Interaction interface in the C-terminal parts of centriole proteins Sas6 and Ana2
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The centriole is a ninefold symmetrical structure found at the core of centrosomes and in the form of basal bodies, at the base of cilia, whose conserved duplication is regulated by Plk4 kinase. Plk4 phosphorylates a single serine residue at the N-terminus of Ana2 to promote Ana2’s loading to the site of procentriole formation. Four conserved serines in Ana2’s STAN motif are then phosphorylated by Plk4, enabling Sas6 recruitment. Crystallographic data indicate that the coiled–coil domain of Ana2 forms a tetramer but the structure of full-length Ana2 has not been solved. Here, we have employed hydrogen–deuterium exchange coupled with mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) to uncover the conformational dynamics of Ana2, revealing the high flexibility of this protein with one rigid region. To determine the elusive nature of the interaction surfaces between Ana2 and Sas6, we have confirmed complex formation between the phosphomimetic form of Ana2 (Ana2-4D) and Sas6 in vitro and in vivo. Analysis of this complex by HDX-MS identifies short critical regions required for this interaction, which lie in the C-terminal parts of both proteins. Mutational studies confirmed the relevance of these regions for the Ana2–Sas6 interaction. The Sas6 site required for Ana2 binding is distinct from the site required for Sas6 to bind Gorab and Sas6 is able to bind both these protein partners simultaneously.

1. Introduction

Centrioles are ninefold symmetrical structures at the core of centrosomes and in the form of basal bodies, at the base of cilia, which when dysfunctional lead to a wide range of inherited diseases including ciliopathies and microcephaly, and which frequently show abnormalities in structure and number in cancer [1]. Centriole duplication is regulated by the conserved protein kinase, Polo-like kinase 4 (Plk4), whose levels and activity are critical to ensure cells have a single centrosome, each comprising a parent centriole and extended procentriole at each of their spindle poles during mitosis [2,3]. Depletion or inhibition of Plk4 leads to the loss of centrioles [4,5], and its overexpression can result in their de novo formation and overduplication [6–8]. Plk4 displays distinct modes of recruitment to centrioles in different model systems. In Caenorhabditis elegans, Zyg1 (the Plk4 homologue) is targeted to centrioles via its interaction with Spd2. In Drosophila, it is recruited via an interaction with another centriolar protein—Asterless (Asl). In mammalian systems, however, the concerted action of both of these proteins’ homologues (Cep192 and Cep152, respectively) is required for the correct centriolar targeting of Plk4 [9–13].

Plk4 is initially recruited by Asl to multiple sites in a ring-like formation at the periphery of the centriole mirroring the ring-like distribution of Asl itself. Plk4 first phosphorylates a single serine residue, in a conserved region of Ana2 (Drosophila) /STIL(human) at its N-terminal part, which promotes Ana2
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reveals that Sas6 can accommodate the binding of both Ana2 within Sas6 of Ana2 within the Ana2 assays and mutational studies to map the interacting surfaces.

We have then used a combination of HDX-MS together with size exclusion chromatography. We recently found that the C-terminal part of Sas6 binds Gorab, a trans-Golgi-associated protein, whose human counterpart is mutated in the wrinkled skin disease, gerodermia osteodysplastica [27–30]. Ana2 possesses a Sas4-binding site at its N-terminus, a coiled-coil (C–C) domain in the central part, and a STAN motif at its C-terminus. Ana2 forms a tetramer through its C–C region and interacts with Sas6 via the STAN motif [31–37]. Although a crystal structure of Ana2’s C–C domain has been determined, the crystal structure of the full-length Ana2 has not been resolved. As both Ana2 and Gorab interact with the C-terminal part of Sas6, it becomes important to understand the relationship of these binding sites with each other. To gain more understanding about the structure of Ana2 and its interaction with Sas6, we have employed hydrogen–deuterium exchange monitored by mass spectrometry (HDX-MS) to obtain structural information of exposed regions of these molecules alone and in the complex. HDX enables monitoring of the exchange of main chain amide protons for deuterium in solution. In an HDX-MS experiment, the protein sample is incubated in the deuterium buffer for a given time. Main chain amide protons exchange with deuterium from the buffer at different rates depending, among other factors, on the dynamics of the hydrogen bonds in which they are involved. Regions of highly dynamic structure undergo rapid exchange, whereas rigid regions, with amides engaged in stable hydrogen bonding, exchange slowly. The level of exchange reveals the extent of dynamic structural elements within a protein. Moreover, protein–protein interactions can also affect exchange in nearby regions, close to interaction surfaces and allosteric changes can also be observed [38–42]. Here, we have reconstructed the Ana2–Sas6 complex in vitro and purified it using size exclusion chromatography. We have then used a combination of HDX-MS together with in vitro and in vivo binding assays and mutational studies to map the interacting surfaces within the Ana2–Sas6 complex. This confirms the interaction of Ana2’s STAN motif with Sas6 and defines the region within Sas6’s C–C responsible for that interaction. It also reveals that Sas6 can accommodate the binding of both Ana2 and Gorab through interactions at different sites.

2. Results and discussion

Knowledge of the organization of the Ana2 protein will be central to understanding its role in initiating the formation of the ninefold symmetrical cartwheel of the procentriole. As no crystal structure of the full-length Ana2 protein has been determined, we turned to HDX-MS as a tool to study its conformational dynamics. HDX can assess the flexibility of protein regions by measuring the exchange of amide protons in the polypeptide chain with deuterium atoms after incubation with heavy water for predetermined times [40,41].

HDX-MS of Ana2 revealed that the protein is highly flexible. Even after a short time of incubation with D2O buffer (10 s), deuterium exchange was complete along almost the full length of the protein (figure 1a). Only a short region, amino acids (aa) 186–220, remained protected from exchange. This overlapped with a known C–C motif (aa195–229) [36] and was detected using an in silico C–C prediction algorithm (figure 1a,b). The high flexibility of Ana2 protein strongly suggests it is unstructured and belongs to the class of intrinsically disordered proteins (IDP) in accordance with an in silico IDP prediction algorithm (figure 1c).

Recruitment of Sas6 to the procentriole is dependent upon the phosphorylation of four conserved serine residues.
in Ana2’s STAN motif [14]. To be able to investigate this interaction by HDX, we set about reconstructing complex formation between Sas6 and a phosphomimetic variant of Ana2 (Ana2-4D) in vitro. To this end, we conducted an in vitro pull-down assay (binding assay) using baits of either wild-type (wt) GST-tagged Ana2 or GST-tagged Ana2 in which the STAN motif serines 318, 365, 370 and 373 were substituted by aspartic acid (Ana2-4D). Since Ana2-wt appeared to be less stable and degrades to a greater extent than Ana2-4D, we adjusted the amounts of full-length protein baits for the assay and both were used in comparable amounts. The experiment revealed that Ana2-4D could directly interact with Sas6, whereas Ana2-wt could not (figure 2a). We found that the complex formed between Ana2-4D and Sas6 was stable and could be fractionated by size exclusion chromatography (SEC) (figure 2b).

To determine whether the phosphomimetic form of Ana2 displayed any differences in structural stability from the wild-type form, we compared patterns of exchange in Ana2-wt with Ana2-4D by HDX-MS. This revealed that the HDX profiles of the two Ana2 variants after a 10 s incubation time with heavy water were almost identical (figure 3a,b). These experiments indicated similarly positioned short structured elements in both variants and similarly high flexibility of the remaining parts, even though their ability to bind Sas6 differs.

We next aimed to identify the region of Sas6 that is responsible for binding Ana2-4D. We therefore carried out HDX-MS to compare the deuterium exchange profile of Sas6 alone to Sas6 in complex with Ana2-4D. A comparison of the HDX profiles revealed reduced deuteration of Sas6 in the region aa 385–410, strongly suggesting the interaction surface encompasses this area (figure 4a,b). A multiple sequence alignment of this region comparing Sas6 homologues among several species revealed several highly conserved residues (figure 4c). To confirm the importance of this region of Sas6 for binding Ana2-4D, we designed a set of Sas6 deletions and point mutations in conserved amino acids for testing in an in vitro binding assay. This revealed that deletions aa 385–410, aa 385–398 and polyalanine mutant (V397A, Q400A, Q401A, E402A, K403A) abolish the interaction with Ana2-4D whereas deletion aa 398–410 still binds Ana2-4D but weakly (figure 4d). Mutation of the two conserved residues L387A and I391A did not affect the ability of Sas6 to bind Ana2-4D (figure 4d). To validate these findings in a more physiological context, we co-transfected D.Mel-2 cells with FLAG-Ana2 (either the non-phosphorylatable 4A mutant or the 4D) and Sas6-Myc (either wt or Δ385–410). After FLAG-pulldowns, we confirmed that Sas6-wt could

**Figure 2.** Ana2-4D interacts with Sas6 forming a stable complex in vitro. (a) SDS–PAGE of the binding assay in which Ana2-wt or Ana2-4D is the bait and Sas6 is the prey. Phosphomimetic version of Ana2 (Ana2-4D) binds Sas6 in vitro. (b) SEC of Ana2-4D, Sas6 and the Ana2-4D-Sas6 complex. Yellow, absorbance at 280 nm of MBP-Ana2-4D; blue, absorbance 280 nm of MBP-Sas6; green, absorbance 280 nm of MBP-Ana2-4D-MBP-Sas6 complex. Shift in retention time between single proteins and protein mixture indicates complex formation.

**Figure 3.** Structural comparison of Ana2-wt and Ana2-4D. (a) HDX pattern of Ana2-wt following a 10 s incubation with heavy water. Blue bars on Woods plots represent proteolytic peptides identified by mass spectrometry (MS) and positioned at the y-axis in relation to the Ana2 amino acid sequence, while their corresponding fraction of deuterated fraction is shown at the x-axis. A comparison of Ana2-wt and Ana2-4D HDX patterns reveals reduced deuteration of Ana2-4D at amino acids 385–410. (b) HDX pattern of Ana2-4D following a 10 s incubation with heavy water (mean of two experiments). Both HDX patterns (Ana2-wt and Ana2-4D) are consistently showing high flexibility along almost the full length of the protein, except for C–C region.
only interact with Ana2-4D but not with Ana2-4A (figure 5c). This verifies our previous findings that Ana2 and Sas6 can only associate following phosphorylation (in this case simulated by the phosphomimetic version Ana2-4D) of Ana2 STAN motif by Plk4. Using this ‘activated’ Ana2-4D mutant protein, we then confirmed that it was only able to bind to Sas6-wt, but not to Sas6-Δ385–410 (figure 5b). Together, these results suggest that the entire region aa385–410 of Sas6 serves as an interaction surface for Ana2-4D.

In the reciprocal analysis, we attempted to identify the region of the Ana2-4D HDX profile sensing the presence of Sas6 upon complex formation (figure 6). Upon 10 s incubation with D₂O, we could observe mild, yet significant differences in Sas6 upon complex formation (figure 6). Upon 10 s incubation of Sas6, we carried out analogous experiments to follow HDX in a 69 aa Ana2-4D STAN motif fragment incubated alone or with Sas6 in D₂O for 10 s or 1 min (figure 7). In accord with the HDX data obtained for full-length Ana2-4D with Sas6, this revealed slightly higher protection in the regions aa325–336 and aa374–384 when the Ana2-4D STAN motif was in complex with Sas6 (figure 7a–c). Thus, the mild stabilization of these two regions upon complex formation is reproducible not only with Ana2-4D-FL, but also with the Ana2-2-4D-FL motif alone, wherein these two stabilized regions reside. Interestingly, these regions (aa325–336 and aa374–389) seem to be the most highly conserved portions of the STAN motif with each lying adjacent to a stretch of serine residues (S318 and S365/S370/S373, respectively), which are phosphorylated by Plk4 to trigger Ana2’s interaction with Sas6. Taken together, this leads us to hypothesize that even though the entire STAN motif, and the

Figure 4. Sas6 interacts with Ana2-4D through its C-terminal region. (a) HDX pattern of Sas6 in complex with Ana2-4D, following a 5 min incubation with D₂O. Shown are Sas6 peptides alone (blue bars) and when in complex with Ana2-4D (red bars). x-axis, position of peptides in amino acid sequence; y-axis, fraction of deuterium. The mean of two experiments is shown. Error bars show both values measured. (b) Upper panel: differences between deuteration of Sas6 peptides alone and in complex with Ana2-4D, derived by subtraction of deuteration levels shown in (a). Brown bars indicate peptides for which the differences measured in repeated experiments satisfied the Welsh t-test with p < 0.05. Pink highlighted box, peptides protected from exchange to the greatest extent when Sas6 is in complex with Ana2-4D (aa385–410). Lower panel: representation of Sas6 showing known domains: Head Domain, C–C motif, regions essential for the interaction with Ana2 and Gorab that were identified by HDX-MS. (c) Multiple sequence alignment (MSA) of Sas6 between amino acids 385 and 410. Residues in red, highest level of conservation between species and well conserved within an amino acid group. Residues in orange, high similarity and in the same aa group. MSA was performed using T-Coffee Expresso [45–49]. (d) SDS–PAGE of the binding assay in which Ana2-4D is the bait bound to Sas6 wild-type or its deletions and point mutants as prey. The binding assay shows the importance of Sas6 aa 385–410 for the interaction with Ana2-4D. (e) Schematic showing ability of Sas6 deletion and point mutants to bind Ana2 in vitro. Red box, the shortest region of Sas6 essential for Ana2 binding (aa385–398),
Figure 5. Sas6-Δ385–410 cannot interact with Ana2 in vivo. (a) The non-phosphorylatable version of Ana2 (FLAG-Ana2-4A) or the four aspartic acid substitution phosphomimetic mutant (FLAG-Ana2-4D) were transiently co-expressed with Myc-tagged wild-type Sas6 (Sas6-wt-Myc) in D.Mel-2 cells. Inputs and anti-FLAG immunoprecipitates were subjected to SDS–PAGE and western blotting to reveal the indicated antigens. (b) FLAG-Ana2-4D was co-expressed with either wild-type Myc-tagged Sas6 (Sas6-wt-Myc) or with the short-deletion Sas6 mutant version (Sas6-Δ385–410-Myc). Inputs and immunoprecipitates are displayed as in (a).

Figure 6. Ana2-4D interacts with Sas6 through its C-terminal region. (a) HDX pattern of Ana2-4D in complex with Sas6, following a 10 s incubation with D2O. Shown are Ana2-4D peptides alone (blue bars) and when in complex with Sas6 (red bars). x-axis, position of peptides in amino acid sequence; y-axis, fraction of deuteration. The mean of two experiments is shown. Error bars show both values measured. (b) Upper panel: differences between deuteration of Ana2-4D peptides alone and in complex with Sas6 derived by subtraction of deuteration levels shown in (a). Green bars indicate peptides for which the differences measured in repeated experiments satisfied the Welsh t-test with p < 0.05. Pink highlighted boxes, peptides protected from exchange to the greatest extent when Ana2-4D is in a complex with Sas6 (aa325–336 and 380–393). Lower panel: representation of Ana2 showing known domains: yellow box, structured region identified by HDX-MS covering C–C motif; grey box, STAN motif. (c) Multiple sequence alignment (MSA) of the Ana2 region between amino acids 325–336 and 375–389. Residues in red, the highest level of conservation between species and well conserved within an aa group. Residues in orange, high similarity and in the same aa group. MSA was performed using T-Coffee Expresso [45–49]. (d) SDS–PAGE of the binding assay in which Ana2-4D or Ana2-4D deletion mutants are the bait and Sas6 wild-type is the prey. (e) Schematic showing the ability of Ana2 deletion mutants to bind Sas6 in vitro. Red box, region of Ana2 important for Sas6 binding (aa325–331).
phosphorylation of four conserved serines within it, seems to be important for the Ana2–Sas6 interaction, the two regions identified by HDX have a more direct involvement in the physical interaction between Ana2 and Sas6.

The Sas6 dimer forms a single unit in the ninefold radially symmetric structure that constitutes the procentriole’s cartwheel. The two N-terminal globular parts of the dimer interact with adjacent subunits in the hub of this structure with the interacting C–C forming the spokes. Our current findings identify the segment on this spoke to which Ana2 binds and which is required to initiate procentriole formation. We recently discovered that the protein Gorab, itself essential for centriole duplication, also interacts with the Sas6 C–C region [27]. This led us to ask whether Sas6 is able to interact with both proteins at the same time or whether they show competition for binding. To address this, we performed a binding assay by immobilizing either Ana2-wt or Ana2-4D and determining whether Sas6 alone or Sas6–Gorab complex

Figure 7. Ana2-4D STAN motif interacts with Sas6. (a) HDX pattern of Ana2-4D STAN motif in complex with Sas6 following a 10 s incubation with D2O. Ana2-4D STAN peptides alone (blue bars) and when in complex with Sas6 (red bars) are shown. x-axis, position of peptides in amino acid sequence; y-axis, fraction of deuteration. The mean of three experiments is shown. Error bars represent standard deviations. (b) Differences between deuteration of Ana2-4D STAN peptides alone and in complex with Sas6, derived by subtraction of deuteration levels shown in (a). Brown bars indicate peptides for which the differences measured in repeated experiments satisfied the Welsh t-test with p < 0.05. Pink highlighted box, peptides protected from exchange to the greatest extent when Ana2-4D STAN motif is in complex with Sas6 (aa329–336). (c) HDX pattern of Ana2-4D STAN alone (blue bars) and in complex with Sas6 (red bars), following 1 min incubation with D2O. The mean of three experiments is shown. (d) Differences between deuteration of Ana2-4D STAN peptides alone and in complex with Sas6, derived by subtraction of deuteration levels shown in (c). Pink highlighted box, peptides protected to the greatest extent when Ana2-4D STAN is in complex with Sas6 (aa329–336 and 374–384). (e) Multiple Sequence Alignment (MSA) of Ana2 region between amino acids 325–336 and 375–389. Residues in red, the highest level of conservation between species and well conserved within an aa group. Residues in orange, high similarity and in the same aa group MSA was performed using T-Coffee Expresso [45–49].
could be captured. This experiment revealed that Sas6 is able to bind both proteins at the same time indicating that Ana2 and Gorab do not compete for Sas6 binding (figure 8a). Finally, we tested if Ana2 could bind Gorab. A binding assay using either Ana2-wt or Ana2-4D as bait and Gorab as prey and vice versa showed that Ana2 could not directly interact with Gorab (figure 8b). Our finding that Sas6 can bind both Ana2 and Gorab simultaneously is in line with our recent mapping of the interface between Sas6 and Gorab within 20 residues (amino acids 440–460) towards the C-terminus of Sas6.

### 3. Material and methods

#### 3.1. Plasmids

All expression vectors were generated using the Gateway system (Invitrogen). For MBP and GST tagging, we used pKM596 (Addgene) and pDEST15 (Invitrogen), respectively, as destination vectors. Flag and Myc tagging of Ana2 and Sas6 proteins for the co-immunoprecipitation experiments was achieved using the pPAW, and pAWM destination vectors from the Drosophila Gateway Vector Collection (https://emb.carnegiescience.edu/labs/murphy/Gateway%20vectors.html). The QuickChange Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent) was used to introduce all deletions and amino acid substitution mutations. The constructs were verified by DNA sequencing.

#### 3.2. Protein expression and purification

Recombinant proteins were expressed in *Escherichia coli* strain *Rosetta*(DE3) (Thermo Fisher) following standard procedures. Briefly, bacteria were transformed with recombinant plasmids encoding the desired proteins and cultured at 37°C to *A*<sub>600</sub> of approximately 0.5–0.7 in Terrific Broth supplemented with appropriate antibiotics. Protein expression was induced with 0.5 mM isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) at 20°C overnight. Bacterial cells were harvested, resuspended in buffer A (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT)) supplemented with EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) and incubated on ice for 30 min. Cells were lysed by sonication and clarified by centrifugation at 15 000g for 15 min at 4°C. The cleared lysates were incubated with amylase resin (NEB) or glutathione–sulpharose 4B resin (GE healthcare), for MBP- or GST-tagged proteins respectively, for 2 h at 4°C. Beads with bound proteins were washed three times for 10 min with 30 resin volumes of buffer A. Bound proteins were eluted with buffer A supplemented with 20 mM maltose or 10 mM glutathione.

#### 3.3. *In vitro* complex formation

Proteins were expressed in *E. coli*, and purified as described in the ‘Protein expression and purification’ section. MBP-Ana2-4D was then mixed with MBP-Sas6, incubated for at least 1 h on ice and then loaded on a Superdex 200/300 (GE Healthcare) column. SEC was run and fractions were collected and analysed by SDS–PAGE and PageBlue protein staining (Thermo Fisher).

#### 3.4. Size exclusion chromatography

For SEC, we used Superose6 10/300 (GE Healthcare) or Superdex 200 10/300 (GE Healthcare) columns pre-equilibrated with buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT)). Affinity-purified protein samples were loaded onto the columns and SEC was run at a 0.5 ml min<sup>−1</sup> flow rate at 4°C. The elution of proteins was monitored at 280 nm. Fractions were collected and analysed by SDS–PAGE and PageBlue protein staining (Thermo Fisher). For HDX-MS studies, the principal fractions having the highest protein concentration were used.

#### 3.5. Hydrogen–deuterium exchange mass spectrometry

Peptide lists were established by diluting 5 µl of each analysed protein 10-fold into a non-deuterated buffer (20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT). The sample (50 µl) was
acidified by mixing with 10 µl of ‘Stop’ buffer (2 M glycine pH 2.5, 1.5 M Urea, 250 mM TCEP or 2 M glycine pH 2.5 for Ana2-4D STAN) and digested offline in the Thermomixer (Eppendorf) for 30 s at 1°C with 2 µl of protease (Aspergillus saitoi, type XIII (Sigma)) and then injected into a nanoACQUITY UPLC system (Waters) equipped with an HDX Manager system (Waters) with the column outlet coupled directly with a SYNAPT G2 HDMS mass spectrometer, followed by online digestion using an immobilized pepsin column (Porozyme, ABI) with 0.07% formic acid in water as the mobile phase (flow rate 200 µl min⁻¹). Digested peptides were trapped on a C18 column (UPLC BEH C18 Van-Guard Pre-column, 1.7 µm, 2.1 x 5 mm, Waters) and then directed into a reverse phase column (UPLC BEH C18 column, 1.7 µm, 2.1 x 100 mm, Waters) with a 10–35% gradient of acetonitrile in 0.1% formic acid at 90 µl min⁻¹ using nanoACQUITY Binary Solvent Manager. The total time for a single run was 12 min. All capillaries, valves and columns were maintained at 0.5°C inside an HDX cooling chamber, while the pepsin column was kept at 20°C inside the temperature controlled digestion compartment. Leucine–enkephalin solution (Sigma) was used as a Lock mass. For protein identification, mass spectra were acquired in MSE mode over the m/z range of 50–1950. The spectrometer parameters were as follows: ESI positive mode, capillary voltage 3 kV, sampling cone voltage 35 V, extraction cone voltage 3 V, source temperature 80°C, desolvation temperature 175°C and desolvation gas flow 800 l/h. Peptides were identified using Protein Lynx Global Server (PLGS) software (Waters). The list of identified peptides containing peptide m/z, charge and retention time was further processed with the Dynamax v. 3.0 program (Waters).

For HDX experiments, protein samples were diluted in the Reaction buffer containing 99.8% D₂O (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories). Five microlitres of protein stock solution was mixed with 45 µl D₂O Reaction buffer and an exchange reaction was carried out for a specific time period (either 10 s, 1 or 5 min) on ice. The exchange was quenched by reducing the pH to 2.5 by adding the reaction mixture into an Eppendorf tube containing ice-cold Stop buffer (2 M glycine pH 2.5, 1.5 M Urea, 250 mM TCEP or 2 M glycine pH 2.5 for Ana2-4D STAN). Immediately after quenching, samples were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until analysed. Quenched samples were rapidly thawed, digested offline as described above and manually injected into the nanoACQUITY UPLC system. Further digestion, LC and MS analysis were carried out exactly as described for the non-exchange reaction. pull-down assays were carried out by incubating the lysate containing bait protein on Glutathione-Sepharose 4B (GE Healthcare) resin. After mixing by rotation for 1 h at 4°C, the beads were washed three times for 10 min with buffer B containing 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 250 mM NaCl, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 0.5% (v/v) Triton. Next, the lysate with prey MBP-tagged protein was added and incubated for 1 h at 4°C, followed by 3 × 10 min washes with buffer B. The proteins were eluted by boiling in Laemmli sample buffer and analysed by SDS–PAGE with PageBlue protein staining (Thermo Fisher).

3.7. In vitro pull-down assay (binding assay)

In vitro pull-down assays were carried out by incubating the lysate containing bait protein on Glutathione-Sepharose 4B (GE Healthcare) resin. After mixing by rotation for 1 h at 4°C, the beads were washed three times for 10 min with buffer B containing 20 mM Tris–HCl pH 7.5, 250 mM NaCl, 5% (v/v) glycerol, 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), 0.5% (v/v) Triton. Next, the lysate with prey MBP-tagged protein was added and incubated for 1 h at 4°C, followed by 3 × 10 min washes with buffer B. The proteins were eluted by boiling in Laemmli sample buffer and analysed by SDS–PAGE withPageBlue protein staining (Thermo Fisher).

3.8. Co-immunoprecipitation

Co-immunoprecipitation was performed as previously described [14]. In brief, D.Mel-2 cells were co-transfected with constitutively driven constructs encoding 3xFLAG-Ana2 (4A or 4D) and Sasa6-6xMyc (wt or A385-410) for 24 h. Cells were treated with 25 µM MG132 (Sigma) for 5 h, collected and lysed in 1 ml ice-cold buffer containing 50 Na-HEPES pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl₂, 0.5 mM Na-EGTA pH 8.0, 1 mM DTT, 0.1% NP-40, 5% glycerol, 1 mM PMSF and EDTA-free complete protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche) by passing 6× through a prechilled G25 needle. The lysates were clarified by centrifugation (2°C, 12 000g, 10 min) and the supernatants were mixed with anti-FLAGM2 magnetic beads (Sigma) for 2–4 h at 4°C. After washing four times, the proteins were eluted from the beads by Laemmli sample buffer and subjected to SDS–PAGE followed by immunoblotting.
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