SN 2018ijp: the explosion of a stripped-envelope star within a dense H-rich shell?* **
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ABSTRACT

In this paper, we discuss the outcomes of the follow-up campaign of SN 2018ijp, discovered by the Zwicky Transient Facility survey for optical transients. SN 2018ijp shows early spectra similar to broad-lined supernovae of Type Ic around maximum light, followed later by strong signatures of interaction between rapidly expanding supernova ejecta and a dense H-rich circumstellar medium, co- structured, multi-component profiles occasionally observed in UV photons can ionize the surrounding medium producing the characteristic “forward-reverse” shock structure forms, and energetic slow-moving dense CSM. In the shocked regions, a characteristic “forward-reverse” shock structure forms, and energetic UV photons can ionize the surrounding medium producing the characteristic “forward-reverse” shock structure forms, and energetic SN 2018ijp in the context of the explosion of a massive star depleted of its outer H and He layers within a dense H-rich medium.
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1. Introduction

A supernova (SN) is the most spectacular way a star can end its life, where progenitors more massive than 8 – 9 M☉ (see, e.g., Heger et al. 2003; Smartt 2009) are expected to explode as core-collapse (CC) SNe.

SNe interacting with a dense circumstellar medium (CSM) can produce a wide range of observables, resulting in a large heterogeneity of photometric and/or spectroscopic features. The classification of interacting transients is typically based on the presence of narrow emission features in their spectra, with Type IIn (Schlegel 1990) or Ibn (Pastorello et al. 2016; Hosseinzadeh et al. 2019) SNe being those showing prominent narrow H or He lines, respectively.

The current picture for the most common narrow-lined interacting SNe is that of fast moving ejecta colliding with a slow-moving dense CSM. In the shocked regions, a characteristic “forward-reverse” shock structure forms, and energetic UV photons can ionize the surrounding medium producing the structured, multi-component profiles occasionally observed in SNe IIn (see, e.g., Taddia et al. 2020). In this context, narrow emissions (full–width–at–half–maximum – FWHM – of a few 10^5 km s^-1) are recombination lines produced in the slow-moving, un-shocked CSM.

This requires the presence of a dense CSM produced by the progenitor star prior to its explosion, and seems to suggest massive luminous blue variables (LBVs), red supergiants (RSG)
with super-winds (see, e.g., Smith et al. 2009; Yoon & Cantiello 2010) or Wolf-Rayet (WR) stars in binary systems as candidate progenitors for SNe II and Ib. Such stars are all able to produce the dense and massive environment required to produce signatures of interaction (Foley et al. 2007; Pastorello et al. 2007, 2013; Ofek et al. 2014a; Tartaglia et al. 2016), in some cases continuing years after the SN explosion (see, e.g., Tartaglia et al. 2020).

On the other hand, ejecta-CSM interaction can occur in any kind of explosion or stellar outburst and may prevent the observer to collect information about the nature of the transient, including the explosion mechanism triggering the SN explosion. This is the case of the sub-class of interacting transients known as SNe Ia-CSM (see, e.g., Silverman et al. 2013), which are believed to be thermonuclear explosions embedded in a dense H-rich medium. While the nature of a few objects has been a matter of discussion (see, e.g., the different interpretations to explain SN 2002ic; Hamuy et al. 2003; Benetti et al. 2006) some observational signatures (e.g., a lack of strong Hβ and He i emission features) seem to be recurrent in these transients (Silverman et al. 2013).

Signatures of interaction, occasionally delayed with respect to the SN explosion, have been observed also in stripped-envelope (SE) SNe, optical transients typically showing a lack of H (SNe Ib and Icb) or both H and He features in their optical spectra (SNe Ic; see, e.g., Modjaz et al. 2014). A few recent examples of SNe showing a similar evolution are SNe 2014C (Milisavljevic et al. 2015; Margutti et al. 2017), 2017dio (Kuncarayakti et al. 2018) and 2017ens (Chen et al. 2018), all interpreted as CC SN explosions of SE SNe Ic within a dense, H-rich medium, with a possible progenitor scenario being that of a massive CSM produced during the RSG stage or by a stellar companion (e.g., Milisavljevic et al. 2015).

In this context, we present the results of our follow-up campaign of SN 2018ijp, discovered by the Zwicky Transient Facility (ZTF; Graham et al. 2019; Bellm et al. 2019; Masci et al. 2019) during the first year of operations. The transient was discovered in the host SDSS J102137.72+085554.1 (see Figure 1) on 2018 November 7.41 UT and labelled ZTF18aceqrrs. The photometric and spectroscopic follow-up campaigns were triggered soon after discovery through the GROWTH ‘Marsh’ (Kasliwal et al. 2019). A description of the facilities used and the reduction steps performed to obtained final light curves and spectra are described in Sect. 2. SN 2018ijp showed a relatively fast photometric evolution with double-peaked g− and r−band light curves, with spectra showing strong signatures of delayed interaction with a dense pre-existing H-rich CSM in the form of narrow H lines in emission increasing their strength with time and a spectral continuum becoming significantly bluer with time, as described in Sect. 3. While interaction features dominate the evolution of SN 2018ijp at later times, we note that the spectrum around the first peak resembles those typical of a subclass of broad-lined Type Ic SNe (Ic-BL SNe; see, e.g., Taddia et al. 2019, and references therein), with a good match to the Type Ic-BL SN 1997ef (Mazzali et al. 2000). In addition, modeling the first peak in the context of a radioactively powered light curve gives 56 Ni and total ejected masses comparable with those obtained for the Type Ic-BL SN iPTF15idg (Taddia et al. 2019).

In Sect. 3.5, and throughout the rest of this paper, we will therefore discuss the observables of SN 2018ijp in the context of a massive, SE star within a dense H-rich medium.

In the following, we adopt a foreground Galactic extinction E(B − V) = 0.029 mag along the line of sight of SN 2018ijp, as estimated by Schlafly & Finkbeiner (2011) using a standard extinction law with R_V = 3.1 (Cardelli et al. 1989). We did not include any additional contribution from the local environment to the total extinction, since we could not identify strong Na i features at the redshift of the host in the spectra of SN 2018ijp (see Sect. 3.2). The distance to SN 2018ijp was computed from the redshift derived using host lines (see Sect. 3.2) assuming a standard cosmology with H_0 = 73 km s^{-1} Mpc^{-1}, Ω_M = 0.27 and Ω_Λ = 0.73, resulting in a luminosity distance D_L = 373 Mpc.

2. Observations and data reduction

The follow-up campaign of SN 2018ijp started on 2018, November 7.5 UT with the first detection of the transient. Photometry of the transient was mostly obtained using the Samuel Oschin telescope with the ZTF camera (P48; Dekany et al. 2020) in g and r bands. Additional photometry was obtained with the Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT) using the Alhambra Faint Object Spectrograph and Camera (ALFOSC). P48 frames were obtained through the NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive, while magnitudes for these data were obtained using the dedicated pipeline SNOoPY, performing point-spread-function (PSF) photometry on template subtracted images. Templates and magnitudes of the reference stars were obtained by the Sloan Digital Sky Survey Data Release 14 (DR14; Abolfathi et al. 2018) available through the SDSS Catalog Archive Server (CAS). Three additional gri epochs were obtained using the Liverpool Telescope (LT; Steele et al. 2004) with the optical imaging component of the Infrared-Optical (IO) suite of instruments (IO-O’). LT data reduction was performed using a dedicated pipeline SNOoPY, performing point-spread-function (PSF) photometry on template subtracted images. Templates and magnitudes of the reference stars were obtained by the Sloan Digital Sky Survey Data Release 14 (DR14; Abolfathi et al. 2018) available through the SDSS Catalog Archive Server (CAS).

Fig. 1. Color image of the field of SN 2018ijp, obtained combining g−, r− and i−band frames obtained on 2019 January 26 with LT. SN 2018ijp is the the blue source in the middle of the inset.
performed through a dedicated pipeline, using PSF photometry obtained on template subtracted images. Templates and magnitudes of the photometric standards used were provided by the Pan-STARRS1 (PS1) survey (Tonry et al. 2012). One point of $i$-band photometry (on 2018 December 24.36 UT) was obtained using the Palomar 60-inch telescope (P60) with SED Machine (SEDM; Ben-Ami et al. 2012; Blagorodnova et al. 2018) and reduced using the FP $\bar{m}$ pipe (SEDM; Ben-Ami et al. 2012; Blagorodnova et al. 2018) and reduced using the automated pipeline LP $\bar{m}$ipe (Fremling et al. 2016) based on the Zackay et al. (2016) subtraction algorithm.

A log of the spectroscopic observations is reported in Table 1, including the names of the instruments used and basic information about the spectra. The classification spectrum, along with three additional spectra, were obtained with the Keck-I telescope using the Low Resolution Imaging Spectrograph (LRIS; Oke et al. 1994) and reduced using the automated pipeline LP $\bar{m}$ipe (Perley 2019). Three additional spectroscopic observations were performed using the NOT with ALFOSC, reduced using the ESO dedicated pipeline through the Spanish Virtual Observatory (SVO\footnote{\url{http://svo2.cab.inta-csic.es/svo/theory/fps3/}}); Rodrigo et al. 2012) and reduced using the ESO dedicated pipeline through the Zackay et al. (2016) subtraction algorithm.

A log of the spectroscopic observations is reported in Table 1, including the names of the instruments used and basic information about the spectra. The classification spectrum, along with three additional spectra, were obtained with the Keck-I telescope using the Low Resolution Imaging Spectrograph (LRIS; Oke et al. 1994) and reduced using the automated pipeline LP $\bar{m}$ipe (Perley 2019). Three additional spectroscopic observations were performed using the NOT with ALFOSC, reduced using the ESO dedicated pipeline through the Spanish Virtual Observatory (SVO\footnote{\url{http://svo2.cab.inta-csic.es/svo/theory/fps3/}}); Rodrigo et al. 2012) and reduced using the ESO dedicated pipeline through the Zackay et al. (2016) subtraction algorithm.

A log of the spectroscopic observations is reported in Table 1, including the names of the instruments used and basic information about the spectra. The classification spectrum, along with three additional spectra, were obtained with the Keck-I telescope using the Low Resolution Imaging Spectrograph (LRIS; Oke et al. 1994) and reduced using the automated pipeline LP $\bar{m}$ipe (Perley 2019). Three additional spectroscopic observations were performed using the NOT with ALFOSC, reduced using the ESO dedicated pipeline through the Spanish Virtual Observatory (SVO\footnote{\url{http://svo2.cab.inta-csic.es/svo/theory/fps3/}}); Rodrigo et al. 2012) and reduced using the ESO dedicated pipeline through the Zackay et al. (2016) subtraction algorithm.

3. Analysis and discussion

3.1. Photometry

Pre-SN observations of the field of SN 2018ijp were obtained by ZTF since 2018 March 31.3 UT, resulting in no detections down to average magnitudes of $\approx 21$ mag in both $g$ and $r$ bands. Last non-detection limits were obtained on 2018 November 4.5 UT (corresponding to $g > 20.6$ and $r > 21.4$ mag), roughly three days before the first $g$- and $r$-band detections. We will therefore adopt 2018 November 6.0 UT (JD = 2458428.5) as an estimate of the explosion epoch of SN 2018ijp and refer to phases with respect to this date. Magnitudes at rest frame epochs were obtained computing k-corrections using the spectra of SN 2018ijp and following the prescriptions of Hogg et al. (2002, see their Eq. 13), adopting a recessional velocity of $cz = 25540 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, as derived from the redshift estimated from the host lines in the X-shooter spectrum ($z = 0.0852$; see Sect. 3.2). The resulting $gri$ light curves are shown in Fig. 2 (left panel), along with an estimate of the bolometric luminosity of SN 2018ijp (right panel), which will be discussed below. The early photometric evolution is fast, with both $g$- and $r$-band light curves rapidly rising to a first maximum within $\approx 8$ d from the SN explosion. At $\approx +34$ d both the $g$- and $r$-band light curves show a further rise to a second and broader peak (lasting $\approx 25$ d), while the $i$-band light curve does not reveal the same ‘double-peaked’ shape due to lack of early observations in this band. After the second peak, the photometric evolution is slower in all bands, with decline rates of $\approx 0.012, 0.011$ and $0.013 \text{ mag d}^{-1}$ in $g$, $r$, and $i$ bands, respectively. Rise times in $g$ and $r$ were computed fitting the early evolution in each band with a second-order polynomial in order to estimate the epoch of the maximum in each band. We note, in addition, that the photometric evolution during the rise is well reproduced by power-laws of the form $L_g \propto t^{\alpha-1}$ and $L_r \propto t^{\beta-1} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, with fluxes in $g$ and $r$ computed using the zero-points for the ZTF filters reported in the Spanish Virtual Observatory (SVO\footnote{\url{http://svo2.cab.inta-csic.es/svo/theory/fps3/}}); Rodrigo et al. 2012) after correcting the magnitudes for the Galactic extinction. Rise times were estimated simply assuming $t_{\text{rise}, g} \approx 7.9 \pm 1.5$ and $t_{\text{rise}, r} \approx 10.7 \pm 1.5 \text{ d}$, where the errors are dominated by the uncertainty on the explosion epoch. These rise times are slightly smaller than the average $r$-band rise time inferred by Taddia et al. (2015) and Taddia et al. (2019) for their samples of SNe Ic-BL ($\approx 14.7 \text{ and } 15 \text{ d}$, respectively), although still comparable with the low-end of the Ic-BL iPTF distribution presented in Taddia et al. (2019).
The $g-r$ early (i.e., at $t \lesssim 10$ d) color evolution is relatively fast, with the color index increasing from $\approx 0.15$ to $\approx 0.60$ mag. At $t \gtrsim 10$ d, the $g-r$ index evolves toward bluer colors until $\approx +80$ d, remaining roughly constant ($\approx 0.1$ mag) throughout the rest of the photometric evolution of SN 2018ijp. At $t \gtrsim +60$ d, we note an almost linear decline in $r-i$, with the color index becoming progressively bluer with time, as reflected by the evolution of the pseudo-continuum observed in the spectra of SN 2018ijp (see Sect. 3.2).

Absolute magnitudes were obtained, after correcting apparent values for the Galactic reddening and adopting a distance modulus $\mu = 37.85$ mag (see Sect. 1). The resulting $M_{r,\text{peak}}$ falls within the upper end of the distribution of peak magnitudes presented in Taddia et al. (2019).

### 3.1.1. Evolution of the bolometric luminosity

The early ($t \lesssim +20$ d) bolometric light curve of SN 2018ijp was obtained following the prescriptions of Lyman et al. (2014, see their Eq. 6 for their sample of SE SNe), allowing an estimate of the $g-r$ band bolometric corrections from the evolution of the $g-r$ colors. The resulting light curve peaks at $t \approx 1.24 \times 10^{53}$ erg s$^{-1}$ with a maximum occurring at $t_{\text{bol,peak}} \approx +9.7$ d, corresponding to a total radiated energy of $\approx 2.1 \times 10^{49}$ erg within the first 34 d.

An alternative estimate of the luminosity can be obtained using the information on the SED available through the analysis of the spectra at $t \gtrsim +24$ d. We therefore computed $BVRI$ and $gri$ synthetic photometry using the $\text{synphot}$ package and fitted black body (BB) functions to the resulting SEDs. Final luminosities were then obtained integrating the fluxes in each band excluding the spectral region at wavelengths shorter than 2000 Å, where the flux is expected to be suppressed by line blanketing (see, e.g., Nicholl et al. 2017). Assuming a power-law decline after $t \approx +20$ d (see Fig. 2; right panel), we estimated an offset of $2.85 \times 10^{42}$ erg s$^{-1}$ between the two methods. This offset to the early light curve would give a peak luminosity of $\approx 1.5 \times 10^{43}$ erg s$^{-1}$ with a total radiated energy of $\approx 2.8 \times 10^{49}$ erg within the first 34 d and $\approx 1.4 \times 10^{50}$ erg during the 410 d covered by our follow-up campaign.

A comparison of the $+24$ d spectrum with the SN templates included in the SuperNova IDentification tool (SNID)\(^{10}\); Blondin & Tonry 2007, Sect. 3.2) gives a good match with SNe Ic-BL (see Fig. 3, right panel and Sect. 3.2). In the following, we will therefore compare the main observables of SN 2018ijp at $t \lesssim +20$ d with quantities inferred from samples of SNe Ic-BL, including a simple modeling of the early light curve (see below). Following Lyman et al. (2016, their Eq. 4), the average peak luminosity obtained with the methods described above already suggests a relatively high mass of $^{56}$Ni expelled by the SN explosion ($M_{\text{Ni}} \approx 0.57 M_{\odot}$), although comparable to the average value found by Drout et al. (2011) for their sample of SNe Ic-BL.

The total mass and the kinetic energy of the ejecta can be derived following the prescriptions of Arnett (1982) (see also the formulation of Wheeler et al. 2015 of the analytical model applied to a sample of SE SNe). The model assumes spherical symmetry, a constant optical opacity $\kappa_{\text{opt}}$, small initial radius ($R_0 \sim 0$) and homologous expansion of the optically thick ejecta $R(t) = R_0 + v_{\text{ej}} t$, with $v_{\text{ej}}$ being the scale expansion velocity (see Arnett 1982). Under these assumptions, the characteristic time scale $\tau_m = \sqrt{2\rho_0 t_0}$ can be defined, with $\tau_0$ and $\rho_0$ being the diffusion and hydrodynamical times, respectively (see Wheeler et al. 2015). In addition, the model assumes a homogeneous $^{56}$Ni mixing within the SN ejecta, which might lead to overestimate its predicted mass (see, e.g., Khataei & Kasen 2019; Dessart et al. 2016).

The evolution of the bolometric luminosity can be expressed as a function of the kinetic energy of the ejecta $E_k$, the $^{56}$Ni mass $M_{\text{Ni}}$ and the total mass of the ejecta $M_j$ as follows (see Chatzopoulos et al. 2012):

$$L_{\text{ph}}(t) = M_{\text{Ni}} e^{-x^2} \left[ 2(\epsilon_{\text{Ni}} - \epsilon_{\text{Co}}) \int_0^x e^{-\frac{x^2}{4\kappa}} \left( 1 - \frac{x^2}{4\kappa} \right) e^x \, dx + \epsilon_{\text{Co}} \int_0^x e^{\frac{t}{T_{\text{Ir}}}} \left( 1 - \frac{x^2}{4\kappa} \right) e^x \, dx \right], \quad (1)$$

where $x \equiv t/\tau_m$, $\epsilon_{\text{Ni}} = 6.78 \times 10^9$ erg s$^{-1}$ g$^{-1}$ and $\epsilon_{\text{Co}} = 3.90 \times 10^{10}$ erg s$^{-1}$ g$^{-1}$ (see, e.g., Cappellaro et al. 1997) and $\tau_{\text{Ir}}$, $\tau_{\text{Ni}}$ are the radioactive decay times of $^{56}$Co and $^{56}$Ni (111.3 and 8.8 d, respectively; see, e.g., Nadyozhin 1994). Assuming a constant optical opacity $\kappa_{\text{opt}} = 0.07$ cm$^2$ g$^{-1}$ (Chugai 2000) and fitting Eq. 1 to the bolometric light curve of SN 2018ijp gives $M_{\text{Ni}} = 0.33 \pm 0.05 M_{\odot}$ and $\tau_m = 4.89 \pm 0.80$ d, which, assuming a uniform density within the ejecta, can also be expressed as follows:

$$\tau_m = \left( \frac{2\kappa_{\text{opt}}}{\beta c} \right)^{1/2} \left( \frac{3 M_j^2}{10 E_k} \right)^{1/4}, \quad (2)$$

where $\beta$ is an integration constant ($\beta \approx 13.8$, as in Wheeler et al. 2015). The degeneracy between the kinetic energy and the total mass of the ejecta $E_k = 1/2M_j < v^2 >$, with $v^2 >$ being the mean squared expansion velocity, can be broken assuming uniform density within the expanding ejecta and hence $< v^2 > = 3/5v_{\text{ph}}^2$ (Arnett 1982), where $v_{\text{ph}}$ is the photospheric velocity as inferred from SN spectral features. An estimate of $v_{\text{ph}}$ can be obtained measuring the minima of the P Cygni absorption profiles of Fe ii or O i lines (see, e.g., Dessart et al. 2016), which, in the case of SN 2018ijp, corresponds to $v_{\text{ph}} \approx 12400$ km s$^{-1}$ (see Sect. 3.2). Following Dessart et al. (2016), this corresponds to $v_{\text{ph}} \approx 21240$ km s$^{-1}$. Taking this value for the photospheric velocity, Eq. 2 then gives $M_j = 0.73 \pm 0.05 M_{\odot}$ and $E_k = (3.26 \pm 0.17) \times 10^{51}$ erg for the total mass and the kinetic energy of the ejecta.

The derived values are consistent with those found by Taddia et al. (2019) for their sample of SNe Ic-BL, with an ejected mass comparable to that found for PTF11ibm using a similar approach, although with a higher $^{56}$Ni mass, corresponding to $\approx 45\%$ of $M_j$. While these values do not make of SN 2018ijp the most extreme case (see, e.g., the values found for PTF16asu), they might suggest a non-negligible contribution of ejecta-CSM interaction to the total luminosity around the first peak of SN 2018ijp.

At $t > +24$ d, slightly before the onset of the second peak observed in the $g-r$-band light curves, the bolometric light curve is well reproduced by a ‘broken power-law’ starting from $+24$ d (Fig. 2, right panel), with the break occurring at $\approx +120$ d. A similar behavior is observed in strongly interacting transients, where the SN shock is expected to break through a dense and extended pre-existing CSM (see, e.g., Franson et al. 2014; Ofek et al. 2014b; Tartaglia et al. 2020, and references therein). The total radiated energy up to $+410$ d, as well as the prominent narrow H$\alpha$ line visible at all phases and the high temperatures estimated from the pseudo-continuum of
the spectra (Sect. 3.2), also support a CSM interaction interpretation for the second peak of SN 2018ijp. Following Chevalier (1982) and assuming a wind density profile for the CSM ($\rho \propto r^{-2}$), we therefore estimated the total mass of the CSM surrounding the progenitor star as well as its pre-SN mass-loss rate. Taking $L(t \leq t_{\text{break}}) = 3.83 \times 10^{45} r^{-0.42} \text{erg s}^{-1}$ and assuming $t_{\text{break}} = 9.7 \text{d}$ (the maximum of the estimated bolometric light curve) as the time of the SN shock breakout through the wind, we inferred a pre-SN mass-loss rate of $M = 0.2 \text{M}_\odot \text{yr}^{-1}$ with a total mass of the swept-up CSM of $0.5 \text{M}_\odot$.

The main effect of interaction on the observed luminosity is a light curve being dominated by photon diffusion rather than shock-cooling (during the very early phases) or radioactive decays, with the SN shock breaking through the dense CSM rather than the stellar envelope (see, e.g., Ofek et al. 2010; Balberg & Loeb 2011; Svirski et al. 2012; Chevalier & Irwin 2011). In the case of SN 2018ijp, at least a fraction of the luminosity output during the first peak could be powered by interaction of the SN ejecta with a moderately massive CSM ($M_{\text{CSM}} \approx 0.5 \text{M}_\odot$, as estimated from the broken power-law fit showed in Fig. 2), with the breakout through the dense wind occurring soon after explosion. On the other hand, this scenario would suggest two distinct stages in the evolution of SN 2018ijp, a first one powered solely by radioactive decay and a second completely dominated by CSM interaction. Although the modeling of the first peak under this assumption (based on the spectral Ic-BL similarity at these phases) gives reasonable results (compared to those obtained by Taddia et al. 2019, for their sample of SNe Ic-BL), we cannot rule out a contribution of the interaction to the total luminosity at $t \leq 20 \text{d}$. A more complex modeling, beyond the scope of this paper, is probably required to properly model the evolution of SN 2018ijp in order to estimate its explosion parameters.

### 3.2. Spectroscopy

#### 3.3. Low resolution spectroscopy

Low resolution spectra are shown in Fig. 3 (left panel), along with a tentative fit to the spectral continuum using BBs. This fit is not necessarily indicative of the real temperature of the pseudo-continuum, but is shown to illustrate the evolution of the spectral continuum from $+24 \text{d}$ to $t \approx +65 \text{d}$. Spectra were calibrated against photometric data obtained at the closest epochs.

At $+24 \text{d}$ the spectrum is relatively red ($T \approx 6500 \text{K}$) and shows un-resolved Balmer lines in emission (Hα and Hβ) on top of shallower broader features. The lack of other un-resolved features typically associated with H II regions (e.g., [O II], [O III], and [N II]) would suggest that these are recombination lines arising from an un-shocked CSM, although the signal-to-noise ratio of the spectrum is not sufficient to rule out the presence of such lines (see Sect. 3.4). Blends of Fe II lines are likely respon-
At $t \gtrsim 65$ d the spectra show a significant evolution, with the continuum becoming progressively bluer ($T \gtrsim 9000$ K) up to +410 d. We also note a marginal increase in the BB temperature derived at +81 d with respect to the previous epoch ($AT \approx 400$ K), although at these phases spectra are dominated by emission lines and hence their pseudo-continuum cannot be reproduced by a BB. While blue excesses can be generally associated with the contribution of fluorescence from numerous blended Fe lines (see, e.g., Tartaglia et al. 2020, and references therein), an increase in the temperature of the pseudo-continuum can also be interpreted as a result of ongoing ejecta-CSM interaction. This interpretation would also be supported by the shape of the bolometric light curve (Fig. 2, right panel), showing a “broken power-law” shape typical of interacting SNe.

The total luminosity of H$_\alpha$ (measured in the 6000 – 7000 Å range) at +65 d ($\approx 1.1 \times 10^{41}$ erg s$^{-1}$) also shows a drastic increase with respect to the previous epoch ($L_{H\alpha,+24\,d} \approx 2.8 \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$), subsequently remaining roughly constant up to +137 d. In the last spectrum, on the other hand, we note a decrease, with the luminosity returning roughly to the same value as observed at +24 d ($\approx 3 \times 10^{39}$ erg s$^{-1}$). This, along with the simultaneous presence of other prominent host lines (e.g., [O iii] and [O ii]) at +410 d, may also suggest that the narrow H feature observed at +24 d was likely due to host contamination and the lack of other galactic lines at +24 d was mostly due to the low S/N of the spectrum (see also the discussion in Sect. 3.4).

A “delayed interaction” might be explained by the presence of a confined dense shell surrounding the progenitor star of SN 2018ijp. Based on the evolution of the bolometric luminosity and the results discussed in Sect. 3.1, as well as on the H$_\alpha$ evolution discussed below, we can assume that the onset of ejecta-CSM interaction is at $t \approx +25$ d. A constant expansion velocity of $v = v_{\text{ph}} \approx 21240$ km s$^{-1}$ (see above) would then place the shell at a distance of $\approx 4.6 \times 10^{15}$ cm from the progenitor star of SN 2018ijp. This estimate is at the same order of magnitude as that inferred from the BB fit performed at +65 d ($\approx 10^{15}$ cm).

Under the same assumptions, we could argue that the SN shock breaks through the confined shell roughly at $t \approx 273.5$ d, implying an external radius of the shell of $\approx 5 \times 10^{16}$ cm. This detached H-rich shell might be produced by a single massive progenitor during its red supergiant phase and hence expelled $10^{5}$ yr before CC (see, e.g., Margutti et al. 2017, for a similar interpretation for SN 2014C), or the last eruptive episode of a Wolf-Rayet star in its transitional phase from the LBV phase (see, e.g., the case of the Type Ibn SN 2006jc; Pastorello et al. 2007). On the other hand, we cannot rule out that such medium was originated by binary interactions or through the ejection of a fraction of the mass of the system during a common-envelope phase.

In Fig. 4 we show the evolution of the spectral region around H$_\alpha$, revealing a structured and asymmetric profile throughout the spectroscopic evolution of the transient. A multi-gaussian fit between +65 and +165 d reveals two broad components: a blue-shifted component with a FWHM of $\approx 2 \times 10^{4}$ km s$^{-1}$ and a redder one with a FWHM slowly decreasing from $\approx 10^{4}$ to $\approx 3 \times 10^{3}$ km s$^{-1}$, with a third narrow and unresolved component. At +24 d, the presence of a broader component is only marginally detected, although the fit is strongly affected by the low S/N of the spectrum. While the redder component is likely due to the wings of the typical electron scattering profile observed in high-resolution spectra of interacting transients (see, e.g., Huang & Chevalier 2018), its velocity is
consistent with those typically observed in shocked regions of dense media typically surrounding the progenitors of SNe IIn. A clumpy (e.g., Chugai & Danziger 1994) or highly asymmetric (e.g., Smith et al. 2014) CSM, would explain the simultaneous presence of a broad component, which would then be produced by the outer ionized layers of the freely expanding SN ejecta and an intermediate component arising from the shocked CSM, with the narrow emission feature possibly produced in the ionized un-shocked CSM (see, e.g. Turatto et al. 1993, although see Sect. 3.4). At +410 d the shape of Hα changes significantly, showing a narrow component on top of a boxy, flat-topped one, reminiscent of the overall profile observed in H-rich interacting SNe (see, e.g., Taddia et al. 2020, and the discussion in Sect. 3.5) and cannot be reproduced properly with a combination of Gaussian profiles.

3.4. The X-shooter spectrum

Medium resolution spectra were obtained with X-shooter around 2019 May 4.06 UT (JD = 2458607.56, t = +165 d). The observations consist of 4 exposures per arm, median combined to produce a single spectrum covering the 300 – 2480 nm wavelength range\footnote{https://www.eso.org/sci/facilities/paranal/instruments/xshooter/overview.html}. Each observation was obtained at airmass \( \lesssim 1.5 \), with an average seeing of 0\".7 and we therefore take the nominal values...
of resolution for each arm \((R \equiv \lambda/\Delta \lambda = 5400, 8900 and 5600)\) in the UVB, VIS and NIR arm, respectively, for slit widths of 1′′ to 2′′ in UVB and 0′′9 in VIS and NIR\(^{12}\). The resulting spectra are shown in Fig. 5.

The H\(\alpha\) region shows a structured profile with a narrow (FWHM \(\approx 70 \text{ km s}^{-1}\)) and an “intermediate” (FWHM \(\approx 450 \text{ km s}^{-1}\)) component, both centered at H\(\alpha\) rest wavelength, on top of a broader (FWHM \(\approx 2150 \text{ km s}^{-1}\)) blue-shifted (\(v_{\text{shift}} \approx 3560 \text{ km s}^{-1}\)) one (see also Fig. 4). A much shallower broad component is observed in H\(\beta\), where a tentative fit revealed a broader component with a higher FWHM of \(\approx 2130 \text{ km s}^{-1}\) and a marginally resolved narrow component with a FWHM \(\approx 70 \text{ km s}^{-1}\).

The measured H\(\alpha\)/H\(\beta\) line ratio of the narrow components is 2.8, consistent with the predicted Balmer decrement in a Case B recombination scenario (assuming \(T = 10^{4} \text{ K}\) and \(n_e = 10^{2} \text{ cm}^{-3}\); see Osterbrock & Ferland 2006), confirming the negligible contribution of the local environment to the total extinction in the direction of SN 2018ijp. These narrow components have FWHM comparable to those inferred from forbidden lines (e.g. [O\(\text{III}\)] \(\lambda\lambda 4364, 4372\), [O\(\text{II}\)] \(\lambda\lambda 3726, 3729\), [S\(\text{II}\)] \(\lambda\lambda 4070, 6716\), [S\(\text{III}\)] \(\lambda\lambda 6312, 6346\) and [N\(\text{II}\)] \(\lambda\lambda 6548, 6730\)), suggesting that they are possibly emitted in an underlying H\(\text{II}\) region. A similar electron density was inferred from the [O\(\text{II}\)] line ratio (\(j_{6372}/j_{5007} \approx 1.26\), corresponding to \(n_e = 10^{2} \text{ cm}^{-3}\); see Osterbrock & Ferland 2006), as well as from the [S\(\text{II}\)] \(\lambda\lambda 6716/6731\) ratio. This, in turn, would suggest that the narrow H\(\alpha\) components observed throughout the spectroscopic evolution of SN 2018ijp are all affected by host galaxy contamination. Under this assumption, the narrow H\(\alpha\) component can be used to infer an upper limit to the local star-formation rate (SFR), using the relation

\[
\text{SFR}(M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}) = 7.9 \times 10^{-42} \lambda_{\text{H}_\alpha} [\text{erg s}^{-1}] \quad (\text{see, e.g., Kennicutt 1998})
\]

resulting in SFR\(_{\text{local}} = 2.7 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M}_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}\).

On the other hand, following the line identification of Fransson et al. (2002), we also detect high ionization narrow lines, such as [Fe\(\text{II}\)] \(\lambda\lambda 7892, 7879\), [Fe\(\text{V}\)] \(\lambda\lambda 6087, 5720\) and 5267 as well as narrow He\(\text{I}\) \(\lambda\lambda 4388, 7065\). As highlighted in Fig. 3 and 5, the non-detection of such features at earlier phases is most likely due to the lower S/N and resolution of the spectra. As in SN 1995N (Fransson et al. 2002), these features can be interpreted as arising from the dense circumstellar gas. This scenario is also supported by the evolution of their peak intensities, significantly fainter at \(+410\) d where the S/N and resolution of the spectrum is sufficient to detect such features. In addition, [O\(\text{II}\)] \(\lambda\lambda 4363\) is clearly detected, although it is usually faint compared to [O\(\text{II}\)] \(\lambda\lambda 4959, 5007\), with \((j_{4959} + j_{4960})/j_{4363} \approx 50\) in typical H\(\text{II}\) regions and can be \(>> 50\) in galaxies hosting CC interacting SNe (see, e.g., Fransson et al. 2014, and references therein). The inferred value \((j_{5007} + j_{4959})/j_{4363} \approx 7.2\), implies very high temperatures and densities for the emitting gas (e.g. \(T_e \approx 2.7 \times 10^{5} \text{ K}\) for electron densities \(n_e \approx 10^{4} \text{ cm}^{-3}\) in a 5-level atom approximation; see De Robertis et al. 1987; Shaw & Dupree 1995), suggesting a circumstellar origin for the [O\(\text{II}\)] lines as well. This could imply a [O\(\text{II}\)] flux arising from different regions (i.e., both from an underlying H\(\text{II}\) region and the CSM). Alternatively, narrow features, such as [O\(\text{I}\)], [O\(\text{III}\)] and [S\(\text{III}\)], might all have circumstellar origin, along with Fe high ionization features, with different lines mapping regions with different densities. A similar behavior is observed in H\(\text{II}\) regions, where [O\(\text{I}\)] lines are thought to arise from outer regions of the nebula, whereas [O\(\text{III}\)] features are produced from more uniformly distributed gas (see, e.g., Nicholls et al. 2014, and references therein). In addition, [O\(\text{II}\)] lines were also observed in the CSM

\(^{12}\) https://www.eso.org/sci/facilities/paranal/instruments/xshooter/inst.html
of symbiotic novae (see, e.g., McKenna et al. 1997; Thackeray 1977).

While our data do not allow us to safely rule out one of these two scenarios, the evolution of the narrow lines over the remaining ~ 300 d of our spectroscopic coverage seems to support a circumstellar origin at least for the high ionization Fe features, with their fluxes decreasing significantly from +165 to +410 d. A similar evolution was observed also for the [S II] lines, although at +410 d their flux ratio remained roughly constant. At the same time, we also observed an increase in the (f4007 + f4959)/f5007 ratio at +410 d, implying a physical evolution of the gas towards lower temperatures and electron densities.

3.5. On the nature of SN 2018ijp

In the previous Sections we presented the peculiar photometric and spectroscopic evolution of SN 2018ijp and discussed its observables, favoring a scenario of a Type Ic-BL SN exploding within a dense pre-existing CSM. Despite strong signatures of interaction at t ≥ +65 d, the analysis of the spectrum at +24 d provides a very good match with the Type Ic-BL SN 1997ef (see Fig. 3). This gives clues about the nature of the explosion mechanism triggering SN 2018ijp, suggesting the CC of a stripped massive star as a viable progenitor for SN 2018ijp. At later times, the spectral evolution of SN 2018ijp closely resembles those of SN 1997cy (Turatto et al. 2000; Germany et al. 2000) and other similar transients, while the match is not as good for the Ia-CSM SN PTF11kx (Dilday et al. 2012) at +60 d ≤ t ≤ +81 d (with respect to maximum light), or for the Type Ic SN 1997ef itself (see Fig. 6), suggesting a spectral shape completely dominated by ongoing SN ejecta-CSM interaction (i.e., a blue continuum with prominent narrow recombination lines).

The analysis of the spectral region around the Hα line (Fig. 4), suggests the presence of a very broad, blue-shifted component, marginally visible since +24 d (see Fig. 3). This is at odds with a Type Ic origin if interpreted as fast-moving H-rich SN ejecta, with a significantly higher value (more than a factor of 2) with respect to those typically inferred from Hα in Type II SNe (see, e.g., the median value for the sample of Type II SNe of Gutiérrez et al. 2017). On the other hand, a high optical depth by incoherent electron scattering in the post-shock region could be able to explain the extended blue wing in Hα without invoking alternative interpretations for the observables of SN 2018ijp. A combined effect of a high optical depth and the shock velocity was also discussed by Taddia et al. (2020), see their Fig. 22 in order to explain the similarly structured Hα profile of SN 2013L. In this scenario, while the slope of the blue wing is strongly affected by the optical depth of the CSM, the suppression of the flux at redder wavelengths is caused by the efficient thermalization (observation) of the Hα photons in the SN ejecta. This scenario is also supported by the shape of Hα at +410 d, showing a boxy, flat-topped profile similar to that of SN 2013L, although with lower velocities, suggesting a lower expansion velocity of the shocked material.

Where the early spectral comparison seems to suggest the collapse of a stripped massive star as the most plausible progenitor of SN 2018ijp, a comparison of the absolute luminosities is also consistent with such a scenario (Fig. 7). At t ≤ 30 d, in particular, the photometric evolution of SN 2018ijp resembles that of the Ic-BL SN 1998bw, although with an apparent faster rise to maximum, supporting a Ic-CSM scenario with the late-time light curve dominated by the progressively stronger effects of ejecta-CSM interaction. Interaction as the dominant source of luminosity would explain the dramatic evolution in the observed spectral continuum from +24 and +65 d (see Sect. 3.2 and Fig. 3), as well as the shape of the bolometric light curve at t ≥ 25 d (see Fig. 2, right panel). In this context, the photometric evolution of SN 2018ijp can be divided into two main phases, a first one dominated by radioactive decays, suggesting a mass of expelled radioactive 56Ni of ≃ 0.3 M⊙ with respect to a total ejected mass of 1.5 M⊙ and kinetic energy of 6.5 × 1051 erg and an interaction-dominated phase where the SN ejecta collide with a ≃ 0.5 M⊙ H-rich pre-existing CSM (see Sect. 3.1.1). The early features observed in SN 2018ijp, with high expansion velocities measured from the +24 d spectrum, as well as the relatively high masses of radioactive 56Ni and pre-existing CSM, seem to support a massive star, most likely a WR, as its progenitor, with the CSM either produced during a previous evolutionary stage or by binary interactions with a lower-mass, H-rich companion.

Acknowledgements. The Oskar Klein Centre is funded by the Swedish Research Council. Based on observations obtained with the Samuel Oschin Telescope 48-inch and the 60-inch Telescope at the Palomar Observatory as part of the Zwicky Transient Facility project. ZTF is supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. AST-1440341 and a collaboration including Caltech, IPAC, the Weizmann Institute for Science, the Oskar Klein Center at Stockholm University, the University of Maryland, the University of Washington, Deutsches Elektronen-Synchrotron and Humboldt University, the Los Alamos National Laboratory, the TANGO Consortium of Taiwan, the University of Wisconsin at Milwaukee, and Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratories. Operations are conducted by COO, IPAC, and UW. SED Machine is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. 1106171. The data presented here were partly obtained with ALFOSC, which is provided by the Instituto de Astrofisica de Canarias with financial support from the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council.

The Liverpool Telescope is operated on the island of La Palma by Liverpool John Moores University in the Spanish Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos of the Instituto de Astrofisica de Canarias with financial support from the UK Science and Technology Facilities Council.

This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Extragalactic Database (NED), which is funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and operated by the California Institute of Technology.

This research has made use of the NASA/IPAC Infrared Science Archive, which is funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and operated by the California Institute of Technology.

This work was supported by the GROWTH project funded by the National Science Foundation under Grant No 1545949.

Funding for the Sloan Digital Sky Survey (SDSS) has been provided by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation, the Participating Institutions, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, the National Science Foundation, the U.S. Department of Energy, the Japanese Monbukagakusho, and the Max Planck Society. The SDSS Web site is http://www.sdss.org/.

The SDSS is managed by the Astrophysical Research Consortium (ARC) for the Participating Institutions. The Participating Institutions are The University of Chicago, Fermilab, the Institute for Advanced Study, the Japan Participation Group, The Johns Hopkins University, Los Alamos National Laboratory, the Max-Planck-Institute for Astronomy (MPIA), the Max-Planck-Institute for Astrophysics (MPA), New Mexico State University, University of Pittsburgh, Princeton University, the United States Naval Observatory, and the University of Washington.

The Pan-STARRS1 Surveys (PS1) and the PS1 public science archive have been made possible through contributions by the Institute for Astronomy, the University of Hawaii, the Pan-STARRS Project Office, the Max-Planck Society and its participating institutes, the Max Planck Institute for Astronomy, Heidelberg and the Max Planck Institute for Extraterrestrial Physics, Garching, The Johns Hopkins University, Durham University, the University of Edinburgh, the Queen’s University Belfast, the Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, the Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope Network Incorporated, the National Central University of Taiwan, the Space Telescope Science Institute, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under Grant No. NNX08AR22G issued through the Planetary Science Division of the NASA Science Mission Directorate, the National Science Foundation Grant No. AST-1238877, the University of Hawaii, and Eotvos Lorand University (ELTE), the Los Alamos National Laboratory, and the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation.

Y.-L. K. has received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation program (grant agreement No. 759194 USINAC).

This research has made use of the SVO Filter Profile Service (http://svo2.cab.inta-csic.es/theory/fps/) supported from the Spanish MINECO through grant AYA2017-84089.
Table 1. Log of the spectroscopic observations of SN 2018ijp

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>JD</th>
<th>Phase (d)</th>
<th>Instrumental setup</th>
<th>Grism/Grating</th>
<th>Spectral range (Å)</th>
<th>Resolution (λ/Å)</th>
<th>Exposure time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20181201</td>
<td>245854.08</td>
<td>+24d</td>
<td>Keck+LRIS</td>
<td>400/3400+400/8500</td>
<td>35000 – 100000</td>
<td>1000 – 7000</td>
<td>300 + 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20190111</td>
<td>2458498.64</td>
<td>+65d</td>
<td>NOT-ALFOSC</td>
<td>Gr4</td>
<td>4000 – 10000</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>2700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20190201</td>
<td>2458516.03</td>
<td>+81d</td>
<td>Keck+LRIS</td>
<td>400/3400+400/8500</td>
<td>3500 – 10000</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>600 + 600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20190204</td>
<td>2458519.58</td>
<td>+84d</td>
<td>NOT-ALFOSC</td>
<td>Gr4</td>
<td>4000 – 10000</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>2700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20190227</td>
<td>2458524.44</td>
<td>+105d</td>
<td>NOT-ALFOSC</td>
<td>Gr4</td>
<td>4000 – 10000</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>2 x 2700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20190504</td>
<td>2458607.56</td>
<td>+165d</td>
<td>VLT-X-shooter</td>
<td>UVB+VIS-NIR</td>
<td>2500 – 20000</td>
<td>5400 + 8900 + 5600</td>
<td>3 x (1200 + 1262 + 300)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20190904</td>
<td>2458576.89</td>
<td>+137d</td>
<td>Keck+LRIS</td>
<td>400/3400+400/8500</td>
<td>3500 – 10000</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>300 + 300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20200124</td>
<td>2458873.89</td>
<td>+410d</td>
<td>Keck+LRIS</td>
<td>400/3400 + 400/8500</td>
<td>3500 – 10000</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>1375</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes. NOT: 2.56 m Nordic Optical Telescope with ALFOSC; VLT: 8 m Very Large Telescope with X-shooter (ESO Observatorio del Paranal, Chile); Keck: 10 m Keck telescope with LRIS (Mauna Kea Observatory, Hawaii - U.S.A.). Data will be released through the Weizmann Interactive Supernova Synoptic data REpository (WISEREP); Yaron & Gal-Yam (2012)
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