# Supplementary Information for <br> Sum frequency generation, calculation of absolute intensities, comparison with experiments, and two-field relaxation-based derivation 

Kai Niu ${ }^{\text {a,b }}$ and Rudolph A. Marcus ${ }^{\mathrm{b}, 1}$

${ }^{\text {a }}$ School of Science, Tianjin University of Technology and Education, 1310, Dagu South Road, Hexi, Tianjin, 300222, P. R. China; ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Noyes Laboratory of Chemical Physics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125

January 23, 2020

## Supplementary Information

A. Projection from the molecule coordinates onto the lab coordinates. The averaging in Eq. 33 is over the phase space, $\Gamma$, including the coordinates in $Q$ and the molecular orientations in the $D \mathrm{~s}$, and indeed over all coordinates other than the OH vibrational coordinate $q$. The product of the various $D \mathrm{~s}$ with $\omega(Q[t])$ serves to distinguish the surface molecules from the molecules in the bulk, when ensemble averaged, since it will give rise to a zero SFG signal from the interior molecules. The exponential in Eq. 32 containing $\omega(Q)$ serves, in effect, to single out the contributions to the dangling OH peak, in the case of a water surface, from the contributions from the motion of the other $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ vibrational coordinates.
$D_{l \xi}$ in Eq. 33 represents projection from molecule coordinates for the OH bond, $\hat{\xi}=(\hat{u}, \hat{v}, \hat{w})$, onto the lab coordinates, $\hat{l}=(\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z})$. (1) The $\hat{z}$ axis of the lab coordinates points from bulk to vapor along the surface normal, while the $x y$ plane is perpendicular to $\hat{z}$. The $\hat{w}$ lies along the OH bond with a direction from O to $H$, while the $\hat{u} \hat{v}$ plane is perpendicular to $\hat{w} . \theta$ is the angle between $\hat{w}$ and surface normal, while $\phi$ is the angle between the projection of $\hat{w}$ onto the $x y$ plane and the
$\hat{x}$ axis. We then have

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\hat{u}  \tag{S1}\\
\hat{v} \\
\hat{w}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \phi \cos \theta & \sin \phi \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\
-\sin \phi & \cos \phi & 0 \\
\cos \phi \sin \theta & \sin \phi \sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{l}
\hat{x} \\
\hat{y} \\
\hat{z}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Inserting Eq. S1 into Eq. 33, one finds that three items, $a_{q, w w w}, a_{q, u u w}$, and $a_{q, v v w}$, survive after summing over all coordinates $\lambda, \mu$, and $\nu$. We then have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \chi_{i j k}^{(2)}(\omega)=-i N_{s} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-i \omega t}<\mathrm{e}^{i \int \omega\left(Q\left[t^{\prime}\right]\right) d t^{\prime}} \\
& \times\left\{\left[a_{w w w}(\hat{w}(0) \cdot \hat{i})(\hat{w}(0) \cdot \hat{j})+a_{u u w}(\hat{u}(0) \cdot \hat{i})(\hat{u}(0) \cdot \hat{j})\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+a_{v v w}(\hat{v}(0) \cdot \hat{i})(\hat{v}(0) \cdot \hat{j})\right][\hat{r}(t) \cdot \hat{k}]\right\}>d t \tag{S2}
\end{align*}
$$

The right hand side of Eq. S2 can be interpreted as the ensemble average of the projection of the molecule hyperpolarizabilities onto the $i, j$ and $k$ polarization vectors.

For simplicity, we may assume that the hyperpolarizabilities perpendicular to the OH bond are the same. Then Eq. S2 becomes(1)

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi_{i j k}^{(2)}(\omega)= & -i N_{s} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-i \omega t}<\mathrm{e}^{i \int_{0}^{t} \omega\left(Q\left[t^{\prime}\right)\right] d t^{\prime}} \\
& \times\left\{\left[a_{\|}(\hat{r}(0) \cdot \hat{i})(\hat{r}(0) \cdot \hat{j})+a_{\perp}(\hat{i} \cdot \hat{j})\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-a_{\perp}(\hat{r}(0) \cdot \hat{i})(\hat{r}(0) \cdot \hat{j})\right][\hat{r}(t) \cdot \hat{k}]\right\}>d t \tag{S3}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{\|}=a_{q, w w w}$ and $a_{\perp}=a_{q, u u w}=a_{q, v v w} . \hat{r}(t)$ is the OH bond unit vector.
B. Integrated SSP SFG intensity for Ref. (2). The integrated SSP SFG intensity for dangling OH region in Fig. 1 of Ref. (2) is $1.2 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~A}^{8} \mathrm{e}^{2} \mathrm{~K}^{-2} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Presuming that $A$ is angstrom, $10^{-10} \mathrm{~m}$, that e is the electronic charge, $1.6 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{C}$, and that K is the energy unit Kelvin * , where $1 \mathrm{~K}=1.38 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{~J}\left(8.63 \times 10^{-5} \mathrm{eV}\right)$. So $1.2 \times 10^{-13} \mathrm{~A}^{8} \mathrm{e}^{2} \mathrm{~K}^{-2} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}=1.6 \times 10^{-85} \mathrm{~m}^{8} \mathrm{~V}^{-2} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Then, the value should be divided by the surface area $\left(18.7 \mathrm{x} 18.7 \mathrm{~A}^{2}\right)$ square, i.e. $1.6 \times 10^{-85} \mathrm{~m}^{8} \mathrm{~V}^{-2} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ divide by $\left(18.7 \mathrm{x} 18.710^{-20} \mathrm{~m}^{2}\right)^{2}=1.3 \times 10^{-50} \mathrm{~m}^{4} \mathrm{~V}^{-2} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.
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[^0]:    *K is normally a unit of absolute temperature but for the units of $\left|\chi_{\text {eff }}^{(2)}\right|^{2}$ in Ref. (2) to translate into the conversional units, we took it to be a unit of energy.

