

PARTIALLY CONSERVED AXIAL-VECTOR CURRENT AND THE DECAYS OF VECTOR MESONS*

Ken Kawarabayashi* and Mahiko Suzuki

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California
(Received 3 January 1966)

The notion of a partially conserved axial-vector current¹ (PCAC) and the current commutation relations that generate the algebra $U(2) \otimes U(2)$ have been successfully applied to the calculation of the axial-vector constant renormalization² and to the nonleptonic decays of hyperons and K mesons.³ In this note, we wish to report on further applications of these ideas to the decays of vector mesons.

Let us first consider the following transition process: $A \rightarrow B + \pi^i + \pi^j$, in general.⁴ The states A and B are specified later. The transition matrix for this process may be expressed as

$$T_{A \rightarrow B + \pi^i + \pi^j} = \frac{-1}{(4\omega_i \omega_j)^{1/2}} \int d^4x \int d^4y \exp(-ik^i x - ik^j y) \times K_x K_y \langle A_{\text{in}} | T[\varphi^i(x), \varphi^j(y)] | B_{\text{out}} \rangle, \quad (1)$$

where $\varphi^i(x)$ is the renormalized pion field with isospin i , and K_x stands for the Klein-Gordon operator.

With the PCAC relation

$$\partial_\alpha \mathcal{F}_{i\alpha}^5(x) = ic^{-1} \varphi^i(x), \quad c = \frac{g_V K(0)}{M\mu_\pi} \begin{pmatrix} G_V \\ -G_A \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

Eq. (1), after integration by parts,⁵ can be transformed into

$$T_{A \rightarrow B + \pi^i + \pi^j} = \frac{c^2}{(4\omega_i \omega_j)^{1/2}} \left\{ \int d^4x \int d^4y \exp(-ik^i x - ik^j y) K_x K_y \times [\delta(x_0 - y_0) \langle A_{\text{in}} | [\mathcal{F}_{i0}^5(x), \partial_\beta \mathcal{F}_{j\beta}^5(y)] | B_{\text{out}} \rangle + ik_\alpha^i \delta(x_0 - y_0) \langle A_{\text{in}} | [\mathcal{F}_{i\alpha}^5(x), \mathcal{F}_{j0}^5(y)] | B_{\text{out}} \rangle - k_\alpha^i k_\beta^j \langle A_{\text{in}} | T[\mathcal{F}_{i\alpha}^5(x), \mathcal{F}_{j\beta}^5(y)] | B_{\text{out}} \rangle \right\}. \quad (3)$$

We now take a limit of both pion four-momenta going to zero, keeping terms up to first or-

der in each pion momentum in Eq. (3), and find

$$\lim_{k^i \rightarrow 0} \lim_{k^j \rightarrow 0} (4\omega_i \omega_j)^{1/2} T_{A \rightarrow B + \pi^i + \pi^j} = 2\pi \delta(E_A - E_B) c^2 \mu_\pi^4 \left\{ \langle A_{\text{in}} | [F_i^5(0), \dot{F}_j^5(0)] | B_{\text{out}} \rangle + ik_\alpha^i \langle A_{\text{in}} | [F_{i\alpha}^5(0), F_j^5(0)] | B_{\text{out}} \rangle \right\}, \quad (4)$$

where $F_{i\alpha}^5(x_0) = \int d^3x \mathcal{F}_{i\alpha}^5(x, x_0)$ with $F_i^5 \equiv F_i^5$.

It is convenient to separate our discussions for those processes in which two pions are emitted either in the S state (isospin symmetric) or in the P state (isospin antisymmetric). Equation (4) cannot be applied for states higher than the P state, since we have neglected higher powers of pion momentum.

Noticing that from the commutation relations the first term of Eq. (4) is symmetric with respect to isospin indices, while the second one is antisymmetric, we obtain⁶

$$\lim_{k^i \rightarrow 0} \lim_{k^j \rightarrow 0} (4\omega_i \omega_j)^{1/2} T_{A \rightarrow B + \{\pi^i + \pi^j\}} = i(2\pi) \delta(E_A - E_B) c^2 \mu_\pi^4 \langle A_{\text{in}} | u_0(0) | B_{\text{out}} \rangle, \quad (5)$$

$$\lim_{k^i \rightarrow 0} \lim_{k^j \rightarrow 0} (4\omega_i \omega_j)^{1/2} T_{A \rightarrow B + [\pi^i + \pi^j]} = -(2\pi) \delta(E_A - E_B) c^2 \mu_\pi^4 \left[\frac{1}{2}(k^i - k^j)_\alpha \right] \times \langle A_{\text{in}} | F_{k\alpha}(0) | B_{\text{out}} \rangle, \quad (6)$$

where we have used the commutation relations⁷

$$[F_{i\alpha}^5(0), F_j^5(0)] = i\epsilon_{ijk} F_{k\alpha}^5(0), \quad (7)$$

and

$$[F_i^5(0), \dot{F}_j^5(0)] = i\delta_{ij} u_0(0), \quad (8)$$

with

$$F_{i\alpha}^5(0) \equiv \int d^3x \mathcal{F}_{i\alpha}^5(x, 0).$$

The operator u_0 is not specified here. Equation (8) may be regarded as a definition of u_0 .

It is easily seen from Eq. (6) that the process $A \rightarrow B + [\pi^i + \pi^j]$ is now related to that of $A \rightarrow B +$ isovector photon in the limit of both k^i and k^j being continued to zero.

Next we state results on the applications of Eq. (6) to the decays of vector mesons.

(i) $A = \rho$ meson, $B =$ vacuum; we get⁸

$$f_{\rho\pi\pi} = c^2 \mu_{\pi}^4 m_{\rho}^2 / f_{\rho}, \quad (9)$$

where the constants $f_{\rho\pi\pi}$ and f_{ρ} are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \rho | \pi^i \pi^j \rangle &= -(2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p^{\rho} - k^i - k^j) (8m_{\rho} \omega_i \omega_j)^{-1/2} \\ &\times \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{\alpha}^k (k^i - k^j)_{\alpha} f_{\rho\pi\pi}, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and⁹

$$\langle \rho | \mathcal{F}_{3\alpha}(0) | 0 \rangle = (2m_{\rho})^{-1/2} \epsilon_{\alpha}^3 m_{\rho}^2 / f_{\rho}. \quad (11)$$

We denote the polarization vector of the ρ me-

son with isospin i by ϵ_{α}^i .

Now, with an additional assumption that ρ mesons universally couple to the isovector current, $f_{\rho\pi\pi} = f_{\rho}$, we finally obtain

$$f_{\rho\pi\pi}^2 = c^2 \mu_{\pi}^4 m_{\rho}^2, \quad (12)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f_{\rho\pi\pi}^2}{4\pi} &= \left(\frac{g_{\gamma}^2}{4\pi} \right) \left(\frac{-G}{G_V} \right)^{-2} \frac{m_{\rho}^2}{2M^2} K^2(0) \\ &\approx 3.3K^2(0). \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

If one assumes $K^2(0) \approx 1$, the decay width of the ρ meson comes out to be $\Gamma_{\rho} \approx 165$ MeV.¹⁰ We believe that the agreement with experimental data is fair.

(ii) $A = \omega$ meson, $B = \pi^0$. We can get a ratio for the decays of $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^- + \pi^0$ and $\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 + \gamma$. In fact, we have¹¹

$$(f_{\omega-3\omega}) / \mu_{\pi}^3 = 2c^2 \mu_{\pi}^3 f_{\omega\pi\gamma}, \quad (15)$$

where $f_{\omega-3\omega}$ and $f_{\omega\pi\gamma}$ are defined through the relations

$$\langle \omega | \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0 \rangle = (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p^{\omega} - k^+ - k^- - k^0) (16m_{\omega} \omega_+ \omega_- \omega_0)^{-1/2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \epsilon_{\mu}^{\omega} \epsilon_{\nu}^{k^+} \epsilon_{\lambda}^{k^-} \epsilon_{\sigma}^{k^0} f_{\omega-3\pi} / \mu_{\pi}^3, \quad (16)$$

$$\langle \omega | \pi^0 \gamma \rangle = (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p^{\omega} - k^0 - q^{\gamma}) (8m_{\omega} \omega_0 \omega_{\gamma})^{-1/2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \epsilon_{\mu}^{\omega} \epsilon_{\nu}^{\gamma} \epsilon_{\lambda}^{q^{\gamma}} \epsilon_{\sigma}^{k^0} e f_{\omega\pi\gamma} / \mu_{\pi}. \quad (17)$$

It is straightforward, from Eq. (15), to calculate the branching ratio of the two decay modes, which comes out to be

$$\frac{\Gamma(\omega \rightarrow \pi^0 + \gamma)}{\Gamma(\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^- + \pi^0)} \approx 17\%. \quad (18)$$

The observed ratio is about 14%.¹² Again, the agreement is reasonable. It is interesting to note that the numerical value obtained here turns out to be almost the same as that estimated by Gell-Mann, Sharp, and Wagner,¹³ although we have not assumed any particular model in order to get the above ratio. This is not surprising, however, since in both methods this ratio only depends upon the ρ -meson couplings and we predicted, through Eq. (12), the correct value for the ρ -meson width.

(iii) $A = \gamma$, $B = \pi^0$. This is quite analogous to the previous case (ii). We get a relation

between $\gamma \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^- + \pi^0$ and $\pi^0 \rightarrow 2\gamma$,

$$f_{\gamma-3\pi} / \mu_{\pi}^3 = 2c^2 \mu_{\pi}^3 f_{\pi^0-2\gamma}, \quad (19)$$

where the couplings $f_{\gamma-3\pi}$ and $f_{\pi^0-2\gamma}$ are defined in Eqs. (16) and (17) with ω replaced by γ . We estimate the magnitude of the coupling $f_{\gamma-3\pi}$ from the observed lifetime of π^0 and find

$$|f_{\gamma-3\pi}| \approx 3.7 \times 10^{-2}. \quad (20)$$

The ratio (20) is not far from the estimate given by one of us,¹⁴ although this is smaller than that expected from the SU(3) model.¹⁵

A few remarks are in order here. If we choose $A = B =$ nucleon in Eq. (6), we would arrive at the Adler-Weisberger formula which connects the axial-vector coupling-constant renormalization to the isospin-antisymmetric part of the forward pion-nucleon scattering

amplitude evaluated at threshold. An application of Eq. (5) for the case of $A=B$ = nucleon will be discussed elsewhere.¹⁶

Finally, we would like to emphasize the fact that in an idealized world where all pion momenta are appropriately continued to zero, various phenomena involving pions are greatly simplified¹⁷; we have, for instance, the exact Adler-Weisberger relation² and $\Delta I = \frac{1}{2}$ rule for the nonleptonic decays of Λ and Ξ and K mesons.³ Moreover, the axial-vector currents are presumably conserved in this limit.¹⁶ It seems that all these features are not far from reality.

The authors wish to express their appreciation to Professor Murray Gell-Mann for many stimulating discussions. It is our pleasure to thank Professor Roger F. Dashen for the careful reading of the manuscript and for useful comments.

*Work supported in part by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission. Prepared under Contact No. AT(11-1)-68 for the San Francisco Operations Office, U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

†On leave from the Institute of Physics, College of General Education, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan.

¹M. Gell-Mann and M. Levy, *Nuovo Cimento* **16**, 705 (1960); Y. Nambu, *Phys. Rev.* **4**, 380 (1960).

²S. L. Adler, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **14**, 1051 (1965); W. I. Weisberger, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **14**, 1047 (1965).

³M. Suzuki, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **15**, 986 (1965); H. Sugawara, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **15**, 870, 997(E) (1965); M. Suzuki, California Institute of Technology Report No. CALT-68-58, 1965 (unpublished).

⁴The method we have employed here is somewhat overlapping with those discussed by several authors: S. L. Adler, *Phys. Rev.* **140**, B736 (1965); W. I. Weisberger, to be published; R. F. Dashen, private communication.

⁵The surface terms arising from the partial integration in the time variable are neglected.

⁶We have explicitly antisymmetrized Eq. (5) with respect to k^i and k^j , since the two pions are in the isospin antisymmetric state.

⁷M. Gell-Mann, *Phys. Rev.* **125**, 1067 (1962).

⁸In terms of the decay form factor $F(p_\rho^2, k_i^2, k_j^2)$, the effective decay constant is defined at the value $F(m_\rho^2, \mu_\pi^2, \mu_\pi^2)$, while our constant $f_{\rho\pi\pi}$ is rather related to $F(0, 0, 0)$. See Ref. 10 for possible corrections.

⁹M. Gell-Mann and F. Zachariasen, *Phys. Rev.* **124**,

953 (1961). The constant f_ρ that appears in Eq. (11) is equivalent to $2\gamma_\rho$ in this reference.

¹⁰There are several terms that could modify this value. First of all, we must continue the matrix element given here back to the physical mass shell. Corrections coming from the propagators are given by $d_\rho(m_\rho^2)/d_\rho(0)$ and $d_\pi(\mu_\pi^2)/d_\pi(0)$, where $d_\rho(s)$ and $d_\pi(s)$ are the numerators of the exact propagators of the ρ meson and the pion, respectively. With the definition of

$$d_\rho(s^2) = \delta_{ij} d^{(1)}(s^2) + (p_i p_j / m_\rho^2) d^{(2)}(s),$$

we have

$$d^{(1)}(s) = 1 + \frac{m^2 - s}{\pi} \int \frac{\sigma(s')}{s' - s} ds'$$

in the renormalized form. There is no contribution from $d^{(2)}(s)$ for the physical ρ meson at rest. We know that

$$d^{(1)}(m^2)/d^{(1)}(0) = [1 + (m^2/\pi) \int \sigma(s)/s ds]^{-1}$$

is less than unity, since $\sigma(s)$ is positive definite. Presumably, the correction coming from $d_\pi(\mu_\pi^2)/d_\pi(0)$ is small. In the case of $d_\rho(m_\rho^2)$, the pole term due to the single ρ -meson state is embedded in the continuum. If we take $m_\rho = 765$ MeV and $\Gamma_\rho = 100$ MeV, the correction factor $d_\rho^{(1)}(m_\rho^2)/d_\rho^{(1)}(0)$ turns out to be 0.65. After this correction, we have

$$f_{\rho\pi\pi}^2/4\pi = 2.1,$$

which corresponds to $\Gamma_\rho = 110$ MeV.

¹¹Although the matrix element for the decay of $\omega \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^- + \pi^0$ has the explicit momentum dependence like Eq. (16), there is no contribution from the third term in Eq. (3) that we neglected before. This is clear since the term is symmetric with respect to k_{α^+} and k_{α^-} as far as we can keep terms up to linear in each momentum. In order to avoid a possible contribution from the first term, one has to assume the local analog of the commutation relation (8).

¹²A. H. Rosenfeld, A. Barbaro-Galtieri, W. H. Barkas, P. L. Bastien, J. Kirz, and M. Roos, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **37**, 633 (1965).

¹³M. Gell-Mann, D. Sharp, and W. G. Wagner, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **8**, 261 (1962).

¹⁴K. Kawarabayashi, *Nuovo Cimento* **26**, 1017 (1962).
¹⁵S. Okubo and B. Sakita, *Phys. Rev. Letters* **11**, 50 (1963).

¹⁶K. Kawarabayashi and W. W. Wada, to be published.

¹⁷This viewpoint has been discussed by Nambu: Y. Nambu and D. Lurié, *Phys. Rev.* **125**, 1429 (1962); Y. Nambu and E. Shrauner, *Phys. Rev.* **128**, 862 (1962).