

Table S1: Emissions Factors and Ratios from Literature

| Source | Type / Location | Reported values | Computed/Reported ER |
|--|--|---|---|
| <i>Primary data, convertible to OCS / CO and/or OCS / CO₂</i> | | | |
| Akagi 2013 | Extratropical Forest Prescribed Fires South Carolina Field Experiment | Ground-based (average values) 0.122 ± 0.187 g OCS / kg DM 1452 ± 130 g CO ₂ / kg DM 173 ± 43 g CO / kg DM Airborne (average values) 0.01 ± 0.003 g OCS / kg DM 1675 ± 42 g CO ₂ / kg DM 79 ± 19 g CO / kg DM | Ground-based 3.29 × 10 ⁻⁴ mol OCS / mol CO 6.16 × 10 ⁻⁵ mol OCS / mol CO ₂ Airborne 5.91 × 10 ⁻⁵ mol OCS / mol CO 4.38 × 10 ⁻⁶ mol OCS / mol CO ₂ |
| Andreae 1996* [cited in Delmas 1995 as Andreae 1995b] Table 27.8 In <i>Biomass Burning and Global Change</i> v.1] | Tropical Savanna Ivory Coast Field Experiment (SAFARI 92) | 0.01 mol OCS / 1000 mol CO ₂ 62 ± 10 mol CO / 1000 mol CO ₂ | 1.61 × 10 ⁻⁴ mol OCS / mol CO 1 × 10 ⁻⁵ mol OCS / mol CO ₂ |
| Balachandran 2013 | Temperate Forest (US) | Flaming: 0.0061 ± 0.0030 g OCS / kg DM 48.08 ± 35.02 g CO / kg DM 1425.14 ± 63.78 g CO ₂ / kg DM Smoldering: 0.0088 ± 0.0003 g OCS / kg DM 133.30 ± 54.16 g CO / kg DM 1324.67 ± 88.28 g CO ₂ / kg DM | Flaming: 5.92 × 10 ⁻⁵ mol OCS / mol CO 3.14 × 10 ⁻⁶ mol OCS / mol CO ₂ Smoldering: 3.08 × 10 ⁻⁵ mol OCS / mol CO 4.87 × 10 ⁻⁶ mol OCS / mol CO ₂ Andreae 2019 uses 60/40 flaming/smoldering average 0.00718 g OCS / kg DM 4.784 × 10 ⁻⁵ mol OCS / mol CO 3.832 × 10 ⁻⁶ mol OCS / mol CO |
| Bingemer 1992 ONLY USED FOR CO₂ | Tropical Forest Northern Congo Field Experiment (DECAFE 88) | 6.1 to 41 × 10 ⁻⁶ mol OCS / mol CO ₂ 6.1 from 150m vs free trop (3660m) 41 from 1370m vs free trop (3660m) | 6.1 - 41 × 10 ⁻⁶ mol OCS / mol CO ₂ Avg 2.355 × 10 ⁻⁵ mol OCS / mol CO ₂ |

| | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Blake 2008 Page 3 / Par 17 | Boreal Forest Flight 9 (Newfoundland) Plume from Alaska (INTEX-NA) Field Experiment | $12-20 \times 10^{-6}$ mol OCS / mol CO ₂ $0.09 (0.07-0.11) \times 10^{-3}$ mol OCS / mol CO (Correcting exponent in paper per correspondence with authors) | 9×10^{-5} mol OCS / mol CO (7-11) $12-20 \times 10^{-6}$ mol OCS / mol CO ₂ |
| Crutzen 1979 Table 2 Using Wild Basin Fire data only. | Extratropical forest Wild Basin, CO Forest Fire Field Experiment | 15.8×10^{-6} mol OCS / mol CO ₂ (range 5.4 - 28.6) 19.9 mol CO / 100 mol CO ₂ (range 15.8 - 25.1) | 7.94×10^{-5} mol OCS / mol CO Based on Wild Basin Fire 1.13×10^{-4} mol OCS / mol CO Using average CO value 15.8×10^{-6} mol OCS / mol CO ₂ (range 5.4 - 28.6) |
| Crutzen 1985 Using arithmetic means | Tropical Forest / Grass Amazon / Brazil Field Experiment [Canned samples] | Geometric Mean: 4.7×10^{-6} mol OCS / mol CO ₂ Range: $1.5 - 15 \times 10^{-6}$ Arithmetic Mean: 8.2×10^{-6} Arithmetic SD: 7.5×10^{-6} Thornton lists the OCS/CO ₂ range as well as the reported arithmetic mean. Geometric Mean: 0.121 mol CO / mol CO ₂ Range: 0.06 - 0.24 Arithmetic Mean: 0.154 Arithmetic SD: 0.1 Text later averages arithmetic and geometric means for N ₂ O | Dividing arithmetic means: 5.32×10^{-5} mol OCS / mol CO 8.2×10^{-6} (1.5 - 15) mol OCS / mol CO ₂ |
| Friedli 2001 Using temperate composite for this category | Temperate Forest "Temperate Composite" 2 CO, 2 MT fires Field Experiment | Table 1: 0.123×10^{-3} mol OCS / mol CO for "temperate composite" Table 12: Reports 125×10^{-6} mol OCS/mol CO for temperate, as well as global total emission of 36.25×10^9 g OCS / yr based on CO total in Koppmann 1997 Table 5; (extratropical forest CO emission of 58 Tg C / yr). | 1.23×10^{-4} mol OCS / mol CO for "temperate composite" |
| Friedli 2001 Using CA sage scrub for this category | Grassland 1 CA fire Riversidean Sage Scrub Field Experiment | Table 1: 0.090×10^{-3} mol OCS / mol CO for CA fire | 9.0×10^{-5} mol OCS / mol CO for CA fire |

| | | | |
|---|---|--|--|
| Lacaux 1993 [in <i>Fire and the Environment</i>] Same values in Lacaux 1995 (which was cited in Delmas 1995) | Tropical Savanna Ivory Coast / Africa Field Experiment (FOS-DECAFE 91) | 1.7×10^{-6} mol OCS / mol CO ₂ $0.02-0.04 \times 10^6$ tons OCS in Africa $0.04-0.06 \times 10^6$ tons OCS global 61×10^{-3} mol CO / mol CO ₂ $29-56 \times 10^6$ tons CO in Africa $56-83 \times 10^6$ tons CO global | 2.79×10^{-5} mol OCS / mol CO 1.7×10^{-6} mol OCS / mol CO ₂ |
| Liu 2017 Only using Rim Fire data | Extratropical Forest Rim Fire, CA Field Experiment | $5.9 \pm 0.9 \times 10^{-3}$ g OCS / kg DM 78.7 (4.0) g CO / kg DM [Rim Fire only] 1478 (11) g CO ₂ / kg DM [Rim Fire only] | 3.50×10^{-5} mol OCS / mol CO 2.93×10^{-6} mol OCS / mol CO ₂ |
| Meinardi 2003 Paragraph 10 ONLY USING FOR CO | Australian brush fire Ground samples Field experiment (BIBLE-B) | 5.4×10^{-5} mol OCS / mol CO OCS observed only during smoldering | 5.4×10^{-5} mol OCS / mol CO “No significant correlation with CO ₂ ” |
| Nguyen 1994 Table 3 Using avg of wet and dry season values | Agriculture / Tropical Forest Vietnam Field Experiment | Dry season rice straw $37.4 \pm 41.1 \times 10^{-6}$ OCS/CO ₂ 0.13 ± 0.10 CO/CO ₂ Wet Season Rice Straw $78.2 \pm 31.4 \times 10^{-6}$ OCS/CO ₂ 0.28 ± 0.11 CO/CO ₂ [Exponents are shifted in the table header. Compare to text.] | Dry season 2.87×10^{-4} mol OCS / mol CO $37.4 \pm 41.1 \times 10^{-6}$ OCS/CO ₂ Wet season 2.79×10^{-4} mol OCS / mol CO $78.2 \pm 31.4 \times 10^{-6}$ OCS/CO ₂ |
| Nguyen 1995 | Savanna Ivory Coast Field Experiment | Table 2: Mean: $11.4 \pm 15.1 \times 10^{-6}$ mol OCS / CO ₂ Range: $3 - 61 \times 10^{-6}$ mol OCS / CO ₂ Also provides estimates for Vietnam rice (mean 3.2, range 1.2 to 4.9) and two lab experiments of savanna plants (14.3 and 2.0) Figure 2: 8.5×10^{-5} mol OCS / mol CO | 8.5×10^{-5} mol OCS / mol CO 11.4×10^{-6} mol OCS / mol CO ₂ |
| Simpson 2011 | Boreal Forest Canada Field Experiment (ARCTAS 2008) | $(0.12 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-3}$ mol OCS / mol CO 0.029 ± 0.007 g OCS / kg DM 0.11 ± 0.07 mol CO / mol CO ₂ | $(1.2 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-4}$ mol OCS / mol CO 1.32×10^{-5} mol OCS / mol CO ₂ |

| | | | |
|---|--|---|---|
| | | <p>113 ± 72 g CO / kg DM</p> <p>1616 ± 180 g CO₂ / kg DM</p> <p>Total boreal 41 ± 26 Tg CO / yr Total boreal 10.5 ± 2.5 Gg OCS / yr</p> | |
| Stockwell 2016a | <p>Ag Residue (Table S9)</p> <p>(also includes garbage and cooking)</p> <p>(NAMaSTE)</p> | <p>OCS: 4.93×10^{-2} (3.47×10^{-2}) g OCS / kg DM</p> <p>CO: 72.3 (23.9) g CO / kg DM</p> <p>CO₂: 1401 (68) g CO₂ / kg DM</p> | <p>3.18×10^{-4} mol OCS / mol CO</p> <p>2.58×10^{-5} mol OCS / mol CO₂</p> |
| Stockwell 2016b | <p>Tropical Peat</p> <p>Indonesia</p> <p>El Niño year</p> <p>35 plumes</p> | <p>0.110 (0.036) g OCS / kg DM</p> <p>291 (49) g CO / kg DM</p> <p>1564 (77) g CO₂ / kg DM</p> | <p>1.76×10^{-4} mol OCS / mol CO</p> <p>5.16×10^{-5} mol OCS / mol CO₂</p> |
| Tereszchuk 2011 | <p>Tropical Forest</p> <p>Amazon Plume</p> <p>Table 1</p> | <p>3.3×10^{-4} mol OCS / mol CO</p> | <p>3.3×10^{-4} mol OCS / mol CO</p> |
| Tereszchuk 2011 | <p>Tropical Forest</p> <p>Congo Plume</p> <p>Table 1</p> | <p>8×10^{-5} mol OCS / mol CO</p> | <p>8×10^{-5} mol OCS / mol CO</p> |
| Tereszchuk 2011 | <p>Savanna</p> <p>Northern Australia Plume</p> <p>Table 1</p> | <p>2×10^{-4} mol OCS / mol CO</p> | <p>2×10^{-4} mol OCS / mol CO</p> |
| Tereszchuk 2011 | <p>Boreal Forest</p> <p>Canada Plume</p> <p>Table 1</p> | <p>2.1×10^{-4} mol OCS / mol CO</p> | <p>2.1×10^{-4} mol OCS / mol CO</p> |
| <p>Thornton 1996</p> <p>Table 3 / Page 1878</p> <p>Text / Page 1875</p> <p>ONLY USING FOR CO₂</p> | <p>Tropical Forest</p> <p>2 plumes sampled over Pacific</p> <p>Field Experiments</p> <p>(PEM West-A)</p> | <p>13×10^{-6} mol OCS / mol CO₂</p> | <p>13×10^{-6} mol OCS / mol CO₂</p> |
| <p>Yokelson 1997</p> <p>Table 1</p> <p>Only using</p> | <p>Boreal Peat</p> <p>MN and AK</p> <p>Lab Experiments</p> | <p>Minnesota:</p> <p>493.7 g C / kg dm</p> <p>0.127 mol OCS / 100 mol C</p> <p>15.2 mol CO / 100 mol C</p> | <p>MN: 8.36×10^{-3} mol OCS / mol CO</p> <p>1.62×10^{-3} mol OCS / mol CO₂</p> |

| | | | |
|--|--|---|---|
| Alaska peat value in average. | | <p>78.5 mol CO₂ / 100 mol C</p> <p>Alaska: [no estimate of C/DM] 0.005 mol OCS / 100 mol C 21.1 mol CO / 100 mol C 75.6 mol CO₂ / 100 mol C</p> <p>MN C x MN OCS 3.13 g OCS / kg DM MN C x AK OCS 0.12 g OCS / kg DM Average (1.62) and range (3.01) used in “boreal peat” component of Akagi 2011’s factors.</p> | <p>AK: 2.37×10^{-4} mol OCS / mol CO 6.61×10^{-5} mol OCS / mol CO₂</p> |
| <p>Yokelson 2007</p> <p>[cited in Yokelson 2008]</p> <p>Only using same-flight CO and CO₂ data.</p> | <p>Tropical Forest</p> <p>One planned fire / Brazil</p> <p>Field Experiment (TROFFEE 2004)</p> | <p>0.0247 g OCS / kg DM</p> <p>59.91 g CO / kg DM (same fire/flight) 101.41 ± 23.78 g CO / kg DM (average)</p> <p>1679 g CO₂ / kg DM (same fire/flight) 1615 ± 40 g CO₂ / kg DM (average)</p> <p>Yokelson 2008 also scales this up to an Amazon total of 0.0119 Tg (240 Tg DM), global total of 0.0329 Tg (1330 Tg DM)</p> | <p>1.92×10^{-4} mol OCS / mol CO (using same-flight CO)</p> <p>1.08×10^{-5} mol OCS / mol CO₂ (using same-flight CO₂)</p> <p>1.14×10^{-4} mol OCS / mol CO (using average CO)</p> <p>1.12×10^{-5} mol OCS / mol CO₂ (using average CO₂)</p> |
| <i>Primary data excluded from analysis</i> | | | |
| Blake 2004 | <p>Asian Anthropogenic and Biomass burning</p> <p>Field experiment (TRACE-P 2001)</p> <p>Note: these seem to include biofuel and other sources</p> | <p>Table 2</p> <p>$22 (7 - 46) \times 10^{-6}$ mol OCS / CO₂ $0.75 (0.35 - 0.96) \times 10^{-3}$ mol OCS / CO</p> <p>Also provides global BMB OCS totals from Watts 2000 (70Gg), Khalil 1984 (200 Gg) and Chin 1993 (140 Gg)</p> | Excluded from analysis because signal includes biofuels. |
| Blake / Simpson FLAME4 Data | <p>Canadian / Indonesian Peat</p> <p>Flight / field</p> | <p>Canadian Peat OCS / CO = 1.36×10^{-4} OCS / CO₂ = 3.32×10^{-5} CO / CO₂ = 0.245</p> | Excluded from analysis because only one or two samples are available. |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|--|
| Personal communication with Isobel Simpson | measurement | Indonesian peat: OCS / CO = 9.68×10^{-6} OCS / CO ₂ = 2.79×10^{-6} CO / CO ₂ = 0.288 | |
| Nguyen 1990 Cited in Chin 1993 and Akeredolu 1991 | Savanna / forest Ivory Coast / Nigeria Field Experiment | 3 - 20×10^{-6} mol OCS / mol CO ₂ Reported in Chin 1993 10.08×10^{-6} mol OCS / mol CO ₂ 5.4×10^{-4} Tg OCS (savanna) 2.5×10^{-4} Tg OCS (forest) Reported in Akeredolu 1991 | Excluded from analysis -- Data included in Nguyen 1995 |
| Yokelson 1997 Table 3 [cited in Yokelson 1999 and Goode 2000] | Average Lab Experiments | 0.04 mol OCS / 100 mol CO | 4×10^{-4} mol OCS / mol CO |
| Zhuang 1996 [T 71.3] [In <i>Biomass Burning and Global Change</i> v.2] | Crop Residue China Lab Experiment | Maize: 2.75 ± 0.23 g OCS / ton (n=7) Rice: 1.80 ± 0.12 g OCS / ton (n=9) Wheat: 2.05 ± 0.19 g OCS / ton (n=7) | Excluded from analysis -- no CO or CO ₂ relationship available. |
| Summary papers, some with OCS vs. CO comparison | | | |
| Akagi 2011 | SUMMARY | g OCS / kg DM Tropical: 0.025 Savanna: - Crop: - Pasture: - Boreal: 0.46 (0.47) Temperate: - Extratropical: 0.46 (0.47) Peatland: 1.20 (2.21) g CO / kg DM Tropical: 93 (27) Savanna: 63 (17) Crop: 102 (33) Pasture: 135 (38) Boreal: 127 (45) Temperate: 89 (32) Extratropical: 122 (44) Peatland: 182 (60) g CO ₂ / kg DM | mol OCS / mol CO Tropical: 1.25×10^{-4} Boreal: 1.69×10^{-3} Extratropical: 1.76×10^{-3} Peatland: 3.08×10^{-3} mol OCS / mol CO ₂ Tropical: 1.12×10^{-5} Boreal: 2.27×10^{-4} Extratropical: 2.24×10^{-4} Peatland: 5.6×10^{-4} |

| | | | |
|---|--|--|---|
| | | <p>Tropical: 1643 (58) Savanna: 1686 (38) Crop: 1585 (100) Pasture: 1548 (142) Boreal: 1489 (121) Temperate: 1637 (71) Extratropical: 1509 (98) Peatland: 1563 (65)</p> | |
| <p>Akeredolu 1991 Citing Nguyen 1990 [in <i>Global Biomass Burning</i>]</p> | <p>SUMMARY Tropical Savanna / Forest Nigeria</p> | <p>0.1 mol CO / mol CO₂ based on Greenberg 1984 and Crutzen 1989 2.4 Tg CO (savanna) 1.1 Tg CO (forest)</p> | <p>1.08×10^{-4} mol OCS / mol CO Using OCS value from Nguyen 1990 and CO value from Greenberg and/or Crutzen</p> |
| <p>Andreae 1991 [in <i>Global Biomass Burning</i>]</p> | <p>SUMMARY</p> | <p>OCS 0.01×10^{-3} mol OCS / mol CO₂ 0.02 g OCS / kg DM 0.04 Tg (africa savanna) 0.07 Tg (global savanna) 0.21 Tg biomass burning 0.38 Tg all anthro (based on Chin and Davis 1993)</p> <p>CO 62×10^{-3} mol CO / mol CO₂ 65 g CO / kg DM 130 Tg af savanna 240 Tg global savanna 680 Tg biomass burning 1600 Tg all anthro based on Houghton 1995</p> <p>Table 1.4 gives OCS (field) $0.005-0.016 \times 10^{-3}$ mol OCS / mol CO₂ CO (field) 65-140 mol CO / 1000 mol CO₂ CO (lab) 59-105 mol CO / 1000 mol CO₂ CO best guess 100 mol CO / 1000 mol CO₂</p> | <p>1.6×10^{-4} mol OCS / mol CO</p> |
| <p>Andreae 2001</p> | <p>SUMMARY</p> | <p>g OCS / kg DM Savanna: 0.015 ± 0.009 Tropical: 0.04 Extratropical: 0.030-0.036 [using 0.033] Biofuel: 0.04 Charcoal Making: 0.04 Charcoal Burning: 0.04 Ag Waste: 0.065 ± 0.077</p> | <p>mol OCS / mol CO Savanna: 1.08×10^{-4} Tropical: 1.79×10^{-4} Extratropical: 1.44×10^{-4} Biofuel: 2.39×10^{-4} Charcoal Making: 2.67×10^{-4} Charcoal Burning: 9.33×10^{-5} Ag Waste: 3.30×10^{-4}</p> |

| | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| | | <p>g CO / kg DM Savanna: 65 ± 20 Tropical: 104 ± 20 Extratropical: 107 ± 37 Biofuel: 78 ± 31 Charcoal Making: 70 Charcoal Burning: 200 ± 38 Ag Waste: 92 ± 84</p> <p>g CO₂ / kg DM Savanna: 1613 ± 95 Tropical: 1580 ± 90 Extratropical: 1569 ± 131 Biofuel: 1550 ± 95 Charcoal Making: 440 Charcoal Burning: 2611 ± 241 Ag Waste: 1515 ± 177</p> | <p>mol OCS / mol CO₂</p> <p>Savanna: 6.82 × 10⁻⁶ Tropical: 1.86 × 10⁻⁵ Extratropical: 1.54 × 10⁻⁵ Biofuel: 1.89 × 10⁻⁵ Charcoal Making: 6.67 × 10⁻⁵ Charcoal Burning: 1.12 × 10⁻⁵ Ag Waste: 3.15 × 10⁻⁵</p> |
| Chin 1993 | SUMMARY | <p>0.14 (0.04-0.26) Tg (OCS) / yr Based on Crutzen 1979, Crutzen 1985, Bingemer 1990, Nguyen 1990 for OCS Based on Andreae 1991, Crutzen 1990 for C emissions.</p> | |
| Crutzen 1990 Cited in Crutzen 1993 | SUMMARY Global biomass burning | <p>0.01 ± 0.005 mol OCS / 100 mol CO₂ 0.04-0.2 Tg S OCS from BMB 0.6-1.5 Tg S OCS from "all sources"</p> <p>10 ± 5 mol CO / 100 mol CO₂ 120-510 Tg CO from BMB 600-1300 Tg CO from "all sources"</p> | 1 × 10 ⁻⁵ mol OCS / mol CO |
| Khalil 1984 | SUMMARY | <p>200 Gg OCS based on Crutzen 1979's 15.8 × 10⁻⁶ OCS/CO₂</p> | |
| Taylor and Zimmerman 1991 [in <i>Global Biomass Burning</i>] | SUMMARY | <p>Total Methane 63.4 × 10¹² g CH₄ Based on Crutzen 1979 and Greenberg 1984</p> <p>9.88 × 10⁻⁴ mol OCS / mol CH₄ Total 0.13 × 10¹² g S From Crutzen</p> <p>8.75 mol CO / mol CH₄ => 971 × 10¹² g CO from Crutzen 12.9 mol CO / mol CH₄ => 1431 × 10¹² g CO from Greenberg</p> | <p>1.1 × 10⁻⁴ mol OCS / mol CO</p> <p>Differs from published estimate because Taylor uses the average CO and CH₄ values, rather than just the Wild Basin Fire ones.</p> |

| | | | |
|------------|---------|--|--|
| Watts 2000 | SUMMARY | Text page 765 gives 0.11 Tg/yr OCS based on Kelly and Smith 1990 0.14 ± 0.12 Tg/yr OCS based on Chin and Davis 1993 0.07 ± 0.05 Tg/yr OCS based on Nguyen 1995 Table 4 gives 0.07 ± 0.05 Tg/yr OCS based on Nguyen 1995 | |
|------------|---------|--|--|