our ‘large haloes’ scenario but now with the neutral column density to black holes raised to $N_{\text{H}} = 3 \times 10^{24} \, \text{cm}^{-2}$.

(vii) **Low-$z$ Accretion**: A scenario where the accretion properties of the first black holes line up with what is observed in low-redshift AGNs. Specifically, we set $\lambda = 0.1$, $\tau_{\text{high}} = 0.1$, and $\epsilon = 0.1$ (Shankar et al. 2010; Shankar, Weinberg & Miralda-Escudé 2013). This gives $\tau_s = 4.5 \, \text{Gyr}$ and $g_{\text{bol}} = 0.006$. We also adopt $\tau_L = 1 \, \text{Gyr}$ (Bird et al. 2008).

(viii) **Stars**: A control scenario with no black holes, $f_s = 0$.

We show the evolution of $\rho_{\text{ag}}$ and $\rho_{\text{es}}$ in Fig. 1 for our various growth scenarios. $\rho_{\text{ag}}$ leads $\rho_{\text{es}}$ until $t_{\text{max}}$, when the last black hole seeds form. At $t_{\text{max}} + T_b$, the last accreting black holes shut down, bringing $\rho_{\text{es}}$ to zero and setting $\rho_{\text{ag}}$ to a constant value. The onset of density growth is delayed depending on the minimum halo mass while $\tau_s$ controls its steepness. The much larger accretion times of our low-$z$ models cause them to track the halo-collapse mass early on, which is why our ‘low-$z$’ and ‘small haloes’ models agree at $t \lesssim 0.125 \, \text{Gyr}$. All of the models considered produce black hole densities below the $z \sim 0$ limits of $\lesssim 10^{8} \, h^2 \, M_\odot \, \text{Mpc}^{-3}$ established by dynamical studies (Merritt & Ferrarese 2001). As we might expect, our ‘massive seeds’ and ‘fast’ models produce the same quiescent mass densities, which are set by $m_\nu^s$ and their identical halo properties (equation 11). The evolution of accreting densities does differ with the ‘massive seeds’ scenario assembling more accretion mass earlier on and with the ‘fast’ scenario catching up through its faster growth rate.

4 MODELLING RESULTS

We now discuss the results of our global-signal calculation.

4.1 The brightness temperature and thermal evolution

We start our discussion with plots of $\delta T_b$ in the top left panel of Fig. 2 and compare its timing with the evolution of $T_s$, $T_{\text{vir}}$, and $T_b$ in the other panels. We show the evolution of $\delta T_b$, under the influence of stars only, as a solid orange line in the top left panel of Fig. 2.
Figure 2. The evolution of the 21 cm brightness temperature, $\delta T_b$ (top left), the radio background temperature, $T_r$ (bottom right), the H I kinetic temperature, $T_k$ (top right), and the H I spin temperature, $T_s$, (bottom left) in our simulations. A model without black holes (orange solid line) exhibits the canonical global-signal evolution. Parameters for other models are listed in Table 5. The grey shaded region shows 1$\sigma$ and 2$\sigma$ contours for the EDGES detection. Models with black holes introduce a deeper and narrower absorption trough that drops below the $\sim$250 mK limit for adiabatically cooling gas absorbing the CMB from recombination. Increasing the minimum halo mass delays the onset of heating, resulting in an overall translation of the global signal (compare thick black and dotted blue or pink/purple dot-dashed lines). Decreasing the Salpeter time, $\tau_s$, increases the heating and reionization rates, causing the sides of the trough to steepen. Increasing the seed mass both increases the trough depth and translates it to earlier times. The Small Haloes (thin black line) model predicts an absorption feature that is too early to explain EDGES, although a 100 Myr delay between seed formation and accretion can relieve this tension. The light lines denote models where the radio spectral index is flattened from 1.1 to 0.5.

Figure 3. The derivative of $\delta T_b$ with respect to frequency. Comparing the Large Haloes and Fast models, we see that the steepness of the rising and falling sides of the sides of the absorption feature is increased with decreasing $r_*$.

4.2 Ionization histories

Fig. 4 shows the ionization histories of our models. All are similar, though the reionization produced by our ‘unobscured’ model is slightly more rapid. To get a sense of how our models line up against depth also moves the trough to lower redshifts (the opposite effect of Massive Seeds).

Comparing $T_k$ and $\delta T_b$ for all of our models (Fig. 2), we see that the absorption minimum occurs after some X-ray heating has already taken place and $T_r$ is within a factor of a few of the CMB value. V18 find an order 10 per cent change in $\delta T_b$ from radio heating for a radio background that is 3.5× the CMB value with no X-ray heating. Since the gas in our model experiences similar CMB levels at $z \gtrsim 17$, in addition to X-ray heating, we conclude that the V18 effect has a $\lesssim 10$ per cent impact on the amplitudes of our predicted absorption amplitudes for $z \gtrsim 17$.

All of our black hole scenarios accelerate the global signal’s evolution beyond the stellar scenario. This is primarily due to the fact that the black hole emissivities, through exponential growth and large seed masses, can outpace the time evolution of stellar emissivities, which are limited by the halo-collapse rate.
existing constraints, we show the 2σ region from Greig & Mesinger (2017), which is derived by fitting a popular three-parameter model to observations of the CMB and quasar spectra. We also show the 2σ contours from the model-independent principal component (PC) analysis of the Planck Collaboration XLVI (2016) data derived by Millea & Bouchet (2018). All of our models are consistent with the Millea & Bouchet (2018) and Greig & Mesinger (2017) constraints.

4.3 Local backgrounds
How do cosmological backgrounds vary across our models? In Fig. 5, we plot the radio monopole observed at z = 0 as a result of our black hole models and compare them to various measurements (Seiffert et al. 2011, and references therein). As one might expect, the models that achieve a larger absorption trough by increasing comoving radio emissivity also result in the largest backgrounds. Since ‘massive seeds’ (thin dashed black line) and ‘fast’ (purple dot-dashed line) models both radiate the same amount of energy in the radio, their aggregate backgrounds are practically identical. This is despite the fact that the ‘massive seeds’ model yields a slightly earlier trough (Fig. 2). Since they involve identical black hole masses and primary emission properties, the ‘Large Haloes’, ‘Unobscured’, and ‘Higher obscuration’ models also produce identical radio backgrounds and different absorption signatures. We note that at the column depths considered, obscuring gas only has a small impact on radio propagation (Ewall-Wice et al. 2014).

We also compute the soft XRB for each model, which we plot in Fig. 6. The disparate obscuration depths for XRBs and AGNs yield a distinctive peaked structure at low energies. Since high obscuration of an AGN is required to explain the EDGES feature, limits on any double-peaked nature of the Cosmic Dawn XRB might help validate or constrain black hole accretion as an explanation. The amplitude trends noted in the radio backgrounds hold the same for X-rays except for the absence of an obscuration-related cut-off since varying $N_{\text{H\text{I}}}$ does not affect the emergent radio spectrum. We compare predicted XRBs to the $\sim 2.5 \times 10^{-13} \text{erg s}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{deg}^{-2}$ upper limit on the 0.5–2 keV unresolved extragalactic XRB determined by Cappelluti et al. (2013) and Fialkov et al. (2017) and find that none of our models exceed this limit.

4.4 The impact of radio loudness on the global 21 cm signal
We examine the level that the radio loudness of an AGN impacts $\delta T_b$ as a first attempt to understand at what level 21 cm global-signal measurements might constrain the existence of radio-loud accretion during the Cosmic Dawn. In Fig. 7, we compare $\delta T_b$ for our black hole scenarios with $f_L = 0$ and 0.2. While radio emission has little impact on scenarios dominated by unobscured black holes, its impact on obscured models ranges from tens to hundreds of per cent, even when the accretion rates and duty cycles are at relatively low levels. It is therefore important to include the impact of radio emission when the 21 cm signal is heavily impacted by the growth of black hole seeds, such as those considered by Zaroubi et al. (2007) and Tanaka et al. (2016). When a fraction of AGNs (≈10 per cent) are radio loud, the trough appears deeper and later than it would otherwise (compare the dark and light sets of lines). It is likely that lower levels of accretion will be degenerate with other astrophysical signatures but should be included to account for such degeneracies.

While an absorption trough that is deeper than the adiabatic break this degeneracy (Ewall-Wice et al. 2014).
per decade of flux towards the total background intensity, which in the global signal. In Fig. 8, we show the contribution of sources surveys might be used to test our models and help lift degeneracies. We compute radio-source counts to determine whether future 4.5 Source counts scenarios with a radio fraction of $f_R = 0.5$ (light lines in Figs 8 and 9). While our steep spectrum is motivated by predictions for synchrotron aging (S18), a spectral index of 0.5 is more consistent with the ARCADE-2 excess. A shallow spectral index results in higher source counts at $\gtrsim$GHz frequencies.

While the ‘Large Haloes Fast’, ‘Massive Seeds’, and ‘Small Haloes’ models yield similar total intensities (Fig. 5), they are highly separated in Figs 8 and 9. Specifically, these models have different peak fluxes. This is because the maximum source luminosity is proportional to $T_{\text{ref}}^{-1} m_*^{1.2} v_\nu^{0.8}$. This quantity is lowered in the ‘Massive Seeds’ model, which at the same time has the same $m_*^{1.2} v_\nu^{0.8}$ and larger $T_{\text{ref}}$.

A number of our models, while allowed in background measurements, are eliminated by existing limits on point-source populations. To illustrate this, we plot the $2 - \sigma$ regions allowed by recent fluctuation/number count analyses at 150 MHz (Retana-Montenegro et al. 2018) (R18) (also see Williams et al. 2016; Hardcastle et al. 2016), 1.4 GHz (Condon et al. 2012) (C12), and 3 GHz (Vernstrom et al. 2014) (V14). When wide radio-loud distributions are present, their high-flux tails tend to conflict with existing limits if most $\sim 10 \mu$Jy sources are indeed SFGs (and potentially confuse interpretations if these sources are not). For narrow radio-loudness distributions, the Fast and Massive Seeds models discussed here are still ruled out while the other scenarios are allowed since they concentrate a large number of sources below the thresholds of current fluctuation analyses.

To determine the detectability of Cosmic dawn black holes in future radio surveys, we also show the flux sensitivities of future experiments from Jarvis et al. (2016) and Prandoni & Seymour (2015) (P15) in Figs 8 and 9. These sensitivities take into account thermal noise and the confusion limit of known power-law distributions of sources. We have found the contributions of the CD black holes to confusion noise to be negligible in comparison. We list all assumed radio survey frequencies, sensitivities, and areas in Table 6.

Planned deep surveys on the mid-band SKA 1 (SKA1-MID) will resolve the flux peaks of models producing an EDGES level feature with sources located in atomic cooling haloes, but not necessarily the scenarios with small Pop-III black holes in molecular cooling haloes. While it may not be possible to resolve individual sources in these models, fluctuation analyses might still be used to constrain scenarios driven by fainter $\lesssim 10 \mu$Jy populations.

All of the models that we examine that produce an EDGES level feature also introduce a substantial $\sim 100 \mu$Jy source population. However, these sources can, in principle, be moved to smaller (and more numerous) haloes in exchange for smaller fluxes.

The minimum halo mass hosting a black hole can be used to set a characteristic flux for sources that produce a radio background with temperature $T_{\text{ref}}$ at redshift $z_{\text{ref}}$ and frequency $v_{\text{ref}}$. For an order-of-magnitude calculation, we assume that all of our radio sources can be written as $\frac{dn}{dSS^2}$, where $dn/dS$ is the differential number of sources per unit solid angle and unit flux.

To understand how much of the scatter in source fluxes arises from the width of the radio-loudness distribution, we also compute $S^2 dn/dS$ when the radio-loudness distribution is a delta function at the loudness that gives the same comoving emissivity as the usual lognormal (Fig. 9). Such a delta distribution would be practically indistinguishable from the lognormal in mean background measurements. We find that eliminating the spread in radio loudness significantly tightens up the radio flux distributions while the flux distribution peaks remain the same.
have equal luminosity, occupy \( f_{\text{halo}} \) of dark-matter haloes between \( T_{\text{vir}}^{\text{min}} \) and \( T_{\text{vir}}^{\text{max}} \), and exist up to redshift \( z_{\text{min}} \). To generate a radio background of \( T_{\text{ref}} \) at \( z_{\text{ref}} \), their distribution must be observed at \( z = 0 \) to include sources with fluxes of at least

\[
S_{\text{obs}}^{\text{min}} = \frac{2k_B T_{\text{ref}}}{\sigma_{\text{ref}}^2(1 + z_{\text{ref}})^1+\alpha_R} \left( \frac{v_{\text{obs}}(1 + z_{\text{ref}})}{v_{\text{ref}}} \right)^{-\alpha_R} \times \left[ cD_L^2(z_{\text{min}}) \int_{z_{\text{min}}}^{\infty} \frac{n(z')dz'}{H(z')(1 + z')^{1+\alpha_R}} \right]^{-1}. \tag{18}
\]

In reality, the luminosities of black holes should increase with time so that the most luminous sources appear at lower redshifts with even larger fluxes. Hence, we can consider the characteristic flux obtained from equation (18) as an order-of-magnitude lower bound on source fluxes required to produce \( T_{\text{ref}} \).

To produce the EDGES feature, the radio background must at least be as bright as \( T_{\text{ref}} \gtrsim 2.73 \, \text{K}(1 + z_{\text{ref}}) \) at \( v_{\text{ref}} \approx 1.4 \, \text{GHz} \) with \( z_{\text{min}} = z_{\text{ref}} = 17 \). Plugging these numbers into equation (18), we obtain lower limits on flux densities in Fig. 8, which we denote with vertical dotted green lines for ‘large’ and ‘small’ haloes. We see that producing the EDGES excess with atomic cooling haloes requires a population of sources with \( S \sim 10^{-2} \, \mu\text{Jy} \) at GHz frequencies, while if molecular cooling haloes hosted the same sources, the characteristic fluxes of these sources are of the order of \( S \sim \mu\text{Jy} \). From the dashed green lines, source fluxes to produce the ARCADE-2 excess at 3 GHz require a population of \( \sim (10^3) \) \( \mu\text{Jy} \) sources at \( \sim 1.4 \, \text{GHz} \). Since most of our scenarios produce radio backgrounds similar to ARCADE-2, they simultaneously give rise to source populations with characteristic fluxes within an order of magnitude of our predictions. While these characteristic fluxes are sensitive to our choice of spectral index (1.1), and the specific fraction of haloes hosting black holes (we chose 10 per cent), they serve as an order-of-magnitude estimate of what sort of fluxes we should expect from radio surveys if EDGES or ARCADE-2 are produced by discrete sources with one source per halo.

5 DISCUSSION

5.1 Is inverse Compton cooling a showstopper?

In all of our models, the global signal is heavily impacted only when the ratio between radio and bolometric luminosity is similar to low redshift. As recognized in previous works (Ghisellini et al. 2014, 2015; Saxena et al. 2017), this cannot be the case for classical FRII lobes where magnetic fields tend to be below \( \sim 100 \, \mu\text{G} \). More recently, S18 showed that regions where magnetic fields are below \( \lesssim 1000 \, \mu\text{G} \) would not produce appreciable SE due to IC scattering. We agree with S18 that the sorts of radio sources that significantly impact the 21 cm signal need to have \( \gtrsim \mu\text{G} \) magnetic field strengths. S18 go a step further, however, claiming that even assuming \( \gtrsim \mu\text{G} \) fields, sources at \( z \approx 17 \) would have to be \( \sim 1000 \) times more

Figure 8. Intensity per logarithmic flux bin for our various black hole models. The grey shaded regions denote constraints derived from the confusion and number count analyses by R18 at 150 MHz, C12 at 1.4 GHz, and V14 at 3 GHz, which exclude the Small Haloes Fast model due to its large number of predicted sources. We note that this would not be the case for a tighter radio-loudness distribution (Fig. 9). Since the Fast and Massive Seeds models produce most of the observed 1.4 GHz source counts between 1 and 10 \( \mu\text{Jy} \), they may be in tension and/or are degenerate with contributions from SFGs. While the Fast and Massive Seeds scenarios predict similar radio backgrounds, their flux distributions are quite different. Thus, radio surveys are helpful in removing degeneracies that exist in observations of \( \delta T_B \) alone. Fluctuation analyses and expected SFG counts already constrain the Small Haloes, Fast, and Massive Seeds models. We do not include the Stars model in this plot since it does not produce any radio point sources. The projected \( \sigma \) point-source detection thresholds of point-source surveys by the SKA1, LOFAR, and the VLA calculated in P15 are denoted by coloured shaded regions. The green vertical lines denote the characteristic fluxes of sources that can explain the ARCADE-2 (vertical dashed green lines) and EDGES (vertical dotted green lines) detections. The transparent sets of lines show models where the spectral index has been flattened from 1.1 to 0.5. Flatter spectrum scenarios are generally more constrained than their steep spectrum counterparts.
Figure 9. The same as Fig. 8 but now collapsing the lognormal radio-loudness distributions into delta functions that yield the same mean comoving radio emissivity. This removes the high flux tails in Fig. 8 and increases the number of sources at the distribution peaks. This trade-off allows for models involving molecular cooling haloes to skirt below (albeit in a contrived way) the R18/C12/V14 constraints.

Figure 10. Left: The evolution of a spectrum posited by S18. As time progresses, a break in the spectrum moves from blue to red with all fluxes blue of the spectral break falling as \( \nu^{-\alpha_R - 0} \) and all fluxes redward of \( \nu_B \) remaining constant. Right: The correct time evolution for a spectrum with continuous injection [Kardashev (1962), equation 17] actually has fluxes increase for \( \nu < \nu_B \) while fluxes with \( \nu \geq \nu_B \) remain constant in time.

radio loud than today to produce similar levels of radio emission. We do not think this second argument to be true as we will now explain.

The basis for the S18’s second claim is that emission from sources powered by continuously injected particles following a power law with a spectral index of \( \gamma \) experiences spectral steepening blueward of a spectral break at \( \nu_B \approx 2.6 \times 10^{-3} (B/\text{Gauss})^{-3} (\nu/\text{Myr})^{-2} \) GHz so that \( \alpha_R \approx (\gamma - 1)/2 \) for \( \nu < \nu_B \) and \( \alpha_R \approx \gamma/2 \) for \( \nu > \nu_B \). S18 incorrectly assumes that a source starts its life with a synchrotron spectral index of \( \gamma - 1)/2 \) across all frequencies and as time progresses, the break moves redward. Eventually, \( \nu_B \) falls below 1.4 GHz and after enough time, significantly reduces the amplitude of emission just blue of 21 cm, which contributes the majority of absorbed emission. We illustrate this incorrect continuity solution in the left-hand panel of Fig. 10. Given this scenario, S18 finds that 1.4 GHz emission is reduced by a factor of \( \sim 1000 \) after 18 Myr.

The correct solution to the continuity equation with continuous particle injection (e.g. Kardashev 1962; Pacholczyk 1970) is that the source starts out at \( t = 0 \) with zero flux across all frequencies (and \( \nu_B = \infty \)). As time progresses, electrons pile up at low frequency below \( \nu_B \) so that the overall amplitude of the spectrum rises with time as \( \nu_B \) moves from high to low frequency [Kardashev (1962), equation 17]. The shorter Synchrotron cooling time in an \( \sim \) mG emission region therefore leads to an excess of low-frequency emission at a fixed age rather than a dearth of high-frequency emission (as supposed by S18). We illustrate the correct evolution of a constant B-field synchrotron-emitting region with continuous particle injection in the right-hand panel of Fig. 10. If the S18’s solution were true, then the prominent radio hotspots that constitute a substantial fraction of emission from \( \sim 10^7 \) yr-old FRII sources (where electron synchrotron lifetimes are \( \sim 10^4 \) yr) would similarly be \( \sim 1000 \) times fainter than we observe them to be. The breaks in hotspots actually remain at \( \geq 10 \) GHz since electrons advect away into the lobes after a short amount of time and continue to radiate in the lobe and cavity regions (Meisenheimer et al. 1989; Carilli et al. 1991). However, if these electrons were somehow kept within the hotspot, they would lower the hotspot break frequency and simply increase flux significantly above what is currently observed for \( \nu < \nu_B \).
remaining energy through IC scattering. Since the emitting electrons in such a source would only age for the time it takes for them to leave the emission region ($\lesssim 10^5$ yr for pc–kpc scales), the spectral break could remain at $\gtrsim 10$ GHz and not affect the frequencies relevant for 21 cm absorption. For this reason, we considered two possibilities for spectral indices: $\alpha_R = 0.5$ (electrons are not trapped in the SE region) and $\alpha_R = 1.1$ (electrons are trapped in the SE region).

Having argued that continuously injected sources with sustained $\gtrsim 1$ mG fields can potentially explain a $z \gtrsim 17$ background, regardless of spectral aging, we now discuss under what conditions such sustained magnetic fields might exist in the jet-impacted environments of intermediate-mass black holes. For reference, 1 mG is significantly higher than the equipartition fields typically detected in FRII radio lobes but it is comparable to the $\sim 100$–$1000 \mu$G fields in hotspots (Meisenheimer et al. 1989). CSS and GHz-Peaked Spectrum (GPS) sources typically possess magnetic fields in the $[1$–$10] \mu$G range (Murgia et al. 1999; Murgia 2003), significantly above the S18 threshold. Hence, the population of GPS and CSS sources is an example of synchrotron sources in the local Universe whose radio emission would survive at high redshifts. GPS and CSS sources tend to have $2.7$ GHz luminosities of $\sim 10^{27}$ W Hz$^{-1}$ (O’Dea 1998) and are powered by black holes with masses of $\sim 10^8 M_\odot$ (Wu 2009). The $z \approx 17$ black holes that we consider in this work have masses of $\sim 10^9 M_\odot$ and have radio luminosities at $2.7$ GHz of $\sim 10^{27}$ W Hz$^{-1}$, which is sensible if one scales the radio luminosity down with black hole mass by five orders in magnitude. A source’s minimum energy equipartition magnetic fields ($B_{eq}$) depend on both the radio luminosity of the source and the volume of the emitting region (e.g. Wilson, Rohlfis & Hüttemeister 2013),

$$B_{eq} = \frac{1}{c} \left( \frac{3}{2} \frac{G}{H} L_\nu v^{\alpha_R} \right)^{2/7},$$  

where $G$ and $H$ are functions of fundamental constants, $\alpha_R$ and the lower/upper frequency limits of SE (we assume typical values of $10$ MHz and $100$ GHz). If SE occurs in a spherical region with a radius $R_s$, we can solve for $R_s$ by rearranging (19),

$$R_s = \left[ \frac{9}{8\pi H} \frac{G}{c B_{eq}} \right]^{3/7} L_\nu v^{\alpha_R}.$$  

In the case of a positron–electron jet, a source with $L_\nu = 10^{22}$ W Hz$^{-1}$ at $2.7$ GHz must be contained within $R_s \approx 18$ pc for minimum energy fields to support SE over IC scattering. Such an arrangement is plausible but faces the problem that it will quickly expand under the internal pressure of its constituent magnetic fields and relativistic particles. Indeed, most GPS/CSS sources are thought to evolve beyond their compact state after $\sim 10^6$ yr (Bicknell et al. 1997; Murgia 2003). We envision three potential scenarios in which SE from a particular black hole might be sustained over the 100 Myr accretion lifetimes considered in this paper.

(i) Ambient baryon loading. The ‘old frustrated scenario’ for explaining CSS and GPS sources is disfavoured in most cases because insufficient baryons are present to contain the radio jet for $\sim 10^6$ yr. Simulations by De Young (1993) show that $\sim 10^{11} M_\odot$ of gas is required to contain a GPS source $\sim 10^6$ times more powerful than the low-mass black holes we consider, though cold dense clumps of gas can lower this value by a factor of $\sim 100$ (Carvalho 1994, 1998). A back-of-the-envelope calculation indicates that containment by ambient baryons is highly unlikely. The mass, $m_b$, necessary to frustrate a synchrotron-emitting region with $B_{eq} \sim 1$ mG such that it advances a distance $R_s$ through the ISM at some time $T$ can be determined by setting the internal pressure of the synchrotron-emitting plasma to the ram pressure of displaced gas,

$$p_b \left( \frac{R_s}{T} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} = \frac{3 m_b}{4\pi R_s^3} \left( \frac{R_s}{T} \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{7}{3} \frac{B_{eq}^2}{2\mu_0},$$  

so that

$$m_b \sim \frac{14\pi}{9\mu_0} R_s (T B_{eq})^2.$$  

Substituting the values $R_s = 20$ pc and $B_{eq} = 1$ mG, we find that $m_b \approx 10^{33}$–$10^{34} M_\odot$ is required for 10–100 Myr containment. This value exceeds the mass of available baryons in a typical atomic cooling halo by several orders of magnitude.

(ii) Kinetic loading by infalling gas. Within the Bondi radius ($\sim 10^{-3}$ pc) and outside of the accretion-disc radius, radio jets could encounter gas infalling at a substantial fraction of the speed of light. It is possible that the radio jet could be frustrated by the high-velocity inflows that must exist to feed a black hole at super-Eddington rates. Kinetic containment would involve a sub-parsec SE region trapped inside of the Bondi radius.

(iii) Periodic emission episodes. If the accretion on to the black holes was sporadic, they might undergo recurring periods of emission where bright synchrotron regions are formed, shine for a relatively short time, and rapidly cool at the end of each accretion episode or after the SE region expands to scales where it rapidly cools through IC scattering, allowing fresh accreting gas fill in the jet cavities. In this way, periodic episodes of radio activity might be sustained over a significant fraction of a black hole’s 100 Myr accretion lifetime.

In summary, we disagree with the S18’s argument that sources must be $10^5 \times$ more radio loud than in the local Universe to have a large impact on the CD absorption feature. Many hotspot features in the local Universe have persisted for $\gtrsim 10^6$ yr with significantly shorter electron cooling times ($\sim 10^4$ yr). In addition, $\sim 1$ mG magnetic fields are a common feature of CSS and GPS sources. That said, keeping magnetic fields in SE regions from diffusing below $1$ mG is a significant problem for the existence of RL sources at $z \approx 17$. We have suggested three possible solutions to this problem. A simple calculation indicates that the first, containment by ambient baryons, is unlikely. We leave detailed consideration of the feasibility of the other two scenarios for future work.

5.2 Can radio-loud black holes explain EDGES?

The 21 cm absorption feature described in B18 has several unusual characteristics that are difficult to explain with models driven solely by stellar backgrounds. These features include the following:

(i) A large depth of $\sim 500$ mK;

(ii) A narrow width of $\Delta z \lesssim 10$;

(iii) Steep sides that climb $500$ mK over $\Delta z \lesssim 2$;

(iv) A flat bottom.

In Fig. 2, we compare our models to the 68 and 95 per cent confidence regions derived from the MCMC fit of the raw EDGES data between 60 and 94 MHz published by B18.$^6$ None of our models agrees well with the EDGES signal, though our Fast and

$^6$http://loco.lab.asu.edu/EDGES/EDGES-data-release/
Massive Seeds models best reproduce the steepness and timing of the EDGES trough though they are still far less steep.

Guided by the intuition built in Section 4, we obtain a better fitting model from our Small Haloes scenario by reducing the Salpeter time to ~18.2 Myr, delaying the trough by adding a 60 Myr delay between seed formation and vigorous accretion, and trading off the radio luminosity of each source for a greater number of sources by setting $g_{\text{sh}} = 0.001, f_l = 1$, and $\mu_R = 2.1$. The adjustments to the source radio properties yield a similar radio gain to our fiducial model while better satisfying source count constraints by reducing the flux of each source.

We finally make fine adjustments to better match the trough by increasing the Hydrogen column depth to $1.8 \times 10^{24} \text{cm}^{-2}$, reducing $T_{\text{min}}$ to 1000 K, raising $z_{\text{min}}$ to 21.5, and reducing $\alpha_N$ to 0.5.

Given our highly uncertain knowledge of black hole accretion during the cosmic dawn, these new parameters are no more or less plausible than the set of assumptions that went into the models in Section 4 though they are closer to expected limits. The decrease in $t_s$ and $g_{\text{sh}}$ would be simultaneously accomplished by increasing the duty cycle to unity or raising the Eddington factor, $\lambda$, by a factor of 2 (as allowed by numerous super-Eddington accretion models (Ichimaru 1977; Narayan, Mahadevan & Quataert 1998; Mineshige et al. 2000; Volonteri, Silk & Dubus 2015)), while lowering $k_{\text{bol}}$ by a factor of 3. Similar $k_{\text{bol}}$ values are routinely measured in Type-1 AGNs (Lusso et al. 2010). Lowering $T_{\text{min}}$ to 1000 K is still within the range of seed halo masses that might survive baryon-dark-matter velocity offsets, but it pushes towards lower limits (Stacy, Greif & Bromm 2010; Greif et al. 2011; Fialkov et al. 2012). The EDGES models reduce the average radio loudness. Since radio emission faces significant obstacles, it might even be considered more plausible than our fiducial Pop-III model. Similarly, some time delay between seed formation and rapid accretion is not unexpected due to feedback effects (Alvarez et al. 2009).

With these adjustments, we obtain a model that agrees roughly with the EDGES contours, which we show in Fig. 11. In Tables 5 and 7, we list these parameters that approximately fit the EDGES signal as EDGES Small Haloes.

Since it is difficult to obscure black holes in molecular cooling haloes, we obtain a similar EDGES-like model with atomic cooling haloes by starting with the EDGES Small Haloes model, increasing the temperature range of seed haloes to between $T_{\text{vir}} = 10^4 \text{K}$ and $T_{\text{vir}} = 5 \times 10^5 \text{K}$, the seed mass to 1500 $M_{\odot}$, and the neutral column depth to $N_{\text{HI}} = 3 \times 10^{24} \text{cm}^{-2}$. These properties are consistent with the accretion properties simulated by Pacucci et al. (2015). Our large haloes model involves fewer haloes by making each individual black hole $\gtrsim 10$ brighter than in the Small Haloes scenario. In doing so, it begins to brush up against constraints at $S \gtrsim 1 \mu$Jy derived from confusion (Fig. 14). By increasing $N_{\text{HI}}$, we achieve the EDGES amplitude without violating confusion constraints.

We find two significant disagreements between our models and the EDGES signal that we are not able to mitigate through choosing ‘better’ parameters. First, $T_0$ flattens out more gradually at $z \approx 14$, extending the end of the absorption feature beyond the nominal EDGES detection. One way to reduce this flattening is to enhance the ionizing efficiency of SFGs by increasing $f_{\text{esc}}$ to unity and $N_{\alpha}$ to $10^5$. We show these fast ionization models as lightly coloured lines in Fig. 11. Without raising the difficulties in achieving such a large ionizing flux, significant ionization at $z \approx 14$ would be in tension with other probes (Fig. 12). These include measurements of Lyman Alpha-emitting galaxies (e.g. McQuinn et al. 2007), the Ly$\alpha$ damping wing in high-redshift quasar spectra (Mesinger & Haiman 2004, 2007), the fraction of zero-flux pixels in Ly$\alpha$ forest measurements (Mesinger 2010), constraints on $t_s$ from the CMB (Planck Collaboration XVLI 2016), and kSZ measurements (Trac, Bode & Ostriker 2011; Mesinger, McQuinn & Spergel 2012; Shaw, Rudd & Nagai 2012). Secondly, none of our models appears to have a flattened bottom.

We compare the X-ray and radio backgrounds from our EDGES-like models to existing limits in Figs 15 and 13. We also show the source count distributions in Figs 14. By construction, our

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>EDGES small haloes</th>
<th>EDGES large haloes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{min}}$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^4 \text{K}$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^5 \text{K}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{vir}}$</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^4 \text{K}$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^4 \text{K}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_f$</td>
<td>100 $M_{\odot}$</td>
<td>1500 $M_{\odot}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_s$</td>
<td>60 Myr</td>
<td>0 Myr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_N$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{\text{HI}}$</td>
<td>$1.8 \times 10^{23} \text{cm}^{-2}$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{24} \text{cm}^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_s$</td>
<td>25 Myr</td>
<td>18 Myr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_{\text{min}}$</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_l$</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_R$</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$g_{\text{sh}}$</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To summarize, our model can explain the large depth and steepness of the EDGES feature. In order to be consistent with μJy confusion analyses, models that involve haloes above the atomic cooling limit are required to increase obscuration in order to preserve a large absorption amplitude and generate smaller fractions of the observed radio and X-ray backgrounds.

Our model is not able to reproduce the flat bottom of the trough observed by B18 and in order to explain the rapid transition to $\delta T_b \approx 0$ at the end of the absorption feature, it requires additional sources of ionizing photons (beyond what is provided by the obscured black holes and standard star formation scenarios). It is possible that these photons might be provided as black holes blow away their obscuring material, a process that we do not model in detail. However, an end to absorption feature consistent with EDGES and driven by ionization would contradict other probes. A more likely scenario might be enhanced heating from the same clearing. We have not attempted a systematic search of parameter space and are hesitant to do so until the specific properties of the EDGES feature are verified. Thus, it is entirely possible that other EDGES-like models do exist without the shortcomings of our two examples. We leave more systematic fitting of the EDGES signal to future studies.

We finally note that both of the scenarios that we have constructed to explain EDGES predict radio point-source populations that are above the detection threshold of future surveys on the SKA1-MID (Fig. 14). It follows that these future surveys have an important role to play in validating or rejecting potential explanations of EDGES that involve discrete radio sources.

6 CONCLUSIONS

We have introduced a simple recipe for computing the impact of radio emission from black hole seed growth on the 21 cm signal that can be incorporated into existing seminumerical simulation frameworks that estimate emissivities from the halo collapse rate (e.g. ares, 21cmFAST, and simfast21). We combined our modelling framework with the 21 cm global-signal formalism developed in Furlanetto (2006). For the first time, we compute the impact of radio emission from black holes self-consistently with the effects of their X-ray and UV emission.

While we have focused on potential radio emission signatures of the first black holes, these same sources also generate X-ray emission, and future deep X-ray surveys [e.g. from the proposed Lynx X-ray Observatory (Gaskin et al. 2019)] are also likely to provide additional constraints on the population of black hole seeds at $z \gtrsim 15$.

By studying the 21 cm global signal arising in a handful of illustrative scenarios, we have arrived at the following conclusions on the signatures of radio-loud black holes during the Cosmic Dawn.

(i) We find that if the first black hole seeds were obscured by column depths $\gtrsim 10^{23} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, radio-loudness levels similar to today will have a significant impact on the observed 21 cm global signal – at the level of tens to hundreds of per cent. These significant effects are present even when the accretion rates are well below the Eddington limit. Thus, 21 cm experiments should be able to constrain the radio loudnesses of any rapidly growing black hole seeds that existed during and before the Cosmic Dawn absorption feature. This includes backgrounds from sub-nJy sources that are below the detection thresholds of any near-term surveys. Hence, the global 21 cm signal may be the best way to constrain the existence of such sources. That said, sub-Eddington accretion rates do not
A. Ewall-Wice, T.-C. Chang, and T. J. W. Lazio

Figure 14. The same as Fig. 8 but now including the two EDGES-like models summarized in Table 7. Both models are consistent with the results of recent fluctuation analyses. The distribution peaks of both the Small and Large Haloes models are resolved by the deep and ultra-deep SKA1-MID surveys. Thus, these surveys will be able to place interesting constraints on models of radio-loud black holes that might explain the EDGES feature. The light lines indicate models where the radio spectral index, $\alpha_R$, has been flattened from 1.1 to 0.5. These flat spectral models are ruled out by existing fluctuation analyses.

Figure 15. The same as Fig. 6 but now showing the XRB arising from the EDGES-like models in Table 7.

produce a signature as dramatic as EDGES and further work is needed to determine how radio signatures are degenerate with other model parameters.

(ii) When duty cycles and Eddington ratios are close to unity, obscured radio-loud black holes can generate a Cosmic Dawn absorption feature that is significantly deeper and narrower than what is seen in models where star formation dominates. This is due to the fact that the comoving black hole emissivity in our model has the freedom to evolve faster than the star formation rate density. The steepness of the sides of the absorption feature increases with decreasing Salpeter time and/or increased seed mass while the timing is primarily affected by seed and halo mass. The overall depth is controlled by X-ray luminosity, spectral hardness, and obscuration.

(iii) Black holes with radio loudnesses similar to $z \approx 1$ sources are able to explain many aspects of the reported EDGES absorption feature including its large depth, fast timing, and steep sides. While our model recreates the depth and steepness of the EDGES feature, we were not able to produce a flat-bottomed absorption feature similar to what EDGES reports. In addition, our model cannot reproduce the rapid disappearance of absorption at the end of the trough unless it is achieved through reionization of the IGM at $z \approx 14$, either through stellar contributions or the black holes themselves (by clearing obscuring material). Unfortunately, both scenarios are inconsistent with CMB and Ly $\alpha$ forest constraints.

(iv) A consequence of this model is that there should be a population of high-$z$ radio sources that emerges at $\mu$Jy flux density levels but reproducing the EDGES signal would not violate the ARCADE-2 limits. Current and future point-source surveys have an important role to play in better constraining the models presented in this work. The scenarios that we find to be most consistent with EDGES also predict populations of $\sim 1-10 \mu$Jy sources at 1.4 GHz that greatly exceed expected counts from SFGs. In addition, the seed mass/halo mass and accretion rate are all degenerate in the 21 cm global signal. These degeneracies might be removed through direct detection of these sources by surveys since models with less abundant/more massive seeds yield individual sources with higher flux. We find that the nominal SKA1-MID deep survey described in P15 will be capable of resolving a proposed model with 1500 $M_\odot$ seeds in atomic cooling haloes (Figs 8 and 9), which are accreting...
with a Salpeter time of 18 Myr, while the ultra-deep survey can resolve sources in a scenario with 100 $M_{\odot}$ seeds in molecular cooling haloes.

These conclusions are predicated on the uncertain assumption that black holes can be radio loud at $z \approx 17$ in the first place. In Section 5.1, we found that the challenge of producing sustained radio emission boils down to containing SE within $\lesssim 20$ pc regions over $\gtrsim 10^5$ yr time-scales or averaging over many episodic $\lesssim 10^3$ yr emission episodes. We found that containment is a tall order for the limited ambient baryons present in primordial galaxies but it may also be achieved with accretion flows. We emphasize that one of the primary goals of our work was to demonstrate that 21 cm and point-source surveys have the potential to constrain such scenarios. Our last point raises the exciting prospect that decimetre-wavelength point-source surveys and low-frequency observations of redshifted 21 cm may be used in conjunction to illuminate the unknown radio properties of SMBH progenitors.
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